SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

SPECIAL AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

MICROSOFT TEAMS

THURSDAY, MAY 9, 2024
9:31 A.M. - 11:56 A.M.

1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

2 MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH
  MR. ANTHONY DE MEO
3 DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH
  MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN
4 MR. ANDREW MEDVIN
  MS. JACLYN STRAUSS
5 MR. PETER TURSO
  MR. LEW NAYLOR

6

7 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:

8 MR. DAVE RHODES, Task-Assigned Chief Auditor
  MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director
9 MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Assistant Director IT Audits
  MS. ELENA PRITYKINA, Task-Assigned Manager, Operations
10 MS. NAKIA GOULDBOURNE, Acting Manager, Internal Accounts
  MR. BRYAN ERHARD, System Support Specialist II
11 MS. LAURA WRIGHT, Clerk Spec C
  MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B
12 MS. ELIZABETH F. GABAY, Auditor III, Internal Funds
  MR. JEREMIAH L. CARTER, Auditor III, BTA
13 MS. MARCIA D. ROSENTHAL, Auditor III, Facilities

14 DISTRICT STAFF:

15 DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent,
      Teaching/Learning
16 MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat
      Assessment
17 DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer
  MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations
18
  INVITED GUESTS:
19
  MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting
20 BECON Broadcast
  NRO Admin Mtg
21 Linda Ferrara
  Carolyn Krohn

22

23

24

25

1 Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:

2   - - -

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Good morning everybody. I

4   guess, are we ready to start? It looks like we

5   have a bunch of people here. Are we missing

6   anybody? A few.

7   Mr. Rhodes?

8   MR. TURSO: Excuse me, Madam Chair. Jaclyn,

9   if you can't unmute, it might be because the

10 phone or whatever you're using doesn't have

11 microphone permissions.

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Well, let's go

13 ahead and start. We'll sort out our technical

14 issues. Mr. -- Mr. Rhodes, are you here?

15   MR. RHODES: Yes, I am.

16   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Just checking.

17   All right. So let me go ahead and call this

18 Thursday, May 9th Meeting -- Special Meeting of

19 the Audit Committee to order at 9:31 a.m.

20   First up is the Pledge of Allegiance. I will

21 not stand because that doesn't work well on

22 Teams.

23   (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. I love

25 the flag on Teams.

 1   All right. Mr. Rhodes, can we do a roll

 2   call?

 3   MR. RHODES: Indeed. Ruth Carter-Lynch?

 4   (No response.)

 5   MR. RHODES: Okay. Rebecca Dahl?

 6   (No response.)

 7   MR. RHODES: Anthony De Meo?

 8   (No response.)

 9   MR. RHODES: Mary Fertig?

10   (No response.)

11   MR. RHODES: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh?

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.

13   MR. RHODES: Rob Mayersohn?

14   (No response.)

15   MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin?

16   MR. MEDVIN: Here.

17   MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul?

18   (No response.)

19   MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor?

20   MR. NAYLOR: Here.

21   MR. RHODES: Phyllis Shaw?

22   (No response.)

23   MR. RHODES: Jaclyn Strauss?

24   MR. TURSO: She's here but she has no audio.

25   MR. RHODES: Okay. I'll go ahead and mark

1   that.

2   And then Peter Turso?

3   MR. TURSO: Here.

4   MR. RHODES: All right. That concludes roll

5   call.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you very much.

7   It just occurred to me, we used to, when we

8   had traditional minutes, it would list who

9   attended and who wasn't in attendance and the

10   district's been requesting that from other

11   committees. So at some point maybe for the

12   coming school year we need to start doing that

13   again, I think.

14   Oh, it looks like Ruth is here.

15   MR. RHODES: All right. I'll notate that.

16   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I see her.

17   Good morning. All right. You're muted, but

18   good morning.

19   All right. So we can't vote, so I put

20   approval just out of -- because I'm used to

21   putting approval of the agenda, but, basically,

22   we can't vote on Teams, so the agenda is what the

23   agenda is.

24   Do we have any members of the public on-line

25   to comment in general or anything specific?

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Hi. I'm unmuted. Thanks.

2   But, now, I'll mute again.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

4   MR. RHODES: And I am unaware of any members

5   of the public and we were not contacted by any

6   prior to this meeting.

7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. I don't

8   see anybody.

9   All right. So then I think we are up to the

10   first item. So, Mr. Rhodes, I was going to have

11   you and staff drive the bus on -- on this. So if

12   you guys want to pull up the presentation or the

13   first report? Which we have the BTA Training

14   Program for School Year 23-24 and then -- let's

15   see. And then the July '23 to December '24 --

16   oh, sorry, July '23 through December '23 is what

17   that should say. So those -- which report do you

18   guys want to do first.

19   MR. RHODES: We have scheduled for the

20   training program first and then we'll go into --

21   and then we'll go into the monitoring plan.

22   We -- I'll begin this by handing it over to Elena

23   with Ali also providing any additional

24   information that Elena would turn over to her.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So they're going to

1   pull that up on screen; right?

2   MR. RHODES: I see it on screen here, but I'm

3   not certain who put that up.

4   MS. ARCESE: I don't see it on screen.

5   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I don't see it

6   either.

7   MR. RHODES: Well, hold on one second. You

8   know why? I just realized why. Because when I

9   opened that document it covered my screen and I

10   thought that was on screen. Yet another rookie

11   mistake.

12   So, Ali or Elena, would you be able to put

13   that up on the screen so that you can walk us

14   through at the pace that you'd like to present

15   and follow-up with audit committee members

16   questions?

17   MS. ARCESE: So, yes, I can just introduce

18   the report and then I will hand it over to Ms.

19   Pritykina so that she is able to give more detail

20   as, obviously, she is the manager of both of

21   those projects. So the first report that we're

22   going to look at from that is the Behavioral

23   Threat Assessment Training Program. This is more

24   or less traditionally from prior audits. This

25   was the training people did, usually the first

1   set of attributes that were tested in the past.

2   So we looked from July of 2023 to December of

3   2023 to look at the requirements based on the

4   district's requirements and what the state

5   requires and we tried to ensure that those were

6   in place.

7   Overall, it appears that the entire, just

8   based on the sample that we looked at, so we

9   looked at all 226 locations, slash, schools, and

10   one center. And so based on all of that

11   information I'm just going to scroll down to the

12   summary where it shows that all complied. So the

13   schools were able to -- and, again, Ms. Pritykina

14   can give more detail on all of the different

15   components and what that means, but, ultimately,

16   we do have -- we did provide one recommendation

17   where there was consistency and more or less

18   unity throughout the district as far as what the

19   requirements are. And, obviously, the Behavioral

20   Threat Assessment Team provided a response that

21   they would be making sure that in the upcoming

22   school year that there -- that the requirements

23   is what those prior trainings would be. And,

24   again, Ms. Pritykina if you want to go ahead -- I

25   can start at the beginning, if you want to do

1   just a quick summary of what the report overall,

2   what you have done.

3   MS. PRITYKINA: I'm sorry, Ali, I was hearing

4   you very -- the quality of the way it was

5   transmitted, I didn't -- so could you please

6   repeat what you want me to do, describe what, the

7   whole audit or specific things?

8   MS. ARCESE: Yeah, pretty much give an

9   overview of the results.

10   MS. PRITYKINA: Okay. Yes. So, basically,

11   what we did, we concentrated not really on the

12   BTA process, itself, on the threat assessments

13   themselves, but on the items that are required

14   for the district to be done at the beginning of

15   the school year. So, basically, this is all the

16   training for the teams, the assigning, first

17   assigning the BTA teams for each school, and the

18   schools should be consistent of the required

19   members. And then all the teams needed to have

20   the training before the school year began, of the

21   many new team members, within the 90 days of

22   their assignment. And also we were going by the

23   policy that was affected at the beginning of the

24   school year, but keeping in mind the new upcoming

25   law that was effective from January 1st. So we

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   did -- the BTA department asked us to include

2   certain things that were not necessarily in the

3   policy at the time. And this is actually to

4   verify that the team assignments were consistent

5   with the new upcoming law. And there are certain

6   things, like, for example, the emergency rule

7   that required the district to have a district

8   threat management coordinator assigned by July

9   1st. So those things in preparation for

10 transition to the new rule, we looked at those as

11 well.

12   So, again, this was just concentrated on the

13 assignment of the teams and training of the

14 teams. And then the next step was to look at the

15 BTA training of the teams and the actual student

16 community. And so, basically, the first

17 attributes that we used to have in the prior

18 audit, we concentrated on them in this particular

19 audit.

20   Are there any questions?

21   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you guys have any

22 questions? Because I have a couple. I want to

23 give other people a chance.

24   MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Lew, is that you?

1   MR. NAYLOR: How is the training offered?

2   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, which level, Lew?

3   MR. NAYLOR: Well, they're talking about the

4   required training for all the BTA members, the

5   team members.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Gotcha.

7   MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so I could -- good morning

8   everybody. Ernie Lozano, Director of Behavioral

9   Threat Management in Broward County Public

10   Schools.

11   So, again, to prepare for January 1st, 2024

12   we had to retrain the entire district on the new

13   Florida model. From that time period we probably

14   trained over 4,000 individuals. Not only did we

15   train the four required members of the team, we

16   also included -- in Broward County we trained

17   every school administrator, every guidance

18   counselor, every social worker, every

19   psychologist. Because this work is important.

20   And even if you weren't serving on the

21   school-based team, you may be a district person

22   who may need to support a team, so you needed to

23   be in training. So we ran full-day face-to-face

24   trainings from August through December. We were

25   pretty much running them daily to retrain the

1   entire district. And then our principals, vice

2   chairs and chairs had to do an additional

3   four-hour role-specific training that we also did

4   face to face. So our principals, chairs and vice

5   chairs pretty much did a full day and another

6   four-hour training to be fully certified. And

7   then your school-based team members had to do a

8   full-day face-to-face training.

9   MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

10   MR. LOZANO: You're welcome.

11   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Did that answer your

12   question, Lew?

13   MR. NAYLOR: Yes. Thanks. Just a second

14   follow-up. Is there a training provided -- I

15   know that each district has it's own BTA program?

16   MR. LOZANO: No.

17   MR. NAYLOR: No?

18   MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so it's state statute.

19   It's State Rule 6A.10019. And all districts in

20   Florida have to implement the Florida model, and

21   those are the required state trainings. So we

22   can't change their trainings.

23   MR. NAYLOR: Okay. That was my question.

24   Thank you.

25   MR. LOZANO: Those trainings are developed by

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   the State of Florida.

2   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Anyone else have a

3   question?

4   (No response.)

5   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I have a couple

6   of questions on training. Because I guess this

7   is all on training. On page 7, and I'm trying to

8   follow, because I usually rely on Mr. Mayersohn

9   for BTA questions, but 7a, 7b, 7c, so the

10   question was, did the school orient students

11   annually, and all three of these have checkmarks.

12   But then there are process improvement

13   recommendations. It says the delivery methods

14   and content and consider creating a uniform grade

15   level specific content and mandated delivery

16   format.

17   So then when I started scanning the

18   responses, the response to recommendation 1 says

19   that by July 1 they'll provide uniform,

20   age-appropriate content in recognizing behaviors.

21   What format will that be?

22   MR. LOZANO: So we have videos. So we have

23   created, See Something Say Something videos that

24   include threat awareness and threat reporting,

25   the programs we use in Broward County. We are

1   working with, gosh, I want to say their title

2   right, Student Climate Equity and Diversity,

3   because there's also requirements they have to do

4   to orient students to the code of conduct. And

5   in one of the new state statutes we have to

6   orient students within the first five days of the

7   school year. So we are creating a uniform

8   presentation for all schools to use so that we

9   deliver a consistent message on this work.

10   Because that was kind of again, now, where

11   schools were kind of taking our programs but

12   putting together their own presentations. So we

13   want to just make sure for consistency purposes

14   as we move into next school year every student

15   receives the same message in Broward County

16   Public Schools.

17   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So thank you for

18   that. As a mother of two teenagers, one is a

19   junior and one is just finishing her first year

20   in college, the one that already completed

21   Broward schools would complain about the videos,

22   not necessarily for this, but for other subjects.

23   So beyond videos, because I would think something

24   hands on, scenarios, are there plans for

25   something beyond videos? Because I can tell you

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   that the kids do not find them effective.

2   MR. LOZANO: So, again, with the video you're

3   going to have staff presenting. That video is

4   one piece of their beginning of the year

5   orientation with students. And we're going to

6   have to continue throughout the year, to exactly

7   what you're saying, provide opportunities to have

8   conversations with students and staff. Because

9   just doing it at the beginning -- and like right

10   now, right, we're a few weeks away, it's

11   important right now to remind students the

12   importance of even making false threats; right?

13   And making sure students understand their

14   supports we have in the district to support

15   students with mental health through the summer.

16   So we have to work with our guidance

17   counselors and our school-base teams to do

18   exactly what you said, provide some hands-on --

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Interactive.

20   MR. LOZANO: Correct. For our students.

21   MR. TURSO: Madam Chair?

22   MR. RHODES: Yes. Is there a hand up?

23   MR. TURSO: Yeah. Well, it's a proverbial

24   hand. It's Peter Turso. I have -- I have a

25   question on this for -- for Mr. Lozano.

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But on the student

2   training?

3   MR. TURSO: Same exact. Oh, yeah, right

4   where you were with your own children, same exact

5   problem.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

7   MR. TURSO: So I think Dr. Walsh hit it on

8   the head where, so we have these videos and our

9   kids watch the videos, whoever's watching the

10   videos for that matter, that's great, but I can

11   watch a video on how to build a thermonuclear

12   reactor but I might not understand it.

13   Is there anything done to gauge if this video

14   is, actually, being absorbed? Because, like I

15   said, and like Dr. Walsh said, we can watch

16   videos, but is there a measure beyond that?

17   MR. LOZANO: So this is great feedback for us

18   as we develop this part. So we will look to kind

19   of add to get some feedback and get some type of

20   follow-up activity to gauge the understanding of

21   the material and presentations we put together.

22   That's great advice.

23   MR. TURSO: Okay. Thank you.

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that goes across the

25   board. I mean, I would treat this -- this is an

1   institution of learning, so I would treat this, I

2   would have a rubric, activities, assessments.

3   It's all -- to me, this is all learning, because

4   it's training, which is just another word for

5   teaching with the goal being some expected

6   learning outcomes. Because I notice the

7   recommendations speak to the student orientation

8   and then the timing, like specific due dates,

9   which I think you've addressed. But then 7b

10   talks about orienting staff. And since their

11   observation about the delivery methods and

12   content of the student orientation varying among

13   the schools and grade levels, will there be

14   uniform training for staff and the community

15   together?

16   MR. LOZANO: I should put this -- I should

17   put this group on the state committee. So the

18   state is developing a uniform training for all

19   districts to use with staff that we should have

20   at the beginning of this school year to, again,

21   provide a uniform message to all staff in the

22   State of Florida on the effective implementation

23   of the Florida model and then we will have to,

24   obviously, add some additional content to be

25   Broward specific with our specific programs. So

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   there is a uniform presentation coming from the

2   state and their goal is to have it to us prior to

3   the start of this upcoming school year.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then for the

5   community -- like the volunteers that are in the

6   school, you have people that volunteer in the

7   school, you have SAC, SAF, PTA, would the

8   training for those -- I know they're not

9   mandated, because that was one of the things that

10   we were concerned about when the policy was being

11   developed, that volunteers were being held

12   accountable for not reporting something without

13   even having an idea of how to identify the thing

14   that they're being told they have to report. So

15   what -- will the training be similar to staff or,

16   you know, for the adults as opposed to the kids,

17   you know, the non-staff adults, the school

18   community?

19   MR. LOZANO: Yeah, I think that video should

20   be appropriate for all. So I haven't seen it

21   yet, but we -- between the one for

22   students/staff, we will need to have a

23   coordinated presentation that we deliver to our

24   volunteers in our school communities.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So those were my

1   questions.

2   I admit that I might not have read through --

3   well, there's not too much in here, so those were

4   my main things, was the training. And --

5   MR. LOZANO: I think a hand just popped up.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: A hand just went up? Okay.

7   Oh, it's Mr. Mayersohn. Yes, Mr. Mayersohn.

8   MR. MAYERSOHN: How are you? How is

9   everybody?

10   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Alive.

11   MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah. So Mr. Lozano, I've

12   got a question for you. So looking in the backup

13   you have the 2023-2024 procedure manual. Is

14   there a manual for the 2024-2025 year or is there

15   none because it goes to the state plan?

16   MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so right now we use the --

17   all districts have to use -- the state has their

18   procedure manual. So what we are looking to do

19   is add some appendices, because we can't change

20   any of the language that the state has. But for

21   our Broward specific processes moving into 24-25

22   we want to clearly define process and procedures

23   for, again, how to document a behavior threat

24   assessment monthly meeting.

25   MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Well, for instance,

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   like -- that's my point. I mean, the monthly

2   meetings that you have, so that staff knows that

3   they need to meet monthly, that they need to

4   document the meeting monthly, and that -- I mean,

5   my opinion is you should -- you should have a

6   requirement that by such and such a date those

7   meetings are scheduled. So that if you need to

8   intervene, if you see a school that's having

9   trouble, you guys can hop on a monthly meeting to

10   help them, you know, get a better understanding

11   of how they need either to report, identify, or

12   whatever the case may be.

13   MR. LOZANO: Yeah, we do that -- we do that

14   now. We monitor -- as the department we monitor

15   all the schools' monthly meetings. We verify

16   that members that are supposed to be there are

17   present and we also verify that they discussed

18   all students who have an active plan and review

19   those plans and those students each month. So

20   we're actively doing that work pretty much every

21   day.

22   MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Is this -- is this

23   documented or is it not documented? Like, do you

24   show that -- have a documentation that --

25   MR. LOZANO: Emergency Suite is the platform

 1   we use, and all schools document. And then we

 2   have a platform for our charter schools and all

 3   our charter schools document their monthly

 4   meetings and --

 5   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-oh.

 6   MR. MAYERSOHN: Is that him or me?

 7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It might be him. It might

 8   be Mr. Lozano. Because we're all here.

 9   MR. TURSO: We lost you, Mr. Lozano.

10   MR. LOZANO: Can you hear me now?

11   MR. TURSO: Yes.

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

13   MR. LOZANO: We -- Yes, we monitor through

14   two platforms, one for our traditional schools

15   and one for our charter schools. All schools'

16   monthly meetings, that their members are present

17   and that they have reviewed all the students on

18   active plans and they're implementing the

19   supports they've put in the plan with fidelity.

