Page 1

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

THURSDAY, MAY 16, 2024 9:38 a.m. - 1:09 p.m.

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER

BOARD ROOM

600 Southeast 3rd Avenue Ft. Lauderdale, Florida

Court Reporter:

Emily Scott, stenographic reporter

Bass Reporting Service, Inc.

Litigation Building

633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2

- 1 AUDIT COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
- 2 Ms. Ruth Carter-Lynch (Call-in)
 - Ms. Mary Fertig
- 3 Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
 - Mr. Robert Mayersohn
- 4 Mr. Andrew Medvin
 - Ms. Phyllis Shaw
- 5 Ms. Jaclyn Strauss
 - Mr. Peter Turso
- 6 Mr. Lew Naylor
- 7 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:
- 8 Mr. Dave Rhodes, Designee Chief Auditor, OCA
 - Ms. Ali Arcese, Audit Director
- 9 Ms. Jennifer Harpalani, Asst. Director IT Audits
 - Ms. Nakia Gouldbourne, Acting Manager, Internal Funds
- 10 Ms. Elena Pritykina, Task-Assigned Manager, Operations
- Mr. Bryan Erhard, System Support Specialist II
- 11 Ms. Laura Wright, Clerk Spec C
 - Ms. Wanda Radcliff, Clerk Spec B

12

- 13 DISTRICT STAFF:
- 14 Ms. Judith Marte, Dept. Supt., Finance & Operations
 - Ms. Erum Motiwala, Associate Supt., Finance
- 15 Mr. Mark D. Dorsett, Chief Facilities Officer
 - Dr. Josiah Phillips, Chief Information Officer
- 16 Mr. Alan Strauss, S. Regional Supt., Teaching & Learning
- Ms. Jennifer Andreu, Executive Director, Operations
- 17 Mr. Manuel Castaneda, Executive Director, IT Operations
 - Mr. Matthew Bradford, Executive Director IT Services
- 18 Mr. Oleg Gorokhovsky, Dir., Accounting & Fin. Reporting Ms. Shelley Meloni, Executive Director, Capital Programs
- 19 Ms. Omar Shim, Director, Capital Budget
 - Mr. Ryan Smith, Director, Business Support Center
- 20 Ms. Kim Punzi-Elabiary, MGR. I, Behavioral Threat Assessment

21

- INVITED GUESTS:
- 22 Ms. Emily Scott, Court Reporter, United Reporting BECON Broadcast
- 23 Mr. Rob Broline, External Auditor, CRI
- Mr. Ben Kincaid, External Auditor, CRI
- 24 Tammy Whippie, AECOM Chris Gums, External Auditor, RSM
- 25 Matthew Brondell RSM US

Page 3 Thereupon, the following proceedings were had: 1 2 3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I would like to call this May 16th meeting of the district audit 4 5 committee to order. It's 9:38. 6 The first order of business is the pledge of 7 allegiance. All rise and face the flag, please. 8 (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) 9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Rhodes, do roll call, 10 please. 11 MR. RHODES: Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 Roll call, Ruth Carter-Lynch? 13 (No response.) 14 MR. RHODES: Rebecca Dahl? 15 (No response.) MR. RHODES: Anthony De Meo? 16 17 (No response.) 18 MR. RHODES: Mary Fertig? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. RHODES: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh? 21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here. 22 MR. MEDVIN: Robert Mayersohn? 23 MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm here. 24 MR. RHODES: Okay. And Andrew Medvin? 25 MR. MEDVIN: Here.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

	Page 4
1	MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor?
4	MR. NAYLOR: Here.
5	MR. RHODES: Phyllis Shaw?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. RHODES: Jaclyn Strauss?
8	MS. STRAUSS: Present.
9	MR. RHODES: Peter Turso?
10	MR. TURSO: Present.
11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Do we have
12	anybody online that phoned in?
13	MS. WRIGHT: Ms. Carter is supposed to be
14	calling in.
15	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. No one else said that
16	they wouldn't be able to make it?
17	All right. So next up we have the approval
18	I'm never going to be able to get close enough to
19	this.
20	MR. NAYLOR: Can we approve the agenda?
21	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's what I'm trying to
22	MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to motion to
23	approve.
24	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. All in favor?
25	ALL PRESENT: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Agenda is approved.

Do we have any public comment?

Thank you.

All right. Next up, the minutes from the April 11th audit committee meeting, I need a motion to approve.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, wait a minute. We don't have quorum. Yeah, nope, we'll come back to that.

