

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY
SPECIAL AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING – VIRTUAL
THURSDAY, JULY 18, 2024

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:3.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The audit Committee to order at 718.

0:0:3.190 --> 0:0:3.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Oh, sorry.

0:0:3.740 --> 0:0:5.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

At 9:31 AM.

0:0:7.80 --> 0:0:13.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

On July 18th, 2024, uh first order of business is the Pledge of Allegiance.

0:0:13.870 --> 0:0:15.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes, can you guys put up the flag?

0:0:18.0 --> 0:0:18.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Thank you.

0:0:19.390 --> 0:0:24.460

Dave G. Rhodes

But the meeting to the flag of the United States, the Republic.

0:0:26.150 --> 0:0:26.550

Dave G. Rhodes

Patient.

0:0:27.970 --> 0:0:28.510

Dave G. Rhodes

Eventually.

0:0:18.840 --> 0:0:30.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

0:0:31.960 --> 0:0:33.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Thank you all very much.

0:0:33.840 --> 0:0:34.140

Aj De Meo <Student>

You need to.

0:0:33.940 --> 0:0:35.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Next up we have roll call.

0:0:36.440 --> 0:0:37.130

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

0:0:37.600 --> 0:0:38.530

Dave G. Rhodes

Ruth, Carter Lynch.

0:0:39.980 --> 0:0:40.160

Aj De Meo <Student>

Can.

0:0:41.530 --> 0:0:41.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:0:41.560 --> 0:0:42.510

Dave G. Rhodes

Rebecca doll.

0:0:45.680 --> 0:0:46.590

Dave G. Rhodes

Anthony demeo.

0:0:51.300 --> 0:0:52.410

Dave G. Rhodes

I see him, but I can't hear him.

0:0:52.420 --> 0:0:53.10

Dave G. Rhodes

Anthony demand.

0:0:55.50 --> 0:0:56.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, I see him.

0:1:0.400 --> 0:1:1.0

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

0:1:0.530 --> 0:1:3.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He's staring right at us, so he's here because I can see him.

0:1:3.710 --> 0:1:4.770

Dave G. Rhodes

OK, Mary fertig.

0:1:8.280 --> 0:1:8.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No.

0:1:7.830 --> 0:1:8.830

Dave G. Rhodes

Matthew Friedman.

0:1:13.890 --> 0:1:15.140

Dave G. Rhodes

Doctor Natalie Lynch Walsh.

0:1:15.830 --> 0:1:16.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm here.

0:1:18.40 --> 0:1:18.920

Dave G. Rhodes

Robert meyersen.

0:1:20.570 --> 0:1:23.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't think Mayerson could make today, so I wouldn't expect to see him.

0:1:24.600 --> 0:1:26.510

Dave G. Rhodes

OK, Andrew, madman.

0:1:27.80 --> 0:1:27.420

Andrew Medvin

I'm here.

0:1:28.200 --> 0:1:29.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yep, see.

0:1:29.90 --> 0:1:29.870

Dave G. Rhodes

Probabile menzel.

0:1:31.420 --> 0:1:31.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No.

0:1:33.550 --> 0:1:34.350

Dave G. Rhodes

Who, Naylor?

0:1:36.750 --> 0:1:37.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I saw him.

0:1:39.820 --> 0:1:40.180

Lew Naylor

Air.

0:1:39.480 --> 0:1:41.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Louis, other areas, Lou.

0:1:42.750 --> 0:1:43.820

Lew Naylor

Here, can you hear me?

0:1:44.240 --> 0:1:44.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yep.

0:1:45.180 --> 0:1:45.500

Lew Naylor

All right.

0:1:44.180 --> 0:1:46.830

Dave G. Rhodes

Yep, Phyllis Shaw.

0:1:50.420 --> 0:1:51.440

Dave G. Rhodes

And Peter turso.

0:1:52.740 --> 0:2:1.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't think Turso could make today, and I don't think Phyllis could make today umm demayo cannot hear us so.

0:2:3.90 --> 0:2:6.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Oh, he's using another a different.

0:2:8.660 --> 0:2:11.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Looks looks like he's using a student.

0:2:11.290 --> 0:2:12.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What in the world?

0:2:14.940 --> 0:2:16.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So Mr Demayo can't hear us?

0:2:16.840 --> 0:2:17.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We can't hear him.

0:2:22.330 --> 0:2:24.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And it says student next to him.

0:2:24.230 --> 0:2:24.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Why?

0:2:24.770 --> 0:2:25.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Why is that?

0:2:27.450 --> 0:2:29.420

Aj De Meo <Student>

Hello I'm using my son's computer.

0:2:30.280 --> 0:2:32.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ah, OK, well, that's what I thought.

0:2:32.400 --> 0:2:33.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But you hadn't done.

0:2:33.960 --> 0:2:34.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:2:34.340 --> 0:2:36.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we can hear you and now you can hear us.

0:2:36.440 --> 0:2:37.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Perfect. OK.

0:2:37.690 --> 0:2:37.970

Aj De Meo <Student>

Sorry.

0:2:40.890 --> 0:2:44.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

E Yeah, you're not the only parent that uses their kids computers.

0:2:44.830 --> 0:2:45.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That happens.

0:2:45.470 --> 0:2:46.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We just have that last night.

0:2:47.50 --> 0:2:50.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It just sometimes creates some technical issues.

0:2:51.260 --> 0:2:52.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:2:52.70 --> 0:3:1.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So today we just wanted to recap for the people that couldn't make last meeting and then also because we had some follow up items.

0:3:1.780 --> 0:3:3.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Just kind of recap where we are.

0:3:3.560 --> 0:3:30.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we know what we need going into the August meeting when we anticipate transmitting this, uh audit finally and along with any recommendations or concerns for the board and for the state as I was rereading some information, it would seem that at least some of the funding for this project would have come from the state.

0:3:31.590 --> 0:3:42.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, there's a it was referred to, I think as an educational facility grant or might have been the school hardening grant that we some of us have probably heard of.

0:3:42.890 --> 0:3:48.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So it's what's appear possible that at least some of the funding is state funding.

0:3:49.600 --> 0:3:52.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, just to throw that in there.

0:3:53.90 --> 0:4:8.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, last time when we had a Mr Sade, the Fire Chief here, he confirmed that the system, the role on board system is not an emergency communications system.

0:4:9.420 --> 0:4:12.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, which basically conflicts with what?

0:4:13.840 --> 0:4:21.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, Craig, door from Roland Borg, had said to me, and from what they've stated elsewhere, we also looked at the.

0:4:24.460 --> 0:4:34.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Board member follow up, which showed that uh, there was a that staff said they were getting the rolling Board telecenter you.

0:4:35.910 --> 0:4:36.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Software.

0:4:37.390 --> 0:4:43.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, which apparently the words telecenter you do not appear in the contract.

0:4:43.620 --> 0:4:45.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that's one of my follow up questions.

0:4:46.300 --> 0:4:48.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, which is why we asked for procurement.

0:4:50.580 --> 0:4:52.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Some additional items.

0:4:52.990 --> 0:4:57.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There was a fire alarm memo overview of fire alarm systems.

0:4:57.170 --> 0:4:59.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh let me that's on the.

0:5:1.700 --> 0:5:4.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Audit Committee agenda website.

0:5:8.320 --> 0:5:8.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
At that.

0:5:12.770 --> 0:5:14.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So that's been added.

0:5:15.660 --> 0:5:17.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I don't think we pulled these up.

0:5:17.600 --> 0:5:22.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
We I read part of the June 2018 MSD Commission meeting transcript.

0:5:24.280 --> 0:5:29.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Staff talked a lot about new intercom and fire alarm specifications.

0:5:29.980 --> 0:5:40.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
The item H prior meeting follow up or the questions that Mister Demayo put in the chat because I they they pretty much summed up.

0:5:40.970 --> 0:5:45.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I think what we all some additional questions we all had.

0:5:45.440 --> 0:5:47.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So we're using that as follow up.

0:5:48.710 --> 0:5:52.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So today we wanna look at the things we didn't talk about.

0:5:52.130 --> 0:5:53.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the new specifications.

0:5:55.950 --> 0:6:8.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

These are the ones being used for the new bid, but we're not the ones in place back in 2019 and I'm kind of curious as to how those came about.

0:6:8.20 --> 0:6:10.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is all stuff we looked at last time.

0:6:11.230 --> 0:6:16.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, Mr Rhodes just sent out follow up from our April.

0:6:17.990 --> 0:6:27.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Motions, and I think maybe that might be a good place to start since you know having answers to follow up is important before we get into our next batch of follow up questions.

0:6:27.870 --> 0:6:30.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So let me go pull that.

0:6:31.580 --> 0:6:32.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Just sent.

0:6:34.350 --> 0:6:37.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I so.

0:6:41.500 --> 0:6:43.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this was hot off the press.

0:6:44.160 --> 0:6:44.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh.

0:6:45.160 --> 0:6:47.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Friedman says he's been in the waiting room.

0:6:47.340 --> 0:6:48.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That somebody let him in.

0:6:49.280 --> 0:6:54.200

Dave G. Rhodes

I just reached out to Brian because I don't have anything on my screen that's allowing me or.

0:6:54.220 --> 0:6:56.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Oh yeah, because you're a member, not an owner.

0:6:56.380 --> 0:6:57.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You can't let him in.

0:7:0.570 --> 0:7:0.850

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

0:6:57.160 --> 0:7:4.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The only person that can let him in is Brian, but that's another reason why you need more than one owner.

0:7:7.210 --> 0:7:7.720

Bryan Erhard

Umm.

0:7:4.660 --> 0:7:8.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

More than one presenter I'm a presenter, but hold on.

0:7:8.730 --> 0:7:9.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know.

0:7:11.120 --> 0:7:12.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Organizers: right.

0:7:9.420 --> 0:7:12.390

Bryan Erhard

World Organizers:, but I don't see it waiting room.

0:7:13.600 --> 0:7:16.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And yeah, organizer would help.

0:7:16.780 --> 0:7:17.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't.

0:7:17.870 --> 0:7:20.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I don't see a lobby either.

0:7:20.440 --> 0:7:22.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

A lot of times I can see it, but I can't.

0:7:23.280 --> 0:7:23.560

Dave G. Rhodes

Right.

0:7:23.110 --> 0:7:23.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm OK.

0:7:23.160 --> 0:7:24.570

Bryan Erhard

It it's set to allow everyone.

0:7:23.570 --> 0:7:24.790

Dave G. Rhodes

Are you are you able to let him in?

0:7:27.250 --> 0:7:27.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Pardon.

0:7:27.250 --> 0:7:28.460

Bryan Erhard

There shouldn't be a lobby.

0:7:28.510 --> 0:7:30.10

Bryan Erhard

It's it's set to allow everyone.

0:7:30.830 --> 0:7:33.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, he says he's been in the waiting room for.

0:7:33.770 --> 0:7:37.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I don't know which waiting room.

0:7:37.70 --> 0:7:38.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Maybe is he in the wrong one?

0:7:42.390 --> 0:7:43.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That could be a problem.

0:7:43.380 --> 0:7:45.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He might be in the wrong wrong one.

0:7:45.620 --> 0:7:46.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know.

0:7:48.380 --> 0:7:48.780

Dave G. Rhodes

I've been.

0:7:48.110 --> 0:7:53.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But I know it's hard for me to run the meeting and do technical and handle technical problems.

0:7:54.30 --> 0:7:58.480

Dave G. Rhodes

I've I've asked Brian to reach out to him and get this straightened out so that we can get him into the meeting.

0:7:59.200 --> 0:8:1.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, sounds good.

0:8:2.120 --> 0:8:3.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me not have this open twice.

0:8:4.100 --> 0:8:4.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:8:4.660 --> 0:8:6.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So just to review.

0:8:8.300 --> 0:8:20.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And what I did is IIP pfed the actual email trail to show that staff has had this, this communication and this is what they actually received.

0:8:20.470 --> 0:8:25.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

When I copy pasted from teams because they don't respond to us in teams, I have to send an email.

0:8:25.880 --> 0:8:31.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It got a little choppy, but the this was the motion related to this audit.

0:8:32.970 --> 0:8:34.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Asked the chief auditor.

0:8:34.230 --> 0:8:40.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Send this report to the attorneys to review and look at whether any of the actions taken by staff.

0:8:42.900 --> 0:8:45.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Were approved by the school board in closed door.

0:8:46.200 --> 0:8:52.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's one whether there's a way to recover any of the money expended with the original vendor.

0:8:52.560 --> 0:9:5.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's two and to hire a technical expert to review the scope of the RFP, that's three and also whether there were violations of school board policy, including procurement policy.

0:9:5.640 --> 0:9:9.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's four, so four things or transmitted to staff.

0:9:10.990 --> 0:9:13.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Now let's look at the memo response.

0:9:16.850 --> 0:9:17.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Number one.

0:9:19.420 --> 0:9:28.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Did the RFP and contract awarded to the primary vendor violate any school board policies, including section 3320 purchasing policies?

0:9:31.730 --> 0:9:32.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And the response.

0:9:37.920 --> 0:9:38.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm sorry.

0:9:38.950 --> 0:9:41.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, this summary answer.

0:9:41.690 --> 0:9:48.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The initial contract awarded to the primary vendor did not violate school board policies or laws.

0:9:48.490 --> 0:10:4.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

However, the conversion of RFP from a standard intercom installation to an emergency OK communications system violates school board rules, policies and case law governing procurement and improperly administered the contract.

0:10:5.40 --> 0:10:13.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The actions taken by the school district staff violated OK all these different policies, yadda yadda. Umm.

0:10:15.690 --> 0:10:16.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Expanded answer.

0:10:16.800 --> 0:10:24.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

A competitive solicitation process such as an ITB RFP, RFQ, or ITN, establishes the framework for public procurement.

0:10:24.180 --> 0:10:28.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Proper bid specifications ensure competitive and comparable bids.

0:10:28.220 --> 0:10:36.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Florida case law emphasizes the clear specifications are essential for valid contract awards section the yadda yadda.

0:10:36.880 --> 0:10:47.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The scope of section, a former school board, require the Superintendent to propose standards and specifications before purchasing commodities or contractual services.

0:10:49.160 --> 0:11:1.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Part nine of School Board 3800 states as follows, the school district to end user shall

develop the scope of services and requirements needed for competitive solicitation, with guidance and advice from procurement.

0:11:1.960 --> 0:11:6.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Public bid requirements must not be altered after bid submission.

0:11:6.680 --> 0:11:8.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Case law supports that.

0:11:8.260 --> 0:11:9.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Any changes?

0:11:9.80 --> 0:11:11.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Post submission voids the contract award.

0:11:12.40 --> 0:11:16.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

HCT audit revealed school district staff converted yadda yadda. OK.

0:11:19.40 --> 0:11:19.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So.

0:11:21.880 --> 0:11:23.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Just on this first one.

0:11:27.890 --> 0:11:29.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That that there.

0:11:29.370 --> 0:11:41.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Does anyone have any questions or comments because this is where my follow up questions come in and remember I can't see you, so if someone on staff if I'm sharing a screen I cannot see anything on teams.

0:11:41.940 --> 0:11:44.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All I can see is what I'm sharing on the screen.

0:11:44.850 --> 0:11:46.330

Dave G. Rhodes

There are currently no hands up.

0:11:47.740 --> 0:11:50.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK. Because.

0:11:53.910 --> 0:11:56.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

My question so I have a few questions.

0:11:57.920 --> 0:12:3.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Starting with and and there's the use of the word bid specifications, but.

0:12:5.780 --> 0:12:10.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

How do you know what the requirements are to put into the RFP?

0:12:11.160 --> 0:12:15.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it normal and this is a procurement question?

0:12:17.490 --> 0:12:18.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it normal to?

0:12:20.730 --> 0:12:30.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Caught out an RFP and I think we've established that the, I mean, they're telling us about new technical specifications.

0:12:32.840 --> 0:12:34.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The design specifications.

0:12:34.960 --> 0:12:42.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it normal to change your specifications after you put out an RFP?

0:12:42.80 --> 0:12:46.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Or do you make sure that you have specifications i.e.

0:12:46.260 --> 0:12:51.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In this case, for intercoms before you put out the RFP.

0:12:54.400 --> 0:12:55.350

Jennifer D. Andreu

Good morning.

0:12:58.520 --> 0:13:0.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I mm-hmm.

0:12:55.400 --> 0:13:11.800

Jennifer D. Andreu

Jennifer Andreu, executive director, operations it is the the correct procedure would be to have your specifications and ensure that they are accurate when the solicitation is advertised.

0:13:11.880 --> 0:13:38.100

Jennifer D. Andreu

However, there are times when specs change or a mistake was found of something additional is needed and how we correct that is to do an addendum while the bid is still published, slash out on the street, advertised, and then all possible proposers.

0:13:38.110 --> 0:13:51.540

Jennifer D. Andreu

Vendors would see that addendum and still be able to submit a a bid or proposal that meets what the district is looking for.

0:13:52.310 --> 0:14:8.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so throughout the process of examining what happened at the front end, because there's definitely and I'm just gonna be blunt, there's definitely a movement by staff to focus on what happened in the middle of this process.

0:14:8.430 --> 0:14:14.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm more obsessed with what happened at the front end because I'm a measure twice cut once kind of person.

0:14:15.630 --> 0:14:28.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I pointed out at our last meeting that on page 10 in the timeline, it said Legal Department Directive for Roland basis of design and to change specs 662019.

0:14:28.970 --> 0:14:34.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is after the award, but before anything really starts happening.

0:14:34.280 --> 0:14:46.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is within two months of it being awarded to roll on board and I asked if we had this legal directive for the Roland basis of design and to change the specs.

0:14:46.970 --> 0:14:54.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm Rhodes, I don't think we got a clear answer on what that Legal department directive is.

0:14:54.40 --> 0:14:55.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it in writing?

0:14:55.100 --> 0:14:56.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Was it verbal?

0:14:56.60 --> 0:14:58.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Did it happen in closed door like I asked?

0:14:58.520 --> 0:14:59.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Do we have this?

0:14:59.350 --> 0:15:11.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And because that would show that they're changing specs and then also in this in the audit, it speaks to them having completely outdated intercom.

0:15:12.820 --> 0:15:13.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Specifications.

0:15:13.950 --> 0:15:15.200

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I just need to go dig that up.

0:15:16.310 --> 0:15:17.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Uh, let's see.

0:15:19.250 --> 0:15:26.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So, Mr Rhodes, do you have a response or is there someone that has a response to the legal directive on paid mentioned on page 10?

0:15:27.220 --> 0:15:28.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
And I'm gonna pull up this.

0:15:31.30 --> 0:15:31.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Audit.

0:15:33.670 --> 0:15:34.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
In the meantime.

0:15:32.480 --> 0:15:42.210

Dave G. Rhodes
Uh to the short answer to your question is I have not received a response back other than what was included in their footnote.

0:15:43.10 --> 0:15:43.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Uh-huh.

0:15:44.320 --> 0:15:44.750

Dave G. Rhodes

Umm.

0:15:44.760 --> 0:15:46.410

Dave G. Rhodes

Let's let me see if I can get back to that.

0:15:45.960 --> 0:15:46.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because I'm.

0:15:46.480 --> 0:15:49.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm confused because then what does that mean?

0:15:49.960 --> 0:15:51.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because it it's basically pretty.

0:15:53.20 --> 0:16:4.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's pretty huge to say that there was a legal directive to change the design specs and you would be changing them after something's been awarded.

0:16:5.820 --> 0:16:15.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I'm I'm very interested in seeing that because that's something they put in the audit and this audit is being treated, people are adhering more to this audit than they do the Bible.

0:16:17.490 --> 0:16:27.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And so if we're gonna do that and they make a claim that something occurred, then I'd like, I think they should be able to produce it right here.

0:16:27.240 --> 0:16:39.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Page 10, Legal Department directive for Roland basis of design and to change specs, June 6, 2019 so did they in fact change the specs after the award?

0:16:39.760 --> 0:16:46.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The award was on April 23rd, so that's one of my open questions. Umm.

0:16:51.480 --> 0:16:52.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Wait, wait, wait.

0:16:52.290 --> 0:16:53.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What page?

0:16:53.550 --> 0:16:56.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh you're saying in this response we just got.

0:16:45.750 --> 0:16:57.310

Dave G. Rhodes

So if we look at footnote number one in the legal response they it's the yeah of the of the legal response that we just received.

0:16:59.480 --> 0:16:59.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh-huh.

0:17:3.90 --> 0:17:5.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What page timeline?

0:17:0.810 --> 0:17:7.30

Dave G. Rhodes

They addressed this issue the second page, the second I think it's two of three.

0:17:6.930 --> 0:17:7.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, I see it.

0:17:7.980 --> 0:17:9.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I it's on screen now.

0:17:19.730 --> 0:17:21.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the procurement, but what?

0:17:27.370 --> 0:17:31.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Could district staff contacted one and this is not in writing?

0:17:36.260 --> 0:17:41.460

Dave G. Rhodes

I don't know if this is the first time that they documented it in writing, but this is what we have based on that question.

0:17:46.890 --> 0:17:52.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, how are they able to recall this from 2019 if nothing's in writing?

0:17:53.280 --> 0:17:59.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So just so everyone's clear, it says District staff contract contacted one of the offices.

0:17:59.380 --> 0:18:10.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Attorneys OK, which one with questions about specifications for an intercom system and those specifications for an emergency communications system.

0:18:10.460 --> 0:18:12.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Specifically, the attorney was asked.

0:18:13.40 --> 0:18:15.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is starting to smell like Tom Cooney was asked this.

0:18:16.240 --> 0:18:17.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Or well, maybe not.

0:18:17.510 --> 0:18:18.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But he does facilities.

0:18:18.690 --> 0:18:34.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I don't know who else they would have asked specifically, the attorney was asked to provide guidelines for use in the inspection of newly installed IP systems Roland, and allow for the approval of maintenance at schools, which are still using the older technology.

0:18:34.450 --> 0:18:45.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Duquesne, the attorney, was only provided limited facts about the matter by school district staff and was not advised of any conflicts with the procurement of such systems.

0:18:47.60 --> 0:18:47.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hmm.

0:18:48.290 --> 0:18:50.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Rather, I love that this is a footnote.

0:18:51.10 --> 0:19:1.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Rather, the query appear to be about how to make the existing intercom system function in conjunction with other systems being installed.

0:19:1.710 --> 0:19:11.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Notably, the school district staff members did not consult with the general Counsel's office attorney, who adjust approved the contract that was awarded with the RFP.

0:19:12.740 --> 0:19:13.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, I'm confused.

0:19:16.310 --> 0:19:18.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Aren't they all work for general counsel?

0:19:22.880 --> 0:19:38.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Employees of the Department of Purchasing and Warehousing and of the school, district and user departments have long been counseled to 1st bring any contract administration issues to the attorney who approved the contract as to form and legal content.

0:19:38.820 --> 0:19:46.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It also appears that the discussions about changing specifications did not involve personnel from where purchasing and warehousing will.

0:19:46.760 --> 0:19:56.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, the inclusion of these personnel within the contract administration discussion would have likely averted the purchasing issues at hand.

0:19:58.510 --> 0:19:58.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh-huh.

0:20:0.530 --> 0:20:0.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:20:2.990 --> 0:20:5.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I I what does this mean exactly?

0:20:5.570 --> 0:20:6.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Cause I'm interpreting this.

0:20:6.870 --> 0:20:7.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's at.

0:20:10.890 --> 0:20:13.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So, Mr Rhodes, I know you just got this.

0:20:13.230 --> 0:20:26.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, doesn't it say something about if we have any further questions or you have further questions, where was uh, please contact this office at your convenience if any further guidance is required.

0:20:27.450 --> 0:20:28.480

Dave G. Rhodes

And I do.

0:20:28.530 --> 0:20:41.410

Dave G. Rhodes

I do have some of my own questions, but I opted to wait until this discussion so that I can

make sure that I capture what the committee wants to request in the way of additional information, as well as my own.

0:20:42.400 --> 0:20:42.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:20:44.540 --> 0:20:48.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, because the this, the this to me.

0:20:51.110 --> 0:20:57.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

As I'm interpreting this, they they that as early as June 6th, 2019.

0:20:59.660 --> 0:21:7.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, there was staff and noticed there are no no specificity around the staff and I'm going to go to when.

0:21:10.530 --> 0:21:12.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Decker first had to do a review.

0:21:15.310 --> 0:21:19.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I want us because I'm not sure what date that was of his first review.

0:21:23.980 --> 0:21:28.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see, that's in July.

0:21:31.270 --> 0:21:31.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see.

0:21:31.930 --> 0:21:33.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So it might have been under fire.

0:21:33.670 --> 0:21:38.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It might have been under review, but he was citing NFPA 101 so.

0:21:41.890 --> 0:21:42.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This was way back.

0:21:45.100 --> 0:21:46.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In 2019, so.

0:21:50.10 --> 0:21:53.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is sort of sounding like staff withheld information.

0:21:53.500 --> 0:22:5.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I mean, there's this to me this this paragraph is saying a lot, but anyway A so this question one did they violate any purchasing policies so.