20   MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So I guess -- so I

21   guess at that point that it is monitored if it

22   was in the audit plan or the audit group wanted

23   to take a look and see, you know, here's a --

24   although it may not be -- I don't know. Is it

25   part of the attributes to review?

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   MR. LOZANO: Yeah. So that's the second

2   piece. We are going to get to that next because

3   that was part of the monitoring plan audit. So

4   we'll kind of get to that in the next iteration

5   of conversation.

6   MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. All right. I'm good.

7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're good? Okay.

8   I don't see any other hands up, but I have

9   two last things. On page 8 in the process

10   improvement recommendation, one of the

11   recommendations is written, the OCA recommends

12   that the District establish. The other one says

13   the District could consider creating a uniform

14   grade-specific content. Now, obviously, they're

15   saying that they're going to go ahead and do

16   that, but I think the recommendations need to be

17   written consistently. And there's no ambiguity

18   in the OCA recommends, where there is ambiguity

19   in the District could consider. If it were me,

20   I'd go with the OCA recommends. They're either

21   going to do it, not do it, in their response.

22   But when you say could consider, that's a little

23   weaker than a straight-up recommendation.

24   Because it is labeled recommendations. And the

25   thing being recommended makes complete sense. So

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   I would just be more direct.

2   And then as far as threats, is a bomb threat

3   a type of threat that could be made that the BTA

4   team would have to evaluate?

5   MR. LOZANO: 100 percent. We evaluate all of

6   those as well.

7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the reason I ask that,

8   and I'm going to share my screen a second, is at

9   DAC last night we had, someone from Discipline

10   was there, and she mentioned the word "bomb",

11   which triggered me because there are all these

12   safety & security policies. This one, the bomb

13   threat procedure, hasn't been touched since 1991.

14   And I'm just wondering, who's responsible for all

15   of these safety & security policies and

16   procedures being updated?

17   MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so that would be SSEP, not

18   necessarily the BTA Department. Although, we

19   would probably have a hand in this. So I would

20   say the point of contact for this would be Mr. La

21   Rosa, the Director of Safety & Security. And Kim

22   Johnson.

23   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Who is Kim

24   Johnson?

25   MR. LOZANO: She is right now the Director of

1 Student Support in SSEP. So she -- she puts

2 together our, like, tabletop drills for schools;

3 she monitors their fire drills; their safety

4 drills; their active assailant drills.

5   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

6   MR. LOZANO: So she's the director. She's

7 like -- she works side by side with La Rosa.

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh. Okay. Yeah, I was

9 just wondering, because there's a policy review

10 project going on and there are a handful of other

11 safety & security related policies and I don't

12 believe they've been updated either. So it's --

13 so -- and then if you Google bomb threat policy

14 or bomb threat procedures, out, you know, just on

15 Googling, Homeland Security, I think cyber

16 security agency pops up and everybody seems to

17 have adopted the same checklist except us. So

18 bomb threat procedure, it's, you know, the first

19 thing that pops up. And it's all the same

20 checklist. Like nobody's trying to reinvent --

21 this one. It doesn't pop up on that one. Hold

22 on. No one's trying to reinvent the wheel. It's

23 the same one that everyone's using. So this

24 would seem to be like an easy fix and it's

25 readily available on the Internet. But -- and

1 you could make it a fillable form as opposed to a

2 piece of paper. But I'm just kind of curious as

3 to why these haven't been prioritized given the

4 importance of these -- and there are other --

5 like that's just one type of threat. What are we

6 doing for other types of threats is what I would

7 be curious to see. So I guess we'll follow up on

8 that so we have an answer before the 16th, when,

9 if we have any -- if we're going to do any

10 motions, they would come on the 16th.

11   All right. So I think we're good for moving

12 to the next report.

13   MR. RHODES: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, can I make a

14 comment, please?

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sure.

16   MR. RHODES: I just wanted to let you know

17 that your comment regarding the use of the

18 verbiage, the OCA recommends, that's more active

19 voice and that will be communicated to the entire

20 staff for any report that comes out of this

21 office.

22   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Sounds good to me.

23   All right. So who's driving on the second

24 report and do they want to share?

25   MS. ARCESE: Good morning again. So this is

1   the second report for the Behavioral Threat

2   Assessment. This was focused on monitoring plans

3   from the period of July 1 of 2023 through October

4   of 2023, and they were traced through December of

5   2023. The sample size was 35 monitoring plans,

6   of which -- and I'll scroll down so that I can

7   show -- 35 monitoring plans of which 26 were

8   considered very serious. That was all of the

9   very serious threats that were identified in this

10   period. And an additional nine monitoring plans

11   that consisted of serious threats. So the sample

12   focused on very serious and serious.

13   So the results, ultimately, we did find

14   findings and so that information is within the

15   report. I don't know if Ms. Pritykina wants to

16   add any additional content to that, but I just

17   wanted to give a summary of the report as a

18   whole.

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thank you.

20   Does anyone have any questions or does staff have

21   anything you want to add? I'm scrolling through

22   this. I saw 43 percent.

23   MS. PRITYKINA: That is a comparison to the

24   prior audit on page --

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we have gone from 43

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   percent to 20 percent.

2   MS. PRITYKINA: Yes. Yes.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So is there an explanation

4   for why -- because I believe this area continues

5   to be problematic. We'd have to go back further.

6   And so you have 7 -- 7 failed this time as

7   opposed to -- well, that's -- wait, you only

8   looked at 7?

9   MS. PRITYKINA: No, we looked at 35 and 7 of

10   35 had some issues with the documentation of

11   either logs or CPS, the Collaborative Problem

12   Solving team agendas or missing school-based

13   counseling referrals. So the 7 is actually a

14   combination of all different things that were

15   assigned on the monitoring plan and some of them

16   were missing a piece of information. So, for

17   example, if you had monthly logs and one or two

18   days were missing, we would consider that an

19   exception.

20   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Okay.

21   MS. ARCESE: There's a more -- more

22   explanation on the finding on observation number

23   2 where it gives more specific examples of the

24   failures.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What pages -- what are we

1 looking at?

2   MS. ARCESE: That's page 11.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 11; okay. My copy's in

4 black and white so sometimes it's difficult to --

5 so does anyone have -- does anyone have any

6 specific questions?

7   MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Lew?

9   MR. NAYLOR: Just a question. I see that

10 there's some comments about variances between

11 Pinnacle and TERMs attendance records and I'm

12 wondering if the implementation of the new Focus

13 app will alleviate some of those problems?

14   MR. LOZANO: It should, because it's the same

15 system. So both of those attendances will now

16 sit in -- like Gradebook is Pinnacle and

17 attendance is TERMs, whereas now both of those

18 symptoms sit under Focus. So the system should

19 be uniform. So as we move into next year we're

20 all hopeful, just like you just said, that it

21 will alleviate the discrepancy in the

22 recordkeeping.

23   MR. NAYLOR: Thank you. And just a

24 housekeeping question. I see on here a

25 completion date of March of '23. Is that a

1 scrivener's error or is that when this stuff was

2 done?

3   MS. PRITYKINA: I'm sorry, I don't see --

4   MR. NAYLOR: If you look at any in those --

5 in that table, any of the stuff was completed

6 March 21st of '23, I think. Is that -- is that

7 when this stuff was --

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's the last time they

9 looked compared to July through December of '23.

10   MR. NAYLOR: Okay. Thank you for the

11 clarification.

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, it's coming back to

13 me. Because they filled it -- so the only months

14 we don't have results for is May and June of '23,

15 which --

16   MR. LOZANO: Correct.

17   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So that's kind of

18 moot at this point because then we started a

19 whole new system. So then the next audit would

20 then look at, you know, once everything kicked in

21 this January.

22   All right. I do not see any hands raised,

23 which would suggest nobody has questions or

24 comments. When would the -- when's the next

25 period, refresh my memory, that we're looking at?

1   Like what timeframe would the next audit cover?

2   MS. ARCESE: I believe they are finalized,

3   they're in the finalization of the attributes

4   that were not tested as of yet, so it's -- - and,

5   Elena, do you want to give more insight on that?

6   MS. PRITYKINA: Yeah, I was just going to ask

7   you if you want me to go over that. We actually

8   are in process of getting the results from the

9   fieldwork that we've done and we took a period

10   beginning January 1st through March 31st of this

11   year. And this is on the new Florida model

12   procedures. So, we did -- we have a meeting

13   coming up with the BTA Department about the

14   findings and we're hoping to finish it soon. So

15   that's going to be just the documentation process

16   of the actual assessments of the threats and

17   concerning behaviors.

18   And, again, this new model, it's not only

19   threats, it's actually concerning behaviors so it

20   could be different things included in there.

21   It's a slightly different approach.

22   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. All right. I

23   think because everything changed and I don't see

24   that Jaclyn -- I don't see anything in the

25   chat -- Jaclyn, do you have anything? This is

1   really weird, you not having audio, but I don't

2   see anything in the chat. So I think we're good

3   on that.

4   What would be -- so if you're looking at

5   January 1 through March 31st, when would we

6   get -- when would we get that, the March results?

7   MS. ARCESE: So we are hoping that either by

8   June or depending on what is available to --

9   because there's a lot of reports and I know that

10   Mr. Rhodes is trying to accommodate that. If not

11   June, then whatever that next audit committee

12   date would be. But, yeah, we're ready to move

13   forward because the next report, we would like to

14   have it presented.

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I think we have a

16   packed agenda for June and this was not scheduled

17   initially. So unless we did a special meeting to

18   go over BTAs, that way we do it before the summer

19   kicks in if there's anything that needs to be

20   addressed prior to the start of the school year,

21   but we can talk about that some more at the

22   regular meeting once people marinate on it. So

23   I'm good on those.

24   All right. So I guess we're good to move on

25   to the next item. Thank you.

1   MS. ARCESE: Thank you.

2   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So next up we

3   have the proposed audit plan for Fiscal Year 25

4   and we wanted to start with a look back at the

5   Fiscal Year 24 Audit Plan, and then, of course,

6   the risk assessment, which there should be a

7   link -- is there a link to that, Mr. Rhodes?

8   Where did we leave off with that?

9   MR. RHODES: I'm sorry, I was pulling up the

10   prior audit plan. What are you looking for a

11   link for?

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, it's there. The

13   District-Wide Risk Assessment.

14   MR. RHODES: Yeah, that's there.

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I was just making

16   sure. And then you said you were pulling up

17   Fiscal Year 24. So, Mr. Rhodes, would you like

18   to go over A and B?

19   MR. RHODES: Sure.

20   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The audit plan from Fiscal

21   Year 20 -- I know you didn't do it, you're not

22   responsible for it, but I'm sure you reviewed it.

23   MR. RHODES: Yeah, let me share my -- my

24   screen.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Great.

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   MR. RHODES: Okay. So can everybody see

2   that?

3   MR. NAYLOR: Yes.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

5   MR. RHODES: Is it large enough for everyone

6   to read?

7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

8   MR. RHODES: Okay.

9   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it is for me.

10   MR. RHODES: So, as you mentioned, this was

11   put together and reviewed May and June of '23,

12   and then, ultimately, approved by the board in

13   July of '23, which was over a month before I

14   began my new employment here with the district.

15   However, one of my first acts of business was to

16   kind of go through this and see what it was that

17   we had completed in the prior year, which was 92

18   percent of the items that were listed in the

19   audit plan, trying to identify as I went along

20   how things would get rolled over into the next

21   plan as needed, as well as what areas were

22   identified as areas of risk prior to me getting

23   here.

24   We are currently going through -- I'm going

25   to scroll through this real quickly so we can get

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 past the prior and get into the current audit

2 plan. And this is the audit plan that we're

3 going through right now. We're taking a look at

4 this so that we can give a report in the upcoming

5 proposed audit plan to show what was completed

6 out of this audit plan; what is it that we are

7 either going to roll over into the new proposed

8 audit plan; or closeout because they're

9 completed; as well as other items may end up in

10 the long range planning if they have been usurped

11 by items that were identified in what we'll be

12 looking at soon, which is the risk assessment.

13 Because there are items in the risk assessment

14 that appear to be more timely and more in

15 alignment with being a higher priority in the

16 upcoming proposed plan.

17   So as we go through this, again, I'm unable

18 to give you a percentage of completion of this

19 report right now because we're synthesizing that

20 information right now as we prepare the upcoming

21 proposed audit plan. But what I can tell you is

22 that between the, I'll call them rolling reports

23 that we have to have going, which is our internal

24 funds, our property and inventory, and then the

25 bond oversight type reports, those are constantly

1 in motion. We are quickly approaching the 90

2 percent mark on the internal funds reports that

3 are included in this audit plan, which should

4 be -- at the conclusion of the June 20th meeting

5 it is our goal to have that 90 percent mark met.

6   The other thing is, is that as we look at and

7 we see what items we have when we put together

8 the upcoming proposed audit plan, we're looking

9 for some possible synergies for any items that

10 may not have been completed in this audit plan

11 with items that are listed in different areas in

12 the audit risk assessment that we just received

13 so that we might be able to capture the items

14 that have been identified as high risk now as

15 well as items that may be able to be incorporated

16 into scopes for the future audits, provided that

17 they are consistently similar and sufficiently

18 similar.

19   We are currently -- as you see in line item

20 number 3 here, we are currently undergoing a peer

21 review as we speak, which is approximately a year

22 later than it was supposed to have been done. So

23 where they would normally do it every three

24 years, in this case they're doing it as a

25 four-year peer review. So we're looking at all

1   four years to get us caught back up. They are in

2   the process of wrapping it up and we expect a --

3   a preliminary report for us to be able to respond

4   to on or about May 24th. We're looking forward

5   to that because we are in a current -- I guess I

6   would call it a current change with regard to our

7   attention to quality assurance, quality control,

8   as well as processes that need to be updated from

9   where we were before. We have our own ideas, as

10   well, as what we will take into account from the

11   responses and the observations from the peer

12   review firm. And that will help us not only in

13   improving the way that our reports are written,

14   but also our ability to continuously meet the

15   requirements of our audit plan throughout the

16   course of the year.

17   So this is really looking at things that were

18   put together based on Mr. Jabouin's professional

19   judgment at the time and his assessment of this.

20   I wanted to just let this committee know, and in

21   some ways I have communicated this, but being

22   here for the short period of time and being gone

23   for 12 years, the entire audit universe and the

24   risk associated with that audit universe has

25   shifted from what it is that I recall. It's the

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   reason that I reached out to have a firm come in

2   here and do this audit risk assessment so that we

3   can have something that we know that takes into

4   account everywhere from the observations and

5   concerns of audit committee members, board

6   members, and the various crews areas of

7   management so that they can answer that

8   proverbial question, which is, what keeps you up

9   at night? And what is it that you need to be

10   able to better complete your mission?

11   So as we continue to go through this and

12   audit it, so to speak, we're going to identify

13   the percentage of this that's completed; we're

14   going to identify what of it is going to roll

15   into the new report; and then what of that is

16   going to be in some way synthesized with the risk

17   assessment document?

18   So if there's any questions about that, I'll

19   be happy to answer that. This document has been

20   out and available for the whole year. So it's

21   probably not that much of a new look. But if

22   there are any questions about it, I'd be happy to

23   give any answers to the best of my ability.

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Anybody have

25   any questions regarding the preexisting audit

 1   plan, the Fiscal Year 24 audit plan?

 2   Okay. I don't see a hand. I don't see a

 3   chat.

 4   I do have a question. The peer review

 5   results, you said you'd have them around the

 6   20th?

 7   MR. RHODES: Around the 24th is when their

 8   engagement letter stated that they would be able

 9   to provide that, that preliminary for us to

10   respond to.

11   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

12   MR. RHODES: So, you know, when people ask

13   the question, who audits the auditors, well, this

14   is who audits the auditors.

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So when would you

16   do your responses and the peer review would be

17   available to the audit committee?

18   MR. RHODES: What -- I think the way the

19   process is going to work is quite similar to the

20   way that ours work, which is that we would

21   provide our responses to their findings, they

22   would provide us with a final report, and when

23   that report is finalized we would bring that

24   information to your attention and we can get that

25   on the first agenda that's available.

 1   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So considering it

 2   wouldn't be May 16th, that would leave June 20th,

 3   or, once again, a special -- you know, it winds

 4   up in the same place with the next BTA audit,

 5   potentially, because we have so many things

 6   already on -- we have to discuss the proposed

 7   audit plan June 20th; we have the last of the

 8   Internal Funds; RSM Roofing; Healthy Vending,

 9   Discipline, Property and Inventory; and that's

10   for the June 20th meeting already. So that's

11   kind of sounding like it might need to go

12   wherever the BTA audit goes so we can wrap up the

13   year and not start the next fiscal year with

14   things sort of dangling.

15   MR. RHODES: Okay. Noted. And also I just

16   wanted to let you know that I think Mr. De Meo

17   had a question.

18   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, now, he does. Yes, Mr.

19   De Meo?

20   MR. DE MEO: Yeah. Mr. Rhodes, how was this

21   report, proposed audit plan, affected by the work

22   that Carr Riggs did? Does it incorporate their

23   work or is it -- was it done before they

24   completed their work?

25   MR. RHODES: Well, it's for the upcoming year

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 so it doesn't have any impact on any of the work

2 that they're currently doing. And we're going to

3 be very selective in what it is that we would use

4 them for if they end up being a part of our

5 upcoming RFP responses and award for that

6 contract.

7   Am I addressing your question in a way that

8 you intended it?

9   MR. DE MEO: Well, yeah, I wanted to know if

10 the proposed plan considered any of the

11 information from Carr Riggs, and it sounds like

12 it did not.

13   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, can I clarify?

14 Remember, this is the one Joris did. This is the

15 one preexisting. This is from this, this school

16 year we're in now. So, if anything, the opposite

17 would occur, where Carr Riggs Ingram might have

18 looked at this in doing their risk assessment.

19   MR. RHODES: Yeah, let me go a little deeper

20 into that. So Carr Riggs looked at a lot of

21 things, including our reports, including this

22 audit program -- audit plan, as well as having

23 all the interviews that they had with the various

24 folks around the district to find out what they

25 needed to know about where the inherent risk is.