Actually, for that matter, we couldn't approve the agenda, either; but it is what it is. We don't get hung up on protocol at the expense of getting business done, so we'll just come back to those.

Audit committee followup from prior meetings, we just had a special meeting when we discussed the internal audit of behavioral threat assessment.

Does anyone have any motions? As we need to, I believe, transmit those, too.

MS. STRAUSS: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Directly related to these, the BTA training program and BTA monitoring?

MS. STRAUSS: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And, remember, we can't vote yet. We need one more body to show up.

Are we hunting down members?

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So technically, we can't transmit, either, so we'll come back to that.

All right. SAC accountability funds, I shared with everybody the district advisory committee motion and response and the related state statutes related to school accountability funds. The -- and this ties into the audit plan, which we're going to discuss in June, so we can come back to that motion, basically --

Yes, sir?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So -- Hello, Ms. Marte, how are you?

MS. MARTE: I'm great.

How are you?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm great.

I was at a SAC meeting yesterday, and it's my understanding that the district has not received any school accountability funds, whatever that five dollars is, over the last -- for the last three years; is that correct?

MS. MARTE: It's not a line item in the state budget anymore.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So it's -- so no longer are they going to be receiving funds?

MS. MARTE: I have to check budget guidelines.

I think we are still giving them the five dollars
out of general fund. I have to check.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Can you follow up and just -- because if it's something that it's in state statute and should be, then we need to put it on the legislative action plan or platform.

MS. MARTE: We'll look into it.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Too many pieces of paper.

So that -- that motion was about adding that to the audit plan, and that motion was from five years ago. So what I'd like to do is pass that motion again, that it go into the audit plan; but since we do not have bodies here to vote, we'll come back to that.

All right. Compliance with booster club guidelines, we asked for a list of booster clubs by school. We got an initial list.

Mr. Rhodes, I believe I sent you a response to

1 what was submitted?

MR. RHODES: Yes.

I wanted to get some additional feedback before I forwarded off that information to request the -- whatever changes that we're going to ask for.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- right, so I sent you back a file that showed -- so we asked for booster club -- booster clubs by school, and we had asked for their names and their revenues and expenditures. Since they haven't -- it's -- it's only now on May, they don't know the revenues and expenditures for this current school year; but they would have known last year's, in theory, if everyone had turned in their financials on May.

And I keep saying "on May," because that's literally what the booster club guidelines say.

There is no "by May 31st," "by May 1st"; it says "on May." And I'm not sure where that date is; it's somewhere next to the twelfth of never, I think.

So let's see, we are in -- so what we got -- I guess they did a survey or something.

Do we know what they did to solicit the information from the schools?

1 MR. RHODES: Not -- it hasn't been confirmed yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Who would be able to confirm that?

I don't see anyone in this room that this matched up to.

MR. RHODES: My understanding was that would be Dr. Fulton.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And is she available?

MR. RHODES: She did send a notice that she was declining to attend the meeting for reasons that I'm not aware of.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'm not sure that's how this works.

So if you can't make it, that's -- that's understandable, but then you generally send somebody that can answer the questions.

So since Dr. Hepburn is the superintendent -not that he has a contract in place with the
district -- Dr. Fulton is task-assigned into his
old position, which is the deputy superintendent of
teaching and learning. The people under her would
be the three regional superintendents. Nobody in
here matches any of those.

So who can answer a question about this?

1 Nobody?

MR. RHODES: I expected to see the regional superintendents to be here that would have been able to provide some answer to this, but I think Dr. Fulton is the one that will be able to answer your specific question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So if you guys look at the screen, you'll kind of understand the issue here.

So we were expecting a list of booster clubs, and some -- whatever way they used, some of the principals put booster clubs -- they have multiple booster club names in a field; and then others put the actual names and did them individually.

I'm not sure how you set up a survey. A shared file might have been easier.

Dr. Phillips, can you shed any light on what tools staff has available that we produced this result? Because there is duplicates in here, even.

What -- when they send a survey -- I am just asking, but I'm saying from a IT perspective, what is available out there that would have allowed them to produce a list of booster clubs by school, and since they didn't have revenues and expenditures yet, they could have had empty fields off to the

side; and not produce where we have -- I don't know
if you can see it.

Yeah, we have a cell that has multiple, so we can't sort, filter, subtract.