0:22:6.980 --> 0:22:9.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

First thing we know is that the.

0:22:11.640 --> 0:22:16.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Specs were changed and I'm not and they were changed fairly early on.

0:22:16.640 --> 0:22:26.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Now, going back to this audit, I remember seeing something I'm going to do a search for the date of 2008 this.

0:22:26.330 --> 0:22:29.340

EXT - Mary Fertig

Now, like could just these dates are important.

0:22:29.350 --> 0:22:30.910

EXT - Mary Fertig

Could you ask for the date of that?

0:22:31.900 --> 0:22:32.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Of which of which?

0:22:32.520 --> 0:22:36.710

EXT - Mary Fertig

I I'm trying to go between these documents on the computer, but since we just got this.

0:22:38.650 --> 0:22:38.870

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yeah.

0:22:37.290 --> 0:22:39.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I know that's why I'm trying to go.

0:22:39.670 --> 0:22:41.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But data which thing.

0:22:41.50 --> 0:22:42.680

EXT - Mary Fertig

So you have the legal.

0:22:42.690 --> 0:22:44.280

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'm gonna have to go back to the documents.

0:22:44.290 --> 0:22:46.360

EXT - Mary Fertig

The The What you the part you just read?

0:22:47.820 --> 0:22:48.920

EXT - Mary Fertig

Let me pull that up the.

0:22:48.60 --> 0:22:50.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They just wrote this July 15th.

0:22:52.870 --> 0:22:53.270

EXT - Mary Fertig

Alright.

0:22:53.730 --> 0:22:55.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then that footnote.

0:22:57.370 --> 0:23:1.840

EXT - Mary Fertig

Uh, the conversion from a standard to an emergency system.

0:23:1.910 --> 0:23:2.960

EXT - Mary Fertig

What was the date of that?

0:23:5.210 --> 0:23:6.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There there is no.

0:23:6.310 --> 0:23:11.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There was never a conversion that that this is the cover up piece, but in the timeline.

0:23:9.310 --> 0:23:14.240

EXT - Mary Fertig

Then just just if there were, if there were, what would be that date be?

0:23:14.250 --> 0:23:15.930

EXT - Mary Fertig

If we could just have that put in there.

0:23:15.270 --> 0:23:17.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know what they mean by the conversion.

0:23:17.650 --> 0:23:29.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm guessing they're saying when Matt Decker started talking about the Penton device so that they could communicate between the intercom system that was in 2021.

0:23:30.460 --> 0:23:31.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If you go to the.

0:23:30.780 --> 0:23:40.400

EXT - Mary Fertig

If we could just have, if we if whoever, if we could just ask that those dates be in there because we're just kind of going between multiple documents and we got just got it.

0:23:41.760 --> 0:23:43.860

EXT - Mary Fertig
And Dave do you?

0:23:41.50 --> 0:23:54.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Yeah, I I the they mentioned there there was clearly there is clearly a narrative where the the narrative is that this thing was not was not an emergency communication system.

0:23:55.220 --> 0:24:2.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
And clearly in 2019, they wanted an emergency communication system.

0:24:2.750 --> 0:24:10.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Roland Borg touted their product as an emergency communication system, but it's one thing to use words that you don't really understand.

0:24:11.0 --> 0:24:25.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
It's another thing to adhere to if you're going to use the words, then you have to you have to adhere to code and one thing to remember here is you have a lot of people whose jobs have nothing to do with codes and standards.

0:24:25.810 --> 0:24:41.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Using words and phrases and then they **** heads with the people whose entire jobs, if you use those same words, they have to make sure that if you're going to use those words, the thing adheres to the codes associated with those words.

0:24:44.20 --> 0:24:51.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I want to continue focusing on this because the next thing I'll get tempted to jump to is a document I found from the Office of Safe Schools.

0:24:51.760 --> 0:25:1.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
But that's in 2021 and they use a voice, enunciation annotated or whatever that word is emergency address system.

0:25:1.520 --> 0:25:7.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the state doesn't even seem to know what the proper verbiage is, but we'll get to that.

0:25:7.940 --> 0:25:9.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So on this.

0:25:9.480 --> 0:25:10.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes, Sir.

0:25:10.520 --> 0:25:11.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are you saying there's?

0:25:8.70 --> 0:25:17.800

Dave G. Rhodes

Madam Chair, I I just wanted to let I just wanted to let you know I'm not positive if Mary Fertig was done, but she her hand is currently up in the system.

0:25:18.740 --> 0:25:19.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:25:19.730 --> 0:25:21.220

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yes, I am.

0:25:20.400 --> 0:25:22.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes, Mary, you said dates.

0:25:22.360 --> 0:25:22.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:25:21.430 --> 0:25:22.900

EXT - Mary Fertig

Can can you hear me?

0:25:22.720 --> 0:25:23.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Gotcha.

0:25:24.190 --> 0:25:25.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Yeah, I can hear you.

0:25:25.30 --> 0:25:25.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I just can't see you.

0:25:22.910 --> 0:25:26.400

EXT - Mary Fertig
Natalie, Natalie could OK great.

0:25:26.410 --> 0:25:28.360

EXT - Mary Fertig
My computer is saying it's not responding.

0:25:28.790 --> 0:25:29.200

EXT - Mary Fertig
Yes.

0:25:29.210 --> 0:25:33.160

EXT - Mary Fertig
No, I I just would like just for clarity to have some of these dates put in.

0:25:35.610 --> 0:25:35.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Right.

0:25:33.170 --> 0:25:36.310

EXT - Mary Fertig
So we know exactly what they're talking about as opposed to.

0:25:35.890 --> 0:25:38.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Have them add specificity and dates.

0:25:39.740 --> 0:25:40.140

EXT - Mary Fertig
Thank you.

0:25:38.270 --> 0:25:42.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, date specificity from memo.

0:25:41.480 --> 0:25:42.680

EXT - Mary Fertig

If if that's possible.

0:25:43.260 --> 0:25:44.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, it's possible.

0:25:44.260 --> 0:25:52.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They they multiple times, they just need to pick a date because there was no formal conversion.

0:25:53.500 --> 0:25:56.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's their, that's their narrative.

0:25:56.90 --> 0:26:2.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The the word conversion, so they need to pick a date or series of dates in memo.

0:26:3.400 --> 0:26:5.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, alright.

0:26:5.430 --> 0:26:16.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So staying with this, because we're trying to determine when the spec change, so getting back to this paragraph.

0:26:18.440 --> 0:26:24.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, so that's to did the attorney provide guidelines for use in the inspection?

0:26:24.560 --> 0:26:26.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because that's what they were asked.

0:26:32.630 --> 0:26:33.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So what?

0:26:33.210 --> 0:26:38.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because it says they were asked the the attorney was asked to provide guidelines for use in the inspection.

0:26:39.910 --> 0:26:43.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Was only provided limited facts to not consult.

0:26:43.920 --> 0:26:59.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It tells you what everybody did or didn't do, but it does not conclude whether guideline, whether guidelines were provided and going back to the audit in the timeline.

0:27:1.140 --> 0:27:6.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It says that there was a directive and so they were asked for.

0:27:7.370 --> 0:27:7.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Guidelines.

0:27:13.100 --> 0:27:15.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It says Legal department directive.

0:27:15.160 --> 0:27:17.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I can't imagine a directive was verbal.

0:27:23.410 --> 0:27:31.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Legal department directive and there were their footnote does not say that there was number guidelines.

0:27:31.470 --> 0:27:32.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It just makes excuses.

0:27:35.270 --> 0:27:36.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Never seen so many excuses.

0:27:36.910 --> 0:27:38.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right, Legal department directive.

0:27:38.660 --> 0:27:40.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's follow up.

0:27:40.30 --> 0:27:40.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right.

0:27:40.470 --> 0:27:44.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then I know there was a mention about outdated.

0:27:47.460 --> 0:27:51.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Here we go March 8th, 2019.

0:27:51.530 --> 0:27:58.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

First draft of specifications with 16724 sound and intercom master Clock original 2008.

0:27:58.970 --> 0:28:1.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So old technology and obsolete models were listed.

0:28:3.720 --> 0:28:9.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this is another procurement rule.

0:28:9.510 --> 0:28:11.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, policy rules.

0:28:11.370 --> 0:28:12.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

State statute.

0:28:13.380 --> 0:28:16.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it normal or advisable best practice?

0:28:16.900 --> 0:28:26.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Or does it violate policy to put out an RFP or a project with design specifications?

0:28:28.170 --> 0:28:35.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That and I, and I think that this observation of the of HDT is probably, umm, ballad.

0:28:36.90 --> 0:28:46.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It says first draft is specifications with 16724 sound and intercom slash master clock, so they were rewriting them in March.

0:28:46.750 --> 0:28:53.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Sounds like original 2008, so old technology and obsolete models were listed.

0:28:53.290 --> 0:28:56.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So on March 8th.

0:28:58.310 --> 0:29:3.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

When a 19, this sounds to me like there was a first draft of some new specs.

0:29:6.510 --> 0:29:6.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

0:29:8.450 --> 0:29:11.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because the 2008 version was old.

0:29:13.600 --> 0:29:19.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So where's that first raft of these specs that are of 16724?

0:29:20.860 --> 0:29:21.490

Dave G. Rhodes
Mary.

0:29:20.630 --> 0:29:21.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
That's another follow.

0:29:22.110 --> 0:29:23.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Yeah. Yep.

0:29:27.350 --> 0:29:27.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Ah.

0:29:22.40 --> 0:29:28.200

Dave G. Rhodes
Madam Chair, I just wanted to bring some context to this part of the discussion in the report.

0:29:28.280 --> 0:29:31.480

Dave G. Rhodes
I I recall that there was a comment.

0:29:33.520 --> 0:29:38.160

Dave G. Rhodes
By the auditor that uh, there was a discussion.

0:29:39.160 --> 0:29:58.720

Dave G. Rhodes
Uh, with the vendor evaluation committee and the initial basis of the of the design was going to be based on the Duquesne system and that sometime after deliberations from that discussion, there was a conclusion to base the design on RB products.

0:29:59.290 --> 0:30:6.130

Dave G. Rhodes
I think that as a part of the follow up question that I have for legal, it might be does that particular part of the report.

0:30:7.390 --> 0:30:10.720

Dave G. Rhodes
Correspond to how they received their questions.

0:30:10.900 --> 0:30:22.140

Dave G. Rhodes

Would it maybe refresh their memory or or provide us some additional information to let us know whether or not these questions were on behalf of the vendor evaluation committee as opposed to just a random person?

0:30:23.540 --> 0:30:25.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, yeah, I don't know.

0:30:25.680 --> 0:30:26.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, in March.

0:30:30.410 --> 0:30:45.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I I well, yeah, it's hard to say because right about in March on March 8th, there would have been an evaluation I think on March 8th is when the bids were due, if memory serves.

0:30:46.520 --> 0:30:48.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Or maybe the extended.

0:30:48.480 --> 0:30:49.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see.

0:30:49.120 --> 0:30:51.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

April one well, they approved, yeah.

0:30:54.400 --> 0:31:13.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then April 29th, so I'm looking at so my focus today, for me personally, my follow up questions from last time have to do with procurement because and this ties back to question #1 did the RFP and contract awarded to the primary vendor violate any school board policies?

0:31:13.490 --> 0:31:18.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So and the answer and technically the answer may be no, but.

0:31:20.240 --> 0:31:21.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Best practices?

0:31:21.580 --> 0:31:32.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I mean, I think we're establishing here that when the RFP was put out, they had 2008 design specifications in place.

0:31:33.850 --> 0:31:50.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
There was a draft created that was around on March 8th and then as I Scroll down here after they approved them now on April 29th, we have intercom specs posted with Duquesne.

0:31:51.510 --> 0:31:55.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I'm guessing this means as basis of design, only Duquesne models listed.

0:31:58.260 --> 0:32:3.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Then May 16, 2019, Roland provided markup of Intercom spec provided.

0:32:7.20 --> 0:32:17.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I coming from work, being familiar with the construction side of the House, I cannot imagine why it doesn't make sense to me.

0:32:17.730 --> 0:32:22.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Putting out an RFP for a project.

0:32:22.690 --> 0:32:46.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
If you don't have clearly defined updated design specifications, because the proposers will not know if the proposers will not know what your requirements are, and your evaluation committee cannot evaluate the proposer based on your what the districts standards are, because the standards are outdated.

0:32:46.890 --> 0:32:57.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I'm back to my question being is this and I think that Miss Andreu already suggested that you would do these things ahead of time.

0:32:59.340 --> 0:33:13.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is there anywhere in policy that specifies that you're supposed to nail down your design standards and specifications prior to putting out this sort of RFP?

0:33:15.350 --> 0:33:17.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The questions for Miss Andrew.

0:33:18.530 --> 0:33:19.140

Jennifer D. Andreu

Good morning.

0:33:19.150 --> 0:33:33.570

Jennifer D. Andreu

So the that is stated in policy in terms of we take that direction from the end user department because obviously the purchasing agents cannot be experts in every aspect of the district.

0:33:34.320 --> 0:33:45.160

Jennifer D. Andreu

So we take the lead from the end user department that they're familiar with exactly the specs and the scope of services needed.

0:33:47.720 --> 0:33:50.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So is that new language right?

0:33:47.600 --> 0:33:51.60

Jennifer D. Andreu

And again, if those change if the.

0:33:50.670 --> 0:33:53.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But is that new language since you got here?

0:33:53.250 --> 0:33:56.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Was that preexisting language that existed in 2019?

0:33:56.950 --> 0:33:59.80

Jennifer D. Andreu

No, it did not exist in 2019.

0:33:59.90 --> 0:34:0.100

Jennifer D. Andreu

That is new language.

0:34:4.440 --> 0:34:4.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:34:0.110 --> 0:34:6.210

Jennifer D. Andreu

When we updated the policy to 3800, that language did not exist prior.

0:34:7.600 --> 0:34:8.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:34:9.540 --> 0:34:9.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hmm.

0:34:11.430 --> 0:34:11.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:34:12.210 --> 0:34:13.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Thank you for that.

0:34:14.10 --> 0:34:21.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That sort of answers alright, so my next contract so contract is sort of proxy there.

0:34:22.850 --> 0:34:28.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right, so some observations I had the contract, the Roland board proposal.

0:34:29.740 --> 0:34:32.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Specifically mentions telecenter U.

0:34:33.820 --> 0:34:35.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The contract does not.

0:34:37.330 --> 0:34:38.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Why?

0:34:38.270 --> 0:34:40.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Why do you think that would be the case?

0:34:43.800 --> 0:34:46.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This injury is that normal to.

0:34:47.500 --> 0:34:57.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Specific have a specific product or system that is being proposed and then to not have that show up in the contract.

0:35:1.480 --> 0:35:4.460

Jennifer D. Andreu

That's the I I don't have an answer.

0:35:4.510 --> 0:35:11.780

Jennifer D. Andreu

Again, that would have been for the evaluation committee to review and determine if that is.

0:35:12.370 --> 0:35:14.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But they don't dictate the contract.

0:35:14.740 --> 0:35:15.790

Jennifer D. Andreu

No, no, no, no.

0:35:21.970 --> 0:35:22.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

0:35:15.800 --> 0:35:23.30

Jennifer D. Andreu

The contract is dictated after the evaluation committee has reviewed those that was proposals.

0:35:22.500 --> 0:35:28.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What I'm saying is the problem the problem is not existing in the at the evaluation committee level.

0:35:28.350 --> 0:35:32.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What I'm saying is we had a proposal.

0:35:32.710 --> 0:35:34.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see Intercom project.

0:35:36.610 --> 0:35:37.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

0:35:38.120 --> 0:35:44.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He umm, where are you at all Roland Borg submittal?

0:35:47.980 --> 0:35:50.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so this is what Roland Borg submitted.

0:35:50.660 --> 0:36:0.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is what the evaluation committee would have reviewed, and I'm not sure if this is scannable, so let's see because it doesn't look.

0:36:2.340 --> 0:36:3.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Allen Borg.

0:36:4.890 --> 0:36:5.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The quall.

0:36:5.500 --> 0:36:9.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's get past what I'm saying is they're response.

0:36:9.890 --> 0:36:10.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh, here we go.

0:36:11.50 --> 0:36:21.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Roland's telecenter U platform is uniquely positioned or accommodate these requests and intentions mentioned in this RFP as well as many additional features and capabilities.

0:36:21.190 --> 0:36:27.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

TCU was introduced in 2014, has several flexible migration options the overlay option?

0:36:29.250 --> 0:36:34.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm this option allows us the full IP, yes.

0:36:30.960 --> 0:36:37.10

Manuel Castaneda

Madam, Madam Chair, by me, I might be able to shed some light.

0:36:37.220 --> 0:36:41.190

Manuel Castaneda

This is manifesting you the executive director, IT operations and even though.

0:36:40.410 --> 0:36:41.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And remember the question.

0:36:41.930 --> 0:36:50.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The question is simply, why would there proposal have telecenter you all over it and it not be in the contract?

0:36:50.900 --> 0:36:51.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's the question.

0:36:53.740 --> 0:36:54.150

Manuel Castaneda

OK.

0:36:54.160 --> 0:36:58.140

Manuel Castaneda

And even though I was not Privy to this original contract, I wasn't here.

0:36:58.150 --> 0:37:6.170

Manuel Castaneda

But I my understanding is that telecenter you is simply Rollins brand name for their administrative console.

0:37:6.180 --> 0:37:18.910

Manuel Castaneda

That allows folks who install their intercom systems to be able to monitor the status of systems, especially the more modern systems that may be connected to to as IP based devices.

0:37:19.220 --> 0:37:23.560

Manuel Castaneda

So it's simply something that is included or is part of what they offer.

0:37:24.190 --> 0:37:24.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Oh.

0:37:24.380 --> 0:37:24.780

Manuel Castaneda

I'm.

0:37:24.880 --> 0:37:38.630

Manuel Castaneda

I'm not certain it would have been split out as a line item though in a contract since it's just it comes with it, but I I since I wasn't involved in that contract directly I I haven't scrutinized it to see why it was under if it wasn't.

0:37:39.110 --> 0:37:39.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because.

0:37:38.880 --> 0:37:41.200

Manuel Castaneda

But that's my understanding of what telecenter you is.

0:37:41.80 --> 0:37:41.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh-huh.

0:37:41.940 --> 0:37:42.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Alright, so I'm gonna.

0:37:43.0 --> 0:37:54.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm gonna phrase it a different way, so this to me would be the equivalent of contracting with IBM for Maximo and not putting Maximo the name Maximo in the contract.

0:37:55.780 --> 0:38:3.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That sort of the equivalent, because this entire proposal, umm, might be searchable.

0:38:4.620 --> 0:38:4.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

0:38:7.490 --> 0:38:7.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see.

0:38:7.990 --> 0:38:11.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Telecenter TCU is the acronym they use, but I don't.

0:38:14.550 --> 0:38:14.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see.

0:38:16.920 --> 0:38:19.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I mean, TCU is all over this.

0:38:21.70 --> 0:38:23.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They get into how it works.

0:38:23.70 --> 0:38:23.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's clearly.

0:38:25.920 --> 0:38:28.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's not a line item, it is the platform.

0:38:30.660 --> 0:38:37.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I think it had 57 instances of where it was mentioned 57 times.

0:38:37.820 --> 0:38:53.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's clear the platform that the entire TCU overlay option, so it may be that you don't, as Matthew Bradford here because he was, he was here at the time.

0:38:56.0 --> 0:38:57.250

Dave G. Rhodes

I did see him here earlier.

0:38:56.820 --> 0:38:58.670

Matthew S. Bradford

Good, good, good, good morning.

0:38:58.680 --> 0:38:59.720

Matthew S. Bradford

I am here.

0:39:0.250 --> 0:39:0.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:39:0.390 --> 0:39:1.20

Matthew S. Bradford

I will.

0:39:0.750 --> 0:39:1.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Thank you.

0:39:1.80 --> 0:39:6.370

Matthew S. Bradford

I I unfortunately I can't speak to uh.

0:39:6.380 --> 0:39:10.520

Matthew S. Bradford

The understanding as far as the contract is concerned, because I was not Privy to those.

0:39:13.470 --> 0:39:14.590

Matthew S. Bradford

Deliberations either.

0:39:15.680 --> 0:39:15.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh-huh.

0:39:16.450 --> 0:39:16.870

Manuel Castaneda

Maybe.

0:39:18.270 --> 0:39:22.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so let's see request form.

0:39:26.880 --> 0:39:31.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right, so this is the request form from 4232019.

0:39:32.40 --> 0:39:32.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

0:39:33.440 --> 0:39:41.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Request contract, additional role and Board awarded Roland Borg the people requesting Matthew Bradford.

0:39:41.600 --> 0:39:43.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Mary Coker. Maurice woods.

0:39:45.310 --> 0:39:46.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
That's one document.

0:39:48.370 --> 0:39:54.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
If we go back to that, uh, there was an executive summary and an updated executive summary.

0:39:58.970 --> 0:40:7.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Intercom enhancements, yadda yadda, the bid district wide communication including the capability for centralized communications.

0:40:7.170 --> 0:40:9.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I'm just looking for telecenter U.

0:40:13.440 --> 0:40:16.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
It's almost like we stopped using the name all of a sudden.

0:40:22.300 --> 0:40:25.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
And then the agreement.

0:40:26.600 --> 0:40:28.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
It gets the song.

0:40:30.300 --> 0:40:31.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Ohh agreement.

0:40:31.430 --> 0:40:31.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
There we go.

0:40:35.180 --> 0:40:37.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And this is the agreement.

0:40:38.360 --> 0:40:42.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You gotta look real quick for the description of goods or services provided.

0:40:43.290 --> 0:41:6.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

VENDOR shall provide network based intercom overlay, software system intercom components, installation, programming and repairs SBBC so we can get away from the idea that this was for maintenance or repairs as was claimed at our last meeting, SBBC is able to install software district wide without limit on the number of users, Schools, locations, or service devices.

0:41:6.430 --> 0:41:13.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

SBBC shall have access to the most current version of the software at no additional cost, including ongoing software maintenance renewals.

0:41:16.700 --> 0:41:17.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

0:41:19.420 --> 0:41:19.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So.

0:41:22.160 --> 0:41:32.200

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If I were to look at the contract with IBM for Maximo, would I not find the word Maximo in that contract as Maximo as the platform?

0:41:32.410 --> 0:41:33.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

TCU is a platform.

0:41:33.910 --> 0:41:36.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's just a little odd that it's not in here.

0:41:38.370 --> 0:41:39.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that was one of them.

0:41:39.750 --> 0:41:50.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then my question, which I don't think we can answer today, does the contract match the proposal and do the contracted services and products match the RFP requirements?

0:41:53.770 --> 0:41:55.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

His I don't think that was part of the audit.

0:41:58.580 --> 0:42:2.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, but those are sort of my procurement related questions.

0:42:4.640 --> 0:42:5.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

For follow up.

0:42:7.640 --> 0:42:17.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes is there somewhere in the audit that address whether the contract matches the proposal and whether the contracted services and products match the RFP requirements.

0:42:17.960 --> 0:42:19.370

Dave G. Rhodes

I think they're general.

0:42:21.810 --> 0:42:22.560

Dave G. Rhodes

They're general.

0:42:22.570 --> 0:42:40.130

Dave G. Rhodes

Comments are that uh, the RFP required, UMM, a basic intercom system to be installed at all of these locations and it changed to this emergency communication system.

0:42:40.200 --> 0:42:44.950

Dave G. Rhodes

So I think that at the higher level that's already been established.

0:42:56.140 --> 0:42:56.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Who?

0:42:45.40 --> 0:42:57.190

Dave G. Rhodes

If there's anything specific or in particular you'd like to know, I can actually pull up on my end the a copy of the report and you know, search out specific terms to see if there's anything in particular listed.

0:42:57.740 --> 0:42:58.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

0:42:58.60 --> 0:43:8.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm specifically looking at does the contract match the proposal and do the contracted services and products match the RFP requirements with Roland Board.

0:43:8.600 --> 0:43:16.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ignoring the distraction of the Penton device, which everybody, you know people are seem very married to, like I said.

0:43:18.40 --> 0:43:21.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm looking at the contract with Roland Borg.

0:43:21.520 --> 0:43:26.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Does the contract match what Roland Borgs submitted as the winning proposal?

0:43:27.520 --> 0:43:32.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And does do the contracted services and products match the RFP requirements?

0:43:34.250 --> 0:43:48.320

Dave G. Rhodes

And my point is, is that I believe the answer to that question is that, yes, Roland board tried to match the requirement or the the services and products laid laid out in their proposal.

0:43:48.750 --> 0:43:54.620

Dave G. Rhodes

But I don't believe that the auditors believe that that met the intent of the RFP.

0:43:55.230 --> 0:43:57.320

Dave G. Rhodes

We have someone with a hand up also out there.

0:43:57.330 --> 0:43:59.430

Dave G. Rhodes

Let me open it up larger to see who that is.