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   So this is looking backward, what's on the screen

2   right now. What I'm about to put up on the

3   screen when we get done with this conversation

4   will begin to look at what the future is going to

5   look at what the future is going to look like

6   with regard to the audit plan. As -- you'll see

7   this is identified as a risk assessment coming

8   up, as well as they identify it as a proposed

9   audit plan, but it's proposed audits that they

10   have made as recommendations within their risk

11   assessment. We will, actually, through the use

12   of my professional judgment and through the

13   information that's available from the rollover

14   from this current audit plan, as well as the

15   recommendations that they've made in their risk

16   assessment, and including some of the risk that

17   I've been able to assess in the time that I've

18   been here, will all go into hammering out what's

19   going to be the proposed audit plan that's going

20   to be presented to the board at a workshop on May

21   29th.

22   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We may want to

23   dispense with this, you know, previously

24   developed audit plan and pull up the risk

25   assessment so that we're focused on moving -- on

1   forward moving.

2   MR. RHODES: Okay.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thank you.

4   MR. RHODES: Oh, great. I have to apologize.

5   No, I went to pull that up and now all of a

6   sudden I got a notification that it's installing

7   an update for -- I think it's done now. Let's

8   see if this works.

9   Ali, I don't know if you're having the same

10   issue as I am, but if you can pull up the risk

11   assessment and share it on your screen? Mine

12   seems to be having an Adobe update as we speak.

13   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And, if not, I'll pull it

14   up.

15   MR. RHODES: That's the -- I don't know if

16   that's the part that we want to look at. That's

17   the engagement, but the actual districtwide risk

18   assessment was the item that I think we were

19   trying to look at.

20   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we can pull up the

21   engagement, because I'm not sure we ever did --

22   the statement of work, you mean?

23   MR. RHODES: Yeah. Go ahead and pull the

24   engagement up. Yeah, there you go. And if you

25   can make that a little bit bigger? Does that

1   help everybody, that size?

2   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Everybody? I'm pulling up

3   something real quick. I want to pull up the

4   audit committee bylaws. Just a second. Can

5   everybody see the statement of work?

6   MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, if you can make it a

7   little bit larger, that would be great. Thank

8   you.

9   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Mr.

10 Rhodes, do you want to do like a synopsis?

11   MR. RHODES: Sure.

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

13   MR. RHODES: While Mr. Jabouin was on his

14 leave prior to his final separation I was

15 designated as the chief auditor for that period

16 of time. And during that period of time I

17 reached out to Carr Riggs & Ingram to tell them

18 what it was that I wanted to have done; discussed

19 the fact that they had done these for many school

20 districts throughout the state; and that they had

21 ideas of how to do this and how to do this most

22 efficiently. We looked at it, we discussed what

23 it is that he wanted to do. They provided us an

24 initial cost. We negotiated that down to a

25 not-to-exceed and they provided us the report

1   that included the different procedures that they

2   looked at and that -- ultimately, the deliverable

3   that we sought. And that gave us a series of

4   audits based on the highest level of risk that

5   have been now spread through a three-year period.

6   Because they know, as we do, that when it comes

7   to internal audits over and above what it is that

8   our -- what I called earlier the rolling type

9   audits, that to fit in 15 to 17 audits with the

10   use of internal and external resources, that was

11   going to be what it is that we were focusing on,

12   and that is what we managed to get in terms of

13   that deliverable.

14   So the idea here was that it was just a

15   discussion with them about how would we manage to

16   put together a risk assessment for the audit --

17   upcoming audit plan that would be specific to our

18   district's needs, but also consistent with the

19   way that they've done these engagements with

20   other districts throughout the state.

21   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

22   Anybody got any questions?

23   (No response.)

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So then, if not --

25   MR. DE MEO: Yes, I do.

1   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mr. De Meo?

2   MR. DE MEO: The Appendix A is something, I

3   think, that should be focused on. And it lists

4   the areas and their relative risks. Appendix A

5   is the last four or five pages, six pages of that

6   document. Not that document --

7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you mean the actual

8   risk assessment?

9   MR. DE MEO: I'm sorry. Yeah.

10   MR. RHODES: That's okay. I've managed to

11   get that document up now. If you'd like me to

12   share my screen, I can pull up the proposed risk

13   assessment.

14   MR. DE MEO: Chair, would you like me to hold

15   my comments until --

16   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We get to the -- yes, let's

17   do that.

18   MR. RHODES: Hang on one second. I'll have

19   that up in just a second.

20   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There we go.

21   MR. RHODES: Okay. So let me kind of go

22   through the idea of what this was. We'll talk

23   about this overview just a little bit as we go

24   through this, because their executive summary

25   really kind of looks at the fact that there's a

1   -- there's a recent Florida statute that has been

2   passed that says that now there is a requirement

3   that a comprehensive risk assessment be done

4   every five years. That was implemented July 1st,

5   2023.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 4. Oh, yeah, '23.

7   MR. RHODES: Yeah, '23. I almost slipped and

8   said 2024, myself.

9   And so what happened was, our timing was good

10   to be on top of this. It was really kind of just

11   confluence of good fortune for us. Because to

12   get on top of this from the very beginning, I

13   think, is helpful for us and I've already

14   received a couple of calls from other districts

15   that were asking some questions about this

16   because they were being made aware of this new

17   requirement per statute as well.

18   So between the fact that our audit policy --

19   audit department policy calls for us to have an

20   annual audit plan, and that it's now also a

21   requirement of the statute, we put these things

22   together and made use of Carr Riggs & Ingram's

23   assistance to help us do that. What they looked

24   at was our entire audit universe, identifying --

25   I mean, this is a very simple graph to read. I

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   think it's great. Understanding the existing

2   risk of the operational environment; rate that --

3   the risk of the audit universe; and then,

4   ultimately, put together a prioritization list.

5   They've used, as has probably already been seen

6   by anybody who's looked at this, the fact that

7   they're using very easy to read heat maps that

8   show where these risks lie based on the areas

9   that they're looking at, the functional areas

10   that they were looking at one at a time.

11   So, they're looking at factors that involve

12   governance, turnover in leadership, staffing

13   vacancies in key positions, funding constraints.

14   I mean, everything that we're absolutely going

15   through right now. So this is also very timely

16   with regard to how we would consider those risks

17   in addition to what may have been considered into

18   subjective risks that may have been the case in

19   the past several years when these audit plans

20   were put together.

21   So, for an example, the heat maps, this is

22   just -- from the executive summary level, this is

23   just a look at the organizational risks that

24   we're feeling. And I think everybody can see

25   that those are all pretty spot on. I'm a little

1   bit surprised in our current situation that

2   finance wasn't a little bit higher and a little

3   bit further to the right. But this is all

4   certainly based on questionnaires as well as

5   interviews with audit committee board members and

6   staff. So that is a -- it's still a subjective

7   look of what this is. The fact from my

8   professional judgment is that finance is probably

9   a little bit higher risk than that. It's one of

10   the ways that I was describing before that this

11   is just one of the tools in the box that will

12   help us to be able to put together the proposed

13   audit plan as we bring it forward. So, with

14   that --

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Rhodes, if I can

16   interrupt a second? So on the two -- oh, good,

17   Lord, it's too early, axis --

18   MR. RHODES: Yes.

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Likelihood is a measure of

20   what and magnitude is a measure of what? Just

21   for the good of the group.

22   MR. RHODES: So the likelihood is the

23   likelihood of this risk being realized. The

24   magnitude is the likelihood that it would have a

25   greater impact if it was realized. So these are

1   the ideas of why we would want to address these

2   in the audit plan, to go out and get a look, make

3   sure the proper controls are in place and to make

4   sure that whatever management is doing is set to

5   address these risks at the level that they've

6   been identified.

7   And, by the way, I don't disagree with Safety

8   & Security being where it, I just -- while I was

9   talking about Finance. I didn't want to make any

10   implications that I think anything else fell

11   somewhere other than what it might show.

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. No, agreed. Given

13   where we are with the general fund balance and

14   lack of reserves right now, you know, until next

15   year with capital, I was kind of surprised. But

16   it kind of looks like they're all jockeying for

17   the, you know, top right quadrant position, so --

18   well, once we drill into these, though, we'll get

19   a better sense of what was driving that.

20   MR. RHODES: So this page, like I said, where

21   they'll call this a proposed audit plan, this is

22   where they would propose the resources would be

23   used for the three years that are listed in the

24   columns here. There always seems to be a pretty

25   high concentration of audit activity that they're

1   proposing for the Facilities area and the IT

2   area. I think that makes sense to everybody in

3   this group. I can't speak for everybody in this

4   group, but I think, historically, what it is that

5   we've seen have been items that are pretty

6   routinely in that area and also pretty routinely

7   calls for us to use some of our outside cadre

8   that has the experience to look into those

9   things, the resources and experience to look into

10   those areas specifically.

11   If I can move on from this page? If there's

12   any questions I'll take those before I move on.

13   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't see any hands or

14   chat -- chatter.

15   MR. RHODES: So, we'll move on. What I'm

16   going to try to do is -- if you guys feel that

17   it's important for us to go a little bit deeper

18   into the scope and purpose, I can take a second

19   and talk about this. Otherwise, we can start to

20   go into some of the different functional areas

21   where they give a little bit more detail on the

22   different audits that they're proposing based on

23   the risk. I'll leave that up to the -- to the

24   group to decide.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we've kind of

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 covered this, so we probably want to see what's

2 driving where everything landed, sort of to Mr.

3 De Meo's point.

4   MR. RHODES: Yeah, this is just a very brief

5 overview of what I've already mentioned. This

6 identifies and it goes into exhibit -- the

7 Exhibit B --

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: B; yeah.

9   MR. RHODES: -- at the end of this, which

10 really shows, not by name but by position, who

11 all was interviewed for this, the information

12 that they synthesized into this report.

13   And so we can see there that we've got about

14 41 individuals that were interviewed. However, I

15 am aware that some of those interviews included

16 more than one individual because they had some of

17 their support staff there in the event that they

18 were going to either provide some additional

19 detailed information or share some things where,

20 for example, let's just say in IT, where the IT

21 chief may have had people that were specific to

22 the security areas of IT, where others may have

23 been in hardware and software, there were more

24 than 41 folks that were interviewed because of

25 those kinds of things.

1   And so we'll move down this -- this -- their

2   methodology where they looked at these different

3   functional areas. They reviewed board policies.

4   They reviewed the district's organizational

5   chart. They reviewed the mission statement goals

6   and guardrails. Reviewed the audit committee

7   charter. Reviewed internal and external audit

8   reports. Reviewed management assessment

9   questionnaires. Reviewed board audit committee

10   -- interviewed board audit committee members.

11   Interviewed senior leadership. And identified

12   areas with the greatest risk of exposure. They

13   looked at the inherent risk of these areas that

14   we would normally want to look at, safety,

15   reputational fraud, financial, operational

16   regulatory and IT risk areas. They identified

17   the likelihood of risks, which are ultimately

18   synthesized in these heat maps that we'll be

19   looking at as we go from one area to the next.

20   So, if it suits the Chair, I'll go ahead and

21   go into those functional areas and we'll start to

22   look at those. Is that okay?

23   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Are you guys

24   good with going into the functional areas, I

25   guess?

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   Yes?

2   All right. Hearing no objections, let's

3   keep -- we'll keep going.

4   MR. RHODES: Okay. So here's where we start

5   to get into these areas. And, again, this is

6   just kind of restating what they did in their

7   executive summary area. Now, we're looking at

8   specifically just the highlights of the

9   governance area here.

10   This -- I have to state, I think that this

11   dovetails into something that this committee has

12   talked about in several meetings, which is

13   regarding the district adopting some form of

14   top-down framework that would be, you know,

15   consistent with COSO, if not COSO, and I think

16   that this kind of shows that that is an idea that

17   has a lot of merit just based on where the

18   governance risk is listed and where it's

19   juxtaposed in this heat map.

20   So then when we go into this they're talking

21   about a governance model, and, really, this is

22   something that is a responsibility of the board

23   and the superintendent. So from an audit

24   standpoint what the advice would be --

25   ultimately, would be more likely for purposes of

1 maintaining independence, which is always front

2 and center for what we do is, possibly, having

3 some additional discussion regarding audit

4 committee input on this for what the audit

5 committee believes that the board and the

6 superintendent should be doing with regard to

7 establishing that framework, that model. Because

8 whatever that turns out to be, we are still going

9 to audit it in the same manner that we would

10 audit the way that things are now, because the

11 existing policies and procedures, in addition to

12 the other more concrete forms of criteria, like

13 statutes, administrative codes, standards,

14 whether they be Yellow Book, Red Book, those

15 kinds of things are going to continue to drive

16 our work. But from that governance model, I

17 think that that's something that needs to be done

18 through either an audit committee recommendation.

19 I would like to get your feedback on that because

20 I think that it would be something that I could

21 certainly help to advise the board on, but when

22 it comes to adopting it, that would be something

23 that audit would have to keep its hands very

24 clear of.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And I know we're

1   just going through this from a preliminary

2   standpoint, and this is going to be on our agenda

3   for the 16th -- well, in June when we talk about

4   the proposed audit plan, so we kind of wanted to

5   get a sense for where the risks were. Hold on,

6   I'm losing track of all of my sheets of paper.

7   So the risks -- so Carr Riggs Ingram is going to

8   be at our meeting next week to discuss this risk

9   assessment. So -- and they will discuss that

10   sort of at the end of the meeting, because we

11   have other audits that may inform further their

12   assessment of risk. So we can kind of just go

13   through, I know Mr. De Meo is talking about

14   Appendix A, but if we want to just kind of

15   quickly go through these? We know governance.

16   We've talked about governance, so I think

17   everyone's clear on that. I'm kind of curious

18   about teaching and learning because that sort of

19   goes into the SAC account, school accountability

20   funds and the school improvement plans to see if

21   that was captured as part of that.

22   MR. RHODES: Okay. So if we could get a

23   mental snapshot of this heat risk map we can kind

24   of get the idea of student support services, one

25   of the areas of highest risk that they

1 identified. Again, I don't find anything in here

2 to necessarily be all that surprising from what

3 it is that they found. It will all be considered

4 at the time that we move into the proposed audit

5 plan.

6 So we can go in here, and one of the things

7 that they're talking about from a teaching and

8 learning standpoint is to really look at the

9 Focus implementation to ensure that all the data

10 is -- this is part of what I'm inferring from

11 what they've written. And we can certainly have

12 these questions asked of them at the meeting that

13 they show up to on the 16th. But I'm inferring

14 that they're looking at making sure that this is

15 all accurate and very well protected. As well as

16 identifying what does the information tell

17 management about what they have to do to improve

18 their processes, where that teaching and learning

19 process is included and more specific student

20 support services; in my mind?

21 Now, we have student support and mental

22 health, which kind of ties into some things that

23 we're talking about today with regard to BTA and

24 some of the different aspects and attributes that

25 are contained in that, but they've identified

1   different. Again, we can talk to them about

2   that. That may be because of the work that's

3   going into the BTA and maybe giving it a little

4   bit of time to -- to marinate, as well.

5   So, now, looking at Focus Implementation,

6   Focus was implemented effectively for the

7   district including a line of regulatory

8   requirements consideration of the needs of key

9   user groups, students, parent, instructional

10   staff, district staff integrity. I -- these are

11   the areas that they're identifying as areas that

12   they think should be looked at, specifically,

13   when the audit program for this would be put

14   together. So we'll continue to move on, and as

15   we do, just keep in mind up here there's usually

16   checkmarks that identify which areas of inherent

17   risk are being considered the most in this

18   particular attempt.

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I just want to pause for

20   a second on the Focus Implementation and, also, I

21   think this would dovetail into student support.

22   Because next week we're looking at updates on the

23   PCG audits which PCG is the one that had EDPlan,

24   and EDPlan had a case management module which

25   there was a request for a gap analysis so we

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 could see what functions as it pertained to ESE

2 case management were being performed by EDPlan,

3 that fell under EDPlan that Focus will not be

4 doing. And we haven't had that gap analysis and

5 we'll have more of a discussion, but we could

6 find that there are features and information that

7 was available to parents, to the school,

8 whatever, to teachers under EDPlan that will not

9 exist -- will not exist with Focus unless they do

10 some heavy customization. That's more of a

11 discussion for next week, but I just wanted to

12 point that out, that this is sort of before we

13 even audit anything in terms of, you know, what

14 Focus is replacing. This has been one of the

15 points of contention is whether it replaced

16 everything that was being done for the ESE

17 community.

18   MR. RHODES: And to that point, I think that

19 the general nature of their bullet points that

20 they're putting in for scoping consideration,

21 accuracy and completeness of data inputs and

22 outputs would probably be an area where that

23 would be captured as far as putting together an

24 audit program.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Once we confirm

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   what it is and isn't covering.

2   MR. RHODES: Right.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just grabbing the heat

4   map.

5   MR. RHODES: Okay. I'll give you guys a

6   chance to take a look at the Safety & Security

7   heat map before we would drill down into that a

8   little bit deeper. And as we can see, again, I

9   have to say, I feel like Safety & Security

10   Operations should likely be a little bit further

11   to the right in this heat map, but I don't

12   disagree with the areas that are primarily in the

13   red area there.

14   But, with that in mind, we can move into some

15   of the other more detailed areas that they've

16   talked about. District Security Plan, Immediate,

17   I do agree with that, because, although, there

18   are plans in place, it would be a good chance or

19   a good time for us to be able to take a look at

20   that and make sure, especially with the

21   leadership turnover that we're experiencing

22   there, there are a lot of opportunities,

23   historically, that these kinds of plans start to

24   fall through the cracks as new people come in and

25   are a little overwhelmed with what it is that

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   they're doing. We might want to make sure that

2   we take a look for that reason; if for that

3   reason.

4   I'll go down and start taking a look at some

5   of the specific items here. If there's anything

6   in particular -- because this is a 90-page

7   report, if there's anything in particular that

8   you want me to slow down on, I will. Otherwise,

9   I'll go through this a little bit quicker so we

10   can make better use of the time. And, by the

11   way, if there are any specific questions as we go

12   through, for example, Safety & Security, it might

13   be a little bit better use of our time to just

14   see if there are any specific questions that the

15   Chair or any of the audit committee members have

16   about this so we can kind of pinpoint these

17   things as opposed to just meandering through it.