DR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, generally speaking, we would use Microsoft Forms to do a survey like this, and you can make sure there is not duplicates and there are separate text fields and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that you don't have multiples in one field.

DR. PHILLIPS: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then some of them just listed the type, so there was apparently no field that told you what type.

So I -- do they need help from IT to set these things up?

DR. PHILLIPS: Generally not, but I would be happy to provide any assistance.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because, I mean, this was not like a complicated ask, but we've got multiple submissions from some schools. We've got schools that did not put the name. We've got schools that put six things in one field. I just can't even visualize.

And then we would have been able to sort by

booster club type, had that been a field. So booster club type, football, and then you put the name off to the -- you know, then you put the name, and you leave fields for revenues and expenditures that somebody could then drop in when they had them.

And if you share that out to each of the schools, I'm thinking you can get -- like that, you'd have everybody. In like 24 hours, they could plop everything in and it would be filled in.

So I'm not sure -- I appreciate that they started, but we can't actually do anything with this, in terms of analyzing, filtering, sorting or anything, so -- and it's a little disappointing that nobody is here to take the feedback directly and then be able to act on it.

I know where Dr. Hepburn is; he got booked somewhere else, I think, before he was a superintendent, but I don't have an explanation for where everybody else is. We may have to revisit the -- is it the chief auditor policy that says that staff is supposed to be here to answer to items having to do with their area of responsibility?

MR. RHODES: Yes, that's my understanding.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That's what I thought.

Okay. Do we -- nope, still haven't gained a person.

MR. RHODES: I did get an update that Phyllis Shaw is supposed to be here within 15 minutes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Perfect.

All right. So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: Also, may -- may I just add?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. RHODES: We also expect, from a prior understanding, that Dr. Carter-Lynch will be contacting -- Dr. Carter-Lynch?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, the "Dr." -- go ahead, she can be a doctor.

MR. RHODES: I'm sorry.

Ruth Carter-Lynch will be -- oh, she is on by phone now, I think.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But it still doesn't count for quorum. We have to have a quorum in the room.

All right. So next up, I believe I received a email, or was copied on an email, from Erum

Motiwala on the proposed policy changes for the general fund, and I think -- I don't see -- oh, wait, you are here.

I'm so confused as to who is showing and not showing.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Just going back to the booster clubs and reading this guidelines policy, is it -- these are just guidelines; so they are not a -- they are not policy, correct?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Don't do that. You are going to trip. Go all the way around.

MR. RHODES: It is my understanding it's not a policy.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So I guess my concern is, these are outside independent organizations. They have their own EIN numbers. They operate separately. They have their own bylaws.

The only thing that, I guess, they tie into the schools is that they provide a service to those clubs raising -- raising funds; but there is no -- but we can't audit them. We can't do anything, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not -- no.

MR. MAYERSOHN: What, booster clubs?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There is absolutely nothing -- that's why I pulled every state statute and board policy. The only thing -- reason that they are not audited now is because nobody has put

a policy in place saying that they are to be audited.

So if you want to make a motion --

MR. MAYERSOHN: But there is no -- I just look at it as there is no nexus to it at this point, without having a policy --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- in place --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- that governs that they have to have insurance, that they have to have -- I mean anything, as far as, you know, whatever is needed.

I mean, they are an independent 501(c)(3); they can, you know, abide by state statute and have games of chance, and nothing would prohibit it, not -- they can't have it on school board property, but they can do it outside.

(Ms. Fertig joined the proceedings.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Because as long as they follow the rules, it's like -- you know, the Florida Panthers have their 501(c)(3) Panthers foundation; they raise money.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I don't want to get too far off why we asked for the list. The list

1 was not to --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm just saying, to me, it's -- as much as the nexus between a booster club and being able to control, very similar to we've had PTAs with same thing: They raise funds, they spend it in different places. And we have always been telling them, we can take a look at it; but we have no authority.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. STRAUSS: They have oversight.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MS. STRAUSS: Booster clubs have no oversight --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Clearly.

MS. STRAUSS: -- or organizations.

MR. MAYERSOHN: PTAs have oversight. PTOs do not.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Fine. But --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So --

MS. STRAUSS: -- booster clubs have nothing.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Correct. So that's my

concern, is that there are guidelines, but --

MS. STRAUSS: Agreed. It's not being

24 followed, agreed.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, so -- so the whole

point of this discussion was to first identify how many booster clubs we might have, and that's difficult because of the format we got it in.