0:44:0.10 --> 0:44:0.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:44:1.650 --> 0:44:2.640

EXT - Mary Fertig

I have my hand up.

0:44:1.70 --> 0:44:3.160

Dave G. Rhodes

It looks like Mary Fertig has her hand up.

0:44:3.890 --> 0:44:4.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Who?

0:44:4.890 --> 0:44:5.80

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yeah.

0:44:5.480 --> 0:44:5.710

Dave G. Rhodes

Yeah.

0:44:6.480 --> 0:44:6.720

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yeah.

0:44:4.280 --> 0:44:6.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Who does Mary OK.

0:44:6.940 --> 0:44:7.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes, Mary.

0:44:7.930 --> 0:44:8.440

EXT - Mary Fertig

Thank you.

0:44:8.830 --> 0:44:10.580

EXT - Mary Fertig

Alright, now I'm finding my list here.

0:44:10.590 --> 0:44:18.450

EXT - Mary Fertig

We had a list of follow up requests and where are we can blend these in with your questions if we could just do it.

0:44:18.460 --> 0:44:20.140

EXT - Mary Fertig

I just was worried about time again.

0:44:22.40 --> 0:44:22.520

EXT - Mary Fertig

Ah.

0:44:21.450 --> 0:44:28.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, I'm about to go to question #2, which the remember these are the requests from April.

0:44:33.690 --> 0:44:34.670

EXT - Mary Fertig

But I'm just.

0:44:28.770 --> 0:44:36.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I'm at the end of April and would like to move on right before we start getting to Mr Demayo's additional request.

0:44:36.750 --> 0:44:38.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So is this to do with the April?

0:44:37.230 --> 0:44:42.720

EXT - Mary Fertig

OK, I thought that was I believe this was a summary of our RECO.

0:44:42.780 --> 0:44:47.730

EXT - Mary Fertig

I thought it was a summary of our request from the both meetings, but OK.

0:44:46.920 --> 0:44:53.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So let's go back to April that because it took this long to get those responses, I'm just going.

0:44:53.560 --> 0:44:58.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm just making sure I address all the procurement because remember the question here.

0:44:58.370 --> 0:45:4.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So hold on words and only find the roads memo.

0:45:4.80 --> 0:45:10.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so these are the responses to our motions from April.

0:45:11.400 --> 0:45:11.790

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yes.

0:45:11.800 --> 0:45:12.940

EXT - Mary Fertig

No, I understand that.

0:45:13.150 --> 0:45:13.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:45:17.670 --> 0:45:18.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We're not up to.

0:45:12.950 --> 0:45:18.670

EXT - Mary Fertig

I just am looking at the summer the the five points that we had at the end of the last meeting which.

0:45:20.910 --> 0:45:23.490

EXT - Mary Fertig

I I I I understand. I understand.

0:45:18.530 --> 0:45:24.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm trying to go in date order and get our earliest ones OK, so let me get so.

0:45:32.30 --> 0:45:32.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

0:45:23.560 --> 0:45:33.350

EXT - Mary Fertig

I the point is the point I'm making is that some of those were in reference to the fact that we had asked for this attorney's opinion in April, just in context.

0:45:34.490 --> 0:45:37.570

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'm trying to I keep losing this every time I go off.

0:45:37.620 --> 0:45:39.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Why have Mr Demayo's questions?

0:45:39.680 --> 0:45:43.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because one of them in particular the last one about the technical expert.

0:45:44.970 --> 0:45:46.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, does.

0:45:46.100 --> 0:45:50.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Does is is asked for again? Umm.

0:45:53.320 --> 0:45:53.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So.

0:45:53.360 --> 0:45:53.850

EXT - Mary Fertig

I just.

0:45:53.900 --> 0:45:56.850

EXT - Mary Fertig

I would like to, when we're discussing this, I'm looking at this first one.

0:45:56.860 --> 0:46:0.390

EXT - Mary Fertig

What's the status of the original 53 schools and of the remaining schools?

0:46:0.860 --> 0:46:4.630

EXT - Mary Fertig

I just wanna know how what was finished, what wasn't.

0:46:4.920 --> 0:46:8.740

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'm not sure that question could be answered in this memo. Umm.

0:46:7.730 --> 0:46:9.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because that wasn't asked.

0:46:11.740 --> 0:46:11.990

EXT - Mary Fertig

What?

0:46:9.190 --> 0:46:12.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It wasn't asked in.

0:46:12.140 --> 0:46:13.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's why I'm trying to stick to.

0:46:16.710 --> 0:46:17.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In April.

0:46:12.0 --> 0:46:24.420

EXT - Mary Fertig

We asked the broader the broader question was, I thought, whether we were in and I know you're getting to that number two, were we entitled to any money back?

0:46:30.110 --> 0:46:30.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No.

0:46:24.430 --> 0:46:31.90

EXT - Mary Fertig

I I understand now that we've probably are outside the Statute, so maybe not.

0:46:31.150 --> 0:46:32.470

EXT - Mary Fertig

But umm.

0:46:32.30 --> 0:46:33.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, Mary, I'm gonna.

0:46:36.60 --> 0:46:36.570

EXT - Mary Fertig

OK.

0:46:33.110 --> 0:46:38.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm gonna ask you to let me go through this memo, because we're bouncing around.

0:46:38.730 --> 0:46:39.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We're bouncing, yeah.

0:46:36.580 --> 0:46:41.100

EXT - Mary Fertig

I just we ask, I know I did ask that question in April.

0:46:41.110 --> 0:46:45.670

EXT - Mary Fertig

We're coming to it in #2 and I I don't know this really answers it.

0:46:47.200 --> 0:46:50.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, it probably that's that's sort of the recurring theme here.

0:46:50.440 --> 0:46:52.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the first one is.

0:46:55.590 --> 0:46:59.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The first question was does it violate any school board policies?

0:47:0.350 --> 0:47:13.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The answer may very well be technically no, but why are we changing the require the specifications associated with a project after it's been awarded?

0:47:14.110 --> 0:47:14.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

0:47:14.930 --> 0:47:32.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then again, since the question is, you know, did it violate any policies, the contract not mentioning that the platform, the telecenter you, which was the entire basis for the the proposal is a little odd and there's been no answer to that.

0:47:33.270 --> 0:47:41.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then that footnote that they mentioned, they do not clarify whether there was actually a directive.

0:47:41.650 --> 0:47:45.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The footnote never says that there's nothing in writing, they just don't provide it.

0:47:45.810 --> 0:47:47.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And they dance around.

0:47:47.70 --> 0:47:50.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I'm still asking where is that Legal department directive?

0:47:51.30 --> 0:47:55.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So question #2 and understand that they rearranged our motions.

0:47:56.660 --> 0:47:58.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So our so let's see.

0:47:59.140 --> 0:48:5.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So our second one second piece, so send anywhere approved by, yeah, this is all out of order.

0:48:5.660 --> 0:48:12.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I just wanted to make sure that, umm, they didn't change the wording of what we were asking for.

0:48:13.580 --> 0:48:13.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:48:13.940 --> 0:48:24.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So there are question number two were the actions taken by Staff School District staff regarding the contract approved by the school board in a closed door security session.

0:48:24.560 --> 0:48:38.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What we actually asked, we said, asked the Chief auditor to send this report to the attorneys to review and look at whether any of the actions taken by staff were approved by the school board in closed door.

0:48:41.210 --> 0:48:43.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What they are answering what?

0:48:43.720 --> 0:48:44.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Who?

0:48:44.350 --> 0:48:45.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Where did these questions?

0:48:45.810 --> 0:48:47.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

How did they get these questions?

0:48:47.910 --> 0:48:49.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes, do you have any idea?

0:48:51.80 --> 0:48:52.210

Dave G. Rhodes

No, I do not.

0:48:52.220 --> 0:48:53.470

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm I.

0:48:53.480 --> 0:48:56.570

Dave G. Rhodes

I was surprised to see that they were rewritten myself.

0:48:57.620 --> 0:48:58.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, understand.

0:48:59.0 --> 0:49:3.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So everybody, that's an audit committee member understand this was not the question asked.

0:49:4.620 --> 0:49:26.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The question that was asked is whether any of the actions taken by staff we mean in its entirety notice the word contract is not in here were approved by the school board in closed door because they were having closed door sessions to discuss security and a lot of security was not discussed in closed door.

0:49:26.970 --> 0:49:30.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But this is the question that they decided to answer.

0:49:30.430 --> 0:49:40.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Their deciding to answer a question that we never asked were the actions taken by school

district staff regarding the contract approved by the school board in a closed door security session?

0:49:40.370 --> 0:49:45.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Answer The school board does not approve contract revisions during closed door security meetings.

0:49:45.640 --> 0:49:47.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's well, of course not.

0:49:49.440 --> 0:49:55.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Nobody asked that, so I I think it's a fair question.

0:49:55.110 --> 0:49:57.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Who wrote these questions this way?

0:50:1.20 --> 0:50:3.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because this is not the only place that's occurs.

0:50:3.660 --> 0:50:4.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, can't.

0:50:4.880 --> 0:50:11.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So question #3 can the school board recover any funds paid to the primary vendor under the contract?

0:50:12.160 --> 0:50:12.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What?

0:50:12.400 --> 0:50:18.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We asked was whether there's a way to recover any of the money expended with the original vendor.

0:50:19.360 --> 0:50:35.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I think there's a reason the contract keeps popping up as a word, a recurring theme in these responses, and This is why I asked whether the contract services and products match the RFP, because if they did everything they were contractually.

0:50:37.400 --> 0:50:38.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Required to do.

0:50:40.960 --> 0:50:43.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Then this answer would make sense.

0:50:43.860 --> 0:50:44.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Summary answer.

0:50:44.740 --> 0:50:52.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There is no indication that the school district invoked the necessary contract conditions for NONCONFORMANCE or default during contract administration.

0:50:53.230 --> 0:50:57.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

As such, litigation to recover funds would likely face significant defenses.

0:50:58.220 --> 0:50:59.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Expanded answer.

0:50:59.640 --> 0:51:8.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The contract included clauses for addressing nonconformance and default requiring specific procedures for the school board to declare a default and seek remedies.

0:51:8.740 --> 0:51:17.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The HCT audit report shows no evidence that these procedures were followed before the contract expired, which would complicate any litigation efforts.

0:51:19.560 --> 0:51:19.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

0:51:20.460 --> 0:51:22.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Or is there anyone with their hands up?

0:51:22.360 --> 0:51:25.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because I just had an observation.

0:51:25.830 --> 0:51:26.590

Dave G. Rhodes

At the moment, no.

0:51:28.500 --> 0:51:28.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:51:28.980 --> 0:51:35.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the contract and expiration now did the contract expire or was it cancelled?

0:51:36.540 --> 0:51:41.30

Dave G. Rhodes

The contract had a three year term with two one year renewals.

0:51:41.240 --> 0:51:49.160

Dave G. Rhodes

They renewed the 4th and prior to the 4th expiring, they went ahead and for convenience terminated the contract.

0:51:52.80 --> 0:51:52.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:51:56.890 --> 0:51:59.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the contract never expired.

0:51:59.530 --> 0:52:2.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It was terminated, which is not mentioned here.

0:52:1.20 --> 0:52:17.860

Dave G. Rhodes

Yet the RFP contract was terminated and also it was around that same time that there was a solicitation for the ITB that we're all aware of and also Mary Fertig has their hand up just for your information.

0:52:18.680 --> 0:52:19.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:52:19.240 --> 0:52:19.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Alright, Mary.

0:52:22.570 --> 0:52:25.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mary, can you hear me? Hello.

0:52:25.140 --> 0:52:26.530

EXT - Mary Fertig

Sorry now like, sorry.

0:52:27.100 --> 0:52:31.960

EXT - Mary Fertig

Then we've got so many documents in front of us, but if memory, so I'm not flipping between them all.

0:52:32.460 --> 0:52:52.710

EXT - Mary Fertig

I thought only a portion of the contract and that's where we go back to Mr Demayo's question thought only a portion of the school's covered in that contract had actually been completed and the came up with a question of how many had not and how much money had been paid as opposed to how much service had been received.

0:52:53.550 --> 0:52:53.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

0:52:53.890 --> 0:52:54.400

EXT - Mary Fertig

Umm.

0:52:54.840 --> 0:53:5.630

EXT - Mary Fertig

And so when I'm looking at this, when they I I'm assuming that we had attorneys involved when they made this this change in the contract.

0:53:6.890 --> 0:53:8.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They never changed the contract.

0:53:8.520 --> 0:53:9.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What do you mean this change?

0:53:8.730 --> 0:53:10.430

EXT - Mary Fertig

Well, I don't mean change the contract.

0:53:10.440 --> 0:53:12.920

EXT - Mary Fertig

They ended 11 and started another.

0:53:12.790 --> 0:53:16.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh, the terminating of the contract and doing the ITB.

0:53:17.480 --> 0:53:17.670

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yeah.

0:53:17.470 --> 0:53:20.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes, I would I that sounds plausible, yeah.

0:53:22.300 --> 0:53:27.750

EXT - Mary Fertig

So I I'm kind of confused by none of the.

0:53:28.0 --> 0:53:30.420

EXT - Mary Fertig

Let me just I'm reading your screen now.

0:53:30.790 --> 0:53:31.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

0:53:30.500 --> 0:53:36.820

EXT - Mary Fertig

There they invoke the necessary contract conditions for nonconformance or default during contract administration.

0:53:38.410 --> 0:53:38.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

0:53:38.830 --> 0:53:40.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then they expanded answer so.

0:53:40.140 --> 0:53:43.10

EXT - Mary Fertig

What to declare a default securities?

0:53:44.480 --> 0:53:45.450

EXT - Mary Fertig

Umm yeah.

0:53:45.460 --> 0:53:45.970

EXT - Mary Fertig

I I'm just.

0:53:45.0 --> 0:53:46.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well or non conformance.

0:53:47.130 --> 0:53:47.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So.

0:53:47.910 --> 0:54:11.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So Mary, the bit what they're saying here is and and think about the timing because the timing of them terminating and going with a new contract because it says here the HCT audit report shows no evidence that these procedures were followed before the contract expired, which would complicate any litigation efforts while they terminated the contract, it didn't expire.

0:54:11.530 --> 0:54:21.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So if if not having a contract would complicate litigation efforts, this says to me the district isn't trying to litigate with Roland board, they just gave them a new contract.

0:54:21.880 --> 0:54:26.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It also creates a problem with statute of limitations.

0:54:27.950 --> 0:54:28.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know.

0:54:28.450 --> 0:54:53.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't exactly what the statute of limitations is regarding the first contract, but remember that April 2019 is when they entered into the first contract and it's five years later and so does the statute of limitations end with the termination of the contract after or five years passing or the payments made on that contract.

0:54:53.410 --> 0:54:59.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So getting to the point of what payments have been made, are they still paying?

0:54:59.500 --> 0:55:2.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Have they fully paid that first RFP off?

0:55:5.660 --> 0:55:6.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

See. Yes.

0:55:4.510 --> 0:55:23.270

Dave G. Rhodes

Madam Chair, I I do not know the answer to that specific question, but historically in the

various contracts that I've audited over the years, the statute of limitations does not begin to toll until the final payment is made on a contract.

0:55:23.340 --> 0:55:36.160

Dave G. Rhodes

So just because a contract was dated and issued, say in this case April 23rd of 2019 does not mean that the statute of limitations would expire in 2024.

0:55:37.90 --> 0:55:37.700

Dave G. Rhodes

It would.

0:55:37.910 --> 0:55:42.100

Dave G. Rhodes

It would toll through five years after the final payment.

0:55:42.150 --> 0:55:50.340

Dave G. Rhodes

I'll have to look at this contract to see if that language is in the RFP or any of the other solicitation documents and.

0:55:50.30 --> 0:55:55.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And we also need to know when the final payment was made on this RFP payment made on this contract.

0:55:56.550 --> 0:55:57.0

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

0:55:57.90 --> 0:56:16.920

Dave G. Rhodes

And also if we still have Miss Andreu, we might wanna ask her if that is standard language in contracts that come out of her shop, because I think that that that may have changed from the last time I reviewed an audited a contract, but it was pretty standard language when I did it before.

0:56:19.790 --> 0:56:20.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:56:20.20 --> 0:56:24.410

Jennifer D. Andreu

Mr Mr Rhodes, if you could send me that please, in writing.

0:56:26.180 --> 0:56:26.420

Dave G. Rhodes

Sure.

0:56:27.160 --> 0:56:27.560

Jennifer D. Andreu

Thank you.

0:56:27.400 --> 0:56:27.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

0:56:27.760 --> 0:56:29.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, that would be great.

0:56:29.400 --> 0:56:36.200

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so question #3, does anyone else have their hand up before I move on to the next one?

0:56:38.130 --> 0:56:41.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

These are answers to our motions from April.

0:56:41.870 --> 0:56:42.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are we good?

0:56:43.70 --> 0:56:45.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes, is there anyone else with hands up?

0:56:46.0 --> 0:56:51.80

Dave G. Rhodes

There are no hands up, but I did want to make one mention for the benefit of the committee.

0:57:1.700 --> 0:57:1.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh-huh.

0:56:51.480 --> 0:57:9.810

Dave G. Rhodes

I looked up the item C1, which is where now the board is reviewing motions by advisory committees and as of June 18th, 2024, there is a spreadsheet showing the audit committee motions.

0:57:10.60 --> 0:57:12.830

Dave G. Rhodes

One of them, the second one audit committee.

0:57:12.840 --> 0:57:13.250

Dave G. Rhodes

It's.

0:57:13.300 --> 0:57:19.960

Dave G. Rhodes

I won't give you the number, but it identifies the language, which is it's, if not exact.

0:57:20.10 --> 0:57:29.530

Dave G. Rhodes

It's very, very close to being exactly what was in that email that we were reading off of regarding the way that the motion was worded and the questions were asked by the audit committee.

0:57:30.200 --> 0:57:31.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, we'll wait.

0:57:36.180 --> 0:57:36.470

Dave G. Rhodes

Yeah.

0:57:31.20 --> 0:57:36.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

For which which set of motions these that we're discussing now or other?

0:57:43.940 --> 0:57:44.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

0:57:36.510 --> 0:57:44.440

Dave G. Rhodes

These these that were discussing now, I think they were brought to the board at that June 18th meeting on.

0:57:44.300 --> 0:57:46.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The one where they discuss none of these, yes.

0:57:46.760 --> 0:57:47.990

Dave G. Rhodes

Right, right.

0:57:48.0 --> 0:57:58.620

Dave G. Rhodes

But but the the the language of the way that the questions were asked are are identical to what's in the email that you've been referring to when comparing to what legal provided us.

0:57:59.60 --> 0:57:59.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

0:57:59.360 --> 0:58:5.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't doubt it that it got so that may and I thought you were going to next week.

0:58:5.200 --> 0:58:14.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Next week, our subsequent motions are an item L one, but I don't want to get into that cuz I'm trying to stay on task here.

0:58:14.680 --> 0:58:17.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right, so in this memo.

0:58:19.490 --> 0:58:28.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Question #4 should the school board hire a technical expert to review the RFP and contract scope?

0:58:30.170 --> 0:58:41.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The actual motion again asked the chief auditor to send this report to the attorneys, yadda yadda yadda, and to hire a technical expert to review the scope of the RFP.

0:58:44.760 --> 0:58:50.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We never said we never said should they hire a technical expert?

0:58:50.580 --> 0:58:51.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And here's the answer.

0:58:52.420 --> 0:58:59.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The hiring of a technical expert at this time might provide some additional details about the procurement.

0:58:59.740 --> 0:59:3.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's not what the technical expert was for.

0:59:3.580 --> 0:59:12.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

However, the potential cost of a technical expert would likely be an uncomfortable expense due to the failure to adhere to the contract procedures.

0:59:13.760 --> 0:59:29.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Any one who was on this committee in April and was at at at any subsequent meeting where we discussed this and getting to Mary's point about Mr Demayo's follow-ups, we once again engage an expert to evaluate the capability of the system and its current well.

0:59:29.370 --> 0:59:35.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's a separate one current state and whether or not the system acquired meets generally accepted features of such a system.

0:59:38.220 --> 1:0:4.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The question was to hire a technical expert because regardless of how much we read, the issue of an emergency communication system, which if you're going to deliver emergency communication messages as Mr Sade, which is as close as we got to an expert and he admitted that his expertise is in fire, not an intercom.

1:0:4.560 --> 1:0:9.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we need somebody that's an expert in emergency communication systems.

1:0:10.450 --> 1:0:18.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, someone say from a an institution that has them that knows what the specifications are.

1:0:18.650 --> 1:0:22.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Someone who wrote the specifications for emergency communication systems.

1:0:24.290 --> 1:0:46.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

To review the RFP, to see exactly what they thought they were getting because Roland Borg to this day is still saying that their product is an emergency communication system, it is not per the actual definition of a an emergency communication system.

1:0:47.220 --> 1:0:58.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we didn't ask should they hire a technical expert and the technical expert was not about the procurement, it was about the technical.

1:0:59.900 --> 1:1:7.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Stant the standards and codes associated with the idea of an emergency communication system.

1:1:9.380 --> 1:1:21.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this is A to me, a disingenuous response, and I I'm I'm having trouble believing that it's that this is miscommunication or misunderstanding.

1:1:22.740 --> 1:1:35.200

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know if anyone else is having the same issue, but this is the kind of response that gets gets me yelling at people at a board meeting because it's entirely disingenuous.

1:1:40.550 --> 1:1:44.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that was the last response to our April motions.

1:1:45.150 --> 1:2:2.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

These were included on the June 18th board meeting, but because the board got themselves distracted by uh, how the motion not our motion actually, but other motions were were worded.

1:2:3.320 --> 1:2:7.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They never actually discussed any of this in public.

1:2:9.710 --> 1:2:19.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we now have these responses and these responses do not address some of the actual questions and concerns that were being expressed.

1:2:21.130 --> 1:2:23.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that's those responses.

1:2:23.550 --> 1:2:27.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Then, since then, we also sent additional motions.

1:2:28.760 --> 1:2:30.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me see if there was one.

1:2:31.270 --> 1:2:34.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, I'm just gonna pull up what was actually passed.

1:2:35.390 --> 1:2:37.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Went to three correspondent.

1:2:40.240 --> 1:2:42.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

As I think we discussed this June 6.

1:2:45.200 --> 1:2:46.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

See if there was an aged.

1:2:47.520 --> 1:2:49.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This was fund balance on June 6.

1:2:52.440 --> 1:2:53.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We'll talk about that.

1:2:53.920 --> 1:2:54.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

1:2:56.590 --> 1:2:59.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

See proofing follow up HITECH.

1:3:0.500 --> 1:3:10.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We request an update from legal on the recovery of funds on monies mismanaged during this project, so this would be going to the board, but this kind of ties back to.

1:3:13.340 --> 1:3:21.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, the response that they gave that because the as they claim that the contract expired when in fact it was terminated.

1:3:21.340 --> 1:3:25.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It makes that legal is saying they wouldn't be able to recover any money.

1:3:27.630 --> 1:3:33.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because nobody said that rolling Borg did anything that didn't conform to contract or nonperformance.

1:3:33.970 --> 1:3:35.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that was that motion.

1:3:35.290 --> 1:3:42.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The other motion related to HCT, which is 1, we'd be looking for an answer because today's the 18th.

1:3:42.10 --> 1:3:44.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We passed this requesting by the 15th.

1:3:44.850 --> 1:3:52.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We recommend the board determine the functionality of each fire alarm intercom, an emergency communication system in every school by 7:15.

1:3:52.670 --> 1:4:4.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this sort of ties back into what Mary's talking about, the status of the other schools and the status of all Mr Rhodes.

1:4:4.610 --> 1:4:10.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I believe when Mr Dorsett was here, he spoke to.

1:4:11.520 --> 1:4:14.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He's said that there were functioning fire alarms.

1:4:14.760 --> 1:4:22.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There were functioning intercom systems, but whether they talk to each other, uh is something that staff is working on.

1:4:23.360 --> 1:4:33.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Have you gotten any traction update or response regarding them cataloging the functionality?

1:4:33.10 --> 1:4:36.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You know a response to this motion, Mr Rhodes? No.

1:4:35.690 --> 1:4:37.320

Dave G. Rhodes

No, I I am.

1:4:37.380 --> 1:4:38.940

Dave G. Rhodes

I haven't received anything in writing.

1:4:38.950 --> 1:4:39.830

Dave G. Rhodes

There was another.

1:4:41.920 --> 1:4:51.720

Dave G. Rhodes

Verbal comment by the Superintendent at a workshop where he stated that all of the systems are functional as intended and required.

1:4:52.800 --> 1:4:53.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:4:53.370 --> 1:4:55.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then Mr Naylor had brought up.

1:4:58.560 --> 1:5:15.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Whether there were speakers in every classroom and one of the things that this RFP was supposed to address and that the safe Havens report had brought up is that there are holes, you know, there are places where you can't hear things because there's no speaker.