18   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I -- I think some of

19   my questions are about the things that did not

20   rise to the level of high risk. Like athletics

21   and student activities may not have a high -- you

22   know, it might not be materially significant, but

23   I think we can attest to there being some -- a

24   lot of likelihood of risk that speaks to booster

25   clubs. That's where that would fall, getting

1   back to Teaching & Learning. And then Academics

2   & Curriculum, because I'm not quite sure what the

3   risks were that they identified, so do they have

4   an appendix with every -- is that in Appendix A,

5   like did they -- how, whatever it is that they

6   were looking at as a risk for Academics &

7   Curriculum that didn't make it into the high-risk

8   zone?

9   MR. RHODES: I don't think that information

10   is in there, but let's go down and take a look at

11   it. Because what they did is they identified the

12   things that they did --

13   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, here we go. That, we

14   need to blow up.

15   MR. RHODES: Okay. Hang on one second. Here

16   we go. Is that too much?

17   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. Let me go back.

18   No, I think that's good. I mean, we can't see

19   everything all at once, but at least you can read

20   it.

21   MR. RHODES: So we'll go down to the Teaching

22   & Learning area that you were talking about and

23   we can go back anywhere else that you'd like at

24   any time. But when we take a look at the area

25   that they did look at, they were focusing on the

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   items that were the highest risk within some of

2   these areas that we were just looking at the

3   report one at a time. So in here we do have

4   Athletics & Student Activities as a moderate

5   risk.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But I guess what

7   I'm looking for is what is it that's a moderate

8   risk about Athletics & Student Activities?

9   MR. RHODES: We can ask them. Because I

10   didn't get any additional information on this

11   just yet. We did have a conversation with them,

12   but that part -- that was not part of the

13   discussion that we had. We were looking at more

14   understanding and making sure we had a good grasp

15   of what it was that their maps meant, why they

16   identified areas as being high, and, you know,

17   what it is that -- what it is and how often

18   they've heard these types of things that caused

19   it to rise to the level of a high risk item.

20   So these are questions that I think would be

21   better asked of them when we talk to them next

22   week to find that out. But, again, at the same

23   time, the -- it's the judgment of the chief

24   auditor and, certainly, I'll always consider the

25   input of the audit committee when -- when

1 synthesizing these things to put together that

2 professional judgment. Because I happen to agree

3 that anything that has to do with funding that's

4 raised in or outside of the school, it has to be

5 considered. Whether we have access to it or not,

6 that's a different story altogether and there's a

7 lot of different opinions on that that we're

8 finding out as we speak. But I -- I -- I look at

9 this stuff and I have a slightly different take

10 on it than they do. And that's okay. This tool

11 was used to help us to get an understanding of

12 what staff, the board, and audit committee, and

13 anybody who participated in that way felt were

14 areas of risk. It does not necessarily mean that

15 it reflects the adequate risks. It could be

16 staff is looking at the things that are the most

17 challenging for them, but it doesn't necessarily

18 mean that it captures all the things that are

19 possibly in need of some internal control

20 updates.

21   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But what we need is

22 an operational definition of these things. And I

23 would like it for the meeting, not at the

24 meeting. Like they absolutely should have

25 something that qualifies the definition -- what

1   do they mean by Academics & Curriculum, that kids

2   aren't being educated? Because I would -- I

3   would -- based on the fact, the school

4   accountability funds and looking at the school

5   accountability improvement policy, which speaks

6   to the school improvement plan, if people are not

7   compliant with the school improvement plan, you

8   could very well run the risk of only 54 percent

9   of your student population reading on grade

10   level. Is that what was meant by Academics &

11   Curriculum? Athletics & Student Activities, are

12   we talking about -- are we sharing the same

13   definition? Does that mean that they don't offer

14   Athletics & Student Activities or does it mean

15   the way that those activities are funded? I

16   don't know because we only have a -- an area

17   without it being quantified or qualified.

18   So I think it's fair to ask for what was the

19   specific -- you know, was there a theme? How did

20   these -- what qualified these areas to even be

21   areas? What do they mean by Headstart and VPK,

22   is it funding related; or education related; or

23   both?

24   MR. RHODES: And I'm going to say that, I

25   mean, obviously, primarily it's audit related.

1   But what is it that drove the risk level to the

2   area it is. So what I'll do is I'll reach out to

3   the folks at CRI and ask them to be prepared to

4   provide operational definitions of these various

5   areas that they've identified in this particular

6   appendix and we can -- the audit committee can

7   ask them those questions as well as I can get a

8   better understanding of that as we go through

9   this. Because this is -- this report is

10   essentially about, now, six days old, not

11   including I think a weekend. Let me look at

12   that.

13   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But, theoretically,

14   in order to assess the risk high, moderate and

15   low they had to have -- they were working off of,

16   you know, what do these things mean, the

17   operational definitions. I mean, Student

18   Services is highest on here. What does -- well,

19   that, I think, based on the italics Focus and

20   Student -- so whenever they're high, that's

21   fairly clear, but it's not clear on moderate to

22   low what they meant -- what these areas were

23   focused on. So I think it's something that

24   should already exist if they were able to

25   prioritize for this appendix and for all of their

1   recommendations and everything else. So if they

2   have something already that could be forwarded to

3   us in advance of the meeting that would be great.

4   MR. RHODES: I will ask them that question

5   and see if they can be prepared for that, whether

6   it's in writing or if it's something that they

7   can prepare for us in writing moving forward.

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

9   MR. RHODES: So now as we go through we can

10   take a look and this does turn out to be probably

11   a better way to look at this data. And we're

12   talking about Safety & Security. Again, as you

13   mentioned and I had asked them the question,

14   specifically, what is it that the italics meant?

15   Did it mean that it was simply a subset of Safety

16   & Security for this particular example, and they

17   said the answer to that is, yes. And they said

18   also if it's italicized but it's not indented

19   that means that there is something specific about

20   that area that doesn't require it to be subset of

21   anything. So Behavioral Threat Assessment is its

22   own thing. District Security Plan, Business

23   Continuity & Disaster Recovery and Community

24   Engagement are all part of the same -- same

25   Safety & Security item.

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   Operations, Ariba Implementation, I'm glad

2   that they want to take a look at all these

3   systems as they've been implemented so that we

4   can get a better understanding if they were

5   implemented as purchased, at least, initially.

6   And also if they function as they were designed

7   and intended to function.

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Where is Building -- so

9   under Operations the Building Department just

10 happens to be -- oh, because it's its own

11 section. Okay.

12   Right. It needs to have more of a --

13 especially -- more of a descriptor. You know,

14 under Procurement we have Vendor Database, Ariba

15 Implementation, PPO Contracting and Procurement

16 Follow-Up. Under Building Department, it's not

17 high, it's moderate, but what about the Building

18 Department is a risk? We don't know.

19   Internal Accounts, we pretty much, you know,

20 have a sense because we look at internal accounts

21 audits.

22   On the other hand, Student Transportation &

23 Fleet Service, wasted money; lost kids; what is

24 the concern? What is the risk associated with?

25   MR. RHODES: Yeah, that is one that I thought

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 would have been in a higher risk category myself.

2 I was surprised by that one as well. But we

3 already know that, like Internal Accounts,

4 Property & Inventory, the amount of attention

5 that we put to those on a month-by-month basis, I

6 will be prepared to ask that question if the

7 audit committee would prefer or the audit

8 committee, themselves, can ask that question, if

9 that drove that to that moderate level. Because

10 I can understand that something that's getting a

11 lot of attention consistently would be less of a

12 risk than something that's being looked at as a

13 one-off every two or three years.

14   Finance we can imagine, again, with all these

15 different areas that they're looking at that are

16 listed as high, this is just one more reason why

17 I felt like that part of the overall heat map

18 seemed to have this a little bit further left

19 than it should have been.

20   But I do understand the things that are being

21 looked at right now as well as things that have

22 been recently looked at would probably have

23 driven some of these things to be high and some

24 of these other -- like I looked at ad valorem

25 millage, I don't know other than what we picked

1   up in the reports from our external firms that

2   look at our programs and our large programs that

3   there's really ever much discussion about this

4   other than when we want money as a district. I

5   think that this is an area that should be better

6   understood and could and should merit a look just

7   for that reason. It's something that I think the

8   general public probably doesn't have all that

9   much understanding of what this means and how it

10   assists in funding what it is that we do.

11   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But what is the

12   risk?

13   MR. RHODES: Right. And, again, from a risk

14   standpoint, we'll have to ask them that as we get

15   more in tune with what these operational

16   definitions are. But the idea of it is is that I

17   think the risk there is -- again, from my

18   perspective is, what are we getting; how is it

19   shrinking; is there a possibility that's going to

20   ultimately impact the overall funding that we

21   have and are able to use going forward.

22   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. -- and I know we

23   have a few more pages. Mr. De Meo did you want

24   to ask your questions now or wait until -- we're

25   on 87 of 90.

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   MR. DE MEO: No, I think it's really

2   follow-up of what you said, and really to Ms.

3   Strauss had mentioned this, and I think this

4   needs to be address those issues. And the issues

5   are, this is a great list, but, I think, perhaps

6   it needs more detail. And the detail would be

7   the operational function at risk, identify it,

8   and the major controls under each area, identify

9   them, so that a plan can be formulated. And I

10   think that could be done with drop -- drop-down

11   boxes so you don't have to completely make this

12   900 pages. But without that detail you really

13   can't follow what the plan is. And I think the

14   process requires you to do that to develop a

15   plan. As far as the judgments go, you know, I

16   think, my view on that is the internal audit

17   department, the CFO, the board, the

18   superintendent, and then the major department

19   heads, they're the best judges of the risks. And

20   then the audit committee with our limited scope

21   can certainly contribute. So that's what I was

22   suggesting. And it would be great if we could

23   see the major controls, maybe the top five

24   controls, and the operational processes that are

25   at risk so that we can then follow that through

1   the audit plan.

2   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That makes sense to me, to

3   sort of -- because, yeah, some of this is sort of

4   like a grocery list under finance, when, in fact,

5   one of the operational processes, you know, it

6   lists the budget process, but there are things

7   that would impact the budget process but they're

8   not necessarily all grouped together as rolling

9   up into the budget process. And then capital

10   budgeting, same thing. Federal programs and

11   grants, and just grouping, like you said, it

12   creates a drop -- this is almost a drop-down, but

13   they're not necessarily all aligned under a

14   specific operational. They are under finance,

15   but then within finance it may not be -- I think

16   it's under finance because of the org chart. And

17   asset management -- fixed assets. You have asset

18   management, you know, the risk there is --

19   nothing's in Maximo that should be, hardly

20   anything is in Maximo, and when are we ever going

21   to get there? And what was the risk -- are they

22   concerned about assets going missing or that

23   there's a lack of efficiency in terms of

24   maintenance and wasted money because we -- we

25   don't track our major assets as they should be?

1   MR. RHODES: And from our own information

2   that we've gathered through various audits and

3   through different means and conversations, we do

4   understand that the fixed assets are quite likely

5   because Maximo wasn't implemented properly and

6   that the assets are not captured properly in

7   there. Technology asset management is one of

8   those under fixed assets as well as asset

9   management.

10   So the idea of it is, where I look at this,

11   how I internalize this is that this is giving me

12   another tool to identify these areas of risk, but

13   when it comes to putting together an audit plan,

14   generally, I try to keep the line items focused

15   but generic enough to be able to be flexible

16   enough throughout the course and the life of this

17   audit plan so that if something pops up to be a

18   slightly different level of priority but still in

19   the same area, we're not -- we're not hogtied to

20   a specific set of objectives to look at for a

21   line item, but we have the ability to go look

22   into a certain area and develop that audit

23   program as we get there and still be able to say

24   we have completed that part of the audit plan,

25   and where an item is nonnegotiable we would stick

1   with that to be, you know, much more, written in

2   a more static manner.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I think I saw SAP

4   somewhere, I know we're on page 87, because SAP,

5   finance uses SAP but does SAP fall under IT? Is

6   that to come?

7   MR. RHODES: SAP, Ariba, so that's --

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but, no, but that's

9   not the only -- Ariba is for procurement. SAP is

10   a whole system. I keep hearing it's outdated.

11   So going back to Appendix A, is it listed

12   under -- because I don't think -- did we get to

13   IT?

14   MR. RHODES: It did not appear in my search

15   to be in here, so I don't think that it's listed

16   as a specific line item in IT.

17   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So --

18   MS. HARPALANI: Through the Chair?

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Hold on. Wait.

20   Jennifer, I think started talking first and then

21   I'm not sure who the other voice was.

22   Jennifer?

23   MS. HARPALANI: Yes, through the Chair. I

24   just -- my -- if we're going to list systems,

25   like, for example, Focus is a system, Ariba is a

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 system, I think it was -- what I found, SAP has

2 various modules and those modules address various

3 functions in the district. Like, you know, the

4 hiring module, like Success Factors, it's an SAP

5 module, the payroll module, the finance budget

6 module, I think those also have to be listed, you

7 know. Because I don't think, like you said, this

8 is just a grocery list, and, you know, if we have

9 a breakdown of, okay, here's the function, here's

10 the area, here's the system associated with this

11 area, that will also help us. And then, for

12 example, when I look at like TERMs, when we did

13 the audit of TERMs, we took the system and then

14 we broke it down by, okay, we're going to look at

15 logical access, cyber security, web application,

16 and then we can break it down further, you know,

17 to include in the audit. So those were my

18 thoughts. And I was surprised that SAP and its

19 modules were not listed in here.

20   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think they, potentially,

21 are but just not identified. Ariba as one of the

22 modules is, but wouldn't SAP -- what is SAP

23 considered?

24   MS. HARPALANI: It's a system.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Is it an enterprise

1   application?

2   MS. HARPALANI: Yes, it's an ERP application.

3   MR. RHODES: Is somebody like feeding back or

4   is that something I'm hearing outside near me?

5   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It might be near you. It's

6   not in my mouse.

7   MR. RHODES: Okay.

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I think, to Jennifer's

9   point, enterprise applications, it says high, but

10   no checkmark for when it would be audited, and

11   then what -- it's almost like you need to cross

12   reference, but then we're also getting back to

13   Mr. De Meo's point about the top five controls.

14   And I guess what's the concern with SAP?

15   Jennifer, are there specific concerns with

16   SAP?

17   MS. HARPALANI: Yeah, I mean, one of the

18   things -- I mean, when I look at Focus and SAP,

19   they hold data. And Focus holds student data and

20   SAP holds employee data. And when this -- so we

21   need to look at logical access, for example, who

22   has access to this information? Is the access

23   appropriate? Has the onboarding and the

24   offboarding process -- I mean, you know,

25   there's -- there's that whole area of logical

1   access. Then there's the cyber security

2   concerns, you know, as far as, you know, how

3   secure, you know, because a lot of these are

4   cloud applications. Are they hosted in --

5   internally; are they hosted externally? And

6   then, you know, web application testing, a lot of

7   it is accessed, you know, online. You know, are

8   they doing penetration testing; are they doing

9   vulnerable testing? Then there's also the issue

10   about data. Is it garbage in, garbage out? Are

11   they cleaning the data? You know, because these

12   are database systems, and -- and, you know -- so

13   I can go through a whole litany of things and,

14   you know, I mean --

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They have it here, and

16   maybe they were trying to keep it high level

17   because they do have logical security. And maybe

18   that's why there was no checkmark next to

19   Enterprise Applications, now that I'm looking at

20   it, that they would look at that in the third

21   year. But that's all things in terms of

22   prioritizing.

23   So I think the things are captured there,

24   they just are not specifically mentioned. Like

25   next to Enterprise Applications it doesn't list

1   all of them, like the ones you just rattled off.

2   The only one we see when you do a search is

3   Ariba, and that's certainly not the only one.

4   And yet accounts payable was listed as high risk,

5   but why? Is it the system? Is it the controls?

6   So I think, to Mr. De Meo's point, and maybe

7   if they -- we're going to have this discussion

8   again with Carr Riggs Ingram, that they then take

9   a list and then before the proposed audit plan

10   comes out -- I would've preferred if they'd sort

11   of grouped it this way for next week's meeting,

12   like take the feedback from today and apply it so

13   that we're not asking them for it fresh next

14   week, but they're responding to the comments from

15   today and trying to add to it for next week's

16   meeting.

17   MR. RHODES: Well, I think we have to also

18   consider the idea that this is intended to be a

19   high level, because when it comes to the details

20   and identifying and selecting the controls

21   necessary for testing, that would be something

22   that we would necessarily do and that would be

23   something that I don't know that this -- the

24   scope of work that we had asked them to do, I

25   don't think that it asked them or required for

1   them to provide us with -- although I agree it's

2   important, but I don't know that we asked them or

3   should have asked them for the top areas of --

4   the top five internal controls per functional

5   area specifically for a line item in that

6   functional area. I think that's something that

7   now that we have an idea of where these areas of

8   risk have been identified to us by staff, by

9   audit committee, by board members, that we now

10   have the ability to take these areas, identify

11   them, put together an audit program that would

12   have objectives that would include testing for

13   the top five or whatever number of internal

14   controls that we would need to do, I think that's

15   where our work starts and their work ends.

16   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it does say

17   prioritize -- I'm looking at their procedures.

18   Prioritize, identify risks, including likelihood

19   and impact, and you would have to have some idea

20   of -- you'd have to have something to -- some

21   details to understand the impact. So, you know,

22   how many dollar amounts, number of employees,

23   things like that.

24   MR. RHODES: And I think that's -- those are

25   going to be reasonable questions to ask them, but

1   at the end of the day, like I said, the idea that

2   this is an assessment of risk across the

3   district, we get the ability as an audit

4   department to be able to put together an audit

5   plan that's going to be working off of these

6   areas that they've identified in addition to

7   other areas that we've identified previously.

8   And to go back to, just for a moment, a

9   sidebar, to Jennifer Harpalani's comments, she

10   was talking about various different things, but,

11   for example, an HR audit would be just as likely

12   to look at offboarding and the controls that

13   would be in place for an offboarded employee to

14   make sure that we would remove any of their

15   accesses to any of our systems as much as it

16   would be a function of a specific IT audit that

17   might be looking at roles and responsibilities

18   that are -- that are given to employees while

19   they're here. There are several different ways

20   that these things could be captured because these

21   things, they kind or -- they kind of intersect

22   with one another in various different areas. And

23   so the idea of -- where I agree that the idea is

24   that there are areas of different modules that

25   intersect with SAP, an SAP audit would likely be

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   looking at a sample of the modules that intersect

2   with SAP while we would be looking at the

3   security and the functionality of SAP as opposed

4   to having just a SAP line item. SAP is the hub

5   of many different modules that we use to run the

6   operations of the district. So I think that it's

7   necessary that we would break it out by SAP and

8   Ariba, SAP and Focus, SAP and any of these other

9   areas that they look at as they're identified as

10   an area of risk.