But just the school that had the debate club, alone, from the top, "Booster Clubs Nonathletic" -- I'm not sure if that's a booster club, in and of itself; but there is band, chorus, DECA, junior ROTC, STEM -- oh, I guess he's -- oh, lord, help me.

So there is five -- didn't list -- didn't list debate.

Five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, sixteen, seventeen, eighteen, nineteen, twenty at Western High School alone, twenty booster clubs, and one of them was home to a guy that probably I've never seen such wreckage caused by one person.

In fact, I just got a text -- and I was going to wait for the internal funds discussion -- there is a GoFundMe that was started by students in the booster club and -- hold on one second -- and they are being told that they have to put the funds into the school's account.

So that's a question we need answered immediately, because the students are scared that

if they put it in, they will somehow lose it if it goes into the school's funds. So the question we need answered for them -- because these poor kids should not have any more stress put on them -- is, are -- do they have to give the school the funds that they raised through that GoFundMe effort, or do they just need to account for it?

Because obviously we can't -- do you have an answer?

MR. MEDVIN: No, a question. Are we talking about Western still?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. The debate kids started their own GoFundMe so they can go to nationals, and they were told by the school that they need to hand over the money, and it was even interpreted as they would be accused of theft if they did not.

So we need an answer as to what they can and can't do with the funds and how they get to use the funds for nationals and not have those funds be subject to any recourse from anybody looking to collect from the debate club or booster club or both.

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mary.

MS. FERTIG: I apologize for being late, but I am glad I made this topic, and I'm assuming we just got this list.

Is it possible to get this list in an Excel spreadsheet?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It is an Excel -- so the thing you weren't here for is, the way that it was put together, we cannot sort, filter, or do anything else, because we have schools that didn't list it by name. We have schools that listed multiple clubs in one field --

MS. FERTIG: Right, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- so they kind of need to redo it.

MS. FERTIG: So --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, yes, we will -- I'm already on it.

MS. FERTIG: We are getting it in Excel. Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's in -- no, no, it's in Excel; it's just not useable.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. It's being transmitted to us in Excel.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But it's not useable because each field should have one --

1 MS. FERTIG: Okay. All right. I got it.

I would like to say --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you can edit it, but we are not doing that work.

MS. FERTIG: I would just like to say, I think you raised the issue of policy, I personally don't think Western High School is the first example of a booster club that's gone -- that's had issues, and I think it's a district issue. I think it's worthy of a policy, and if you want that motion, just to cut through it, I'm making it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I want to -- well, we may have subsequent motions related to it; but yes, the booster club guidelines are just guidelines and not a policy so --

MS. FERTIG: Exactly.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MS. FERTIG: I really -- it concerns me that at some schools in our district, we could have a pay-to-play atmosphere. There is no --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Nova, there was an audit of the Nova debate club, and yet these just turned into guidelines and the party has continued, so --

MS. FERTIG: I'm making the motion --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- you want to make a

1 motion?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. FERTIG: -- yeah.

We advise the School Board to create a policy governing booster clubs.

MR. NAYLOR: Second.

MS. FERTIG: And that's not to say that most of them don't do excellent work, because they do; but I think there should be guidelines. Look at how much money this is.

So thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Hold on one second. Let me -- so your recommendation, again, your motion?

MS. FERTIG: Is that we advise the --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We recommend.

MS. FERTIG: -- School Board of Broward County to create a policy governing booster clubs.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Policy governing . . .

MS. FERTIG: Notice I'm starting out with a short motion, Nathalie.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, thank you.

I'm going to have to add a friendly amendment,
I guess, in Phyllis' absence --

MS. FERTIG: Just feel free.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- and I'm going to add the

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

	Page 22
1	words "that includes an audit provision."
2	MS. SHAW(Via Teams): Thank you.
3	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you accept a friendly
4	amendment?
5	MS. FERTIG: Oh, yeah. Keep going.
6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. No, no, I'm trying to
7	keep it short so I can
8	MS. FERTIG: Oh, okay. So you can
9	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: catalog it.
10	All right. So you advise the School Board to
11	create a policy governing booster clubs that
12	includes an audit provision. All right.
13	MS. SHAW(Via Teams): Permission to revise the
14	recommendation to "recommend."
15	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Recommend, yeah, okay,
16	that's what I had first, recommend.
17	We recommend the School Board create a policy
18	governing booster clubs that includes an audit
19	provision.
20	All right. Moved by Mary, seconded by Lew,
21	friendly amendment.
22	All right. Any further discussion?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yes, ma'am.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH(Via Teams): I have a

23

24

25

question.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH(Via Teams): So how many booster clubs are schools allowed to have? Because I think part of the issue is that someone gets some ideas they want to open a booster club. In addition to that, I think if the school's -- if there is a booster club that is under the school's tax ID number, it should be part of the regular audit; shouldn't be a separate and distinct entity. As long as it's -- as long as it is being used or being opened based on the school's tax ID number, automatically, it should be part of the school audit, number one.