1:5:17.750 --> 1:5:43.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Which this also gets us back to a you know, who who delivers the sound and hence the reason for a technical expert, because the whole thing when you get into emergency communication system is that you can't have something like the role on board system delivering the messages unless it's compliant, which then gets us into the epic system device by audio enhancement and all that.

1:5:44.750 --> 1:5:50.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hence, we go back to needing an expert to explain exactly what we have and don't have.

1:5:50.630 --> 1:5:56.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We know we don't have an emergency communication system with Roland Borg, but what do we have?

1:5:56.370 --> 1:6:3.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And the new ITB, we learned last time, they said, was upgrading the intercoms at all schools.

1:6:3.490 --> 1:6:12.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So if you have fully functioning intercom systems at all schools, what are we spending 10 million each year to do?

1:6:14.270 --> 1:6:16.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And this may be where we need to look at.

1:6:19.20 --> 1:6:27.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What the ITB is doing, because we hadn't really torn that apart in the future, so we don't have a response on that.

1:6:27.590 --> 1:6:43.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then we have the follow up questions from our last meeting, which are these because I'm trying to get us to where we have everything we need for when we meet in August and can vote on this audit.

1:6:43.550 --> 1:6:49.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So these were the the comments Mr Demayo put in the chat.

1:6:49.820 --> 1:6:59.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Engage an expert to evaluate the capability of the system in its current state and whether or not the system acquired meets generally accepted features of such a system.

1:7:0.960 --> 1:7:11.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, I think that will not address whether it's an emergency communication system, but that was the point of having an expert in the 1st place, Mr Sade said.

1:7:11.380 --> 1:7:15.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It wasn't an emergency communications system, so that answers that.

1:7:16.140 --> 1:7:22.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We just need the transcript from that meeting, the status of the original 53 schools status of the remaining schools.

1:7:23.180 --> 1:7:33.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The scope does not seem to address the also to be determined as whether purchases follow technology selection guidelines and the original intent of BCPS elected officials.

1:7:35.90 --> 1:7:39.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, this gets us into the umm.

1:7:42.430 --> 1:7:42.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's are.

1:7:43.710 --> 1:7:45.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me.

1:7:47.990 --> 1:7:48.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Staff follow up.

1:7:51.240 --> 1:7:51.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

1:7:52.850 --> 1:7:55.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And this is sort of where it was unclear.

1:7:55.560 --> 1:7:58.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You know what kind of conversations were they having?

1:7:58.80 --> 1:8:4.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Just to refresh everyone's memory, staff follow up what exactly is the 15 million paying for specifically?

1:8:4.470 --> 1:8:7.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are we getting the telecenter you for emergency response?

1:8:8.490 --> 1:8:23.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The intercom project will cover the overlay, to digitize the public address system, allowing the capability to manage and standardized centrally through the network as well as cover additional speakers for interior and exterior areas to provide full coverage across campus.

1:8:24.520 --> 1:8:29.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The proposed awardee is Roland and the name for their digitized overlay is telecenter U.

1:8:29.870 --> 1:8:37.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Following board approval, we intend to implement the telecenter you at all high school centers, colleges, Community Schools and high school combination sites.

1:8:37.550 --> 1:8:43.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So 2 Mr Demayo's follow-up #1, this is what they claim they were doing.

1:8:43.950 --> 1:8:57.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is that what happened and what's the status breakdown of the total cost is a combination of the funds planned within the DEFP, which was approved by the board in September 2018, as well as the educational Facilities Security grant?

1:8:58.390 --> 1:9:0.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm not sure if that's the actual name of the grant.

1:9:1.390 --> 1:9:4.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm trying to find that out immediately following the award.

1:9:4.750 --> 1:9:10.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Each site will be visited to survey exact needs, proposals for the specific needs of each site will be created.

1:9:10.830 --> 1:9:11.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Below is a breakdown.

1:9:11.920 --> 1:9:15.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
The main components I think our read this last time.

1:9:16.690 --> 1:9:35.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
It does say, aside from daily non emergency paging functions and communications, the telecenter you will give BCPS the following smart response in the event of emergency lockdown evacuation district wide notifications or initiated targeting a school, multiple schools or the district.

1:9:36.480 --> 1:9:43.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
A district administrator would be able to do an on call page to one school, many schools or all schools from his office.

1:9:43.440 --> 1:9:49.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
When they say a district administrator, do they mean a principal or somebody at the district?

1:9:49.480 --> 1:9:53.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I don't know if Mr Caston is there someone on here that can answer that.

1:9:55.410 --> 1:9:56.640

Manuel Castaneda
Uh yes, Madam Chair.

1:9:58.10 --> 1:9:58.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Uh-huh.

1:9:56.650 --> 1:10:10.630

Manuel Castaneda
Many casting you that I believe they mean that for systems for the newer systems that have the telecenter U capability, you have the possibility of being able to interconnect and communicate with multiple systems from a central location.

1:10:11.460 --> 1:10:11.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Does that.

1:10:11.120 --> 1:10:14.410

Manuel Castaneda

So a district administrator, a theoretical would be able to do that.

1:10:14.990 --> 1:10:16.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Like a Superintendent.

1:10:17.820 --> 1:10:22.720

Manuel Castaneda

Theoretically, yes, that's the person has the appropriate access to be able to access the systems yet.

1:10:22.880 --> 1:10:23.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:10:23.800 --> 1:10:24.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right.

1:10:24.720 --> 1:10:35.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I just wanted to clarify because it says targeting a school multiple schools are the district that when we say a district, the word administrators often used in reference to a principal or AP.

1:10:36.0 --> 1:10:52.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I just wanted to clarify that we're talking about somebody centrally located like the chief security, safety and security, the Superintendent chief of staff, if we had one or something like that, OK, so.

1:10:54.920 --> 1:10:59.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's, you know, again this is from 2019, uh.

1:10:59.390 --> 1:11:4.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Getting at #2 in Mr Demayo's list of questions.

1:11:5.540 --> 1:11:7.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, because agreed.

1:11:7.320 --> 1:11:10.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's unclear what their intent was.

1:11:10.280 --> 1:11:15.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Their intent, though, was definitely not just intercom repairs would seem to be clear.

1:11:16.90 --> 1:11:26.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm #3 has the additional funding been approved and what exactly is included in the appropriation, cleanup or typos before sending this off?

1:11:26.760 --> 1:11:34.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the additional funding is already in the DEFP, but we don't know what exactly is included in the appropriation.

1:11:35.600 --> 1:11:40.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Did Roland Borg Overbill the district or underperform according to its contractual obligations?

1:11:40.810 --> 1:11:45.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The initial response from legal would suggest that nobody claim that.

1:11:46.430 --> 1:11:49.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, but isn't that what HCT is suggesting?

1:11:52.300 --> 1:11:52.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes.

1:11:50.890 --> 1:12:12.250

Dave G. Rhodes

Madam Chair, I think I think that short of articulating it in the way that was just mentioned, I think HCT is clearly stating that when they say that 70% of the funds were expended and somewhere at or less than 35% of the work was completed, which would mean there was either.

1:12:13.400 --> 1:12:13.940

Lew Naylor

It's fun.

1:12:14.600 --> 1:12:14.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh.

1:12:14.120 --> 1:12:16.700

Dave G. Rhodes

Front front end loading of the contract.

1:12:13.950 --> 1:12:17.70

Lew Naylor

It's also, yeah, no.

1:12:16.750 --> 1:12:28.310

Dave G. Rhodes

Or perhaps a lot of the different materials that were ordered A appropriately or not appropriately at the time that they were ordered may account for that additional money, but they did not clarify that.

1:12:28.670 --> 1:12:29.230

Lew Naylor

On the part.

1:12:29.280 --> 1:12:29.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:12:30.80 --> 1:12:38.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then #5, it appears that the Penton addition provided the additional component to give the district the state of the art system originally contemplated.

1:12:42.80 --> 1:12:42.270

Lew Naylor

Just.

1:12:39.420 --> 1:12:46.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That would be my take on this as well that it created a an emergency communication system.

1:12:50.700 --> 1:12:51.30

Lew Naylor

At.

1:12:46.240 --> 1:12:54.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Whether people knew they were certainly throwing those words around in the beginning, emergency response, emergency communication.

1:12:56.380 --> 1:12:56.600

Lew Naylor

I.

1:12:56.330 --> 1:13:2.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And whether they and there was stuff out there that they should have known, that's what they were asking for.

1:13:4.470 --> 1:13:5.810

Lew Naylor

And but.

1:13:2.240 --> 1:13:18.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But again, you have people that do not deal with standards and codes, making decisions, and then they run into the people that if you're going to use certain words, they're going to look up the codes associated with it to make sure there's compliance.

1:13:19.230 --> 1:13:25.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And if those people had been involved at the front end, we may not be discussing this for the I don't know, fifth time.

1:13:26.300 --> 1:13:31.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, but anyway, so those are our current questions.

1:13:31.660 --> 1:13:39.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't believe that our initial questions were addressed, so I'm gonna relay all of this to the board.

1:13:40.40 --> 1:13:40.290

Lew Naylor

It's.

1:13:42.890 --> 1:13:43.260

Lew Naylor

However.

1:13:53.550 --> 1:13:54.640

Dave G. Rhodes

Madam Chair, we have.

1:13:40.310 --> 1:13:54.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The April what we passed in April and they provided responses that it now needs to go to the board with some additional clarification that these are not the questions that were asked because, yeah.

1:13:54.890 --> 1:13:55.140

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm.

1:13:56.30 --> 1:13:57.80

Lew Naylor

False otherwise.

1:14:0.950 --> 1:14:4.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, to mayor, I thought I can see now.

1:13:55.210 --> 1:14:5.160

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm sorry, I I just wanted to let you know that we have 3 audit committee members with their hands up, beginning with Mr Demeo, second, Mary Fertig, and 3rd Lew Naylor.

1:14:5.650 --> 1:14:6.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So, Naylor?

1:14:6.230 --> 1:14:7.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
OK, Mr Demaio.

1:14:9.100 --> 1:14:9.430

Lew Naylor
Best.

1:14:10.500 --> 1:14:10.890

Aj De Meo <Student>
Yeah.

1:14:10.900 --> 1:14:12.950

Aj De Meo <Student>
So I need to change devices.

1:14:12.960 --> 1:14:14.490

Aj De Meo <Student>
Can some I'm in the waiting room?

1:14:14.500 --> 1:14:18.140

Aj De Meo <Student>
Can somebody put me in whatever's required?

1:14:19.120 --> 1:14:19.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Oh.

1:14:19.840 --> 1:14:20.240

Lew Naylor
Ohh like.

1:14:23.290 --> 1:14:23.530

Lew Naylor
Then.

1:14:23.160 --> 1:14:24.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Mr Rhodes, I can't.

1:14:25.210 --> 1:14:25.620

Lew Naylor

Often.

1:14:30.130 --> 1:14:30.470

Aj De Meo <Student>

Well.

1:14:26.960 --> 1:14:30.550

Dave G. Rhodes

We we see and hear him, so I'm not certain I'll hang on.

1:14:29.960 --> 1:14:31.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, he's has to switch devices.

1:14:32.910 --> 1:14:33.910

Dave G. Rhodes

OK, understood.

1:14:35.80 --> 1:14:38.50

Dave G. Rhodes

We'll have Brian on the lookout to allow you back in.

1:14:40.870 --> 1:14:41.920

Ali Arcese

This is Allie.

1:14:38.580 --> 1:14:42.30

Lew Naylor

Eagle, but things against the Donald.

1:14:41.930 --> 1:14:46.250

Ali Arcese

I just wanted to confirm so we don't have a waiting room set up with this meeting.

1:14:46.40 --> 1:14:46.490

Lew Naylor

Thanks for.

1:14:48.790 --> 1:14:48.910

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

1:14:46.260 --> 1:14:50.820

Ali Arcese

So you may be have the we may have to send you an updated link.

1:14:51.230 --> 1:14:56.310

Ali Arcese

So we'll have Brian send you the updated link so that it gets you right into the meeting.

1:14:56.940 --> 1:14:58.780

Lew Naylor

To meet while you're on.

1:14:58.880 --> 1:15:0.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so I see.

1:15:2.420 --> 1:15:2.560

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

1:15:0.820 --> 1:15:6.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mary's hand is up and Lou, I think, put his hand down.

1:15:6.590 --> 1:15:6.900

Lew Naylor

Stop.

1:15:6.530 --> 1:15:7.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, so Mary?

1:15:8.890 --> 1:15:9.460

Lew Naylor

Trains.

1:15:9.850 --> 1:15:11.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Eric, wait.

1:15:9.470 --> 1:15:12.940

Lew Naylor

You know, the stuff gets us excited and that will just.

1:15:11.680 --> 1:15:17.490

EXT - Mary Fertig

Sorry I I feel like we have discussed this extensively, asked for a lot of information.

1:15:18.260 --> 1:15:18.610

Lew Naylor

Actually.

1:15:17.880 --> 1:15:25.600

EXT - Mary Fertig

Add it a lot of information to what we originally had and I'm not sure you know, we can't go back five years.

1:15:29.360 --> 1:15:30.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Not try.

1:15:30.50 --> 1:15:30.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

1:15:25.610 --> 1:15:31.360

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'm not sure that we're gonna be able to correct some of the things that happened, but I think no, no, I understand.

1:15:30.860 --> 1:15:32.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah. OK.

1:15:36.560 --> 1:15:38.730

Lew Naylor

Dance it's.

1:15:32.940 --> 1:15:41.710

EXT - Mary Fertig

I I think you've I think through the conversation, you know we've definitely supplemented what there was and and we.

1:15:42.170 --> 1:15:42.490

Lew Naylor

Thank you.

1:15:41.810 --> 1:15:46.210

EXT - Mary Fertig

But I mean, at some point I think we're ready to send this to the board.

1:15:50.280 --> 1:15:50.480

Lew Naylor

Yes.

1:15:46.220 --> 1:15:53.360

EXT - Mary Fertig

I know we can't do it today, Natalie, but I would suggest we come with some a well written out of all of us on here.

1:15:53.420 --> 1:15:56.950

EXT - Mary Fertig

Whatever we intend to do, bring it to that next meeting as a motion.

1:15:58.990 --> 1:15:59.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's.

1:15:56.960 --> 1:16:0.530

EXT - Mary Fertig

So we can just move ahead with this because this needs to go to the board.

1:16:8.840 --> 1:16:9.170

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

1:16:0.650 --> 1:16:11.600

EXT - Mary Fertig

There needs to be a clear understanding of what did and didn't happen, and I think through the conversation through the original audit and then the conversation that that has become very clear. So.

1:16:11.550 --> 1:16:12.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

1:16:12.230 --> 1:16:15.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I, like I said, we're discussing it for the fifth time.

1:16:15.540 --> 1:16:15.750

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yeah.

1:16:15.60 --> 1:16:25.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We cannot vote today, but my intent is and for me, because I have to boil everything down, I'll be summarizing and sharing with the board what we know.

1:16:25.870 --> 1:16:31.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In addition, there are some additional things I've done public records requests for.

1:16:35.880 --> 1:16:36.60

Lew Naylor

Right.

1:16:31.570 --> 1:16:40.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There are additional issues I know I can't rewrite history, but understand that to me at least, it's very clear that there's a cover up of foot.

1:16:40.610 --> 1:16:46.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's very clear that there's a narrative that's been specified, and this may have occurred.

1:16:48.640 --> 1:16:49.90

Lew Naylor

The purpose?

1:16:46.760 --> 1:17:0.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There was a closed door session last year, February 7th and it shows up on a board agenda and it's about positive alarm sequencing and an intercom update.

1:17:4.220 --> 1:17:4.430

Lew Naylor

4.

1:17:7.370 --> 1:17:7.750

Lew Naylor

And.

1:17:20.300 --> 1:17:20.460

Lew Naylor

And.

1:17:0.680 --> 1:17:22.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And if what was discussed is not something that is exempt, then it shouldn't have happened in closed door because it may have provided some insight into the the the walk that staff is currently walking and some of the the narrative that the common threads that I keep hearing over and over.

1:17:26.280 --> 1:17:27.80

EXT - Mary Fertig

II.

1:17:22.290 --> 1:17:35.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So there are some additional pieces, but I think for the most part we've pulled together as much as we can so that my intent in in August is for us to transmit with some recommendations.

1:17:36.730 --> 1:17:46.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And we've also clarified the request that we've made that we haven't gotten any answers on and my expectation is that we have answers by that meeting.

1:17:47.540 --> 1:17:57.590

EXT - Mary Fertig

So I think I think some of the things that have have this audits help us focus on you know is the contract itself, the manner in which it was.

1:17:59.380 --> 1:17:59.540

Lew Naylor

Yes.

1:17:58.910 --> 1:18:2.770

EXT - Mary Fertig

Ah, followed up on and ohm.

1:18:2.910 --> 1:18:10.710

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'm a little disturbed that if the job didn't get done but we paid him to do it, we didn't invoke whatever we needed to do or we didn't put it in there in the 1st place.

1:18:10.720 --> 1:18:20.760

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'm still unclear as that, but I do feel that after having had all of the staff people here, they are moving ahead to try to get a system in place.

1:18:21.180 --> 1:18:30.500

EXT - Mary Fertig

It's at a much higher cost and we don't know whether we, you know, could have recovered dollars to help defray that expense if it had been done differently.

1:18:30.510 --> 1:18:36.110

EXT - Mary Fertig

So all of this is I'm I'm gonna be bringing in August if we allowed to talk about what we're gonna bring in August.

1:18:38.330 --> 1:18:38.590

Lew Naylor

Sure.

1:18:37.320 --> 1:18:51.770

EXT - Mary Fertig

Umm, as well as the question that I think we had in April, which I don't know if it's the way it was written or not, but are the specifications that they're seeking now going to provide the system that we need? Ohh.

1:18:51.200 --> 1:18:53.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, it depends on what you think we need.

1:18:53.360 --> 1:18:56.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it going to be an emergency communication system?

1:19:9.880 --> 1:19:10.180

Lew Naylor

Action.

1:18:56.560 --> 1:19:12.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We just got these specifications, so the answer is we don't know yet because if it doesn't

say emergency communication system in the specifications we just got, then no, it will not be an emergency communications system.

1:19:15.760 --> 1:19:16.150

EXT - Mary Fertig

Stop.

1:19:15.330 --> 1:19:18.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And that may not be the intent of what they're doing right now.

1:19:18.970 --> 1:19:23.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is there somebody that knows what the intent is of the the current ITB?

1:19:23.670 --> 1:19:28.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't think they said is to do an emergency communication system.

1:19:28.250 --> 1:19:30.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

At our last meeting, I don't remember them saying that.

1:19:33.150 --> 1:19:35.320

Lew Naylor

Eight, yeah.

1:19:34.290 --> 1:19:36.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, let's see who's still here.

1:19:36.570 --> 1:19:37.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I don't.

1:19:37.810 --> 1:19:37.940

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

1:19:37.550 --> 1:19:40.100

Manuel Castaneda

If I may, may Castaneda?

1:19:39.770 --> 1:19:40.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Danny yeah.

1:19:41.660 --> 1:19:42.40

Manuel Castaneda

Yes.

1:19:44.790 --> 1:19:45.370

Lew Naylor

I've got to go.

1:19:42.50 --> 1:20:10.160

Manuel Castaneda

And and I believe at the last meeting was stated what we're what we're currently doing is we're installing intercom systems and I believe Mr Mr Sade mentioned that how they relate to the fire alarm systems and how the modern fire alarm systems, which is a whole parallel project that that is being worked on, have the voice capability or have the ability to to, to to handle emergency communications through the fire alarm.

1:20:11.140 --> 1:20:11.390

Lew Naylor

Right.

1:20:10.930 --> 1:20:12.660

Manuel Castaneda

I can speak on the intercom side.

1:20:11.440 --> 1:20:23.110

Manuel Castaneda

Like what we're doing is we are enhancing and modernizing the intercom systems at schools and and making some very good progress recently, now much more than we have in the last few years.

1:20:23.170 --> 1:20:23.460

Lew Naylor

OK.

1:20:23.400 --> 1:20:26.370

Manuel Castaneda

But it is not an emergency communications system.

1:20:26.380 --> 1:20:28.780

Manuel Castaneda

I can I can definitely testify to that.

1:20:30.800 --> 1:20:31.200

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:20:31.620 --> 1:20:37.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, because yeah, I think he clarified that there was the way that it communicated.

1:20:40.470 --> 1:20:40.770

Manuel Castaneda

Correct.

1:20:37.900 --> 1:20:42.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There was a relay involved, and so that's sort of what we need.

1:20:42.60 --> 1:20:52.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Also clarification on so that people there are people still using the words emergency communication system and we need another term for what will end up with.

1:20:54.910 --> 1:20:56.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that that's sort of where we are.

1:20:56.930 --> 1:21:0.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I I'm gonna summarize everything that I've understood to date.

1:21:1.220 --> 1:21:21.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I I to your point, Mary, about whether it's had they gone out and done had they had some homework been done in 2018 and 19 because the research and the knowledge was out there, the standards were out there, the codes were out there, had some work been done in 2018.

1:21:23.840 --> 1:21:24.140

Lew Naylor

This.

1:21:21.190 --> 1:21:24.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

19 instead of rushing to award it to Roland Borg, I do.

1:21:30.140 --> 1:21:30.550

Lew Naylor

These ones.

1:21:44.190 --> 1:21:44.470

Lew Naylor

Alright.

1:21:24.990 --> 1:21:46.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I believe that the district could have been on the leading edge of being perhaps the first or one of the first school districts with an actual emergency communication system like they that the military has and many other institutions that were responding to things like 911 Sandy Hook.

1:21:49.270 --> 1:21:49.460

Lew Naylor

Sure.

1:21:46.730 --> 1:21:51.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And in our case, MSD yes, but not the way they went about it.

1:21:51.760 --> 1:21:56.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So umm do I believe that down the road, that might be what they're trying to do?

1:22:2.270 --> 1:22:2.560

Lew Naylor

Now.

1:22:4.210 --> 1:22:6.840

Lew Naylor

18 hundred 2537.

1:21:56.820 --> 1:22:11.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Maybe I don't know, but I do believe that had they done their homework instead of leaping

to implement this role and Borg system, they could have put in place because they needed to upgrade everything anyway.

1:22:11.610 --> 1:22:12.180

Lew Naylor
\$1000.

1:22:11.700 --> 1:22:18.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
They could have put an actual emerge UL2572 compliant emergency communications system in place.

1:22:19.230 --> 1:22:19.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Umm.

1:22:22.640 --> 1:22:23.10

Lew Naylor
And she's.

1:22:28.410 --> 1:22:28.870

Lew Naylor
She's.

1:22:19.990 --> 1:22:44.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
And and this entire audit that was done and the narrative that's being pushed is to sort of cover up the missteps that occurred in 2018 and 19 that has caused us to be here now with them spending another 40, something million to upgrade intercom systems on top of the 15 that was already funded.

1:22:45.920 --> 1:22:46.160

Lew Naylor
Stop.

1:22:45.780 --> 1:23:0.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So I think we're good on this topic A everybody come with your thoughts and any proposed motions if I get any additional documentation, I'll forward that in preparation for that meeting.

1:23:0.560 --> 1:23:6.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Like I said, there's a closed door that occurred in February of last year that I'm going to try to get the transcript of that.

1:23:7.480 --> 1:23:9.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, anything that wasn't exempt.

1:23:10.940 --> 1:23:11.160

Lew Naylor

Right.

1:23:10.840 --> 1:23:17.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we can have an understanding of what was discussed there, but we talked about the motion versus the responses.

1:23:18.510 --> 1:23:19.510

Lew Naylor

Five in the second things.

1:23:18.940 --> 1:23:27.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Some of our Subs, but we need to get to the fund balance because that's happening next week and the Chief auditor job description is happening next week.

1:23:28.130 --> 1:23:30.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And let me see what else I got on here.

1:23:30.850 --> 1:23:31.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

1:23:30.710 --> 1:23:32.300

Dave G. Rhodes

While you're looking, Madam Chair, I just.

1:23:31.730 --> 1:23:33.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Or nominating you yes.

1:23:33.170 --> 1:23:36.490

Dave G. Rhodes

I I'm sorry to interrupt, but I just wanted to mention that I'm not.

1:23:36.630 --> 1:23:38.720

Dave G. Rhodes

I may have missed it while I was taking a note.

1:23:38.850 --> 1:23:42.170

Dave G. Rhodes

But Mr Naylor no longer has his hand up.

1:23:42.740 --> 1:23:43.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He gets.

1:23:42.250 --> 1:23:46.970

Dave G. Rhodes

But I just didn't know whether or not he had the opportunity to state what he wanted the state.

1:23:45.800 --> 1:23:47.610

Lew Naylor

Big Ben, that.

1:23:47.670 --> 1:23:48.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, Lou.

1:23:48.730 --> 1:23:52.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Sorry, you put it up and then took it down then I think I saw it up again.

1:23:49.760 --> 1:23:57.380

Lew Naylor

That that's OK for some reason my system seems that if I don't speak within two minutes it it takes my hand down.