11   So I just want to try my best here to -- to

12   provide a little bit of confidence and comfort to

13   the audit committee that where these areas of

14   risks are concerned, that is the starting point

15   for our office to put together a solid audit

16   program to get out and look at these areas of

17   risk and identify the main internal controls and

18   the main criteria being the laws, rules and

19   policies that govern the actions or activities

20   that are associated with these areas of risk.

21   So, with that in mind, I really do see this

22   as a high-level starting point. I understand the

23   conversation that's been happening here. I think

24   that we can get some additional information from

25   CRI out of that, some up front and some in, you

1   know, a question and answer session that we would

2   have with them next week. But I do think that

3   they're -- they went into this with an

4   understanding that they were going to poll the

5   appropriate individuals within the district and

6   the district functions and provide us with the

7   risk as they see it. Because, again, risk

8   assessment always comes down to the one simple

9   question, it's only one question, and that is,

10   what keeps you up at night? These are the areas

11   that keep these people up at night and/or they've

12   identified as areas of risk that keep them from

13   achieving their management objective. And so if

14   we're going to provide them with any type of

15   assistance as well as identify places where there

16   are weak internal controls that could lead to

17   fraud, waste and abuse, these are things that we

18   would put together. I don't think CRI really

19   knows the internal workings of these areas that

20   they've identified as risk well enough to be able

21   to put those areas of internal control together.

22   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, hold on. I think, just

23   like this appendix sort of provides a summary,

24   what it doesn't have that I think would help in

25   terms of Mr. De Meo's point, if you go to slide

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 25, back to Governance, because I think they do

2 this for all the high-risk areas, so notice how

3 it checks off the inherent risks, safety,

4 reputational, fraud, financial, operational,

5 regulatory, IT, I think a summary, if they have a

6 way, like, have by row, you have governance

7 module and then you have the inherent risk and a

8 checkmark identifying. So you could look for the

9 most common ones. Do we have, you know, the

10 inherent risk is mostly fraud or financial? I

11 think this gets at providing -- well, this, I

12 think, would only apply, they only did this for

13 the high-risk items. If you go back to the

14 beginning of their presentation they do talk

15 about what they looked at in determining the risk

16 level. I think it's on the inherent risk. Yeah,

17 on page -- let's see.

18   MR. DE MEO: Inherit risk and likelihood.

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What slide?

20   MR. RHODES: What slide is that?

21   MR. DE MEO: It's -- well --

22   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know I saw it, too, and I

23 lost it. Executive summary, yada-yada, risk

24 process, executive summary systemic risks,

25 organizational risks, proposed audit,

1   methodology.

2   MR. DE MEO: It's on page -- it's magnitude

3   and risk likelihood, page 17.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah. Inherent

5   risks, they go through the risk type and

6   description. That's on slide 15. And the one

7   you just mentioned, 16, and then magnitude --

8   right. So that gets you to the magnitude and

9   risk likelihood, which the two multiplied

10   together give you overall risk assessment.

11   So the risk type, safety, reputational,

12   fraud, financial, operational, regulatory and IT,

13   that's identified for the high-risk areas. And I

14   think having that as a summary -- somebody has to

15   leave. Who's leaving? Oh, Ruth. That, as a

16   summary, would probably -- because, right now,

17   it's on each -- it's in each section, but if they

18   could summarize that just like they did -- just

19   like they did for the Appendix A, do something

20   similar to summarize the -- I'm getting all my

21   screens going. The -- I've got to go look at my

22   copy. So just like they did in the individual

23   areas, pluck that out and do a summary. So like

24   the summary that they did for governance, it

25   shows what type of inherent risk. That's, again,

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   on page 25. And do that for each area. Because

2   now that we have a definition -- it's sort of to

3   consolidate and summarize, so that at a glance if

4   we're looking at the highest risk areas we know

5   where the inherent risks lie.

6   We know that there's 90 slides here, so if

7   we're going through each of the functional areas

8   we then have to flip through the individual

9   slides to look at the inherent risks that are

10   applicable to that high-risk area, where they

11   could just pluck that out and put it into a

12   summary on a summary page like they did with

13   Appendix A.

14   Does that make sense?

15   MR. RHODES: It does make sense.

16   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

17   MR. RHODES: I think -- I think off-line I

18   might talk to you a little bit more about that to

19   make sure that my notes line up with what you

20   just said because we're going quickly and I also

21   want to make sure I get this information before

22   we would necessarily get the court reporter's

23   information from this meeting.

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because I think that

25   addresses the more details request. It sort of

1   doesn't -- it doesn't give internal controls, but

2   it gets us to -- it does identify the operational

3   processes at risk. It shows you that they're

4   high risk. It gives you more details as to why

5   they're at risk and where the inherent risk is,

6   summarized. Because it's in here, it's just that

7   it's 90 slides that you've got to flip through to

8   find it. So that would just summarize, I think.

9   Would that work, Mr. De Meo?

10   MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think I'd still like to

11   see the controls. Yeah, they have described it,

12   but I'd like to see it associated on that matrix

13   so that one could easily associate, you know,

14   what is being tested. As far as the judgment

15   goes it's hard to supplant our judgment for those

16   that are part of management and deal with this

17   every day. But certainly questioning is a good

18   thing.

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh. Okay. So I think

20   we're good on that. Yeah, we can talk more

21   off-line.

22   I wanted to pull up -- good Lord. I had

23   another -- yeah, the audit committee bylaws since

24   we don't have a policy yet. In our bylaws we, of

25   course, have to approve the audit plan. We

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   review the office of -- so that would be more in

2   June when we've got the proposed plan. I'm just

3   pulling this up real quick. Yeah, I'm sure now

4   that I'm thinking about it Mr. Bass probably

5   wants to strangle us, but -- so the audit

6   committee review and -- blah-blah-blah, financial

7   reports, financial reports. Review the Office of

8   Chief Auditor's plan for the annual audits and

9   make recommendations concerning areas to be

10   emphasized and then periodically evaluate the

11   effectiveness of the internal audit plan from the

12   standpoint of how effectively the Office of the

13   Chief Auditor's available time is being utilized

14   and make appropriate recommendations to the

15   superintendent and the board.

16   So that -- that's what applies to the audit

17   plan in that policy -- or in our bylaws. Sorry.

18   All right. So, if we're good, I think we're

19   losing Ruth at 11:30 she said, and the only other

20   thing we have on here is from 2019, those of us

21   who were on here may remember this -- if we're

22   all good, I don't see any hands raised or

23   comments in the chat regarding the risk

24   assessment. So the next thing we had, last thing

25   is -- let's see. This was the DAC motion from

1   2019 and the response. I think this was

2   communicated via email so that's why it looks

3   like this. The motion was, we moved that the

4   audit department -- this is from District

5   Advisory Council. We moved that the audit

6   department review the School Advisory Council

7   school accountability funds when they audit the

8   school's internal accounts. It passed

9   unanimously in 2019. I then think it fell into a

10   black hole. This was also during COVID when

11   things tended to fall into a black hole.

12   So the response was that, you know, it could

13   be -- it could be added to the School Year 22

14   audit plan where it can be evaluated versus other

15   areas that need to be audited. And nothing ever

16   happened. It never got added to an audit plan.

17   So these are the school accountability funds and

18   DAC brings this up periodically.

19   MR. RHODES: With regard to the School

20   Advisory Council accountability fund, is there a

21   policy or a set of bylaws that I'm not familiar

22   with that I could begin to look into that?

23   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So let me pull up

24   everything. So the answer is, of course, yes.

25   So we have State Statute 1001.42, number 18,

1   1008.345, 1001.452. And then locally there is

2   1403 and 1403(a). So from the state statute

3   level we're going down to -- hopefully, I plucked

4   the right number, number 18, it's down there

5   somewhere --

6   MR. RHODES: And this will probably answer,

7   but my initial question was, who controls the --

8   those funds?

9   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: SAC. I don't know where

10   they live. Presumably, I'm guessing they live at

11   the school level.

12   MS. ARCESE: I believe they live on the

13   school budget.

14   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: School budget?

15   MS. ARCESE: Yeah, they're not in the

16   internal funds. These are funds that are

17   provided to the school through the state. So

18   those are not part of the internal funds, but we

19   can talk about -- Mr. Rhodes, you can talk about

20   it as far as -- because you also need to keep in

21   mind --

22   COURT REPORTER: Ali, I'm having a hard time

23   understanding you.

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I caught about every

25   eighth word.

1   MS. ARCESE: I'm sorry. Apparently, I have

2   some speaker issues. Sorry. I apologize.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. So this statute

4   speaks to the general powers of the school board

5   and the school improvement plan, school

6   improvement and accountability, maintain the

7   system, school improvement, educational

8   accountability. I think it mentions the School

9   Advisory Council improvement plan or that might

10   be the next one. So it goes into school

11   improvement funds, the district school board

12   shall provide funds to schools for developing and

13   implementing school improvement plans. Such

14   funds shall include those funds appropriated for

15   the purpose of school improvements pursuant to

16   state statute 24.121.

17   Yeah, so this -- the implementation of School

18   Advisor Council member training, staff training.

19   And so this is establishing the School Advisory

20   Council. It starts here in this statute.

21   MR. RHODES: Now, with that in mind, I think

22   that the idea of looking at it at a time

23   different than looking at the internal accounts,

24   because it's not synonymous with internal

25   accounts based on what I'm hearing and I just saw

1   a note that was put into the chat, as well, it

2   might be something that just should merit its own

3   look.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. It was just, I

5   think when they passed the motion, because that

6   was the only sort of most closely related type of

7   fund, that's the only reason. So this gets more

8   into detail of how you implement the state system

9   of school improvement and educational

10   accountability. I want to say in here it might

11   say that the SAC is the vehicle that's -- you

12   know, the vehicle responsible for implementing

13   the school improvement plan. Review the School

14   Advisory Councils of each district.

15   Blah-blah-blah.

16   So there are all these rules that are

17   supposed to be happening that speak to compliance

18   with the school improvement plan and per statute

19   before we even get to -- and here's this one,

20   District and School Advisory Councils. This

21   says, the School Board shall establish an

22   advisory council. The School Advisory Council

23   shall be the sole body responsible for final

24   decision making at the school related to the

25   implementation of those or two statutes. It

1   speaks to the composition. There is procedures

2   for how you go about spending the money that you

3   get. So these are all the rules there.

4   Then 1403, School Accountability and

5   Improvement, that's the local policy. And then

6   there's guidelines. And, again, this should

7   mirror -- there shouldn't be anything in here

8   that is in conflict with those state statutes.

9   This is just at the local level.

10   MR. RHODES: Yeah, this looks like that it

11   would necessarily require a deeper dive and more

12   time on-site than any kind of an internal fund.

13   And I know we've already kind of agreed on this

14   point before, the fact that we got it through a

15   motion that happened to include, you know,

16   looking at it at the same time as internal funds,

17   I understand that that was just the thought at

18   that moment, but this is something that it could

19   surely be looked at as it's own audit line item.

20   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. On two fronts.

21   MR. RHODES: The question that I'll have to,

22   like, ponder is how -- how would we get the

23   greatest coverage to develop a full understanding

24   of how this works since it's done at the school

25   level and each school would have their own

1   account for this? I guess that would have to be

2   done based on like a sample of locations to be

3   able to look at that particular fund for that

4   sample of occasion.

5   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, yeah. So I'm

6   noticing both Lew and Robert have their hands up.

7   I guess Lew's first and then Robert and they've

8   both been involved in this.

9   So, Lew, do you have a question or a comment?

10   I was sharing my screen and when I share I can't

11   see peoples' hands.

12   MR. NAYLOR: No, no, no, that's fine.

13   The question I have is, how do the funds

14   come? How do they flow? Do they flow to the

15   district and then 100 percent of what the

16   district is provided goes to the schools or does

17   the district retain a portion of that money for

18   other -- another purpose, such as funding the

19   school accountability office?

20   MS. ARCESE: So as far as funding the school

21   on that, I don't want to answer until I'm able to

22   actually look into it, but there is guidance in

23   the school budget guidelines. So the dollars

24   come from the state through the FEFP funding. It

25   is passed -- there is a categorical separation of

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 these funds. They do not commingle with the

2 regular school budget. And so once whatever the

3 decision may be based on, the SAC committee or

4 the school's voting, whatever their decisions

5 are, then the funding would correspond with the

6 SAC funding.

7   MR. NAYLOR: I understand. I've been on SAC

8 teams for 30 years, so I understand how that

9 works. My question is whether the funding -- 100

10 percent of the funding that comes from the state

11 for this purpose goes to the schools? That's the

12 question. Thank you.

13   MS. ARCESE: And that would be something that

14 we would look at as part of the audit guidelines

15 to ensure that, if that's the requirement of the

16 state based on the statute, we need to ensure

17 that the schools are using those funds consistent

18 with the expectation of the state. I'm sorry.

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think Lew is asking, is

20 that how it works? Not from an audit perspective

21 but asking. So that's sort of a question we

22 need --

23   MR. NAYLOR: Well, that would be part of the

24 audit though; right?

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That is once we establish

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   what the rule is; yeah.

2   MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So I think someone

4   needs to confirm that that's the rule and then

5   you would be able to audit to make sure that's

6   happening. And beyond funds, the funding issue,

7   which is what the motion was about, there's also

8   compliance with the school improvement statutes

9   and policy. Because that's not audited, it's

10   more of an operational audit, but it's a

11   compliance audit and I've never seen one of those

12   done. Because there are things other than funds

13   that are of concern.

14   Robert, you had your hand up, too?

15   MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah. I recall this motion

16   and I remember the main issue was that it wasn't

17   about the amount of money, how it gets funded. I

18   think the issue was, how the money is being

19   spent. So, again, the SAC is supposed to approve

20   an expenditure, and there were some expenditures

21   that weren't approved by the SAC, nor was there

22   any, I'll call it detailed accountability of

23   where those funds were going. So, for example, I

24   think -- I think it was changed to that the SAC

25   is supposed to have on their agenda the beginning

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   balance, the ending balance, where the funds are

2   going, you know -- and, again, everybody deals

3   with it a different way, but there should be a

4   district template very similar to a district

5   bylaw template that the reporting of the funds

6   are, here's our beginning balance, here's what

7   was spent, here's what was put aside for

8   reserves, and how that money is being utilized

9   and how it then meets the school improvement

10   plan, not that they're paying for, you know,

11   tickets for -- airline tickets for a booster club

12   group to go to Las Vegas for some convention.

13   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Linda Ferrara who

14   has been on DAC for a minute since last night is

15   typing into the chat some additional information.

16   If there are funds remaining after the

17   disbursement of recognition awards the district

18   can allocate up to $5 per unweighted full FTE

19   student to be used at the discretion of SAC for

20   implementing the school improvement plan, which

21   starts with $100 per student for qualified

22   schools. That's under state -- Florida Statute

23   1008.36.

24   MR. NAYLOR: Is that a misstatement? Because

25   she said recognition funds, we're talking about

1   accountability.

2   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Linda? She says, oops.

3   Okay.

4   MS. FERRARA: I grabbed the wrong one,

5   because that was listed under accountability.

6   Sorry about that. I will find.

7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

8   MS. FERRARA: But it included the $5 and the

9    $5 is what the student allocation is.

10   MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. I think the $5 goes

11   directly to the school and they're not allowed to

12   take anything off the top, but --

13   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So the compliance,

14   just from an audit standpoint, the compliance is

15   with, you know, the funds reported -- you know,

16   and are they reporting them? They could do

17   budget to actual. It's not like they don't have

18   that information. And then also compliance with

19   the school improvement plan requirements. So

20   it's both monetary and operational. And I just

21   wanted to -- what is this, who -- oh, Ali, is

22   that you?

23   MS. ARCESE: Yes, I just provided the snippet

24   from the school -- can you hear me better, by the

25   way? Is this better?

1   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. Yes.

2   MS. ARCESE: Okay. Great.

3   All right. This is a snippet from the

4   school's budget guidelines on what they should be

5   following as far as the school -- they call it

6   accountability, but it's for the Florida school

7   Recognition Funds that they receive.

8   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

9   MS. ARCESE: Okay?

10   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And if you guys

11   click on it you can blow it up. So --

12   MS. FERRARA: I copy and pasted from there.

13   That's what mine said exactly.

14   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right.

15   MR. NAYLOR: But that's not -- that's not

16   given to every school. It's only when they

17   earned -- when they have the -- they meet the

18   required criteria for earning recognition funds.

19   Because that's 100 dollars per student. That's

20   the school recognition dollars.

21   MS. ARCESE: Correct.

22   MS. FERRARA: No, they changed to up to $100.

23   Because the allocation used to be higher and when

24   they changed the language they left the

25   flexibility to allow additional -- less funds to

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this

2   is not the other one.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like

4   we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a

5   meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe

6   they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years

7   later. Because, actually, the motion was

8   passed -- May 8th, 2019. So five years and a

9   day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if

10   necessary. Because if we're considering that for

11   the audit plan and they have another motion for

12   this year that would help.

13   Linda, is your hand up now or from before?

14   MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?

16   MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of

17   motion. What we were finding in reviewing,

18   particularly, high schools, because they received

19   the most money, is that the motions that were

20   made to allocated funds did not correlate to the

21   school improvement plan. So when you're spending

22   $5,000 on a microphone to assist 10 students,

23   that's where all this came up in conversation

24   and, therefore, the motion. And, therefore, in

25   subsequent, I mean, I calculated, I mean, close

1 to a million dollars of motions that were made

2 that did not correlate back to the school

3 improvement plan.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

5   MR. RHODES: And, Madam Chair?

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

7   MR. RHODES: Is anybody either on this

8 committee or in this meeting aware of this having

9 been audited at any point in the past?

10   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Linda? I'm not.

11   MS. FERRARA: No, no. It's been -- it's been

12 swept under the carpet.

13   MR. NAYLOR: And one of the things that

14 Parent Community Involvement Task Force has been

15 looking into is reviewing SAC minutes and seeing

16 if the budget is being presented to the SAC team

17 for approval. So that could be also a component

18 of an audit.

19   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The compliance piece.

20   MR. NAYLOR: Right.

21   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, Mr. Rhodes, why don't

22 we get together off-line and then DAC can sort of

23 consolidate their observations and maybe submit

24 that and then have a discussion at their next

25 meeting at the end of the month so we can sort of

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   get more clarity on exactly what issues and look

2   at the rules that are in place to see what would

3   drive a compliance audit and then also as far as

4   the funds go.