Number two, there needs to be a limit as to how many, and it needs to be disclosed. Because part of the problem is, if one is opened by a teacher, because, you know, they are not getting along with this particular, I don't know, athletics; and then now, all of a sudden, you have 30, you know, of these accounts and no one knows where they are.

So there needs to be a disclosure aspect of it that says every time a booster club is opened, that it is disclosed and it is part of the school. It's not a separate and distinct entity, because technically, it's not, because it's being used by

1 the school's tax ID number.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I think we can discuss that when the Board directs a policy, and if they don't go for it, we can always petition for a policy; but -- because the same rules that apply to direct-support organizations could absolutely apply to booster clubs, and they have to be approved by the Board, instead of just the principal.

I think that's why you're seeing these schools that have -- how many did I say Western had, like 20? So that's a lot going on at one school.

I'm not saying that, say, Cypress Bay doesn't have a ton, too, but they are a larger school, and MSD has a lot. But I think Cypress Bay and Western have the most.

So that can be part of the policy-development discussion.

But are there booster clubs under the school's tax ID number?

- MR. MAYERSOHN: There have been.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There have been?
- MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm reading here 2016.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What did you --
- 24 MR. MAYERSOHN: 2016 --
 - DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

	Page 25
1	MR. MAYERSOHN: there was an audit of a
2	booster club.
3	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You mean the Nova the
4	infamous Nova debate booster club?
5	MR. MAYERSOHN: Uh-huh.
6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and they were under
7	the school's tax ID?
8	MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, they used it.
9	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I guess that can
10	happen.
11	All right. So that would be something to
12	address as a weakness.
13	Carr Riggs Ingram can hear us, right? So when
14	we have our risk assessment, these are not things
15	that are not material district-wide; but to each
16	school, they become material, as the Western debate
17	kids found out.
18	All right. So we oh, further discussion or
19	are we good to vote?
20	All right. All in favor of recommending the
21	School Board create a policy governing booster
22	clubs that includes an audit provision say "aye."
23	ALL PRESENT: Aye.
24	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

Okay. Passes unanimously.

25

All right. Now, let's see, before we get to Ms. Motiwala, since we now have -- we now have quorum, I believe -- two, four, six, seven in person, unless I can't count -- we need to approve the agenda for today.

MS. FERTIG: So moved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Moved by Mary.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Seconded by Robert.

Any discussion?

All in favor?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALL PRESENT: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Aye.

Any opposed?

Okay. Agenda approved.

We need the minutes from April 11th approved.

I need a motion to approve.

MR. NAYLOR: Motion to approve is submitted.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Lew, second by Robert.

All in favor -- any discussion?

Okay. Hearing none, all in favor?

ALL PRESENT: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 Okay. Minutes are approved.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We need a motion to transmit the BTA training program for school year 23/24. We talked about this. I don't believe anyone had any motions that were coming forward as a result of those reports we discussed at our special meeting, so motion to transmit -- I'm going to do them separately -- the BTA training program for school year 23/24?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So moved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Robert.

MR. NAYLOR: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second by Lew.

Any further discussion?

Hearing none, all in favor?

ALL PRESENT: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

Okay. Second, we need a motion to transmit the BTA monitoring plan for school year July 2023 through December 2024.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So moved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Robert.

Seconded by?

MS. STRAUSS: Strauss.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Strauss. Okay.

Any further discussion?

Hearing none, all in favor?

ALL PRESENT: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Any opposed?

Okay. That was an aye. All right. So that's been transmitted.

The school accountability funds, I was going to double back to that. That pertains to adding it to the audit plan. Let me go to -- I believe we have a copy of it here.

This is yet another set of funds that aren't currently audited and speaks to the school improvement plan; it's related to that.