1:23:58.220 --> 1:24:0.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ah, OK, that's what's happening, OK?

1:23:58.240 --> 1:24:0.980

Lew Naylor

So yeah, yeah.

1:24:0.640 --> 1:24:4.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Cause yeah, I keep looking up and by the time I look up again, it's down.

1:24:4.620 --> 1:24:4.930

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

1:24:4.120 --> 1:24:4.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So sorry.

1:24:4.940 --> 1:24:5.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So Lou.

1:24:4.940 --> 1:24:11.150

Lew Naylor

My my question is in regards to the follow up #4.

1:24:11.500 --> 1:24:22.180

Lew Naylor

When they when they speak about 35% of the work being completed is that based upon systems that are fully completed or work that was in progress.

1:24:22.680 --> 1:24:23.680

Lew Naylor

Does that include work?

1:24:22.610 --> 1:24:24.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Wait, follow up #4 on which thing?

1:24:26.350 --> 1:24:27.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Which document?

1:24:25.930 --> 1:24:29.690

Lew Naylor

Did Roland Borg Overbill the district or underperform?

1:24:32.550 --> 1:24:32.800

Dave G. Rhodes

But.

1:24:32.400 --> 1:24:34.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh, you mean Mr Demayo's?

1:24:34.660 --> 1:24:34.930

Lew Naylor

Yes.

1:24:34.420 --> 1:24:36.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh did he overbill?

1:24:36.100 --> 1:24:37.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And where's this 35%?

1:24:38.460 --> 1:24:38.750

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

1:24:38.760 --> 1:24:41.20

Lew Naylor

How is the 35% calculated?

1:24:41.30 --> 1:24:44.610

Lew Naylor

Does it include unfinished systems or just finished systems?

1:24:45.60 --> 1:24:47.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Where is that 35%?

1:24:47.40 --> 1:24:48.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm trying to pull it up.

1:24:48.120 --> 1:24:49.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it in the audit?

1:24:49.530 --> 1:24:50.160

Lew Naylor

It was in.

1:24:49.530 --> 1:24:51.890

Dave G. Rhodes

Yeah, it's in the audit.

1:24:50.210 --> 1:25:3.380

Lew Naylor

Yeah, it was in one of the one of the many documents that we have, but you it was the statement was 35% of the work was done 70% of the company or the contract was paid.

1:25:2.780 --> 1:25:4.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh, that Mister Rhodes mentioned.

1:25:4.680 --> 1:25:9.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, I think I think that might be in the audit, but sounds like something in the audit.

1:25:10.120 --> 1:25:11.90

Dave G. Rhodes

Yeah, it is.

1:25:11.340 --> 1:25:12.570

Dave G. Rhodes

Hold on one second, please.

1:25:13.150 --> 1:25:14.140

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yes it is.

1:25:15.660 --> 1:25:17.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, hang on a SEC.

1:25:17.250 --> 1:25:18.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Go back and maybe share.

1:25:17.460 --> 1:25:23.600

Lew Naylor

So the that's, that's just a clarification that I think we need to understand.

1:25:26.440 --> 1:25:27.160

EXT - Mary Fertig

Thanks, Lou.

1:25:26.880 --> 1:25:27.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

1:25:27.170 --> 1:25:28.390

EXT - Mary Fertig

That was well phrased.

1:25:29.460 --> 1:25:29.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:25:30.20 --> 1:25:33.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But you know, I like to have our receipts on hand.

1:25:36.700 --> 1:25:37.220

Dave G. Rhodes

We're trying to.

1:25:33.60 --> 1:25:37.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So you're saying 35%, so I can pull. Ohh.

1:25:37.230 --> 1:25:40.640

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm trying to find the finding right now so I can point you directly to it.

1:25:43.950 --> 1:25:49.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, while doing a search didn't get me that, but I it rings a bell.

1:25:49.230 --> 1:25:50.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I just don't know where it is in here.

1:25:53.660 --> 1:25:55.120

EXT - Mary Fertig

It's Mr Harvey with us.

1:25:56.50 --> 1:25:57.260

Dave G. Rhodes

No, not not today.

1:25:56.520 --> 1:25:58.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, not today.

1:25:58.180 --> 1:25:58.590

EXT - Mary Fertig

OK.

1:25:58.600 --> 1:26:1.230

EXT - Mary Fertig

Because I that was that goes to the core of the question.

1:26:1.240 --> 1:26:7.800

EXT - Mary Fertig

I thought from what they had said that we paid the \$10 million or whatever and they completed X amount.

1:26:11.60 --> 1:26:12.10

EXT - Mary Fertig

So thanks man.

1:26:10.180 --> 1:26:14.190

Dave G. Rhodes

Yeah, that there's an actual finding on that.

1:26:16.130 --> 1:26:17.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, I I.

1:26:14.280 --> 1:26:17.940

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm just my my search terms aren't working.

1:26:20.10 --> 1:26:20.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Where?

1:26:20.70 --> 1:26:24.570

Lew Naylor

Because they they talk about the completed systems.

1:26:25.570 --> 1:26:40.850

Lew Naylor

What is the work in progress considered in the the billing and I don't understand or I don't have the contract in front of me that shows how the the contract is paid out the payments graduate.

1:26:40.570 --> 1:26:44.550

EXT - Mary Fertig

Page 8 of the audit is that do what?

1:26:46.570 --> 1:26:48.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me go to page 8.

1:26:49.790 --> 1:26:52.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, depends on if we're on the same.

1:26:52.340 --> 1:27:1.320

EXT - Mary Fertig

File analysis of the RFP reflects at 19 of the selected 53 schools or at or near completion in the completion rate is 35.8% the total spend a date.

1:27:1.80 --> 1:27:2.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, that should be it.

1:27:2.760 --> 1:27:8.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Finding #7 now, they say contract terms with Roland Borg were not enforced.

1:27:10.720 --> 1:27:11.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

1:27:10.670 --> 1:27:11.301

EXT - Mary Fertig

I i.e.

1:27:11.50 --> 1:27:16.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The final yeah, 19 of the 53 are at or near completion, the completion rate.

1:27:18.620 --> 1:27:22.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The tools band to date, so it's quite sent over to you.

1:27:23.10 --> 1:27:25.600

EXT - Mary Fertig

And I think that goes to the core of the question at least.

1:27:25.610 --> 1:27:31.790

EXT - Mary Fertig

I've been wondering about all this time is what were they paid for, what they delivered and is there because this this.

1:27:33.100 --> 1:27:34.560

Lew Naylor

Hard to find someone this.

1:27:34.200 --> 1:27:36.400

EXT - Mary Fertig

I wonder how contractors went out and forced.

1:27:39.700 --> 1:27:40.80

Lew Naylor

2nd.

1:27:34.710 --> 1:27:43.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we need documentation that shows the complete you know because they mentioned an analysis, but they don't include it in an appendix.

1:27:45.150 --> 1:27:45.630

Lew Naylor

Get started.

1:27:47.420 --> 1:27:47.680

Lew Naylor

Alright.

1:27:54.160 --> 1:27:55.10

Lew Naylor

And just seconds.

1:27:57.810 --> 1:27:58.180

Lew Naylor

Dot com.

1:28:1.590 --> 1:28:1.850

Lew Naylor

Right.

1:28:6.830 --> 1:28:7.60

Lew Naylor

So.

1:27:45.600 --> 1:28:13.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They're they're light on appendices because they sit wish there should be a schedule showing 35.8%, umm 19 of the selected 53 schools are at or near completion and their concluding that that equals a 35.8% completion rate and then the total spend is 10.7 which is equal to 71% of the original approved RFP amount.

1:28:15.30 --> 1:28:23.830

Dave G. Rhodes

Something that close to what you just described is contained on page 66 of the report, but we had to.

1:28:23.720 --> 1:28:26.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

ADF ADF page 66.

1:28:26.130 --> 1:28:27.550

Dave G. Rhodes

PDF page 69.

1:28:30.130 --> 1:28:30.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And.

1:28:29.780 --> 1:28:45.870

Dave G. Rhodes

And what this shows you is the whether the installation is complete permit ready, contractor procurement, whatever stage happens to be in and from there is where they were able to get the most updated information at the time that they conducted this audit.

1:28:45.940 --> 1:28:55.420

Dave G. Rhodes

And to Mr Naylor's question, if they were commenting on the number of systems that were complete or near complete, not commenting specifically on the.

1:28:57.370 --> 1:29:3.240

Dave G. Rhodes

Work that may be in place at the time or the value of that work that may have shifted those percentages.

1:29:3.330 --> 1:29:7.90

Dave G. Rhodes

And Mr Naylor, I just wanted to make sure is that generally where your point was?

1:29:7.920 --> 1:29:10.510

Lew Naylor

That's absolutely where my point was.

1:29:10.520 --> 1:29:10.860

Lew Naylor

Thank you.

1:29:11.330 --> 1:29:11.610

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

1:29:11.780 --> 1:29:23.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I OK, I stopped sharing cause I have two versions of this thing, the unredacted and redacted, and I'm not sure which version I had up, but the thing we were just looking at and Ali has her hand up.

1:29:23.660 --> 1:29:27.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But the thing we were just looking at doesn't have totals.

1:29:27.640 --> 1:29:31.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It just has come, you know, commentary next to each school.

1:29:31.80 --> 1:29:32.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ali, did you have a question?

1:29:35.130 --> 1:29:35.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Comment.

1:29:33.170 --> 1:29:37.10

Ali Arcese

I do have a question regarding Mr Dubios request.

1:29:37.20 --> 1:29:40.560

Ali Arcese

Mr Demaio, did you receive the link for the on your email?

1:29:41.800 --> 1:29:42.350

Ali Arcese

Have you had?

1:29:42.360 --> 1:29:44.50

Ali Arcese

Have you tried to get in through the?

1:29:44.140 --> 1:29:45.180

Ali Arcese

The likely sent you.

1:29:46.740 --> 1:29:48.100

Ali Arcese

Sorry, I didn't mean to size.

1:29:48.110 --> 1:29:50.300

Ali Arcese

If I'm just concerned, he's not good.

1:29:49.290 --> 1:29:51.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no yet again.

1:29:50.410 --> 1:29:52.340

Aj De Meo <Student>

Yes, it doesn't work.

1:29:52.350 --> 1:29:53.920

Aj De Meo <Student>

It sends me to waiting room.

1:29:56.870 --> 1:29:57.270

Ali Arcese

OK.

1:29:53.970 --> 1:30:0.350

Aj De Meo <Student>

I tried to respond to Brian's email, but it doesn't work and I have to jump to this other device.

1:30:2.480 --> 1:30:2.700

Ali Arcese

OK.

1:30:2.880 --> 1:30:6.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh well, maybe if you're in the other device that might actually work.

1:30:7.380 --> 1:30:8.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we might lose you first.

1:30:9.930 --> 1:30:10.40

Ali Arcese

Yeah.

1:30:12.290 --> 1:30:13.140

Ali Arcese

And we'll call you.

1:30:13.230 --> 1:30:13.460

Aj De Meo <Student>

Yeah, but.

1:30:13.700 --> 1:30:14.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And try that.

1:30:13.550 --> 1:30:14.400

Ali Arcese

And we'll call you.

1:30:14.410 --> 1:30:15.670

Ali Arcese

Then we'll try to call you in.

1:30:17.60 --> 1:30:17.360

Aj De Meo <Student>

OK.

1:30:16.810 --> 1:30:17.440

Ali Arcese

Alright. Thanks.

1:30:18.360 --> 1:30:18.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:30:19.100 --> 1:30:19.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Alrighty.

1:30:20.190 --> 1:30:20.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

1:30:21.470 --> 1:30:24.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I didn't know when the chat OK, yes.

1:30:27.70 --> 1:30:27.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:30:27.610 --> 1:30:35.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So moving on on this agenda, so we good on this because uh talking about in the interest of time, I'm trying to move us along here.

1:30:36.170 --> 1:30:41.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm I have not heard from so we have prior meeting.

1:30:41.660 --> 1:30:47.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We did all that, so the next item on here nominating committee, we sort of talked about it last time.

1:30:47.730 --> 1:30:51.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We can't vote, so we can't elect a nominating committee.

1:30:53.80 --> 1:30:55.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I think last time people were just selected.

1:30:57.40 --> 1:30:57.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So.

1:31:0.560 --> 1:31:0.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see.

1:31:2.580 --> 1:31:4.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm trying to think of who was on it last time.

1:31:4.690 --> 1:31:8.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I wanna say it was like Phyllis De Meo and.

1:31:12.60 --> 1:31:14.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I haven't heard from Ruth lately.

1:31:16.620 --> 1:31:23.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

As I was going to ask for volunteers and Phyllis isn't at this meeting, she was at the last meeting.

1:31:23.920 --> 1:31:28.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I know she can't make this one and we just lost Mr Demaio.

1:31:29.70 --> 1:31:29.900

EXT - Mary Fertig

Do you need it?

1:31:28.470 --> 1:31:30.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I guess I'll send out an.

1:31:30.10 --> 1:31:32.230

EXT - Mary Fertig

Do you need it before the next meeting, Natalie?

1:31:33.400 --> 1:31:37.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I'm going to need 3, so I was gonna ask Ruth De Meo and Medvin.

1:31:38.970 --> 1:31:39.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

To be on.

1:31:39.910 --> 1:31:43.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And if Ruth can't do it, then Phyllis, because she's been on it before.

1:31:45.750 --> 1:31:48.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But Mr Medvin, you're here.

1:31:50.860 --> 1:31:52.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are you OK with being volentold?

1:31:53.140 --> 1:31:53.880

Andrew Medvin

No problem.

1:31:54.740 --> 1:31:55.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:31:55.240 --> 1:31:59.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That you just need to meet before in person before the August 8th meeting.

1:32:0.20 --> 1:32:0.220

Andrew Medvin

OK.

1:32:1.360 --> 1:32:1.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right.

1:32:1.800 --> 1:32:7.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then, uh, when Mr Demaio comes back, and then I'll check with Ruth or Phyllis to see who's available.

1:32:9.550 --> 1:32:15.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then that'll give us an odd number and people that they just need to show up before the next one.

1:32:15.910 --> 1:32:19.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Our dates are on here, so we usually vote for dates.

1:32:20.90 --> 1:32:28.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

August 8th is the next meeting, so I think the only thing that was missing here is there's no December meeting.

1:32:29.520 --> 1:32:34.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In the event we need one so we can discuss that on August 8th.

1:32:35.220 --> 1:32:35.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hold on.

1:32:35.680 --> 1:32:38.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me go to I'm not sharing a screen, I just noticed.

1:32:39.20 --> 1:32:39.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hold on.

1:32:40.970 --> 1:32:41.430

Dave G. Rhodes

Madam Chair.

1:32:41.350 --> 1:32:42.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I see, yes.

1:32:55.570 --> 1:32:55.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh-huh.

1:32:43.420 --> 1:32:59.550

Dave G. Rhodes

Uh, when this subject was originally discussed, I recall there being a initial target of 10 dates throughout the the year, and I think because of knowing that we could work around it.

1:32:59.560 --> 1:33:5.750

Dave G. Rhodes

But because of knowing what happens in the district in the month of December, that's quite possibly why that happened.

1:33:5.760 --> 1:33:8.90

Dave G. Rhodes

And so as you said, we can talk about that later.

1:33:8.100 --> 1:33:9.880

Dave G. Rhodes

But I think that may have been a part of the issue.

1:33:10.480 --> 1:33:10.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

1:33:10.860 --> 1:33:21.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And when we do agenda planning, that's kind of when that shakes out, what our additional needs are because we, you know, we were trying to get caught up on so many things.

1:33:21.320 --> 1:33:23.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I think we hold on.

1:33:24.190 --> 1:33:26.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We've gotten mostly caught up.

1:33:28.310 --> 1:33:33.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, so we don't wanna fall behind by not having enough meetings.

1:33:34.860 --> 1:33:37.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So let's see where that.

1:33:40.460 --> 1:33:41.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Phones close.

1:33:42.350 --> 1:33:44.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so we went through all that.

1:33:44.870 --> 1:33:46.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We'll get Mr Demayo back.

1:33:46.450 --> 1:33:50.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We have tentative committee and then is this what I think it is?

1:33:50.90 --> 1:33:51.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Where you.

1:33:51.450 --> 1:33:51.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

1:33:51.830 --> 1:33:55.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the reason for these dates is they align to the deadline for.

1:33:56.70 --> 1:34:3.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, the Chief Auditor's office to get things into Granicus and then this would be the school board meeting date.

1:34:3.370 --> 1:34:15.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the reason for the August 8th meeting is so that it can be in the September board meeting when we transmit this audit and not have to wait till October. Umm.

1:34:17.780 --> 1:34:20.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then we have September, October, November, December.

1:34:22.60 --> 1:34:23.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then there wouldn't.

1:34:23.200 --> 1:34:40.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We would not have anything if we don't meet in December from December to February, we would not have anything going to the board if we don't meet in December because we would have November 14th and January 16th, we would have a big gap there.

1:34:47.210 --> 1:34:47.500

Lew Naylor

Other.

1:34:40.70 --> 1:34:53.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So again, something we can talk about on the 8th, what we want to do there that is that schedule is this link here on #6 umm, then updates.

1:34:55.400 --> 1:34:57.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm going to do B first because there's less.

1:34:57.800 --> 1:35:1.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, I think there's less to discuss here.

1:35:2.970 --> 1:35:4.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we had the booster club list.

1:35:6.450 --> 1:35:18.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I think you guys have seen this and the way that they did a survey, I guess and so in some cases you had people that like Cypress Bay where they listed them individually.

1:35:20.780 --> 1:35:21.0

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

1:35:18.170 --> 1:35:29.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So you could theoretically sort this column, but then you have people like Coral Springs high where they somehow were able to put multiple things into a field.

1:35:36.150 --> 1:35:36.600

Lew Naylor

Yeah, what?

1:35:29.190 --> 1:35:38.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I have no idea what this survey looked like, but I would have thought it was intuitive that we would want, you know, an Excel spreadsheet where you could filter.

1:35:40.310 --> 1:35:40.480

Lew Naylor

Could.

1:35:40.140 --> 1:35:46.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Apparently that was not intuitive to whoever put this together, so that's how that ended up.

1:35:46.600 --> 1:35:54.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then they remember we talked about they're supposed to submit their financials on May.

1:35:55.950 --> 1:35:58.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's what's in the guide guidelines.

1:35:58.230 --> 1:36:0.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this is what was submitted.

1:36:0.610 --> 1:36:8.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They, Mr Rhodes, what was when we asked for this year as we knew we couldn't get it because it wasn't on May yet.

1:36:8.730 --> 1:36:10.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But now that we are in July.

1:36:12.700 --> 1:36:17.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What one had they agreed, or given an indication?

1:36:17.550 --> 1:36:19.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

When were they going to have all their financials?

1:36:21.100 --> 1:36:21.900

Dave G. Rhodes

Hang on one second.

1:36:21.910 --> 1:36:37.830

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm gonna let you know the exact umm date I sent out the follow up email asking about this information and kind of questioning how complete it is.

1:36:38.330 --> 1:36:42.640

Dave G. Rhodes

I set doctor Fulton and email on July 2nd.

1:36:43.340 --> 1:36:43.830

Dave G. Rhodes

Umm.

1:36:43.840 --> 1:37:2.270

Dave G. Rhodes

Essentially saying this request was originally made April 11th, 2024 audit following the April 20112024 Audit Committee meeting, their expressed expectation was more relevant records would be provided than shared in the survey results.

1:37:2.560 --> 1:37:16.30

Dave G. Rhodes

Please review applicable sections of 1700 and advise if the latest information phase two, which is the revenues and expenditures provided, represents the the only records the OCA will expect to receive.

1:37:16.70 --> 1:37:26.380

Dave G. Rhodes

Also please advise if any remaining schools are non responsive to the audit committees requests for the revenue and expenditure records of the previously identified booster clubs.

1:37:26.450 --> 1:37:34.940

Dave G. Rhodes

So at some point they have to declare whether or not the others are non responsive, or if this is something that we're awaiting additional information.

1:37:35.270 --> 1:37:36.550

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm waiting to hear back from them.

1:37:35.610 --> 1:37:38.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, I'm confused.

1:37:38.570 --> 1:37:41.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Did they send this in a PDF or an?

1:37:41.510 --> 1:37:43.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is this an Excel document or a PDF?

1:37:44.570 --> 1:37:45.480

Dave G. Rhodes

Hang on one second.

1:37:46.980 --> 1:37:48.100

Dave G. Rhodes

It is.

1:37:49.780 --> 1:37:50.280

Lew Naylor

Even work on.

1:37:50.90 --> 1:37:51.950

Dave G. Rhodes

Just making sure that I have the same one.

1:37:51.960 --> 1:37:52.460

Dave G. Rhodes

Hold on.

1:37:53.90 --> 1:37:54.500

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm looking at a different one.

1:37:54.550 --> 1:37:56.550

Dave G. Rhodes

Let me go back in again real quick.

1:37:57.140 --> 1:37:58.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm sharing it on the screen.

1:37:59.240 --> 1:38:1.490

Dave G. Rhodes

What I'm saying though is I have to look at the.

1:38:2.610 --> 1:38:3.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Source.

1:38:4.590 --> 1:38:4.770

Lew Naylor

You know.

1:38:2.140 --> 1:38:5.250

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm pretty sure that everything was sent to me in Excel.

1:38:5.260 --> 1:38:6.220

Dave G. Rhodes

I just want to verify that.

1:38:7.70 --> 1:38:25.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, because this is cutting off, I mean, granted I've tried clicking on these links and it says I need to request permission so this is of no SO44 August, we need a response that if they're going to, I mean this was an information request.

1:38:25.970 --> 1:38:29.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this isn't even, you know, a recommendation that people can ignore.

1:38:29.970 --> 1:38:31.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We requested information.

1:38:31.530 --> 1:38:32.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This should.

1:38:32.30 --> 1:38:37.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is required by the district that they submit their financials.

1:38:37.10 --> 1:38:38.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We ask for revenues and expenditures.

1:38:40.400 --> 1:38:43.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This goes back to pardon.

1:38:40.350 --> 1:38:48.260

Dave G. Rhodes

So good to get to get back to your question, this information was provided to us.

1:38:48.570 --> 1:38:55.410

Dave G. Rhodes

To me umm, in the way of an Excel link built into the body of an email.

1:38:55.420 --> 1:38:57.300

Dave G. Rhodes

So this is an Excel document.

1:38:59.720 --> 1:39:0.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:39:0.100 --> 1:39:2.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But we can't see the revenues and expenditures.

1:39:3.910 --> 1:39:8.260

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm not certain if that has to do with permissions that they may have put on this link.

1:39:8.310 --> 1:39:9.830

Dave G. Rhodes

I can ask that question of the follow up.

1:39:10.940 --> 1:39:13.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, right, but I don't care if they The thing is, we don't.

1:39:13.570 --> 1:39:14.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We didn't ask for a link.

1:39:14.550 --> 1:39:17.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We ask for revenues and expenditures by school.

1:39:17.120 --> 1:39:21.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We have people's peak numbers, multiple line items.

1:39:21.190 --> 1:39:22.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't understand.

1:39:22.660 --> 1:39:30.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I mean I I do understand why a bunch of educators can't understand how to present information.

1:39:31.200 --> 1:39:43.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is like raw data they're sending like they sent you an the Excel output from whatever they did as opposed to they're sending you data.

1:39:43.390 --> 1:39:48.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We requested information that we can then review, sort, filter or whatever.

1:39:50.180 --> 1:40:10.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this isn't going to cut it that the the way they're doing it via survey as opposed to say Google Docs and having people, they could have just keyed in their revenues and expenditures and asked everybody, hey, can you key in for each of your booster clubs the revenues and expenditures we have and maybe they did that and that's where this link goes.

1:40:10.410 --> 1:40:11.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But we can't see any of them.

1:40:12.390 --> 1:40:14.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we don't know, we would have been better.

1:40:14.730 --> 1:40:26.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They need to make it publicly available, so for August it would be nice to have a response to our information request that I think dates back to.

1:40:26.930 --> 1:40:27.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

To.

1:40:28.830 --> 1:40:31.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, January, February.

1:40:31.670 --> 1:40:39.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Don't know April, so it would be nice to have this in a format that we can for both things.

1:40:41.100 --> 1:40:43.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The booster club list and then next to the list.

1:40:45.840 --> 1:40:48.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, so force by school.

1:40:48.250 --> 1:40:54.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If they had listed each of the booster clubs, then you have a column that has revenues and expenditures for the current year.

1:40:56.970 --> 1:41:0.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They could get more sophisticated than that, but not less sophisticated than that.

1:41:2.430 --> 1:41:3.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We didn't think this.

1:41:3.370 --> 1:41:5.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I I at least I didn't think this was something difficult.

1:41:8.120 --> 1:41:11.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Do we need to give them a template cause they have all?

1:41:11.700 --> 1:41:15.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I think they have the information, but since I can't access that link I have no idea.

1:41:20.430 --> 1:41:20.570

Lew Naylor

But.