5   MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get

6   information from DAC, if they happen to know, so

7   we would have -- because it sounds like we have a

8   pretty solid answer from this group that no audit

9   of this area has been done before. But if DAC

10   has a definitive answer to that, that might be

11   something that they could transmit to us as well.

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also --

13   MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?

14   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

15   MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you.

16   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.

17   MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include

18   Mary in this conversation because she's -- she's

19   been looking at this.

20   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, she is part of the

21   audit committee, too, so, yeah, she would be

22   involved.

23   MR. NAYLOR: Right. But as far as the --

24   right. As far as the initial development of

25   information. Thank you.

1   MS. FERRARA: And I've been on DAC for 28

2   years. And this has -- it's come up time after

3   time but no audit has ever been done.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Okay. Maybe DAC

5   needs to survey the SAF chairs. Because SAF

6   chairs sit on SAC. And that could be another

7   exercise.

8   Okay. So I just wanted to bring that up

9   because that's something that got put on a back

10 burner or even taken off the stove altogether and

11 that motion was passed five years ago. So it

12 seemed relevant right now. And it sort of is

13 related to -- it's those funds that you have at

14 schools that impact schools directly. They're

15 not material individually, but collectively they

16 are and related to sort of the booster club

17 issues. So, hopefully, we can get some -- some

18 audits and some structure and some compliance in

19 place for those.

20   Agenda planning for May 16th.

21   MR. MAYERSOHN: I just have a follow-up

22 question on this.

23   So if these funds are held within the

24 school's budget, are they audited when they audit

25 the school's budget? And are they -- or are

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   they -- does the state have the ability to audit

2   it or -- because I saw at one point in time, and,

3   again, legislation may have changed, where the

4   accountability piece of this was required to be

5   reported to the Department of Education.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh.

7   MR. MAYERSOHN: So I don't know if that still

8   exists in statute, whether those -- because I

9   know everything has morphed and change from what

10   it used to be to what it is now even to the point

11   of, you know, SAC's changed from SAC to SAF, back

12   to SAC.

13   So I'm wondering, in that line, whether or

14   not these funds are auditable by the state.

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think that's a good

16   question. Are they audited with the schools'

17   budgets? Do the schools' budgets get audited?

18   Does anyone from OCA know?

19   MR. RHODES: I don't know that, but what I

20   can do is I can take a look at some of the prior

21   reports and find out if this has ended up in

22   their scope. Because what I think they generally

23   do is they -- some of these different state and

24   federal audits they look at it by the size of a

25   fund when they're putting together their sample,

1   what it is that they're going to look at in

2   addition to those areas that they're required to

3   look at. And I don't know that these funds would

4   rise to the level of being looked at. But if

5   they are required to be reported to the state

6   someone has to be auditing them.

7   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So that sounds like

8   a good follow-up.

9   So for next week, because of the timing of

10   this meeting, I had to have the agenda done for

11   next week. Hold on. Where did I throw it? I

12   thought I had it open. One second. Sleep

13   depravation is starting to take effect. Because

14   I had it open two seconds ago.

15   Agenda for next week. So -- and then you

16   should have an agenda planning document as part

17   of the backup for this meeting and that also has

18   May and June in it. So next week we have minutes

19   from April 11th, the follow-up, which includes

20   this discussion, the list of booster clubs by

21   school. If we have any motions related to the

22   BTA training, that would be the time to bring

23   them. We won't rehash everything we discussed

24   today, but if there are any motions, you know,

25   that need to come out of it, then we can vote

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   next week. Same here, see if we get some answers

2   to that question about the SAC funds being

3   audited as part of the school's budget.

4   Mr. Rhodes, is it looking like we're getting

5   the list of booster clubs for next week?

6   MR. RHODES: I haven't heard anything back

7   yet. I'll follow up on that after this meeting.

8   My recollection is they were supposed to provide

9   at least the list by the 7th. I will reach back

10   out to Dr. Fulton and find out where that is.

11   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah. I just want

12   to make sure so we don't get to next week without

13   even so much as a list.

14   And then the general fund, the proposed

15   policy changes. I think by tomorrow you'll know

16   so that can be sent out?

17   MR. RHODES: I'm sorry?

18   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So when the agenda goes out

19   we'll either have the one that they worked on

20   prior or if there's any updates you'll know by

21   tomorrow; for the general fund policy?

22   MR. RHODES: Yeah, I'm going to know that by

23   tomorrow.

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then internal

25   funds and Carr Riggs Ingram. I put the

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1 district-wide risk assessment at the end in case

2 anything sort of percolated up out of the

3 discussions having to do with the quarterly RSM

4 audit, the Big 3 Audit, the PCG follow-ups. It's

5 a tight agenda so that we can knock these out

6 because they've been, you know, in the pipeline

7 for a while. That's what's on the docket for

8 next week.

9   And then June we have -- let's see. June,

10 there shouldn't be any surprises there. So May

11 16, that's all the same stuff. We would discuss

12 the proposed audit plan, the last of internal

13 funds, RSM roofing. This is starting to sound

14 pretty packed. So we may, like with the BTAs and

15 the other items, need like one last special

16 meeting and then we would be all caught up and

17 ready to roll to take the rest of the summer off

18 and get rolling again in August. So that's what

19 we have on June. You should have -- this

20 document is linked online in case there's

21 anything you can think of that was missed.

22   MR. RHODES: Just a follow-up to that

23 question about the --

24   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and then Lew has his

25 hand up.

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   MR. RHODES: Oh, I'm sorry.

2   MR. NAYLOR: No, go ahead, finish.

3   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, finish your thought.

4   MR. RHODES: So I pulled up the email and I

5   think I had discussed this with you previously,

6   that we did receive a response back and I thought

7   it said something different than what I'm reading

8   again. It said, we are happy to comply with your

9   request and after careful review with my team we

10   have developed a strategic plan to gather the

11   requested information and prepare it in a

12   transferable format. We anticipate being able to

13   provide this information by June 27th. That will

14   probably ring a bell with you, as well.

15   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, with me?

16   MR. RHODES: Yeah.

17   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Wait, but that -- so

18   is it going to be the 7th or not?

19   MR. RHODES: No, that was the previous

20   request, and that was what I was recalling. And

21   this response was to my email to them advising

22   that we had previously had an agreement that it

23   was going to be delivered by the 7th. Remember,

24   there was discussion about breaking it into two

25   parts, the list of the schools and then at a

1 later date receiving the revenues and

2 expenditures? This was the most current response

3 that says June 27th.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But you're going to

5 follow up? Because June 27th --

6   MR. RHODES: I am going to follow up on that

7 and see if there is anything that we can get

8 prior to that date.

9   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah, because I

10 thought I saw something in the atmosphere that

11 they needed to get it sooner. They were serving

12 schools or something.

13   And then we have -- our motions, so when we

14 do a motion, if we transmit it they have 21 days

15 to respond. But that's been problematic,

16 especially since they're down clerical help in

17 OCA and the timing of minutes. So we'll have to

18 talk about how we -- what we put forward as a

19 motion versus a follow-up. Because we are also

20 covered under the chief auditor's policy, and I

21 just want to make sure we're not getting hung up

22 in this bureaucratic process when we don't have

23 to be for certain things. Because if we're

24 asking for something for the agenda, that 21 days

25 doesn't come into play and we don't need a motion

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   for it. But, anyway -- sorry. Lew, you had a

2   question or a comment and then we need to wrap

3   up.

4   MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, just -- well, a general

5   question. As a new member, I'm trying to get my

6   feet on the ground and I'm trying to understand

7   when the material for the next meeting is

8   available. I'm asking for a hard copy, but if

9   it's also available online, it could allow me to

10   start reviewing the information ahead of time.

11   Thank you.

12   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So, Mr. Rhodes, do

13   you want to answer that?

14   MR. RHODES: Yeah. One, we can definitely

15   provide you a hard copy. Those generally go out

16   the Thursday before the meeting. But then we

17   also link up the information on the Office of the

18   Chief Auditor's website with all of the

19   electronic documents available on the Friday

20   before the meeting. So for the meeting for the

21   16th we will have the electronic information

22   available on the Office of the Chief Auditor's

23   website on May 10th. That generally happens

24   somewhere around 2 to 3 p.m. And the other

25   information, if you're requesting it, it sounded

1 like you did, I will put you down for a hardcopy

2 packet and we'll use the information we have on

3 file to make sure that gets out to you.

4   Oh, I just got notified that the hardcopy

5 packets do go out on Friday as well.

6   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So that would be tomorrow.

7   MR. NAYLOR: Right. So that means it would

8 probably be delivered on Monday for a Thursday

9 meeting?

10   MR. RHODES: Yes. I think -- I can't -- I

11 don't know when they actually deliver, but I know

12 that as long as we get them out on time we

13 haven't had any complaints in terms of the

14 timeliness of the delivery.

15   MR. NAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, sir.

16   MS. ARCESE: We can try to get them to

17 everyone by -- I'm sorry, this is Ali Arcese, we

18 can try to get them to everyone by Saturday so it

19 gives you more time to be able to go through

20 those materials going forward.

21   MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

22   MS. ARCESE: You're welcome.

23   MR. TURSO: Just a note -- just a note for

24 Lew Naylor. Lew, in the end, they mail them out,

25 generally, in my experience, on Friday, and they

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   come FedEx next day on Saturday.

2   MR. NAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, Peter.

3   MR. TURSO: You're welcome.

4   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: If everyone's good --

5   MR. MAYERSOHN: There's one other thing that

6   I just want to add to this, and I don't know how

7   committee members feel and we can discuss it

8   further or whatever next week, but I support the

9   idea of us, and I discussed this Ali and I

10   discussed this with Mr. Jabouin, we have been

11   using a lot of paper. And, obviously, if we're

12   looking at costs, you know, to save that paper

13   would be greatly appreciated. So I don't have a

14   problem using my laptop, but I don't want to sit

15   there and have 20 million gigabytes stored

16   somewhere. So the discussion was to get a device

17   from the district that would cost X amount of

18   dollars and over the course of two years not

19   utilizing paper would get your money back,

20   somewhere where we could write on a screen, write

21   notes, do whatever it is, whether it's, you know,

22   a Microsoft tablet or something. And I don't

23   know how everybody feels. There are some, I know

24   like Rebecca Dahl, that wants paper and pencil.

25   But there may be others that may be willing to, I

1   guess, substitute the laptop for sending FedEx

2   and paperwork. So I'm just throwing that out

3   there. We can discuss that later and come up

4   with a possible solution.

5   MS. ARCESE: Mr. Mayersohn, I believe that

6   was being looked at. I believe that there was

7   something on the follow-up agenda at one point

8   where Dr. Phillips had attended and I think he

9   was going to share information. But I believe

10   that was one of those meetings where we ended up

11   pushing that item to the next meeting and I think

12   it just kind of got dropped. I will make sure

13   that -- or I will, through Mr. Rhodes, we'll

14   reach out to Dr. Phillips again to see if there's

15   a way that they can accommodate that. Because I

16   don't believe it's just the audit committee

17   that's requested that. I think that there are

18   other committees that have requested that. So I

19   think they were looking at possibly providing

20   that service to all committee members throughout

21   the district. So more to come.

22   MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, I would support rolling

23   it out to the audit committee first as a pilot

24   program.

25   MS. ARCESE: Got it.

1   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I think there was

2   only one other committee. And, remember, you

3   guys got asked about, you were given an email

4   address and I actually had two, one of which I

5   didn't even know I had, because that's never been

6   formally rolled out. And that's not on the audit

7   department, that's whoever's in charge of --

8   well, whoever's in charge of advisories, which

9   appears to be no one. So I believe they may even

10   have laptops or whatever device available and

11   ready to hand them off to the audit committee,

12   they just -- and they could, you know, just do

13   this -- just send a written update, we have the

14   laptops, shall we come to your next meeting and

15   give it to you, now that it's June and the end of

16   the year. But I believe that everybody was sent

17   an email. This happened a few months ago, with

18   an email address and that was step 1 to them

19   handing out the devices.

20   So I guess we'll -- we'll look -- we'll look

21   at that. So, Robert, you want one for the June

22   meeting? Or for next year?

23   MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I mean, I'll take one --

24   if there's one in June, I'll take one in June.

25   DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I think there

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   may be. They made it sound like it was imminent.

2   But they had the email addresses set up and then

3   the next step was to disseminate these devices.

4   And they mentioned one other committee besides

5   audit. Because, as you might well imagine, you

6   know if you're giving them to everybody on DAC,

7   that gets a little pricey.

8   All right. Okay. So it's 11:56. If there's

9   nothing else, I'm going to go ahead and adjourn

10   the meeting. Thank you all very much and I'll

11   see you next week in person at KCW.

12   MR. RHODES: Have a great weekend everybody.

13   (Meeting was concluded at 11:56 a.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9b8d98dc-88bc-4955-9a36-cb628635d75f

1   REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2   STATE OF FLORIDA

3   COUNTY OF BROWARD

4   I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary

5   Public in and for the State of Florida at Large,

6   hereby certify that I was authorized to and did

7   stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and

8   that the transcript is a true and complete record of

9   my stenographic notes thereof.

10   Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort

11 Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.

12

13

14   TIMOTHY R. BASS
             Court Reporter

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attention 36:7 38:24
68:4,11
attest 60:23
attributes 8:1 10:17
21:25 30:3 56:24
audio 4:24 31:1
audit 1:5 2:8 3:19
7:15 9:7 10:18,19
21:22,22 22:3 26:24
29:19 30:1 31:11
32:3,5,10,20 33:19
34:1,2,5,6,8,21 35:3
35:8,10,12 36:15,23
36:24 37:2,5,12,25
38:1,17 39:4,7,12
39:21 40:22,22 41:6
41:9,14,19,24 43:4
44:16,17 46:18,19
46:20,24 47:3,19
48:5,13 49:2,21,25
52:6,7,9,10 53:23
54:3,4,9,10,18,23
55:4 56:4 57:13
58:13,24 60:15
62:25 63:12 64:25
65:6 68:7,7 70:16
70:20 71:1 72:13,17
72:22,24 74:13,17
77:9 78:9,11 79:3,4
79:11,16,25 80:13
80:15 82:25 85:23
85:25 86:5,11,16
87:4,5,7,14,16
91:19 93:14,20,24
94:5,10,11 96:14
98:11 99:18 100:3,8
100:21 101:3,24
102:1 105:4,4,12
111:16,23 112:6,11
113:5
auditable 102:14
audited 75:10 87:15
94:9 99:9 101:24
102:16,17 104:3
auditing 103:6
auditor 2:7,8,12,12
2:13 43:15 62:24
auditor's 86:8,13
107:20 108:18,22
auditors 38:13,14
audits 2:9 7:24 35:16

38:13,14 41:9 44:4
44:7,9,9 50:22
55:11 57:23 67:21
72:2 86:8 101:18
102:24
August 11:24 105:18
authorized 114:6
available 24:25 31:8
37:20 38:17,25
41:13 58:7 86:13
108:8,9,19,22
112:10
Avenue 1:24
award 40:5
awards 95:17
aware 46:16 51:15
99:8
awareness 13:24
axis 48:17

——————————
B
——————————

B 2:11 32:18 51:7,8
back 27:5 29:12 32:4
36:1 61:1,17,23
73:11 75:3,12 79:8
82:1,13 99:2 101:9
102:11 104:6,9
106:6 110:19
backup 19:12 103:17
backward 41:1
balance 49:13 95:1,1
95:6
based 8:3,8,10 36:18
44:4 47:8 48:4
50:22 53:17 64:3
65:19 89:25 92:2
93:3,16
basically 5:21 9:10
9:15 10:16
basis 68:5
Bass 1:23,23 2:19
86:4 114:4,14
BECON 2:20
began 9:20 33:14
beginning 8:25 9:14
9:23 15:4,9 17:20
30:10 46:12 82:14
94:25 95:6
behavior 19:23
Behavioral 2:16 7:22
8:19 11:8 26:1

66:21
behaviors 13:20
30:17,19
believe 24:12 27:4
30:2 88:12 111:5,6
111:9,16 112:9,16
believes 54:5
bell 106:14
best 37:23 70:19
80:11
better 20:10 37:10
49:19 60:10,13
62:21 65:8 66:11
67:4 69:5 96:24,25
beyond 14:23,25
16:16 94:6
Big 105:4
bigger 42:25
bit 42:25 43:7 45:23
48:1,2,3,9 50:17,21
57:4 59:8,10 60:9
60:13 68:18 80:12
84:18
black 28:4 87:10,11
blah-blah-blah 86:6
90:15
blow 61:14 97:11
board 1:3 16:25
33:12 37:5 41:20
48:5 52:3,9,10
53:22 54:5,21 63:12
70:17 78:9 86:15
89:4,11 90:21
body 90:23
bomb 23:2,10,12
24:13,14,18
bond 34:25
Book 54:14,14
booster 60:24 95:11
101:16 103:20
104:5
box 48:11
boxes 70:11
break 74:16 80:7
breakdown 74:9
breaking 106:24
brief 51:4
bring 38:23 48:13
101:8 103:22
brings 87:18
Broadcast 2:20

broke 74:14
Broward 1:3 11:9,16
13:25 14:15,21
17:25 19:21 114:3
114:11
BRYAN 2:10
BTA 2:12 6:13 9:12
9:17 10:1,15 11:4
12:15 13:9 23:3,18
30:13 39:4,12 56:23
57:3 103:22
BTAs 31:18 105:14
budget 71:6,7,9 74:5
88:13,14 92:23 93:2
96:17 97:4 99:16
101:24,25 104:3
budgeting 71:10
budgets 102:17,17
build 16:11
Building 67:8,9,16,17
bullet 58:19
bunch 3:5
bureaucratic 107:22
burner 101:10
bus 6:11
business 33:15 66:22
bylaw 95:5
bylaws 43:4 85:23,24
86:17 87:21