So this was a motion from DAC that was made on May 8, 2019; that is five years ago: We move that the audit department review the School Advisory Council's school accountability funds when they audit the school's internal accounts.

And it passed unanimously at DAC, and then the response we got back by December 2020, because I think it fell into a black hole, was from Mr. Jabouin, that it can be added to the school year '22 audit plan.

That never happened.

Mrs. Marte, did you have a question or comment?

Page 29 MS. MARTE: 1 Comment. 2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sure. 3 MS. MARTE: Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Mayersohn -- I want to address 4 5 Mr. Mayersohn's question. 6 The State stopped providing accountability 7 funds beginning in the fiscal year '21/'22, and the 8 statute -- I'm sorry, and the administrative rules 9 proffered by the Department of Ed required that 10 only the funds that are carried forward that the 11 schools haven't spent be placed in their budget on 12 an annual basis. So at this point, without funding 13 for several years, the amount out there would be 14 very, very small. 15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So there is no funding -there is no more school accountability funds? 16 17 So the governor -- so beginning in MS. MARTE: fiscal year '21, it was no longer funded. 18 19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Not the five dollars, not 20 ten dollars, nothing? 21 MS. MARTE: No, ma'am. 22 Funds currently appropriated to the schools in 23 the accountability account represent carryover

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

balances rolling forward from fiscal year '20 --

Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

24

25

MS. MARTE: -- plus any unused teacher 1 2 classroom supply funds returned to the District. 3 MR. NAYLOR: Madam Chair? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 4 Yes. Does state policy still require 5 MR. NAYLOR: five dollars? 6 7 MS. MARTE: No. 8 MR. NAYLOR: Okay. 9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So then SACs won't have any 10 kind of budget in the future? 11 MS. MARTE: Carryover funds and remaining 12 funds from teacher classroom supply. 13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Let me rephrase that, then. 14 So will the State no longer fund teacher 15 supplies? 16 They are funding teacher supplies. MS. MARTE: 17 They are not funding the accountability dollars. 18 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I guess we'll hold 19 off on that until we get some additional clarity. 20 I would never think that we had leftover 21 teacher-supply money, because teachers are always 22 asking -- always spending their own money --23 MS. MARTE: We always -- we always have 24 teacher-supply money left over. So the money --

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

So --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

25

MS. MARTE: The money is allocated to each teacher's wallet, and if they don't -- if they have \$25 or \$30 left, that money all comes back and goes into the school account. We have money left over every year.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I smell an audit of that process.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, can I ask a question?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. ma'am.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So just like we got a list of booster clubs, is it -- is it possible to get a list by school of how much money is in the -- in the school accountability fund today?

MS. MARTE: Sure.

MS. FERTIG: Because that could lead to further recommendations about things that we need to do. So I --

MS. MARTE: We can provide that to you.

MS. FERTIG: If it's okay with everybody, I would just like to request that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so that we can have that for our next meeting, so this would be an agenda item.

Yes, Lew?

MR. NAYLOR: And could we get an explanation

on how SACs actually have money available at the end of each year?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, you mean in writing, as opposed to verbal?

Because what Mrs. Marte is saying is that they are -- they are riding on the fumes --

MR. NAYLOR: But that's not -- that's not true because they --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They keep getting --

MR. NAYLOR: I sit on SAC, and supposedly, dollars keep coming in.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've seen SAC budgets where money keeps coming in, so where is it coming from?

MS. MARTE: So I just read the State statute.

I didn't -- I didn't -- that's not my -- that's the administrative rule from the State. We will get you the reports.

The money is coming from -- some SACs had significant rollover because they were saving for a certain thing, and there are leftover funds in teacher-supply money every year, and that would go back to each school. So we can give you the reports.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, I -- but like Lew, I tend to believe my own eyes, too.

Because Western, for instance, had a balance year, and then, this year, has more of a balance. So unless that money is fully representative of unused teacher supplies, which would seem to be -- I don't even know how that's possible, because teachers are always begging for supplies -- I have certainly bought my share -- I don't even see how we could have a system designed to supplement and avoid teachers having to take money out of their own pockets and we have money left over.

So the list of school accountability funds, I think we need to see the -- also the teacher supplies -- teacher supplies and where that's going.

We might need all the SAC budget -- the SAC budget to actual. Let me get with --

MS. CARTER-LYNCH(Via Teams): Actually, that's what I was going to ask for. I wanted to see the budget to actual on all of those --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All the SAC.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH(Via Teams): -- for a number of years, yes, for a number of years.