1:41:18.190 --> 1:41:22.360

Dave G. Rhodes

Well, I think this would help us in a couple of different ways.

1:41:22.370 --> 1:41:33.660

Dave G. Rhodes

I can reach back out to them and I can let them know that the original spreadsheet that they showed us all the different booster clubs that they have, to your point.

1:41:33.670 --> 1:41:40.950

Dave G. Rhodes

If they add 2 columns for, one for revenues, one for expenditures, populate that we would then get a better.

1:41:40.570 --> 1:41:41.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But it won't.

1:41:41.340 --> 1:41:42.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no, no.

1:41:42.500 --> 1:41:47.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That won't work because remember, this list is not line by line.

1:41:47.440 --> 1:41:49.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It only works for certain schools.

1:41:51.230 --> 1:41:56.10

Dave G. Rhodes

I would imagine that they could take in those two columns and do the same thing that they're doing.

1:42:9.640 --> 1:42:10.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no, no.

1:41:56.20 --> 1:42:10.910

Dave G. Rhodes

For example, in one of those cells that has multiple line items listed in it next to it in the columns that they put together for revenues and expenditures, they can probably do the same thing it isn't the ideal way to do it, but it would be.

1:42:10.620 --> 1:42:12.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no, no, no, no, no.

1:42:12.320 --> 1:42:24.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That won't allow us to sort, filter, add or subtract what they need to do is add rows for each of the booster club types and then columns one for revenues, one for expenditure.

1:42:24.360 --> 1:42:28.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So like I said, if they're not grasping it and need a template.

1:42:29.740 --> 1:42:32.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There are enough accountants on the audit committee.

1:42:32.320 --> 1:42:35.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That, and even I think the non accounts under are.

1:42:35.600 --> 1:42:37.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are, you know could produce a template?

1:42:37.700 --> 1:42:42.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I just don't understand why they allowed people to do like this one is a hot mess Flanagan.

1:42:43.210 --> 1:42:43.650

Lew Naylor

It was not.

1:42:43.610 --> 1:42:47.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We've got online that has some of them.

1:42:47.560 --> 1:42:54.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Then they have an additional one that should have been as painful as this may look for Cooper City, coral, glades.

1:42:55.0 --> 1:42:57.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's one line per type.

1:42:56.750 --> 1:42:58.570

Lew Naylor

If you thanks as well as some other.

1:43:0.460 --> 1:43:2.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So them adding we don't.

1:43:1.40 --> 1:43:8.220

EXT - Mary Fertig

Problem was just adding another column with the with the with their with the balance of of their account in there.

1:43:10.140 --> 1:43:15.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We said revenues and expenditures, I don't want the net because we don't know.

1:43:15.280 --> 1:43:16.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, we don't want the net.

1:43:12.610 --> 1:43:17.280

EXT - Mary Fertig

Well, we can add that, but I mean, alright, alright then do all right.

1:43:17.320 --> 1:43:17.850

EXT - Mary Fertig

Wait a minute.

1:43:17.440 --> 1:43:18.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We can calculate the net.

1:43:21.940 --> 1:43:22.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Sure.

1:43:17.860 --> 1:43:22.840

EXT - Mary Fertig

Let me can I can I finish my statement then let's just add those two columns.

1:43:22.850 --> 1:43:23.720

EXT - Mary Fertig

I mean, what's the problem?

1:43:30.70 --> 1:43:32.610

EXT - Mary Fertig

No, Natalie, I said.

1:43:24.790 --> 1:43:32.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because Mary the adding the column will not get you what the revenues and expenditures were for the.

1:43:32.620 --> 1:43:40.990

EXT - Mary Fertig

And those two, I said add those two columns, I mean we're talking a lot about basically an Excel spreadsheet that we can just get the information.

1:43:41.0 --> 1:43:42.30

EXT - Mary Fertig

I I'm not.

1:43:41.750 --> 1:43:42.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mayor.

1:43:42.340 --> 1:43:43.220

EXT - Mary Fertig

Is there a problem?

1:43:42.130 --> 1:43:43.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mayor Mary, please.

1:43:43.990 --> 1:43:45.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes, there's a problem.

1:43:45.450 --> 1:43:47.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I just articulated it.

1:43:47.510 --> 1:44:5.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It works for Cooper City for Coral Glades, which they Ant with the way they answered this survey is they used this is a row understand that each line is a row in Excel they used a row for each.

1:44:3.780 --> 1:44:6.130

EXT - Mary Fertig

Absolutely do understand that.

1:44:6.140 --> 1:44:8.810

EXT - Mary Fertig

What I don't see, I don't know why we have to go to links.

1:44:8.820 --> 1:44:9.590

EXT - Mary Fertig

Well, never mind.

1:44:9.660 --> 1:44:10.580

EXT - Mary Fertig

I just keep talking.

1:44:10.180 --> 1:44:11.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no, I OK.

1:44:11.960 --> 1:44:12.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Stay on one topic.

1:44:13.0 --> 1:44:15.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What I'm trying to explain to you is for Flanagan.

1:44:15.800 --> 1:44:30.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Notice they do not have a cell, a row for each booster type, so they would need to add rows to schools like Flanagan and disaggregate where they have commas.

1:44:30.900 --> 1:44:31.680

Lew Naylor

It's like my.

1:44:31.600 --> 1:44:32.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You get it.

1:44:29.540 --> 1:44:33.430

EXT - Mary Fertig

And I think we're spending a lot of time.

1:44:34.910 --> 1:44:35.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:44:33.480 --> 1:44:37.470

EXT - Mary Fertig

I do get it, Natalie and I am tired of your condescending comments.

1:44:39.90 --> 1:44:40.40

EXT - Mary Fertig

No, you are.

1:44:40.150 --> 1:44:41.490

EXT - Mary Fertig

I think it's pretty easy.

1:44:42.700 --> 1:44:43.0

Lew Naylor

7.

1:44:37.540 --> 1:44:43.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm not being condescending, but you're saying that you you just can add. Ah.

1:44:42.860 --> 1:44:48.10

EXT - Mary Fertig

I think auditor explains to them which is where we got when we got this information.

1:44:48.20 --> 1:45:2.660

EXT - Mary Fertig

The first time was that we wanted to know, alright, the revenues and expenditures, I'm not understanding what is so difficult about that request and we asked for it the first time we discussed this, which whenever that was and in Excel format.

1:45:4.350 --> 1:45:6.10

EXT - Mary Fertig

Is there a problem with that request?

1:45:6.20 --> 1:45:8.40

EXT - Mary Fertig

Because it doesn't seem like a difficult request.

1:45:9.210 --> 1:45:18.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I I'm not disagreeing with you, but clearly it has been difficult for them because the format that they keep producing it in doesn't get us there, but we'll discuss that offline, all right.

1:45:18.980 --> 1:45:20.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the next two things.

1:45:22.40 --> 1:45:23.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, that's on there.

1:45:24.30 --> 1:45:28.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I I went to the thing that we have next to nothing on 1st.

1:45:28.870 --> 1:45:32.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The next thing is the fund fund balance policy.

1:45:33.90 --> 1:45:37.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So next week and again we we can't vote on this.

1:45:38.120 --> 1:45:46.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we made a recommendation for them to incorporate the language from Manatee Bay and we got back.

1:45:47.220 --> 1:45:53.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes, I think this was the latest iteration and we didn't have time to talk about it last week.

1:45:54.20 --> 1:46:6.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, we got back this, which is going to the board next week and there are some concerns and I'm going to pull up Manatee as well.

1:46:7.660 --> 1:46:12.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh manatee is very clearly written.

1:46:12.580 --> 1:46:13.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's very short.

1:46:14.120 --> 1:46:19.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Superintendent has responsibility of administering the budget once adopted by the school board.

1:46:19.320 --> 1:46:23.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's the first line, so it makes it establishes responsibility up front.

1:46:24.370 --> 1:46:31.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Superintendent shall monitor the fund balances and shall report the balances to the board monthly up front, clearly written.

1:46:32.120 --> 1:46:33.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The next sentence is not.

1:46:34.240 --> 1:46:39.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

A relevant because we don't have a policy on district budget.

1:46:41.100 --> 1:46:50.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

However, they are adding language you're trying to maintain a general fund ending fund balance that is sufficient to address normal contingencies.

1:46:51.230 --> 1:46:54.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They have a description of unforeseen events.

1:46:57.30 --> 1:47:22.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Talks about during the annual budget development process, the Superintendent shall plan for a general fund reserve not classified as restricted, committed or non spendable of at least 5% to include a 3% statutory reserve and a 2% strategic reserve and an additional contingency fund of up to 3 1/2% or provide the board a written report explaining why these targets are not feasible during the fiscal year.

1:47:22.50 --> 1:47:33.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Board shall maintain a general fund reserve of ending fund balance, not classified as restricted, committed or non spendable of no less than 3%, but preferably at least 5%.

1:47:34.840 --> 1:47:47.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, I think the remainder of this is consistent, but I don't know upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, the board will impose constraints on any funds placed in the committed and assigned classifications.

1:47:48.160 --> 1:47:52.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Now let's go see what they've they're submitting.

1:47:53.650 --> 1:47:58.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, the last time we discussed this, I think was on June 20th.

1:47:59.160 --> 1:47:59.760

Lew Naylor

Back to doing.

1:48:5.920 --> 1:48:6.200

Lew Naylor

I've been.

1:48:0.440 --> 1:48:16.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

To maintain the financial integrity and stability for the benefit of the district of Broward County, Florida District, the school board, Broward County, Florida School Board shall maintain its general Fund ending fund balance in the capital Projects Funds reserve sufficient to address normal contingencies.

1:48:17.760 --> 1:48:20.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Nothing about the Superintendent, nothing about the board.

1:48:28.620 --> 1:48:29.80

Lew Naylor

No, I think.

1:48:20.930 --> 1:48:31.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And UM, to maintain the financial integrity and stability for the benefit of the district, it's that's what they're putting in the opener.

1:48:32.550 --> 1:48:34.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Then they get into compliance.

1:48:36.800 --> 1:48:37.30

Lew Naylor

By.

1:48:35.410 --> 1:48:38.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, see if unbound shall be reported.

1:48:40.870 --> 1:48:41.250

Lew Naylor

Too late.

1:48:41.370 --> 1:48:43.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Then they get into the general fund.

1:48:43.810 --> 1:48:48.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I think this is the latest iteration that we did not have last time, so this is new.

1:48:49.230 --> 1:48:54.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Superintendent has the responsibility of administering the school board's adopted budget.

1:48:56.180 --> 1:49:30.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Superintendent will plan to attain a general fund ending balance in the assigned and unassigned fund balances, IE does not include restricted, committed or non spendable fund balances of 5% of the total annual general Fund revenues that includes 3% in the unassigned fund balance once the general Fund ending balance and assigned and unassigned fund balance is not classified as restricted, committed or non spendable reaches the 5% level, it must be maintained at that level.

1:49:31.690 --> 1:49:43.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Subsequently, if the fund balance is projected to fall below 5% of the total general fund revenues during any current fiscal year, then the Superintendent shall provide the school board with written notification.

1:49:44.530 --> 1:49:46.110

Lew Naylor

So everything.

1:49:49.800 --> 1:49:50.50

Lew Naylor

Thank you.

1:49:46.430 --> 1:49:59.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we passed a motion because we were concerned that they couldn't even get to 5%, and now they've doubled down and said not only are we going for 5%, that once we get there, it must be maintained.

1:50:1.930 --> 1:50:4.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Anybody have any thoughts or concerns?

1:50:4.470 --> 1:50:8.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because I'm gonna be sharing that with the board and first of all, we passed.

1:50:8.740 --> 1:50:10.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me go pull up our motion because.

1:50:11.560 --> 1:50:12.320

Lew Naylor

If region.

1:50:12.900 --> 1:50:15.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They haven't really addressed the concerns.

1:50:15.940 --> 1:50:21.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I can't tell if it's on purpose or because somebody who's writing this is not really.

1:50:23.620 --> 1:50:29.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Clear on the difference between their language and what Manatee Bayes language says.

1:50:29.290 --> 1:50:30.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's, uh.

1:50:30.610 --> 1:50:36.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is anyone concerned about them saying that once they hit 5%, it must be maintained at that level?

1:50:41.460 --> 1:50:44.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And again, I can't see if your hands are up because I'm sharing a screen.

1:50:44.720 --> 1:50:45.30

Lew Naylor

Anyway.

1:50:46.950 --> 1:50:47.730

Lew Naylor

There's something.

1:50:48.660 --> 1:50:49.940

Dave G. Rhodes

There are currently no hands up.

1:50:50.990 --> 1:50:51.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:50:52.210 --> 1:50:54.280

Lew Naylor

Yeah, I got like combination.

1:50:53.910 --> 1:50:57.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are we sticking to all our?

1:50:59.160 --> 1:51:2.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Motion which we go pull that.

1:51:2.750 --> 1:51:3.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see.

1:51:3.950 --> 1:51:22.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And do we do that 66 our motion following concerns raised about the district's ability to adhere to 5% fund balance, the lack of clarity as to the composition of the fund balance, which I think that they addressed lack of specificity about monthly reporting and the superintendents responsibility.

1:51:22.460 --> 1:51:23.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The following motion was passed.

1:51:23.980 --> 1:51:33.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We recommend the school board incorporate the Manatee County Fund balance policy language into the proposed BCPS fund balance policy, moved by Fertig, seconded by Carter-Lynch.

1:51:33.760 --> 1:51:44.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Past financially, they were provided with all of these backup documents and we knew from back then that the policy was going to the July School Board meeting.

1:51:45.760 --> 1:51:52.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this motion is on is part of L one.

1:51:53.370 --> 1:51:53.830

Lew Naylor

How are?

1:51:56.180 --> 1:52:1.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But I and I think they actually might have been included the feedback.

1:52:3.990 --> 1:52:5.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And what's going to the board?

1:52:5.830 --> 1:52:6.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hold on a second.

1:52:11.840 --> 1:52:15.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because this would be it's one of the policies going to the board.

1:52:18.410 --> 1:52:22.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So again, we're advisory in nature, we cannot force them to.

1:52:25.50 --> 1:52:34.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

To adhere to a recommendation, so we've our we've made our recommendation and there's a disconnect between our recommendation and what staff is doing.

1:52:38.680 --> 1:52:39.170

Lew Naylor

That you don't.

1:52:35.760 --> 1:52:42.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I guess that's just the way it's going to stay and all we can do is apprise them of our recommendation.

1:52:43.320 --> 1:52:49.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's fund fund balance, but I think they do mention.

1:52:49.620 --> 1:52:53.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see where I can increase stakeholder feedback.

1:52:54.20 --> 1:52:59.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, so the Financial Advisory committee, she went there and they said change.

1:52:59.910 --> 1:53:10.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The General Fund balance to 5% change capital fund to 10%, which everybody was fine with 10% uh written up by audit for not Paul what?

1:53:12.340 --> 1:53:12.700

Lew Naylor

Separate.

1:53:12.600 --> 1:53:17.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't understand what written up by audit for not following policy means.

1:53:19.710 --> 1:53:20.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'll have to.

1:53:21.740 --> 1:53:22.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

1:53:22.340 --> 1:53:24.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes, do you know what that means?

1:53:26.640 --> 1:53:27.140

Dave G. Rhodes

Umm.

1:53:29.550 --> 1:53:30.50

Lew Naylor

All the time.

1:53:30.630 --> 1:53:31.40

Lew Naylor

Five years.

1:53:35.30 --> 1:53:35.560

Lew Naylor

At the this.

1:53:36.580 --> 1:53:38.250

Dave G. Rhodes

No, I do not know what that means.

1:53:39.610 --> 1:53:39.930

Lew Naylor

Different.

1:53:39.470 --> 1:53:43.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Written up by audit for not following policy on balance.

1:53:46.200 --> 1:53:47.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Can we get clarification?

1:53:48.870 --> 1:53:49.40

Dave G. Rhodes

Sure.

1:53:48.420 --> 1:54:1.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I mean, we won't have it in time, but umm, I mean, I don't know that they're referencing

which audit are they saying that your office is going to write them up has written them up or the state federal?

1:54:1.910 --> 1:54:2.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know what that means.

1:54:1.480 --> 1:54:3.590

Lew Naylor

Five press.

1:54:3.240 --> 1:54:6.490

Dave G. Rhodes

I really couldn't tell you that right now, but I'll look into that and find out.

1:54:6.500 --> 1:54:7.150

Dave G. Rhodes

Is is this?

1:54:8.900 --> 1:54:12.610

Dave G. Rhodes

Is this something that's a on this upcoming board agenda?

1:54:14.370 --> 1:54:15.40

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

1:54:15.110 --> 1:54:15.320

Dave G. Rhodes

I'll.

1:54:15.330 --> 1:54:15.540

Dave G. Rhodes

I'll.

1:54:15.600 --> 1:54:15.970

Dave G. Rhodes

I'll, I'll.

1:54:13.350 --> 1:54:16.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes, this is this is.

1:54:17.110 --> 1:54:17.480

Lew Naylor

Thank you.

1:54:16.880 --> 1:54:19.390

Dave G. Rhodes

I'll grab it from there and try to get some information on that.

1:54:20.180 --> 1:54:20.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I forget.

1:54:20.830 --> 1:54:21.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What?

1:54:21.140 --> 1:54:25.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What item it is because they don't ever put it on the executive summary, but this is going.

1:54:26.880 --> 1:54:27.150

Lew Naylor

Thank.

1:54:24.780 --> 1:54:28.80

Dave G. Rhodes

Thought they put it on 3/1 pretty consistently lately.

1:54:28.260 --> 1:54:28.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no, no.

1:54:28.800 --> 1:54:29.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no, no, no.

1:54:29.800 --> 1:54:30.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is the pot.

1:54:30.670 --> 1:54:34.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Fund balance policy is going to the board next week.

1:54:35.760 --> 1:54:36.400

Dave G. Rhodes

Yeah.

1:54:36.440 --> 1:54:37.450

Dave G. Rhodes

And and is this a part?

1:54:36.790 --> 1:54:38.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They that is this.

1:54:38.150 --> 1:54:39.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is attached to that.

1:54:40.470 --> 1:54:40.770

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

1:54:41.520 --> 1:54:43.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Not to the audit committee motions.

1:54:45.280 --> 1:54:45.560

Dave G. Rhodes

OK.

1:54:45.650 --> 1:54:49.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It is a part of audit committee motions what we recommended.

1:54:49.330 --> 1:54:57.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

However, the Financial Advisory Committee, which is advisory so that should be going to the board as well, but they probably have no clue.

1:54:58.580 --> 1:54:59.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

1:54:59.740 --> 1:55:4.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I'll have to see if I can find their minutes.

1:55:4.450 --> 1:55:12.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If they did anything with that, umm additional recommendations for feedback this policy.

1:55:15.120 --> 1:55:15.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

1:55:15.540 --> 1:55:16.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they do relay.

1:55:20.60 --> 1:55:20.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

1:55:23.260 --> 1:55:23.610

Lew Naylor

I'm doing.

1:55:24.550 --> 1:55:25.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Recommendations.

1:55:25.490 --> 1:55:27.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Lack of clarity incorporate.

1:55:30.90 --> 1:55:33.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They they don't really relay the motion, but they do get the gist of it.

1:55:33.650 --> 1:55:36.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they are sharing that with the board.

1:55:36.650 --> 1:55:43.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know if that'll generate any board member follow up questions, but again, it's it's advisory in nature.

1:55:43.720 --> 1:56:0.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The primary thing I'm trying to pull out here is that a district that cannot get to 5% is saying that once they get to 5%, it must be maintained at that level, which is sort of doubling down on the very thing we were concerned about.

1:56:2.800 --> 1:56:4.330

Dave G. Rhodes

It may be germane to this point.

1:56:4.340 --> 1:56:6.340

Dave G. Rhodes

I just wanted to let you know that Mary Fertig had her hand up.

1:56:7.210 --> 1:56:8.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, I can't.

1:56:8.110 --> 1:56:8.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

1:56:8.410 --> 1:56:10.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes, Mary can't.

1:56:9.950 --> 1:56:13.580

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yeah, I feel like we have sent them our motion and plenty of time then.

1:56:13.590 --> 1:56:19.60

EXT - Mary Fertig

Now that you've shared with us, what's the backup for the board agenda item is they've considered our motion.

1:56:19.470 --> 1:56:20.380

EXT - Mary Fertig

It's in the board.

1:56:20.390 --> 1:56:21.820

EXT - Mary Fertig

It it's in the board packet.

1:56:21.830 --> 1:56:24.70

EXT - Mary Fertig

Hopefully they're going to see it.

1:56:25.230 --> 1:56:33.810

EXT - Mary Fertig

The three items that we raised, but as you said were only advisory and they either have or haven't incorporated it in the final version.

1:56:33.820 --> 1:56:35.170

EXT - Mary Fertig

So I don't know.

1:56:45.210 --> 1:56:45.550

Lew Naylor

Setting.

1:56:45.700 --> 1:56:46.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

1:56:35.580 --> 1:56:47.80

EXT - Mary Fertig

I don't know what more we can do on this issue other than individually talk to the board members about how we why we came up with what we came up on agencies.

1:56:46.360 --> 1:57:12.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If I have time I right, if I have time, since if I take the approach that they just can't seem to write policy, I might actually because the manatee languages so short I might throw that into if we were going to draft it, it's it would probably like what would that look like when we say incorporate the manatee language umm as a suggestion to further demonstrate for the school board.

1:57:12.220 --> 1:57:15.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But I mean, at this point, we've LED them to water.

1:57:15.200 --> 1:57:17.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's in two places on the agenda.

1:57:17.780 --> 1:57:20.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Everybody should talk to their appointing board member.

1:57:20.620 --> 1:57:23.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I can't do that because I don't have one anymore.

1:57:23.460 --> 1:57:28.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But but sharing what we passed and why?

1:57:28.900 --> 1:57:31.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, we'll see how that goes.

1:57:31.10 --> 1:57:33.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then they either do it or they don't do it.

1:57:33.360 --> 1:57:36.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But keep in mind a couple of things.

1:57:36.520 --> 1:57:39.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we talked about the 10% wait.

1:57:39.700 --> 1:57:40.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hold on.

1:57:40.320 --> 1:57:43.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Where's the 10% of capital projects?

1:57:43.840 --> 1:58:1.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So one of the things we used to have Once Upon a time and when I talked about to other

people about not on this committee about Jen, I mentioned that this fund balance policy, they keep saying the word hurricane to me Once Upon a time, there used to be a reserve for hurricanes.

1:58:3.720 --> 1:58:7.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And if we were to have a a catastrophic hurricane.

1:58:9.360 --> 1:58:26.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

With the idea that you would have 10% of the current fiscal years estimated capital improvement less than 10% of the fiscal years contingency fund, I don't know, capital improvement, tax revenues, I don't know what this adds up to.

1:58:26.190 --> 1:58:40.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So this 10% because I was thinking it was of capital projects, Capital Projects, Fund reserve a contingency balance of not less than 10% of the current fiscal years, estimated capital improvement, tax revenues.

1:58:42.540 --> 1:58:51.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So if they're saying the mileage and look at what that would look like, because if that were the case, they may have enough.

1:58:51.570 --> 1:58:59.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In the case of a catastrophic hurricane, but if there's a catastrophic hurricane, you have to start your improvements before FEMA will give you money.

1:59:1.300 --> 1:59:5.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm does anyone know the district is self insured I believe.

1:59:9.920 --> 1:59:11.60

Jennifer D. Andreu

I believe that's correct.

1:59:9.590 --> 1:59:11.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes, in terms of insurance.

1:59:12.400 --> 1:59:14.740

Dave G. Rhodes

It's my understanding that the district is self insured.

1:59:15.870 --> 1:59:35.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so if there's a catastrophic hurricane and the district is self insured, what is the district on the hook for in terms of, uh, does it work the same way from an insurance standpoint where you have a deductible or money you know or does it that the same when you're self insured?

1:59:36.640 --> 1:59:38.710

Dave G. Rhodes

That would be something I would have to follow up with you on.

1:59:40.160 --> 1:59:51.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK deductible questionmark, because if there is a deductible, I would think that the district would have to have any potential deductible on hand going into hurricane season.

1:59:52.350 --> 1:59:54.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, but that's just me.

1:59:54.90 --> 1:59:57.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So anyway, that's they're bringing that forward.

1:59:57.470 --> 2:0:10.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I would think the timing of this is so that as they go into budgeting season, because you have the DEFP and the budget that will be approved in the first round is July, August and then it's finalized in September.

2:0:10.310 --> 2:0:34.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So hence the rush to get this on the books, whatever changes so that they can remember we had conversations, there's 53 million uh and committed that is for workers comp and self insurance and this new policy would make it easier to move that around.

2:0:37.770 --> 2:0:40.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that those were some of our concerns.

2:0:40.250 --> 2:0:43.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So anyway, that's what's happening next week.

2:0:44.500 --> 2:0:45.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's one of the PP items.

2:0:45.960 --> 2:0:47.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Oh, Mr Demayo, you have your hand up? Yes.