——————————
C
——————————

C 2:11
cadre 50:7
calculated 98:25
call 3:17 4:2 5:5
34:22 36:6 49:21
94:22 97:5
called 44:8
calls 46:14,19 50:7
capital 49:15 71:9
capture 35:13
captured 55:21 58:23
72:6 76:23 79:20
captures 63:18
careful 106:9
Carolyn 2:21
carpet 99:12
Carr 39:22 40:11,17
40:20 43:17 46:22
55:7 77:8 104:25
CARTER 2:12

broke 74:14
Carter-Lynch 2:2 4:3
6:1
case 20:12 35:24
47:18 57:24 58:2
105:1,20
categorical 92:25
category 68:1
caught 36:1 88:24
105:16
caused 62:18
center 8:10 54:2
certain 7:3 10:2,5
72:22 107:23
certainly 48:4 54:21
56:11 62:24 70:21
77:3 85:17
CERTIFICATE
114:1
certified 12:6
certify 114:6
CFO 70:17
Chair 3:8 15:21
45:14 52:20 60:15
73:18,23 99:5
chairs 12:2,2,4,5
101:5,6
challenging 63:17
chance 10:23 59:6,18
change 12:22 19:19
36:6 102:9
changed 30:23 94:24
97:22,24 102:3,11
changes 104:15
charge 112:7,8
chart 52:5 71:16
charter 21:2,3,15
52:7
chat 30:25 31:2 38:3
50:14 86:23 90:1
95:15
chatter 50:14
checking 3:16
checklist 24:17,20
checkmark 75:10
76:18 82:8
checkmarks 13:11
57:16
checks 82:3
chief 2:7,8,17 43:15
51:21 62:23 86:8,13
107:20 108:18,22

exist 58:9,9 65:24
existing 47:1 54:11
exists 102:8
expand 98:9
expect 36:2
expectation 93:18
expected 17:5
expenditure 94:20
expenditures 94:20
   107:2
experience 50:8,9
   109:25
experiencing 59:21
explanation 27:3,22
exposure 52:12
external 44:10 52:7
   69:1
externally 76:5

F

F 2:12
face 12:4,4
face-to-face 11:23
   12:8
Facilities 2:13 50:1
fact 43:19 45:25
   46:18 47:6 48:7
   64:3 71:4 91:14
   98:4
factors 47:11 74:4
failed 27:6
failures 27:24
fair 64:18
fairly 65:21
fall 59:24 60:25 73:5
   87:11
false 15:12
familiar 87:21
far 8:18 23:2 58:23
   70:15 76:2 85:14
   88:20 92:20 97:5
   100:3,23,24
features 58:6
federal 71:10 102:24
FedEx 110:1 111:1
feedback 16:17,19
   54:19 77:12
feeding 75:3
feel 50:16 59:9 110:7
feeling 47:24
feels 110:23

feet 108:6
FEFP 92:24
fell 49:10 58:3 87:9
felt 63:13 68:17
Ferrara 2:21 95:13
   96:4,8 97:12,22
   98:14,16 99:11
   101:1
Fertig 4:9
fidelity 21:19
fieldwork 30:9
file 109:3
fillable 25:1
filled 29:13
final 38:22 43:14
   90:23
finalization 30:3
finalized 30:2 38:23
finance 48:2,8 49:9
   68:14 71:4,14,15,16
   73:5 74:5
financial 52:15 82:4
   82:10 83:12 86:6,7
find 15:1 26:13 40:24
   56:1 58:6 62:22
   85:8 96:6 102:21
   104:10
finding 27:22 63:8
   98:4,17
findings 26:14 30:14
   38:21
fine 92:12 98:9
finish 30:14 106:2,3
finishing 14:19
fire 24:3
firm 36:12 37:1
firms 69:1
first 3:20 6:10,13,18
   6:20 7:21,25 9:16
   10:16 14:6,19 24:18
   33:15 38:25 73:20
   92:7 111:23
fiscal 32:3,5,17,20
   38:1 39:13
fit 44:9
five 14:6 45:5 46:4
   70:23 75:13 78:4,13
   98:6,8 101:11
fix 24:24
fixed 71:17 72:4,8
FL 1:24

flag 3:25
Fleet 67:23
FLEMING 2:15
flexibility 97:25
flexible 72:15
flip 84:8 85:7
Florida 11:13 12:20
   12:20 13:1 17:22,23
   30:11 46:1 95:22
   97:6 114:2,5,11
flow 92:14,14
Focus 28:12,18 56:9
   57:5,6,20 58:3,9,14
   65:19 73:25 75:18
   75:19 80:8
focused 26:2,12 41:25
   45:3 65:23 72:14
focusing 44:11 61:25
folks 40:24 51:24
   65:3
follow 13:8 25:7
   70:13,25 104:7
   107:5,6
follow-up 7:15 12:14
   16:20 67:16 70:2
   101:21 103:8,19
   105:22 107:19
   111:7
follow-ups 105:4
following 3:1 97:5
Force 99:14
foregoing 114:7
form 25:1 53:13
formally 112:6
format 13:16,21
   106:12
forms 54:12
formulated 70:9
Fort 1:24 114:10
fortune 46:11
forward 31:13 36:4
   42:1 48:13 66:7
   69:21 107:18
   109:20
forwarded 66:2
found 56:3 74:1
four 11:15 36:1 45:5
four-hour 12:3,6
four-year 35:25
framework 53:14
   54:7

fraud 52:15 81:17
   82:4,10 83:12
fresh 77:13
Friday 108:19 109:5
   109:25
front 54:1 80:25
fronts 91:20
FTE 95:18
full 12:5 91:23 95:18
full-day 11:23 12:8
fully 12:6
Fulton 104:10
function 67:6,7 70:7
   74:9 79:16
functional 47:9 50:20
   52:3,21,24 78:4,6
   84:7
functionality 80:3
functions 58:1 74:3
   81:6
fund 49:13 87:20
   90:7 91:12 92:3
   102:25 104:14,21
funded 64:15 94:17
funding 47:13 63:3
   64:22 69:10,20
   92:18,20,24 93:5,6
   93:9,10 94:6
funds 2:12 34:24 35:2
   39:8 55:20 64:4
   87:7,17 88:8,16,16
   88:18 89:11,12,14
   89:14 91:16 92:13
   93:1,17 94:6,12,23
   95:1,5,16,25 96:15
   97:7,18,25 98:20
   100:4 101:13,23
   102:14 103:3 104:2
   104:25 105:13
further 27:5 48:3
   55:11 59:10 68:18
   74:16 110:8
future 35:16 41:4,5

G

GABAY 2:12
gap 57:25 58:4
garbage 76:10,10
gather 106:10
gathered 72:2
gauge 16:13,20

general 5:25 49:13
   58:19 69:8 89:4
   104:14,21 108:4
generally 72:14
   102:22 108:15,23
   109:25
generic 72:15
getting 30:8 33:22
   60:25 68:10 69:18
   75:12 83:20 104:4
   107:21
gigabytes 110:15
give 7:19 8:14 9:8
   10:23 26:17 30:5
   34:4,18 37:23 50:21
   59:5 83:10 85:1
   112:15
given 25:3 49:12
   79:18 97:16 112:3
gives 27:23 85:4
   109:19
giving 57:3 72:11
   113:6
glad 67:1
glance 84:3
go 3:12,17 4:25 6:20
   6:21 8:24 22:15,20
   27:5 30:7 31:18
   32:18 33:16 34:17
   37:11 39:11 40:19
   41:18 42:23,24
   45:20,21,23 49:2
   50:17,20 52:19,20
   52:21 53:20 55:12
   55:15 56:6 60:4,9
   60:11 61:10,13,16
   61:17,21,23 65:8
   66:9 70:15 72:21
   76:13 79:8 81:25
   82:13 83:5,21 91:2
   95:12 100:4 106:2
   108:15 109:5,19
   113:9
goal 17:5 18:2 35:5
goals 52:5
goes 16:24 19:15
   39:12 51:6 55:19
   85:15 89:10 92:16
   93:11 96:10 104:18
going 6:10,25 7:22
   8:11 9:22 15:3,5

mouse 75:6
move 14:14 28:19 31:12,24 50:11,12 50:15 52:1 56:4 57:14 59:14
moved 87:3,5
moving 19:21 25:11 41:25 42:1 66:7
Mtg 2:20
multiplied 83:9
mute 6:2
muted 5:17

N

NAKIA 2:10
name 51:10
Nathalie 2:3 4:11 10:24 28:7 100:13
nature 58:19
Naylor 2:5 4:19,20 10:24 11:1,3 12:9 12:13,17,23 28:7,9 28:23 29:4,10 33:3 43:6 92:12 93:7,23 94:2 95:24 97:15 99:13,20 100:13,15 100:17,23 106:2 108:4 109:7,15,21 109:24 110:2
near 75:4,5
necessarily 10:2 14:22 23:18 56:2 63:14,17 71:8,13 77:22 84:22 91:11
necessary 77:21 80:7 98:10
need 5:12 11:22 18:22 20:3,3,7,11 22:16 36:8 37:9 39:11 61:14 63:19 63:21 75:11,21 78:14 87:15 88:20 93:16,22 98:4 103:25 105:15 107:25 108:2
needed 9:19 11:22 33:21 40:25 107:11
needs 31:19 44:18 54:17 57:8 67:12 70:4,6 94:4 101:5
negotiated 43:24

never 87:16 94:11 112:5
new 9:21,24 10:5,10 11:12 14:5 28:12 29:19 30:11,18 33:14 34:7 37:15,21 46:16 59:24 108:5
night 23:9 37:9 81:10 81:11 95:14
nine 26:10
nobody's 24:20
non-staff 18:17
nonnegotiable 72:25
normally 35:23 52:14
not-to-exceed 43:25
Notary 114:4
notate 5:15
note 90:1 109:23,23
Noted 39:15
notes 84:19 110:21 114:9
nothing's 71:19
notice 17:6 82:2
noticing 92:6
notification 42:6
notified 109:4
NRO 2:20
number 27:22 35:20 78:13,22 87:25 88:4 88:4

O

objections 53:2
objective 81:13
objectives 72:20 78:12
observation 17:11 27:22
observations 36:11 37:4 99:23
obviously 7:20 8:19 17:24 22:14 64:25 110:11
OCA 22:11,18,20 25:18 102:18 107:17
occasion 92:4
occur 40:17
occurred 5:7
October 26:3
off-line 84:17 85:21

99:22
offboarded 79:13
offboarding 75:24 79:12
offer 64:13
offered 11:1
office 2:7 25:21 80:15 86:1,7,12 92:19 108:17,22
Officer 2:17
oh 5:14 6:16 16:3 19:7 32:12 39:18 42:4 45:7 46:6 48:16 61:13 67:10 83:15 96:21 106:1 106:15 109:4
okay 3:16,24 4:5,25 5:6 6:3,7,25 9:10 12:23 13:2 14:17 16:1,6,23 18:4,25 19:6 21:20 22:6,7 24:5,8 25:22 27:20 28:3 29:10 32:15 33:1,8 38:2,11 39:1 39:15 41:22 42:2 43:9,12 44:21 45:10 45:21 52:22 53:4 55:22 59:5 61:15 63:10 66:8 67:11 69:22 73:17,19 74:9 74:14 75:7 83:4 84:16,24 85:19 96:3 96:7 97:2,8,9 98:16 99:4 100:12 101:4,8 103:7 104:11,24 106:17 107:4,9 108:12 109:15 110:2 113:8
old 65:10
on-line 5:24
on-site 91:12
onboarding 75:23
once 29:20 31:22 39:3 49:18 58:25 61:19 93:2,25
one's 24:22
one-off 68:13
ones 77:1 82:9
online 76:7 105:20 108:9
oops 96:2

open 103:12,14
opened 7:9
operational 47:2 52:15 63:22 65:4,17 69:15 70:7,24 71:5 71:14 82:4 83:12 85:2 94:10 96:20
operations 2:9,17 59:10 67:1,9 80:6
opinion 20:5
opinions 63:7
opportunities 15:7 59:22
opposed 18:16 25:1 27:7 60:17 80:3
opposite 40:16
order 3:19 65:14
org 71:16
organizational 47:23 52:4 82:25
orient 13:10 14:4,6
orientation 15:5 17:7 17:12
orienting 17:10
outcomes 17:6
outdated 73:10
outputs 58:22
outside 50:7 63:4 75:4
overall 8:7 9:1 68:17 69:20 83:10
oversight 34:25
overview 9:9 45:23 51:5
overwhelmed 59:25

P

p.m 108:24
pace 7:14
packed 31:16 105:14
packet 109:2
packets 109:5
page 13:7 22:9 26:24 28:2 49:20 50:11 73:4 82:17 83:2,3 84:1,12
pages 27:25 45:5,5 69:23 70:12
paper 25:2 55:6 110:11,12,19,24
paperwork 111:2

parent 57:9 99:14
parents 58:7
part 16:18 21:25 22:3 40:4 42:16 55:21 56:10 62:12,12 66:24 68:17 72:24 85:16 88:18 93:14 93:23 100:20 103:16 104:3
participated 63:13
particular 10:18 57:18 60:6,7 65:5 66:16 92:3
particularly 98:18
parts 106:25
passed 46:2 87:8 90:5 92:25 98:8 101:11
pasted 97:12
pause 57:19
Pavel 4:17
payable 77:4
paying 95:10
payroll 74:5
PCG 57:23,23 105:4
peer 35:20,25 36:11 38:4,16
pencil 110:24
penetration 76:8
people 3:5 7:25 10:23 18:6 31:22 38:12 51:21 59:24 64:6 81:11
peoples' 92:11
percent 23:5 26:22 27:1,1 33:18 35:2,5 64:8 92:15 93:10
percentage 34:18 37:13
percolated 105:2
performed 58:2
period 11:13 26:3,10 29:25 30:9 36:22 43:15,16 44:5
periodically 86:10 87:18
permissions 3:11
person 11:21 113:11
perspective 69:18 93:20
pertained 58:1
Peter 2:5 5:2 15:24

110:2
Phillips 2:17 111:8,14
phone 3:10
Phyllis 4:21
picked 68:25
piece 15:4 22:2 25:2
  27:16 99:19 102:4
pilot 111:23
Pinnacle 28:11,16
pinpoint 60:16
pipeline 105:6
place 8:6 39:4 49:3
  59:18 79:13 100:2
  101:19
places 81:15
plan 6:21 19:15 20:18
  21:19,22 22:3 27:15
  32:3,5,10,20 33:19
  33:21 34:2,2,5,6,8
  34:16,21 35:3,8,10
  36:15 38:1,1 39:7
  39:21 40:10,22 41:6
  41:9,14,19,24 44:17
  46:20 48:13 49:2,21
  55:4 56:5 59:16
  64:6,7 66:22 70:9
  70:13,15 71:1 72:13
  72:17,24 77:9 79:5
  85:25 86:2,8,11,17
  87:14,16 89:5,9
  90:13,18 95:10,20
  96:19 98:11,21 99:3
  105:12 106:10
planning 34:10
  101:20 103:16
plans 14:24 20:19
  21:18 26:2,5,7,10
  47:19 55:20 59:18
  59:23 89:13
platform 20:25 21:2
platforms 21:14
play 107:25
please 9:5 25:14
Pledge 3:20,23
pluck 83:23 84:11
plucked 88:3
point 5:11 20:1 21:21
  23:20 29:18 51:3
  58:12,18 75:9,13
  77:6 80:14,22 81:25
  91:14 99:9 102:2,10

111:7
points 58:15,19
policies 23:12,15
  24:11 52:3 54:11
  80:19
policy 9:23 10:3
  18:10 24:9,13 46:18
  46:19 64:5 85:24
  86:17 87:21 91:5
  94:9 104:15,21
  107:20
poll 81:4
ponder 91:22
pop 24:21
popped 19:5
pops 24:16,19 72:17
population 64:9
portion 92:17
position 49:17 51:10
positions 47:13
possibility 69:19
possible 35:9 100:5
  111:4
possibly 54:2 63:19
  111:19
potentially 39:5
  74:20
powers 89:4
PPO 67:15
preexisting 37:25
  40:15
prefer 68:7
preferred 77:10
preliminary 36:3
  38:9 55:1
preparation 10:9
prepare 11:11 34:20
  66:7 106:11
prepared 65:3 66:5
  68:6
present 7:14 20:17
  21:16
presentation 6:12
  14:8 18:1,23 82:14
presentations 14:12
  16:21
presented 31:14
  41:20 99:16
presenting 15:3
Presumably 88:10
pretty 9:8 11:25 12:5

20:20 47:25 49:24
  50:5,6 67:19 100:8
  105:14
previous 106:19
previously 41:23 79:7
  106:5,22
pricey 113:7
primarily 59:12
  64:25
principals 12:1,4
prior 6:6 7:24 8:23
  10:17 18:2 26:24
  31:20 32:10 33:17
  33:22 34:1 43:14
  102:20 104:20
  107:8
prioritization 47:4
prioritize 65:25 78:17
  78:18
prioritized 25:3
prioritizing 76:22
priority 34:15 72:18
Pritykina 2:9 7:19
  8:13,24 9:3,10
  26:15,23 27:2,9
  29:3 30:6
probably 11:13 23:19
  37:21 47:5 48:8
  51:1 58:22 66:10
  68:22 69:8 83:16
  86:4 88:6 106:14
  109:8
problem 16:5 27:11
  110:14
problematic 27:5
  107:15
problems 28:13
procedure 19:13,18
  23:13 24:18
procedures 19:22
  23:16 24:14 30:12
  44:1 54:11 78:17
  91:1
proceedings 3:1
  114:7
process 9:12 13:12
  19:22 22:9 30:8,15
  36:2 38:19 56:19
  70:14 71:6,7,9
  75:24 82:24 107:22
processes 19:21 36:8

56:18 70:24 71:5
  85:3
procurement 67:14
  67:15 73:9
professional 36:18
  41:12 48:8 63:2
program 6:14,20
  7:23 12:15 40:22
  57:13 58:24 72:23
  78:11 80:16 111:24
programs 13:25
  14:11 17:25 69:2,2
  71:10
project 24:10
projects 7:21
proper 49:3
properly 72:5,6
property 34:24 39:9
  68:4
propose 49:22
proposed 32:3 34:5,7
  34:16,21 35:8 39:6
  39:21 40:10 41:8,9
  41:19 45:12 48:12
  49:21 55:4 56:4
  77:9 82:25 86:2
  104:14 105:12
proposing 50:1,22
protected 56:15
proverbial 15:23
  37:8
provide 8:16 13:19
  15:7,18 17:21 38:9
  38:21,22 51:18 65:4
  78:1 80:12 81:6,14
  89:12 104:8 106:13
  108:15
provided 8:20 12:14
  35:16 43:23,25
  88:17 92:16 96:23
provides 81:23
providing 6:23 82:11
  111:19
psychologist 11:19
PTA 18:7
public 5:24 6:5 11:9
  14:16 69:8 114:5
pull 6:12 7:1 41:24
  42:5,10,13,20,23
  43:3 45:12 85:22
  87:23

pulled 106:4
pulling 32:9,16 43:2
  86:3
purchased 67:5
purpose 50:18 89:15
  92:18 93:11
purposes 14:13 53:25
pursuant 89:15
pushing 111:11
put 5:19 7:3,12 16:21
  17:16,17 21:19
  33:11 35:7 36:18
  41:2 44:16 46:21
  47:4,20 48:12 57:13
  63:1 68:5 78:11
  79:4 80:15 81:18,21
  84:11 90:1 95:7
  101:9 104:25
  107:18 109:1
puts 24:1
putting 5:21 14:12
  58:20,23 72:13
  102:25