2:0:48.580 --> 2:0:49.630

Anthony De

Yeah.

2:0:49.740 --> 2:0:53.890

Anthony De

Was it workers comp and health insurance or workers comp and self insurance?

2:0:57.340 --> 2:0:57.670

Anthony De

Thank you.

2:0:56.980 --> 2:0:57.980

Lew Naylor

With health insurance.

2:0:56.650 --> 2:0:58.301

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, hold on, i.e.

2:0:57.970 --> 2:0:58.510

Anthony De

Thanks.

2:0:59.390 --> 2:1:3.230

Dave G. Rhodes

That that's another question that I'll have to get the answer to and and follow up with me.

2:1:2.210 --> 2:1:4.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But all I think I have the resolution.

2:1:4.730 --> 2:1:5.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hang on.

2:1:5.400 --> 2:1:8.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, we'll go see has.

2:1:8.90 --> 2:1:9.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I sometimes, yeah.

2:1:9.700 --> 2:1:13.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's for insurance, but to answer your question, not sure.

2:1:15.660 --> 2:1:18.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, I'm trying to see.

2:1:18.290 --> 2:1:20.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I know I pulled the oh minutes.

2:1:20.620 --> 2:1:22.720

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What's this unbalance?

2:1:27.740 --> 2:1:27.990

Anthony De

OK.

2:1:25.380 --> 2:1:28.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Shoot one of these.

2:1:28.230 --> 2:1:29.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Is it I think.

2:1:29.290 --> 2:1:35.150

Anthony De

I I think combined there were over 50 million, 50 something and they were approximately 3%.

2:1:36.120 --> 2:1:36.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I found it.

2:1:36.140 --> 2:1:38.20

Anthony De

Ohh the OK.

2:1:38.530 --> 2:1:39.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Give me one SEC.

2:1:40.170 --> 2:1:40.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Pull it back up.

2:1:45.40 --> 2:1:45.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

2:1:46.810 --> 2:1:49.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, so this is from August 2013.

2:1:52.580 --> 2:1:56.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It is recommended that the school board approve Resolution 14-56.

2:1:57.260 --> 2:2:7.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It was an estimated 53 million, 25 estimated reserve for health insurance and 28 million for workers comp and general liability.

2:2:9.390 --> 2:2:9.740

Anthony De

Yes.

2:2:9.750 --> 2:2:15.750

Anthony De

So self insurance generally at least that which I'm familiar with.

2:2:16.620 --> 2:2:16.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mm-hmm.

2:2:23.950 --> 2:2:24.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:2:17.50 --> 2:2:37.30

Anthony De

Uh, you're putting aside funds, hopefully based on some actuarial assumptions and they're so there is no deductible, it's whatever funds you have available and you know I would say that that might be an area to explore.

2:2:38.410 --> 2:2:43.940

Anthony De

Uh, for the for Mr Rhodes to explore, if that.

2:3:0.770 --> 2:3:1.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

2:2:45.850 --> 2:3:2.280

Anthony De

Hurricane Fund, whatever the purpose and name of it is, is actuarial actuarially determined and that the reserves are sufficient that that, that, that's yeah.

2:3:3.110 --> 2:3:7.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I may have confused the Conflated II bounce from one to the other.

2:3:7.290 --> 2:3:19.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They deductible for if the deductible I was asking about what had to do with the facilities and how their insured, which I think that too is self insured.

2:3:19.610 --> 2:3:25.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That's separate from this 28 and 25 million those two buckets.

2:3:25.320 --> 2:3:25.590

Lew Naylor

Right.

2:3:25.600 --> 2:3:28.570

Lew Naylor

But based on self insurance, there is no deductible usually.

2:3:30.550 --> 2:3:31.150

Anthony De

That's right.

2:3:30.850 --> 2:3:38.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, for the the I I hear you, but I guess that's to get clarity.

2:3:38.440 --> 2:3:47.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So if we have a catastrophic hurricane, then I guess if there's no deductible, then what do we what happens?

2:3:47.630 --> 2:3:55.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because you have to show FEMA that you have started a project and then FEMA reimburses, they don't fund.

2:3:55.760 --> 2:3:56.70

Anthony De

Yeah.

2:3:56.80 --> 2:3:57.110

Anthony De

Those those funds.

2:4:9.300 --> 2:4:9.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:4:15.250 --> 2:4:15.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mm-hmm.

2:3:57.120 --> 2:4:20.250

Anthony De

That's why I mentioned that perhaps on another subject we might have that that be a risk that should be addressed by the internal audit department and those are funds they should be cash on hand or cash on cash equivalents on hand, ready to use in the event of a catastrophe.

2:4:20.860 --> 2:4:21.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:4:20.560 --> 2:4:39.320

Anthony De

And there are very insurance companies are required by the Office of Insurance Regulation and other rules to determine the reserves for hurricanes based on, you know, is it a one in 100 year or one in 500 year?

2:4:40.400 --> 2:4:42.960

Anthony De

This is really important and.

2:4:44.910 --> 2:4:55.930

Anthony De

Given that we're such a big school district, this may be a subject that should rise near the top in terms of risk assessment and should be given some attention by the internal auditors, in my opinion.

2:4:58.360 --> 2:5:5.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't remember hurricane specifically coming up during the Carr Riggs Ingram Risk assessment.

2:5:5.280 --> 2:5:7.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It may be that we were focused on other risks.

2:5:9.600 --> 2:5:17.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But yeah, I think this would be good for given that in August we'll be sort of going into the heat the, the.

2:5:19.970 --> 2:5:24.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know what the right phrase is, but the the height, the height of hurricane season.

2:5:27.540 --> 2:5:30.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I I went through my worst hurricane back in.

2:5:32.340 --> 2:5:34.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

1990 or her Hugo, anyway.

2:5:34.760 --> 2:5:36.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

August, September.

2:5:36.220 --> 2:5:37.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I always get really nervous.

2:5:37.700 --> 2:5:47.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The end of August and the first few weeks of September, so if we're meeting on August 8th, it would seem having some follow up on this topic would be good.

2:5:49.500 --> 2:5:56.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Regardless of what you know, we can't stop the board from voting how they're going to vote, but this should be factored into that.

2:5:57.580 --> 2:5:59.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, some answers to these questions.

2:6:2.470 --> 2:6:2.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

2:5:58.570 --> 2:6:3.780

Anthony De

Madam, I I I did want to comment on one previous matter.

2:6:11.960 --> 2:6:12.260

Lew Naylor
Anything.

2:6:14.330 --> 2:6:14.780

Lew Naylor
80%.

2:6:4.250 --> 2:6:19.370

Anthony De

I I I kind of like the idea that we're forcing ohh the district to maintain 5% or if if not the Superintendent is to required to notify the board.

2:6:19.380 --> 2:6:20.370

Anthony De

I think that's good.

2:6:20.600 --> 2:6:23.180

Anthony De

I mean, we should strive to have a a solid.

2:6:30.210 --> 2:6:30.390

Lew Naylor
It's.

2:6:25.120 --> 2:6:37.170

Anthony De

You know a good amount of reserves and when you consider of the 5% already we have 3% or more in those two contingency funds.

2:6:38.40 --> 2:6:51.330

Anthony De

So we're not talking a whole lot if if the 53 or 55 million represents 3% and 5% would be another you know 20 something million in unassigned.

2:6:53.200 --> 2:6:53.490

Anthony De

Uh.

2:6:53.150 --> 2:6:53.510

Lew Naylor

But.

2:6:53.500 --> 2:6:56.370

Anthony De

Contingency funds or fund balance.

2:6:56.520 --> 2:6:59.0

Anthony De

So I think that's not a bad idea.

2:6:59.10 --> 2:6:59.960

Anthony De

I I kind of like it.

2:7:1.120 --> 2:7:11.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I guess my concern is whether they would get there and I see Lou just put his hand up if they would get there because they move the 53 million into a signed and unassigned.

2:7:13.410 --> 2:7:15.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because getting back to the language.

2:7:19.710 --> 2:7:22.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because you really have to pay attention to the words they're using.

2:7:22.560 --> 2:7:23.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But I'll share the screen.

2:7:23.800 --> 2:7:27.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Again, Lou, you have a question or comment, let me get back to.

2:7:27.50 --> 2:7:27.700

Lew Naylor

Yeah.

2:7:27.710 --> 2:7:40.600

Lew Naylor

My question is that the resolution setting up the 53 million that doesn't adjust, that's not adjusted or indexed for any price increases.

2:7:41.640 --> 2:7:41.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:7:40.930 --> 2:7:57.460

Lew Naylor

So we're actually at that point underinsured, I believe, and the other point that I wanted to bring up was some self insured entities do have catastrophic coverage and I don't know if the district has any type of catastrophic coverage.

2:8:0.50 --> 2:8:2.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, that's yeah, that's a good follow-up point.

2:8:3.390 --> 2:8:4.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know either.

2:8:5.120 --> 2:8:8.230

Jennifer D. Andreu

I believe we do, but Miss Aston could answer that.

2:8:9.660 --> 2:8:10.390

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Henry.

2:8:10.400 --> 2:8:14.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, yes, should be able to answer that.

2:8:14.700 --> 2:8:18.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, so catastrophic coverage.

2:8:21.910 --> 2:8:22.30

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yes.

2:8:20.630 --> 2:8:22.470

Dave G. Rhodes

Madam Chair, may I respond to Mr Naylor?

2:8:24.520 --> 2:8:24.790

Anthony De

OK.

2:8:24.240 --> 2:8:35.800

Dave G. Rhodes

Uh, Mr Naylor, just to be clear on what you just said, are you talking about the difference between 53 million and 2014 dollars versus what that would amount to today?

2:8:36.960 --> 2:8:37.990

Lew Naylor

You are absolutely correct.

2:8:38.480 --> 2:8:39.880

Dave G. Rhodes

OK, I'll check that out as well.

2:8:41.930 --> 2:8:42.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:8:42.330 --> 2:8:43.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So yeah.

2:8:45.70 --> 2:8:50.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because yeah, it it they're not the same 11 years later.

2:8:52.100 --> 2:8:53.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Come on, make make myself a note.

2:8:53.700 --> 2:8:56.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Time value of money.

2:8:58.30 --> 2:8:58.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:8:58.980 --> 2:9:0.750

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, alright.

2:9:0.760 --> 2:9:3.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then getting back to what they're proposing.

2:9:5.560 --> 2:9:6.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Closed it.

2:9:6.430 --> 2:9:8.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Rats. Uh.

2:9:9.100 --> 2:9:9.410

Anthony De

Yeah.

2:9:9.420 --> 2:9:10.890

Anthony De

While you're at it, Madam Chair?

2:9:10.950 --> 2:9:13.130

Anthony De

Yeah, that's an excellent point.

2:9:13.240 --> 2:9:18.330

Anthony De

The time value of money, but there are many other factors that go into that.

2:9:18.710 --> 2:9:18.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mm-hmm.

2:9:18.390 --> 2:9:25.470

Anthony De

And again on an actuarial basis, someone should be taking a look at that to see if the census is the same.

2:9:25.540 --> 2:9:35.630

Anthony De

Maybe there are fewer employees, don't know, and maybe insurance rates have gone down or the costs have gone down.

2:9:35.640 --> 2:9:38.890

Anthony De

But that is really these things should be looked into.

2:9:39.40 --> 2:9:42.930

Anthony De

Absolutely and should be high on the risk assessment matrix.

2:9:45.70 --> 2:9:45.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

2:9:45.590 --> 2:9:46.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we need to look up.

2:9:46.850 --> 2:9:59.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes needs to go look at the risk that the CRI risk assessment and see where that fell, by the way, while you were switching devices, I volunteered you for the nominating committee meeting.

2:9:59.810 --> 2:10:2.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are you available in person right before our August 8th meeting?

2:10:3.880 --> 2:10:5.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are and are you OK with that?

2:10:4.150 --> 2:10:7.850

Anthony De

Ohh well, you know it depends on what time.

2:10:7.860 --> 2:10:8.670

Anthony De

I've gotta get up.

2:10:8.680 --> 2:10:9.390

Anthony De

What time is that?

2:10:10.460 --> 2:10:12.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Aren't I think all our meetings are at 9:30.

2:10:12.900 --> 2:10:15.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We could push it like they did before to 940.

2:10:14.910 --> 2:10:16.340

Anthony De

No, no, I'm not.

2:10:16.400 --> 2:10:17.760

Anthony De

I'm just kidding at that.

2:10:17.610 --> 2:10:18.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh.

2:10:17.770 --> 2:10:19.190

Anthony De

That'll be fun. Yeah.

2:10:21.10 --> 2:10:21.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:10:21.790 --> 2:10:23.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Alright, I'll take that as a yes.

2:10:23.310 --> 2:10:28.520

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Alright, so I could just have to check with Ruth or Phyllis to see which one, and then it'll be you Medvin.

2:10:28.530 --> 2:10:36.940

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And whichever one of them is available, OK, this is the language they have here, cuz you were liking the 5%.

2:10:37.0 --> 2:10:37.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So it's.

2:10:38.300 --> 2:10:43.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see that does not include restricted, committed or non spendable of 5% of the total.

2:10:43.890 --> 2:10:47.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That includes 3% in unassigned uh.

2:10:49.800 --> 2:10:51.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

3% and on his side.

2:10:51.160 --> 2:10:56.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So yeah, just make sure cause, uh, that this paragraph captures what you think.

2:10:57.70 --> 2:10:57.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:10:58.200 --> 2:11:1.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What you're imagining, as I got a.

2:11:0.770 --> 2:11:1.620

Anthony De

Well, what what?

2:11:1.890 --> 2:11:6.100

Anthony De

Where is the, where are those that 53 million is that assigned?

2:11:9.510 --> 2:11:11.400

Anthony De

It's in committee, OK.

2:11:6.980 --> 2:11:12.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's in committed, so remember I I believe.

2:11:12.710 --> 2:11:13.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hold on.

2:11:14.880 --> 2:11:15.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Please hold.

2:11:16.410 --> 2:11:17.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It is going.

2:11:17.920 --> 2:11:19.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It's in the in committed.

2:11:20.60 --> 2:11:20.570

Anthony De

Committed.

2:11:20.620 --> 2:11:23.460

Anthony De

OK, so how does that affect the 5%?

2:11:27.190 --> 2:11:28.430

Lew Naylor

It's part of the 5%.

2:11:29.30 --> 2:11:29.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:11:29.270 --> 2:11:30.430

Anthony De

It's part of the 5%.

2:11:31.960 --> 2:11:32.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I.

2:11:31.230 --> 2:11:37.840

Anthony De

So all they have to come up with is 2% in assigned and unassigned is the way I read that.

2:11:37.230 --> 2:11:38.260

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no, no.

2:11:38.490 --> 2:11:55.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, no, it says the Superintendent will plan to attain a general fund ending fund balance in assigned and unassigned, IE does not include restricted, committed or non spendable of 5% of the total annual general fund revenues.

2:11:55.110 --> 2:11:57.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That includes 3% in unassigned.

2:12:12.250 --> 2:12:12.640

Anthony De

I said.

2:11:57.550 --> 2:12:14.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So what they're saying is assigned will be 2% and 3% will be unassigned and that does not include what is in committed, non spendable or restricted because usually you're looking at assigned and unassigned.

2:12:13.240 --> 2:12:19.80

Lew Naylor

Now I EII think they're explaining what unassigned.

2:12:21.920 --> 2:12:22.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And assign.

2:12:21.940 --> 2:12:27.470

Lew Naylor

I and when I read that, I thought that the 3% is included in the 5%.

2:12:27.820 --> 2:12:30.710

Lew Naylor

If you look at the other districts policies.

2:12:30.570 --> 2:12:31.130

Anthony De

I do.

2:12:34.120 --> 2:12:35.120

Lew Naylor

I'm sorry, Mr Demayo.

2:12:35.680 --> 2:12:37.350

Anthony De

I'm sorry, I I said that.

2:12:37.360 --> 2:12:39.0

Anthony De

That's how I read it as well.

2:12:38.950 --> 2:12:40.40

Lew Naylor

Yes, yes.

2:12:42.970 --> 2:12:43.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mm-hmm.

2:12:40.210 --> 2:12:43.840

Lew Naylor

Because if you look at the other districts policies, that's how it's explained.

2:12:45.940 --> 2:12:47.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, right.

2:12:47.280 --> 2:12:50.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, they're saying assigned and unassigned would be 5%.

2:12:51.670 --> 2:13:3.290

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And so they're and they're specifying that 3% of the 5% would be an unassigned, which mathematically means the other 2% would be in a signed.

2:13:9.690 --> 2:13:31.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Superintendent will plan to attain a general fund ending fund balance in the assigned and unassigned fund balances, IE does not include restricted, committed or non spendable fund balances of 5% of the total general Fund revenues that includes 3% in the unassigned fund balance.

2:13:34.300 --> 2:13:48.790

Dave G. Rhodes

And that means from what I'm what I'm reading right now is that means that the 53 million or whatever that number is now based on how it may or may not have changed over the past 10 years, would not be part of this 5%.

2:13:49.270 --> 2:13:51.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Correct, because it's currently in committed.

2:13:54.850 --> 2:13:56.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It hasn't moved from committed.

2:14:1.720 --> 2:14:2.440

Anthony De

Well, I think.

2:14:1.620 --> 2:14:5.770

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Now they could move it from committed to part.

2:14:11.710 --> 2:14:12.110

Anthony De

Yeah.

2:14:2.980 --> 2:14:12.250

Lew Naylor

That's contrary to every that's contrary to every other districts policy I I think there needs to be some clarity on that because if you.

2:14:12.170 --> 2:14:14.100

Anthony De

Yeah, sorry.

2:14:14.640 --> 2:14:15.300

Lew Naylor

That's OK.

2:14:15.310 --> 2:14:15.750

Lew Naylor
Go ahead, Sir.

2:14:16.830 --> 2:14:17.160

Anthony De
Well.

2:14:18.60 --> 2:14:18.600

Anthony De
Umm.

2:14:18.840 --> 2:14:19.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Well, let's look.

2:14:24.550 --> 2:14:26.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
That's right.

2:14:19.360 --> 2:14:59.130

Anthony De
If if this is adopted, they'll be immediately out of compliance, we do not have 5% and and and therefore I don't think they're gonna pass it, but I agree with Mr Naylor as I read it, I, and as we discussed, I thought the those contingent funds would be part of making up the 5%, but that should be clarified because otherwise, if this is passed, and I doubt that it will be 5% would be, you know, a a large number of probably be about 80 or 90 million that we would be required to have in assigned.

2:14:59.230 --> 2:15:0.940

Anthony De
And unassigned and I don't think we have it.

2:15:0.950 --> 2:15:2.20

Anthony De
I don't think we've ever had that.

2:15:2.830 --> 2:15:3.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Right.

2:15:3.170 --> 2:15:8.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, that's why I keep reading this because they're not saying the 5% would include committed.

2:15:8.520 --> 2:15:11.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What I think and remember, we've had this discussion.

2:15:11.470 --> 2:15:20.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If you move the 53 million out of committed and put it in a signed, then you're trying to get 3% from somewhere else.

2:15:21.240 --> 2:15:41.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If that adds up, or they could be splitting it and putting something, I don't know how they put it in unassigned, but they could conceivably try to move it from committed to a signed and that's why they're vehemently adhering to this 5% because they know they can't pull it for just anything, but they want to be able to get to it.

2:15:42.160 --> 2:15:47.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So, umm, so let me pull up manatee real quick.

2:15:48.560 --> 2:15:51.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then we did have in our earlier meetings.

2:15:52.970 --> 2:15:56.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, so let's see what, let me blow this one up.

2:15:56.180 --> 2:15:57.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we can read it.

2:15:57.260 --> 2:16:4.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This the reason I keep bringing up manatee and I'll pull up Palm Beach and Miami Dade is Manatee was taken over by the state.

2:16:4.520 --> 2:16:14.500

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they didn't have an audit committee and they implemented an audit committee and then they just did this policy, as you can see, the last revision was this year.

2:16:15.260 --> 2:16:25.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, so let's see an adequate fund balance reserve is necessary to cover unforeseen events, revenue shortfall, student enrollment under projections, et cetera.

2:16:25.580 --> 2:16:33.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Annual budget shall plan for a general fund reserve not classified as restricted, committed or non spendable of at least 5%.

2:16:33.600 --> 2:16:52.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they are aiming for the same thing, and they're saying that 3% would be a statutory reserve and a 2% strategic reserve and the and an additional contingency fund of up to 3 1/2% or provide the board with a written report explaining why these targets are not feasible.

2:16:53.750 --> 2:16:59.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Remember, some of these other districts already have 5 and 6%.

2:16:59.830 --> 2:17:4.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We don't let me go to an earlier meeting.

2:17:5.560 --> 2:17:7.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, where we did.

2:17:11.850 --> 2:17:12.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And if we tackle this?

2:17:18.240 --> 2:17:19.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In general fund.

2:17:19.480 --> 2:17:21.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh we did this June 6th, so let's see.

2:17:22.290 --> 2:17:24.0

EXT - Mary Fertig

There's also a you looking for.

2:17:24.10 --> 2:17:26.960

EXT - Mary Fertig

The fund balance goes on to June 20th, meeting it's on there.

2:17:27.560 --> 2:17:34.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm looking for when we looked at the other ones I just found, so we had Miami Dade Fund Balance Reserve fund balance.

2:17:34.430 --> 2:17:36.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So let's pull up Miami Dade ohf.

2:17:37.10 --> 2:17:38.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Why are these things always so little?

2:17:38.900 --> 2:17:40.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, OK, hang on.

2:17:42.800 --> 2:17:44.120

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm ohh.

2:17:44.190 --> 2:17:47.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This doesn't even give us Spence.

2:17:48.70 --> 2:17:51.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

General Fund Reserve shall be limited to funds on anticipated.

2:17:54.240 --> 2:17:54.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Oh, sorry.

2:17:55.180 --> 2:18:7.190

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The Superintendent will target 5 1/2% of the general funds ending fund balance, not classified as restricted committed or non spendable as a percentage of the total general fund revenues at fiscal year end.

2:18:7.720 --> 2:18:10.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You have to comply with Gatsby 54.

2:18:10.400 --> 2:18:14.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Here are the following categories and so basically.

2:18:15.670 --> 2:18:26.40

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Assigned and unassigned are the two are the ones that remain after restricted, committed or non spendable assigned amounts.

2:18:26.50 --> 2:18:28.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The board intends to use for a specific purpose.

2:18:28.610 --> 2:18:33.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Intent can be expressed by the board or by official or Committee to which the board delegates authority.

2:18:34.150 --> 2:18:36.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Unassigned amounts that are available for any purpose.

2:18:36.610 --> 2:18:40.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

These amounts are reported only in the general Fund so.

2:18:42.740 --> 2:18:53.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

General Fund reserve use so they have extraordinary needs such as natural disasters, whether hurricanes, yada yada may not be used to fund settlements.

2:18:53.910 --> 2:18:57.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they have 5 1/2% and I believe they're actually there.

2:18:59.700 --> 2:19:0.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:19:2.300 --> 2:19:4.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Now they may not.

2:19:4.240 --> 2:19:12.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If memory serves, I think Miami Dade does committed differently, which is one reason they're able to get so high.

2:19:12.300 --> 2:19:16.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There's a way that they're treat there's a a way they're treating the committed fund balance.

2:19:18.470 --> 2:19:21.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, I vaguely remember so Palm Beach.

2:19:27.650 --> 2:19:28.110

Lew Naylor

My number.

2:19:27.710 --> 2:19:34.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm stablishment of a fund balance 3% transfers from UH.

2:19:34.660 --> 2:19:36.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Build a fund balance of 1/2 percent.

2:19:39.250 --> 2:19:40.650

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they have 3%.

2:19:43.380 --> 2:19:43.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

2:19:46.90 --> 2:19:46.370

Lew Naylor
Number.

2:19:46.700 --> 2:19:50.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
UTILIZATION of the fund balance, so Palm Beach has.

2:19:53.320 --> 2:19:55.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I'm looking to see if they break it down.

2:19:56.160 --> 2:19:56.440

Lew Naylor
Nothing.

2:20:0.410 --> 2:20:11.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Yeah, they don't really get into the different categories, which was another one of our concerns is where, where, where the, you know, where did the different balances reside.

2:20:11.870 --> 2:20:13.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So Palm Beach is not a good one.

2:20:14.30 --> 2:20:20.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So Miami Dade, Orange County, I think is the one that comes up with the 10% for capital, uh.

2:20:23.30 --> 2:20:23.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
That's it, yeah.

2:20:25.390 --> 2:20:28.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
General funds includes a contingency of not less than 3%.

2:20:31.350 --> 2:20:33.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
And should be classified as part of the unassigned.

2:20:33.920 --> 2:20:35.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This isn't even assigned.

2:20:35.180 --> 2:20:36.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is just unassigned.

2:20:39.70 --> 2:20:39.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

She.

2:20:41.730 --> 2:20:43.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then they can assign portions.

2:20:45.440 --> 2:20:45.900

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Missions.

2:20:47.410 --> 2:20:59.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the 10%, they did pick this up from Orange County, pretty much verbatim capital projects, 10% of the current year's estimated capital improvement tax revenues.

2:21:1.520 --> 2:21:3.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But they too do not identify.

2:21:5.160 --> 2:21:5.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

2:21:5.640 --> 2:21:18.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

The different categories which are stated in Umm statute, so manatee is the only one and which is why I keep going back to it.

2:21:21.380 --> 2:21:26.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Because it identifies the different categories and then speaks to.

2:21:28.280 --> 2:21:36.200

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Where each one goes but to everybody's point, we're not at 5%, I believe in next week's.

2:21:39.610 --> 2:21:47.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Agenda that there is the fund balance is up to 3.89.

2:21:49.710 --> 2:21:51.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Should be an F item next week.

2:21:54.460 --> 2:21:54.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

See.

2:21:53.180 --> 2:22:0.780

Dave G. Rhodes

Madam Chair, in the event that his comment is in line with what you're talking about right now, I just want to let you know that Mister Medvin has his hand up.

2:22:1.660 --> 2:22:6.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, Mr Medvin, I'm just trying to get to the financials.

2:22:7.310 --> 2:22:8.400

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You have a question or comment?

2:22:9.870 --> 2:22:10.550

Andrew Medvin

Yes, I do.

2:22:11.260 --> 2:22:11.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:22:18.390 --> 2:22:18.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What's that?

2:22:24.850 --> 2:22:25.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

2:22:11.490 --> 2:22:31.790

Andrew Medvin

You just spent a bit of time when we all listened and tried to read for the language that is proposed to the school board and the language of the of the that we just set up there and read of the of the of this proposed policy and you and I and Mr Demayo were trying to interpret what this was saying.

2:22:32.210 --> 2:22:40.360

Andrew Medvin

And we have a difficult time at best and you're going back to other examples which are most of them more concise.

2:22:40.720 --> 2:22:47.630

Andrew Medvin

If this is going to the school board in this format, if we don't understand it, how in the world are they going to understand it?

2:22:47.920 --> 2:22:55.750

Andrew Medvin

I mean, this is a an A sense, a waste of time and I intend to make a phone call to my to my, to my school board member and say this is ridiculous.

2:22:56.0 --> 2:23:2.740

Andrew Medvin

It's if we can't understand it and had to reread it and reread it to to try to make sense of it, what are they gonna do with it?

2:23:4.260 --> 2:23:9.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I I think you make an excellent point, which is why I'll be sending I.

2:23:9.120 --> 2:23:14.610

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm gonna emphasize the Manatee County one because that's the clearest one.

2:23:14.620 --> 2:23:20.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, actually everybody, I think manatee and then orange are pretty clear.

2:23:20.520 --> 2:23:29.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Manatee is the one that is probably the most conservative because they were taken over by the state and the staff.

2:23:29.440 --> 2:23:37.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We keep telling them to please incorporate the language, but then they come back at us with something this convoluted. So.

2:23:36.600 --> 2:23:38.410

Andrew Medvin

You have the language is ridiculous, so I'm I'm.

2:23:38.910 --> 2:23:39.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:23:40.360 --> 2:23:40.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, yeah.

2:23:38.420 --> 2:23:40.920

Andrew Medvin

I'm sorry, I mean, this is the.

2:23:43.610 --> 2:23:44.870

Andrew Medvin

I know we all were reading it.

2:23:40.830 --> 2:23:45.730

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We I read it three times to make sure we got on the same what?

2:23:44.930 --> 2:23:48.880

Andrew Medvin

It's it's, it's it's it's it's it's not doable in this way.

2:23:48.710 --> 2:23:49.230

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

2:23:49.430 --> 2:23:51.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I just wanna show you where they are.

2:23:52.80 --> 2:23:55.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

As of right now, as of May actually I take that back.

2:23:55.100 --> 2:24:0.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me get to ABCDH comes before H is the 1st letter.

2:24:0.380 --> 2:24:5.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hold on, ABCDEFF interim financial statements.

2:24:5.140 --> 2:24:6.330

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, I think this is it.

2:24:6.980 --> 2:24:13.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

F2 next week I believe has the updated.

2:24:13.120 --> 2:24:15.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You should look somewhat familiar.

2:24:15.440 --> 2:24:16.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Updated fund balance.

2:24:16.580 --> 2:24:16.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What?

2:24:16.830 --> 2:24:17.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

There we go.

2:24:18.280 --> 2:24:39.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we're at 3.89, I believe as of April, we were at 3.72 and I have not had time to go

reconcile where the differences theirs are 53 and to your point maybe they have done something with the time value of money because this is at 54 and I think they put in 53 million in committed.

2:24:40.640 --> 2:24:45.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know what's in restricted, but we should be able to ask and find that out.

2:24:45.960 --> 2:24:49.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Then they have non spendable and assigned and unassigned.

2:24:49.680 --> 2:24:55.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I don't know what makes up these different categories and what caused this to go up.

2:24:55.610 --> 2:24:58.130

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Up increase from three.

2:24:58.140 --> 2:25:6.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I want to say it was 3.72 in April to 3.89, where the revenues higher.

2:25:5.270 --> 2:25:8.330

EXT - Mary Fertig

Hip US, I pulled it up.

2:25:8.340 --> 2:25:8.720

EXT - Mary Fertig

It was.

2:25:7.470 --> 2:25:9.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It was OK.

2:25:10.210 --> 2:25:11.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I haven't had a chance to go.

2:25:12.260 --> 2:25:14.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Sort of do the exercise of looking.

2:25:14.430 --> 2:25:17.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I mean the beginning fund balance would be beginning fund balance.

2:25:17.790 --> 2:25:19.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Did revenues increase?

2:25:19.170 --> 2:25:21.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Did expenditures go down?

2:25:21.350 --> 2:25:23.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

That would be the most likely explanation.

2:25:25.820 --> 2:25:30.150

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I have to go look at that so that I I can convey that.

2:25:30.660 --> 2:25:32.180

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So that's where we are.

2:25:32.560 --> 2:25:36.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And Mr Medvin's point is very well taken.

2:25:39.200 --> 2:25:43.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I find the whole thing sort of unnecessarily convoluted.

2:25:43.100 --> 2:25:46.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I would expect the intro to be crystal clear.

2:25:46.420 --> 2:25:48.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I like the one for Manatee County.

2:25:48.460 --> 2:25:50.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I think that's why we passed the motion.

2:25:51.760 --> 2:25:56.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I'm hoping the board will, you know, send them, either send them back to the drawing.

2:25:56.380 --> 2:25:59.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Well, they would have to send them back to the drawing board.

2:25:59.180 --> 2:26:1.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They do have a workshop.

2:26:3.800 --> 2:26:8.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

On the 30th so they could conceive, but and.

2:26:8.10 --> 2:26:10.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I think that predates when they do the budget.

2:26:10.0 --> 2:26:11.830

Lew Naylor

Firms mental. Mental.

2:26:11.360 --> 2:26:15.740

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they may be able to work this out prior to anyway, that's that.

2:26:18.280 --> 2:26:20.570

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, let me stop sharing so I can see.

2:26:20.780 --> 2:26:23.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Are there any hands I don't see hands?

2:26:23.950 --> 2:26:24.180

Dave G. Rhodes

No.

2:26:24.190 --> 2:26:24.930

Dave G. Rhodes

Hands up at the moment.

2:26:25.450 --> 2:26:25.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:26:26.250 --> 2:26:31.0

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

All right, back to the agenda we just have.

2:26:31.10 --> 2:26:35.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the other proposed so oops, wrong wrong one that's.

2:26:37.640 --> 2:26:37.970

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

2:26:46.110 --> 2:26:46.930

Lew Naylor

And be discussed.

2:26:38.280 --> 2:26:49.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So the last thing for today, so also on the agenda next week, too many things open is the chief auditors job description.

2:26:51.650 --> 2:27:1.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I went back and partially listened to the board chairs comments at the June 25th.

2:27:2.870 --> 2:27:27.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Workshop and the June 25th workshop in the morning we had all of the chairs from the different advisories and we had a lot of housekeeping issues, but we were all there and these comments were made at the end of the day and some of the commentary was that she was waiting for the audit committee to weigh in on the job description.

2:27:28.130 --> 2:27:33.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What we did weigh in on the job description and to my knowledge our recommendations have never changed.

2:27:34.780 --> 2:27:41.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Our recommendations were to keep the the CPA requirement, but not limited to Florida.

2:27:42.640 --> 2:28:1.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, I think we were fine with including other additional certifications, but we never changed our recommendation and I had responded to an email because they were trying to take the job description to the board a couple of months ago.

2:28:2.40 --> 2:28:8.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I said to Lori Alhadeff, I said you guys may need to retasked assign him.

2:28:9.540 --> 2:28:19.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, so she brought the options to the board that they can either wait for us to weigh in on the job description or bring it to the board, have staff bring the job description forward.

2:28:19.790 --> 2:28:22.580

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Last week we looked at the contract.

2:28:25.70 --> 2:28:41.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And the contract the the question mark and this puts Mr Rhodes in sort of a, I don't think he can be the one to follow up on this because it's his contract according to his contract, although I do need to ask him this question.

2:28:41.30 --> 2:28:43.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Rhodes, when was your contract approved again?

2:28:43.670 --> 2:28:44.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I can pull that up.

2:28:45.50 --> 2:28:49.90

Dave G. Rhodes

There was approved April 16th, but retroactively back to April 12th.

2:28:49.670 --> 2:28:53.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK, that's all I need is to know which meeting and so I can pull this up.

2:28:53.790 --> 2:29:12.350

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then, because according to his contract, he is task assigned until you know there is no limit and as may have employee which technically he is would have to be retasked assigned and they could certainly do that or if they're going to alter.

2:29:12.360 --> 2:29:14.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And I guess this is the question for the group.

2:29:15.380 --> 2:29:38.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We never said water down the job description officially as a group and is that still our position or are we willing to have a waiver or a watering down if it's Mr Rhodes because it once they water it down, it opens the the door to having anybody suddenly be qualified.

2:29:38.250 --> 2:29:40.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Once you take that CPA designation off.

2:29:42.400 --> 2:29:43.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

What they are?

2:29:44.740 --> 2:29:49.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Changing it to is is the same thing that they brought forward, but hold on.

2:29:49.100 --> 2:29:55.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let me go to his contract, cause Mr Medvin was not here and we pulled this up.

2:29:57.990 --> 2:30:1.870

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Said April 16th regular school board meeting attitude.

2:30:6.40 --> 2:30:7.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Contract.

2:30:7.540 --> 2:30:9.710

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Was in an HH item.

2:30:16.100 --> 2:30:17.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Mr Rhodes uh.

2:30:21.220 --> 2:30:22.370

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
We are in the H item.

2:30:23.590 --> 2:30:25.420

Dave G. Rhodes
No, I think it was a BB item.

2:30:26.350 --> 2:30:28.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
No, I can't keep up with this alphabet.

2:30:28.430 --> 2:30:29.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
OK, hold on.

2:30:30.350 --> 2:30:34.540

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
The termination General counsel task assigned Chief Auditor.

2:30:34.550 --> 2:30:36.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Here we go, OK.

2:30:35.790 --> 2:30:36.750

Dave G. Rhodes
Also, just what?

2:30:36.760 --> 2:30:40.370

Dave G. Rhodes
Just so you know, uh, while you were looking that up, we got a notification.

2:30:43.230 --> 2:30:44.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Hard stop at noon.

2:30:44.130 --> 2:30:44.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Then.

2:30:44.120 --> 2:30:44.500

Dave G. Rhodes

The meeting.

2:30:45.90 --> 2:30:45.590

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Oh, OK.

2:30:45.600 --> 2:30:46.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, I see.

2:30:46.90 --> 2:30:47.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Hard stop at noon at 1201.

2:30:47.740 --> 2:30:48.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:30:48.560 --> 2:30:51.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, I guess he's still here.

2:30:51.490 --> 2:31:3.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I guess the question is or sort of have we changed our position on the job description, what they're bringing to the board takes away the CPA designation entirely?

2:31:4.900 --> 2:31:6.390

Anthony De

I have to leave but.

2:31:6.400 --> 2:31:6.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

2:31:4.620 --> 2:31:7.190

Dave G. Rhodes

I I thought that I thought I saw it with speak.

2:31:7.460 --> 2:31:8.60

Dave G. Rhodes

I'm sorry, go ahead.

2:31:9.660 --> 2:31:10.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr de Mayo.

2:31:18.20 --> 2:31:18.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:31:9.720 --> 2:31:18.560

Anthony De

I have to leave, but can we just shortcut this and I'd be happy recommending that they just hire Mr Rhodes and move on, I mean.

2:31:18.490 --> 2:31:19.670

EXT - Mary Fertig

Yeah, I'll second that.

2:31:19.680 --> 2:31:20.910

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'll second that, Mister Demaio.

2:31:20.690 --> 2:31:24.640

Anthony De

My goodness, it seems pretty simple and.

2:31:21.960 --> 2:31:26.340

EXT - Mary Fertig

But we can't vote today, but I I think we've had this conversation two weeks in a row.

2:31:27.230 --> 2:31:28.350

Anthony De

Yeah. So.

2:31:28.230 --> 2:31:29.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK so.

2:31:28.470 --> 2:31:33.350

EXT - Mary Fertig

Ohh, about about his about the job that Mister Rhodes has been doing.

2:31:34.50 --> 2:31:34.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Right.

2:31:36.990 --> 2:31:38.10

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Alright so.

2:31:34.940 --> 2:31:42.40

EXT - Mary Fertig

And so as Mr Watts qualified under what's coming to the board as he qualified under what we, I don't know.

2:31:42.350 --> 2:31:43.460

EXT - Mary Fertig

I don't wanna write a policy.

2:31:42.530 --> 2:31:44.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He's not uh.

2:31:44.560 --> 2:31:45.740

EXT - Mary Fertig

I don't want to write a policy.

2:31:46.940 --> 2:31:48.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Job description right?

2:31:47.490 --> 2:31:49.230

EXT - Mary Fertig

The scared to one for a job.

2:31:51.820 --> 2:31:52.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Right and.

2:31:49.240 --> 2:31:53.60

EXT - Mary Fertig
I'm sorry the scared to one person because I don't think that's right.

2:31:53.70 --> 2:31:57.110

EXT - Mary Fertig
I just I'm trying to understand and I agree with Mr Demayo.

2:31:55.720 --> 2:31:59.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So right, I think we all agree.

2:31:59.420 --> 2:32:1.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Well, let me not speak for everybody.

2:32:1.90 --> 2:32:5.410

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
So of the Members still on here, so we have De Meo, we have me, we have you.

2:32:7.310 --> 2:32:12.910

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
I don't think anyone has disagreed in the past with hiring Mr Rhodes so.

2:32:15.220 --> 2:32:26.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
That would be the consensus of this group and I think that was the consensus of the group when we talked about it at our last in person meeting that everybody was happy with Mr Rhodes.

2:32:27.570 --> 2:32:27.670

Lew Naylor
Yes.

2:32:28.590 --> 2:32:29.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
OK.

2:32:29.290 --> 2:32:30.930

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And the that that was you?

2:32:30.980 --> 2:32:31.560

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh Lou.

2:32:31.620 --> 2:32:31.960

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

2:32:31.970 --> 2:32:36.840

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So we have De Meo, we have Fertig, we have Naylor.

2:32:38.570 --> 2:32:40.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Mr Medvin, I think you are.

2:32:40.610 --> 2:32:41.60

Andrew Medvin

I agree.

2:32:41.570 --> 2:32:42.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

You agree.

2:32:42.210 --> 2:32:42.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:32:43.150 --> 2:32:46.860

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And yeah, and then I I seem to remember Strauss was an agreement.

2:32:47.950 --> 2:32:50.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

She may disagree on other things, but she was in agreement on that.

2:32:54.90 --> 2:33:2.510

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So OK, that is what I will take to them because the concern is that I don't know where they're going with this change of job description because the.

2:33:2.120 --> 2:33:2.850

EXT - Mary Fertig

Did you say that?

2:33:3.890 --> 2:33:8.990

EXT - Mary Fertig

I'm sorry, but I just wanted to say, did you tell us at the last meeting that Jackie Strauss is no longer on the committee?

2:33:9.640 --> 2:33:9.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Correct.

2:33:11.300 --> 2:33:12.170

EXT - Mary Fertig

Who is the new member?

2:33:12.980 --> 2:33:13.890

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

His name?

2:33:13.100 --> 2:33:14.120

EXT - Mary Fertig

Or do we not have one?

2:33:14.360 --> 2:33:15.60

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, no.

2:33:15.460 --> 2:33:16.210

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I've never.

2:33:17.400 --> 2:33:18.440

EXT - Mary Fertig

Just so when I'm looking.

2:33:16.300 --> 2:33:19.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

His name is Friedman Friedman.

2:33:16.840 --> 2:33:21.200

Dave G. Rhodes

See Matthew Matthew Friedman is the new member.

2:33:21.780 --> 2:33:21.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah.

2:33:21.750 --> 2:33:22.200

EXT - Mary Fertig

OK.

2:33:22.210 --> 2:33:26.40

EXT - Mary Fertig

I just wanted to say so when I'm looking through these names on these zoom meetings, I can.

2:33:26.350 --> 2:33:26.850

EXT - Mary Fertig

OK.

2:33:26.860 --> 2:33:29.620

EXT - Mary Fertig

Well, we'll as Sir, OK.

2:33:30.560 --> 2:33:38.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, as to my knowledge so far, UMI think Turso is just, you know, out for summer.

2:33:38.700 --> 2:33:42.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Pavel may end up on the Technology Advisory Committee.

2:33:42.60 --> 2:33:43.100

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm I'm trying to.

2:33:43.620 --> 2:33:49.270

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I haven't gotten confirmation, but other than that, those were the only changes.

2:33:50.320 --> 2:33:53.430

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But yes, so the the reason I'm concerned.

2:33:53.440 --> 2:33:59.70

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And so Mr Medvin, just so you know what's on actually on the agenda for next week and.

2:34:1.980 --> 2:34:2.220

Lew Naylor

This is.

2:34:1.950 --> 2:34:3.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'll show you the contract because you were the person.

2:34:4.990 --> 2:34:7.470

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Isn't that wasn't here for this piece of it?

2:34:8.990 --> 2:34:24.600

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So job description for next week, yes, I am loathe to write a job description for a specific person, but I also have a bad feeling in the pit of my stomach about where this might be going.

2:34:25.380 --> 2:34:39.820

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This says if the board votes yes on the job description, the recommended modifications will better align the qualifications of the position based on the expected scope of work being performed district wide and if not, they will not align.

2:34:41.600 --> 2:34:50.620

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It says that the recommended revisions include updates to the qualifications to broaden the pool of candidates and ensure a successful performance in the role.

2:34:51.650 --> 2:34:51.920

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

2:34:53.690 --> 2:34:54.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then what?

2:34:54.290 --> 2:35:4.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They're changing, which does not align with what we had recommended because we were every time we were not on board with taking it out.

2:35:8.210 --> 2:35:11.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Chops sorry, I keep remembering which thing it is.

2:35:12.270 --> 2:35:13.980

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh I'm not sharing sorry.

2:35:13.990 --> 2:35:16.50

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Somebody should told me hang on.

2:35:21.320 --> 2:35:22.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

This is the job description.

2:35:23.710 --> 2:35:24.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:35:25.480 --> 2:35:26.530

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Sharing now.

2:35:26.780 --> 2:35:35.240

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So what they the only real change is in minimum qualifications where they struck the CPA as a minimum.

2:35:36.770 --> 2:35:37.110

Lew Naylor

Anyway.

2:35:36.560 --> 2:35:52.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm and created a laundry list certified in one or more of the following certified Inspector General, certified Inspector General investigator, certified Inspector General, Auditor, certified public accountants, certified internal auditor, certified fraud examiner.

2:35:53.760 --> 2:35:57.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So they took out the CPA requirement.

2:35:57.380 --> 2:36:7.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

They made it 10 years, 10 years of progressively more responsible experience and or training in the field related to the title of the position.

2:36:9.590 --> 2:36:12.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It no longer says, preferably in a governmental agency.

2:36:14.210 --> 2:36:19.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, it no longer specifies construction and auditing experience.

2:36:19.570 --> 2:36:22.440

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So an earned bachelors degree?

2:36:23.230 --> 2:36:24.780

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In a minute. Hold up.

2:36:25.270 --> 2:36:26.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh that's preferred.

2:36:26.470 --> 2:36:26.760

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:36:28.440 --> 2:36:31.850

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And earned bachelor's degree and that's preferred.

2:36:32.740 --> 2:36:33.110

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

OK.

2:36:33.120 --> 2:36:43.250

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So yes, under the new job description, I believe that Mister Rhodes is would be qualified under the current one because he's not a CPA.

2:36:43.260 --> 2:36:45.310

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He is not umm.

2:36:46.480 --> 2:36:47.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I just don't.

2:36:48.700 --> 2:36:52.360

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

In a perfect world, they would be moving on this so that we could.

2:36:54.840 --> 2:36:56.690

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Move ahead with hiring Mr Rhodes.

2:36:56.700 --> 2:36:58.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm just not sure that's where this is going.

2:37:2.230 --> 2:37:4.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And then the other thing was the.

2:37:5.980 --> 2:37:14.680

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Contract, which was done in April, which for those of us who were here, have already seen but Mr Medvin.

2:37:15.930 --> 2:37:16.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh.

2:37:16.850 --> 2:37:19.790

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He thinks it was a bee proclamations.

2:37:19.220 --> 2:37:21.220

Dave G. Rhodes

Yeah, BI think it was a B item.

2:37:21.850 --> 2:37:25.160

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'm getting there task assigned employment agreement.

2:37:25.370 --> 2:37:32.490

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So just to review, LA Blah hereby employs roads as a task assigned chief auditor.

2:37:32.500 --> 2:37:39.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

He accepts employment for a term commencing April 12th and terminating upon any one of the following events, whichever occurs first.

2:37:40.0 --> 2:37:53.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Commencements of the term and assumptions of the Chief auditor of an interim chief auditor, resignation of the task assigned, Chief auditor or termination of the task assigned Chief auditor, with or without cause.

2:37:53.450 --> 2:37:58.80

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

It does have a provision for him to go back to the director position.

2:37:59.700 --> 2:38:1.320

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

We made sure that was in here.

2:38:1.750 --> 2:38:1.970

Dave G. Rhodes

Umm.

2:38:1.500 --> 2:38:5.550

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So you wouldn't be out in the cold, but it doesn't protect him.

2:38:5.560 --> 2:38:10.280

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So he could go back to the director position. Umm.

2:38:11.550 --> 2:38:11.990

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Save it.

2:38:13.170 --> 2:38:15.660

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Yeah, forget where it is in here, but it is in here.

2:38:15.870 --> 2:38:21.380

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So, alright, so 123456.

2:38:21.670 --> 2:38:31.170

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So so far we know that half and like I said it earlier, meetings as far as we can tell, the audit committees in favor of Mr Rhodes as a chief auditor.

2:38:31.180 --> 2:38:36.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So I'll convey that to the board and then certainly though it would help if everybody talks to their.

2:38:38.310 --> 2:38:44.950

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Appointed appointing board member and I don't see hands.

2:38:44.960 --> 2:38:46.800

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I see new notification in chat.

2:38:47.970 --> 2:38:49.880

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Uh, that's the hard stop.

2:38:50.90 --> 2:38:51.420

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

No, it's OK.

2:38:51.490 --> 2:38:52.340

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ali put in.

2:38:52.350 --> 2:38:56.220

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Ohh yeah, you put in the executive summary for fund balance.

2:38:57.150 --> 2:39:2.20

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

So, barring any any further comments cause other than that we're we're clear.

2:39:5.270 --> 2:39:5.700

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm.

2:39:7.700 --> 2:39:10.810

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

As I everybody's quiet as church mice.

2:39:10.860 --> 2:39:13.140

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Umm, so I will convey.

2:39:13.150 --> 2:39:29.450

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'll be at the meeting on the 23rd to and I'll send our motions and make sure that the board is aware that we have motions that tie to Board items and convey a our concerns on the fund balance.

2:39:31.110 --> 2:39:31.630

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Policy.

2:39:33.610 --> 2:39:35.460

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

And let's see what happens.

2:39:35.630 --> 2:39:38.640

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

But other than that, I'll join the meeting.

2:39:39.110 --> 2:39:39.480

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Let's see.

2:39:39.490 --> 2:39:41.90

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Any hands? 12:11 PM.

2:39:42.10 --> 2:39:43.300

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Thank you all very much.

2:39:43.310 --> 2:40:2.830

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

I'll see you guys on August 8th and umm, hopefully we have all of our follow up that we ask for so that we can move ahead and Mr Rhodes actually before I go whatever is required, the agenda planning that we've been doing.

2:40:2.840 --> 2:40:5.670

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

If we roll that forward for August, that would be great.