———————————
           Q
quadrant 49:17
qualified 64:17,20
  95:21
qualifies 63:25
quality 9:4 36:7,7
quantified 64:17
quarterly 105:3
question 12:12,23
  13:3,10 15:25 19:12
  28:9,24 37:8 38:4
  38:13 39:17 40:7
  66:4,13 68:6,8 81:1
  81:9,9 88:7 91:21
  92:9,13 93:9,12,21
  101:22 102:16
  104:2 105:23 108:2
  108:5
questioning 85:17
questionnaires 48:4
  52:9
questions 7:16 10:20
  10:22 13:6,9 19:1
  26:20 28:6 29:23
  37:18,22,25 44:22
  46:15 50:12 56:12
  60:11,14,19 62:20

65:7 69:24 78:25
quick 9:1 43:3 86:3
quicker 60:9
quickly 33:25 35:1
55:15 84:20
quite 38:19 61:2 72:4

R

R 1:23 114:4,14
RADCLIFF 2:11
raised 29:22 63:4
86:22
ran 11:23
range 34:10
rate 47:2
rattled 77:1
reach 65:2 104:9
111:14
reached 37:1 43:17
reactor 16:12
read 19:2 33:6 46:25
47:7 61:19
readily 24:25
reading 64:9 106:7
ready 3:4 31:12
105:17 112:11
real 33:25 43:3 86:3
realized 7:8 48:23,25
really 9:11 31:1
36:17 45:25 46:10
51:10 53:21 56:8
69:3 70:1,2,12
80:21 81:18
reason 23:7 37:1 60:2
60:3 68:16 69:7
90:7
reasonable 78:25
Rebecca 4:5 110:24
recall 36:25 94:15
recalling 106:20
receive 97:7 106:6
received 35:12 46:14
98:18
receives 14:15
receiving 107:1
recited 3:23
recognition 95:17,25
97:7,18,20
recognizing 13:20
recollection 104:8
recommendation

8:16 13:18 22:10,23
54:18
recommendations
13:13 17:7 22:11,16
22:24 41:10,15 66:1
86:9,14
recommended 22:25
recommends 22:11
22:18,20 25:18
record 114:8
recordkeeping 28:22
records 28:11
Recovery 66:23
red 54:14 59:13
reference 75:12
referrals 27:13
reflects 63:15
refresh 29:25
regard 36:6 41:6
47:16 54:6 56:23
87:19
regarding 25:17
37:25 53:13 54:3
86:23
regular 31:22 93:2
regulatory 52:16
57:7 82:5 83:12
rehash 103:23
reinvent 24:20,22
related 24:11 64:22
64:22,25 90:6,24
101:13,16 103:21
relative 45:4
relevant 101:12
rely 13:8
remaining 95:16
remember 40:14
86:21 94:16 106:23
112:2
remind 15:11
remove 79:14
repeat 9:6
replaced 58:15
replacing 58:14
report 6:13,17 7:18
7:21 9:1 18:14
20:11 25:12,20,24
26:1,15,17 31:13
34:4,19 36:3 37:15
38:22,23 39:21
43:25 51:12 60:7

62:3 65:9 114:7
reported 96:15 102:5
103:5
Reporter 1:22,23
2:19 88:22 114:4,14
reporter's 84:22
114:1
reporting 1:23 2:19
13:24 18:12 95:5
96:16
reports 31:9 34:22,25
35:2 36:13 40:21
52:8 69:1 86:7,7
102:21
reputational 52:15
82:4 83:11
request 57:25 84:25
106:9,20
requested 106:11
111:17,18
requesting 5:10
108:25
require 66:20 91:11
required 9:13,18 10:7
11:4,15 12:21 77:25
97:18 102:4 103:2,5
requirement 20:6
46:2,17,21 93:15
requirements 8:3,4
8:19,22 14:3 36:15
57:8 96:19
requires 8:5 70:14
reserves 49:14 95:8
resources 44:10
49:22 50:9
respond 36:3 38:10
107:15
responding 77:14
response 4:4,6,8,10
4:14,18,22 8:20
13:4,18 22:21 44:23
87:1,12 106:6,21
107:2
responses 13:18
36:11 38:16,21 40:5
responsibilities 79:17
responsibility 53:22
responsible 23:14
32:22 90:12,23
rest 105:17
restating 53:6

results 9:9 26:13
29:14 30:8 31:6
38:5
retain 92:17
retrain 11:12,25
revenues 107:1
review 20:18 21:25
24:9 35:21,25 36:12
38:4,16 86:1,6,7
87:6 90:13 106:9
reviewed 21:17 32:22
33:11 52:3,4,5,6,7,8
52:9
reviewing 98:17
99:15 108:10
revisit 98:6,9
RFP 40:5
Rhodes 2:8 3:7,14,15
4:1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15
4:17,19,21,23,25
5:4,15 6:4,10,19 7:2
7:7 15:22 25:13,16
31:10 32:7,9,14,17
32:19,23 33:1,5,8
33:10 38:7,12,18
39:15,20,25 40:19
42:2,4,15,23 43:10
43:11,13 45:10,18
45:21 46:7 48:15,18
48:22 49:20 50:15
51:4,9 53:4 55:22
58:18 59:2,5 61:9
61:15,21 62:9 64:24
66:4,9 67:25 69:13
72:1 73:7,14 75:3,7
77:17 78:24 82:20
84:15,17 87:19 88:6
88:19 89:21 91:10
91:21 99:5,7,21
100:5 102:19 104:4
104:6,17,22 105:22
106:1,4,16,19 107:6
108:12,14 109:10
111:13 113:12
Riggs 39:22 40:11,17
40:20 43:17 46:22
55:7 77:8 104:25
right 3:12,17 4:1 5:4
5:15,17,19 6:9 7:1
13:5 14:2 15:9,10
15:11,12 16:3 19:16

19:25 20:22 22:6
23:23,25 25:11,23
26:19 27:20 29:17
29:22 30:22 31:24
32:2 34:3,19,20
37:24 38:15 41:2
42:3 43:9 47:15
48:3 49:12,14,17
52:23 53:2 54:25
58:25 59:2,11 62:6
63:21 65:13 67:12
68:21 69:11,13 73:3
74:25 83:8,16 86:18
88:4 90:4 91:20
93:24 94:3 95:13
96:10,13 97:3,10,14
98:3 99:20 100:23
100:24 101:4,12
109:7 112:25 113:8
ring 106:14
rise 60:20 62:19
103:4
risk 32:6,13 33:22
34:12,13 35:12,14
36:24 37:2,16 40:18
40:25 41:7,10,15,16
41:24 42:10,17 44:4
44:16 45:8,12 46:3
47:2,3 48:9,23
50:23 52:12,13,16
53:18 55:8,12,23,25
57:17 60:20,24 61:6
62:1,5,8,19 63:14
64:8 65:1,14 67:18
67:24 68:1,12 69:12
69:13,17 70:7,25
71:18,21 72:12 77:4
78:8 79:2 80:10,17
80:20 81:7,7,12,20
82:7,10,15,16,18,23
83:3,5,9,10,11,25
84:4 85:3,4,5,5
86:23 105:1
risks 45:4 47:8,16,18
47:23 49:5 52:17
55:5,7 61:3 63:15
70:19 78:18 80:14
82:3,24,25 83:5
84:5,9
Rob 4:13
Robert 2:3 92:6,7

13:24,24 19:23 23:2
23:3,13 24:13,14,18
25:5 26:1 66:21
threats 15:12 23:2
25:6 26:9,11 30:16
30:19
three 13:11 35:23
49:23 68:13
three-year 44:5
throw 103:11
throwing 111:2
Thursday 1:13 3:18
108:16 109:8
tickets 95:11,11
ties 56:22
tight 105:5
TIM 2:19
time 10:3 11:13 27:6
29:8 36:19,22 41:17
43:16,16 47:10 56:4
57:4 59:19 60:10,13
61:24 62:3,23 86:13
88:22 89:22 91:12
91:16 101:2,3 102:2
103:22 108:10
109:12,19
timeframe 30:1
timeliness 109:14
timely 34:14 47:15
timing 17:8 46:9
103:9 107:17
Timothy 1:23 114:4
114:14
title 14:1
today 56:23 77:12,15
103:24
told 18:14
tomorrow 104:15,21
104:23 109:6
tool 63:10 72:12
tools 48:11
top 46:10,12 49:17
70:23 75:13 78:3,4
78:13 96:12
top-down 53:14
touched 23:13
traced 26:4
track 55:6 71:25
traditional 5:8 21:14
traditionally 7:24
train 11:15

trained 11:14,16
training 6:13,20 7:23
7:25 9:16,20 10:13
10:15 11:1,4,23
12:3,6,8,14 13:6,7
16:2 17:4,14,18
18:8,15 19:4 89:18
89:18 103:22
trainings 8:23 11:24
12:21,22,25
transcript 114:8
transferable 106:12
transition 10:10
transmit 100:11
107:14
transmitted 9:5
Transportation
67:22
treat 16:25 17:1
tried 8:5
triggered 23:11
trouble 20:9
true 114:8
try 50:16 72:14 80:11
109:16,18
trying 13:7 24:20,22
31:10 33:19 42:19
76:16 77:15 108:5,6
tune 69:15 98:9
turn 6:24 66:10
turnover 47:12 59:21
turns 54:8
Turso 2:5 3:8 4:24
5:2,3 15:21,23,24
16:3,7,23 21:9,11
109:23 110:3
two 14:18 21:14 22:9
27:17 48:16 68:13
83:9 90:25 91:20
103:14 106:24
110:18 112:4
type 16:19 23:3 25:5
34:25 44:8 81:14
83:5,11,25 90:6
types 25:6 62:18
typing 95:15

———————
U
———————
Uh-huh 24:8 85:19
102:6
Uh-oh 21:5

ultimately 8:15 26:13
33:12 44:2 47:4
52:17 53:25 69:20
unable 34:17
unanimously 87:9
unaware 6:4
undergoing 35:20
understand 15:13
16:12 68:10,20 72:4
78:21 80:22 91:17
93:7,8 108:6
understanding 16:20
20:10 47:1 62:14
63:11 65:8 67:4
69:9 81:4 88:23
91:23
understood 69:6
uniform 13:14,19
14:7 17:14,18,21
18:1 22:13 28:19
United 2:19
unity 8:18
universe 36:23,24
46:24 47:3
unmute 3:9
unmuted 6:1
unweighted 95:18
upcoming 8:21 9:24
10:5 18:3 34:4,16
34:20 35:8 39:25
40:5 44:17
update 42:7,12
112:13
updated 23:16 24:12
36:8
updates 57:22 63:20
104:20
use 13:25 14:8 17:19
19:16,17 21:1 25:17
40:3 41:11 44:10
46:22 50:7 60:10,13
69:21 80:5 109:2
user 57:9
uses 73:5
usually 7:25 13:8
57:15
usurped 34:10
utilized 86:13 95:8
utilizing 110:19

———————
V
———————

vacancies 47:13
valorem 68:24
variances 28:10
various 37:6 40:23
65:4 72:2 74:2,2
79:10,22
varying 17:12
Vegas 95:12
vehicle 90:11,12
Vending 39:8
Vendor 67:14
verbiage 25:18
verify 10:4 20:15,17
versus 87:14 107:19
vice 12:1,4
video 15:2,3 16:11,13
18:19
videos 13:22,23 14:21
14:23,25 16:8,9,10
16:16
view 70:16
voice 25:19 73:21
volunteer 18:6
volunteers 18:5,11,24
vote 5:19,22 103:25
voting 93:4
VPK 64:21
vulnerable 76:9

———————
W
———————
wait 27:7 69:24 73:19
106:17
walk 7:13
Walsh 16:7,15
WANDA 2:11
want 6:12,18 8:24,25
9:6 10:22 14:1,13
19:22 25:24 26:21
30:5,7 41:22 42:16
43:3,10 49:1,9 51:1
52:14 55:14 57:19
60:1,8 67:2 69:4,23
80:11 84:21 90:10
92:21 104:11
107:21 108:13
110:6,14 112:21
wanted 21:22 25:16
26:17 32:4 36:20
39:16 40:9 43:18,23
55:4 58:11 85:22
96:21 101:8

wants 26:15 86:5
110:24
wasn't 5:9 48:2 72:5
94:16
waste 81:17
wasted 67:23 71:24
watch 16:9,11,15
watching 16:9
way 9:4 31:18 36:13
37:16 38:18,20 40:7
44:19 49:7 54:10
60:11 63:13 64:15
66:11 77:11 82:6
95:3 96:25 111:15
ways 36:21 48:10
79:19
we'll 3:13 6:20,21
22:4 25:7 34:11
45:22 49:18 50:15
52:1,18,21 53:3
57:14 58:5 61:21
69:14 104:19
107:17 109:2
111:13 112:20,20
112:20
we're 7:21 15:5,10
20:20 21:8 25:9,11
28:19 29:25 30:14
31:2,12,24 34:2,3
34:19 35:8,25 36:4
37:12,13 40:2,16
41:25 47:14,24 53:7
54:25 56:23 57:22
59:21 63:7 66:11
69:24 72:19,19 73:4
73:24 74:14 75:12
77:7,13 81:14 84:4
84:7,20 85:20 86:18
86:18,21 88:3 95:25
98:10 104:4 107:21
107:23 110:11
we've 30:9 50:5,25
51:13 55:16 72:2
79:7 86:2 91:13
weak 81:16
weaker 22:23
web 74:15 76:6
website 108:18,23
week 55:8 57:22
58:11 62:22 77:14
81:2 103:9,11,15,18

104:1,5,12 105:8
110:8 113:11
week's 77:11,15
weekend 65:11
113:12
weeks 15:10
weird 31:1
welcome 12:10
109:22 110:3
went 19:6 33:19 42:5
81:3
weren't 11:20 94:21
wheel 24:22
when's 29:24
white 28:4
whoever's 16:9 112:7
112:8
willing 110:25
winds 39:3
wondering 23:14
24:9 28:12 102:13
word 17:4 23:10
88:25
work 3:21 11:19 14:9
15:16 20:20 38:19
38:20 39:21,23,24
40:1 42:22 43:5
54:16 57:2 77:24
78:15,15 85:9
worked 104:19
worker 11:18
working 14:1 65:15
79:5
workings 81:19
works 24:7 42:8
91:24 93:9,20
workshop 41:20
would've 77:10
wouldn't 39:2 74:22
wrap 39:12 108:2
wrapping 36:2
WRIGHT 2:11
write 110:20,20
writing 66:6,7
written 22:11,17
36:13 56:11 73:1
112:13
wrong 96:4

X

X 110:17

Y

yada-yada 82:23
yeah 7:5 9:8 11:7
12:18 15:23 16:3
18:19 19:11,16
20:13 22:1 23:17
24:8 29:12 30:6
31:12,15 32:14,23
33:7 39:20 40:9,19
42:23,24 43:6 45:9
46:6,7 51:4,8 60:18
67:25 71:3 73:8
75:17 82:16 83:4
85:10,11,20,23 86:3
88:15,24 89:3,17
91:10 92:5 94:1,15
100:14,21 104:11
104:22 105:24
106:3,16 107:9
108:4,14 112:1
year 5:12 6:14 8:22
9:15,20,24 14:7,14
14:19 15:4,6 17:20
18:3 19:14 28:19
30:11 31:20 32:3,5
32:17,21 33:17
35:21 36:16 37:20
38:1 39:13,13,25
40:16 49:15 76:21
87:13 98:12 112:16
112:22
years 35:24 36:1,23
46:4 47:19 49:23
68:13 93:8 98:6,8
101:2,11 110:18
Yellow 54:14

Z

zone 61:8

0

1

1 13:18,19 26:3 31:5
112:18
10 98:22
100 23:5 92:15 93:9
95:21 97:19,22
1001.42 87:25
1001.452 88:1
1008.345 88:1

1008.36 95:23
10th 108:23
11 28:2,3
11:30 86:19
11:56 1:13 113:8,13
11th 103:19
12 36:23
1403 88:2 91:4
1403(a) 88:2
15 44:9 83:6
16 83:7 105:11
16th 25:8,10 39:2
55:3 56:13 101:20
108:21 114:10
17 44:9 83:3
18 87:25 88:4
1991 23:13
1st 9:25 10:9 11:11
30:10 46:4

2

2 27:23 108:24
20 27:1 32:21 110:15
2019 86:20 87:1,9
98:8
202 1:24
2023 8:2,3 26:3,4,5
46:5
2023-2024 19:13
2024 1:13 11:11 46:8
98:6 114:10
2024-2025 19:14
20th 35:4 38:6 39:2,7
39:10
21 107:14,24
21st 29:6
22 87:13
226 8:9
23 6:15,16,16 28:25
29:6,9,14 33:11,13
46:6,7
23-24 6:14
24 6:15 32:5,17 38:1
24-25 19:21
24.121 89:16
24th 36:4 38:7
25 32:3 82:1 84:1
26 26:7
27th 106:13 107:3,5
28 101:1
29th 41:21

3

3 35:20 105:4 108:24
30 93:8
30th 98:5
31st 30:10 31:5
33301 1:24
35 26:5,7 27:9,10

4

4 46:6
4,000 11:14
41 51:14,24
43 26:22,25

5

5 95:18 96:8,9,10
5,000 98:22
54 64:8

6

633 1:24
6A.10019 12:19

7

7 13:7 27:6,6,8,9,13
7a 13:9
7b 13:9 17:9
7c 13:9
7th 104:9 106:18,23

8

8 22:9
87 69:25 73:4
8th 98:8

9

9 1:13
9:31 1:13 3:19
90 9:21 35:1,5 69:25
84:6 85:7
90-page 60:6
900 70:12
92 33:17
9th 3:18