Because if you look at one year and there is something that's being carried over from year to year, you are not going to see where it ended

last -- the previous year, which means it should be what you budget for the next year. So I would like to see at least three to five years.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Three to five years?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH(Via Teams): I'm sorry to cut in.

MS. FERTIG: Would it be possible to do this from the time the State stopped funding it? And then we can see what the impact on schools of that loss of funding is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, three to five years would get us --

MS. FERTIG: Right, that would be right there, if you were at --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MS. FERTIG: -- three years, right?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Fiscal year '20 and onward, yeah, because this isn't adding up. I definitely saw money go into Western. It's not rollover. They started the year, and all of a sudden, poof, they got another, I don't know, 50,000 or something.

So it's coming from somewhere: Santa, Easter Bunny. I don't know.

MS. FERTIG: Well, if we see the schools and

1 balances, we probably can --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

Okay. So I'll get with Mr. Rhodes. I've made a note: The list of school account -- basically, the SAC budget to actual since fiscal year '20, and then also the teacher supplies, because I have a hard time understanding how we are not spending all of that.

MS. MARTE: I'm sorry, can you repeat -- repeat what you're asking for?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I will get with Mr. Rhodes, and he will send out the ask, because that way we have it for our next -- next meeting.

But it was the -- basically, the SAC budget to actual, and we are specifically looking for the school accountability funds and the teacher supplies, but since fiscal year '20, so that we have an understanding of what's rolling, not rolling, and where the money is coming from, especially last year to this year.

Yes?

MR. NAYLOR: And it could be done in a format similar to what they are using for the internal funds, where you have your starting balance, ins, outs.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I also think if, it's coming out of SAP, detailed would be -- yeah, we'll get on seeing what's available so that it's easy to sort of follow.

Okay. So that gets us through -- we'll come back -- we'll hold off on making a motion on school accountability funds, so -- so that would be June.

So now I believe we are back to the general fund discussion and the proposed policy changes.

So who is speaking?

Ms. Motiwala, is that you?

MS. MOTIWALA: Good morning. Erum Motiwala, associate superintendent of the finance.

So as you know, the fund balance policy was presented to the Board at the workshop, and the recommendation from -- or the consensus from the Board was that the assigned and unassigned balance should be maintained at five percent, and the policy before you has that change.

And at this point, we are just looking for feedback from this committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I've got to find my notes.

This is on the general fund --

MS. FERTIG: I'm sorry, did you say four

percent or five percent?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MOTIWALA: So when we presented the policy, recommendation at four percent; but at the workshop, the Board consensus was five percent.

MS. FERTIG: Oh, okay. Because I was just looking at it, and it says four here. You haven't made the correction yet.

MS. MOTIWALA: It was four.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Does anyone else have any questions while I'm sort --

There you are.

MS. MOTIWALA: It says five percent under section two. The one --

MS. FERTIG: Can I request a copy of whatever we are talking about? Because that's not what I'm seeing.

MS. MOTIWALA: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me check what's uploaded.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's not in your packet?

MS. FERTIG: No, it's in my packet, but it says four percent.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, mine says four percent, too.

MR. RHODES: I was sent --

MS. FERTIG: And if there were any other

1 changes --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Ms. Shaw joined the proceedings.)

MR. RHODES: I was sent updated information, and I'll have to take a look and see if perhaps the wrong file got put into the audit committee packet.

MR. NAYLOR: It did --

MR. RHODES: Okay.

MR. NAYLOR: -- because this is actually -- this is edited from what I printed out.

MR. RHODES: Okay. The one that I'm looking at right now that is the live from our link, Wanda or Laura, can you --

MS. FERTIG: We just wanted you to know we were reading.

MS. MOTIWALA: Well, thank you.

MR. RHODES: Can you make copies of the current one that's on our link that shows five percent so that we can get copies before the committee leaves and hopefully before we are done talking about this item, if possible?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, this --

MS. MOTIWALA: Thank you for pointing that out.

MS. FERTIG: I was like, wait a minute . . .

MS. MOTIWALA: The one we sent had five

1 percent.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, that's not the only fund balance --

MS. FERTIG: And my concern would be if there were other changes, that we don't have those in here, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It looks totally -- totally different from the version I reviewed last night.

MS. MOTIWALA: So --

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

MS. MOTIWALA: So we worked with legal on the formatting and wording changes, so they kind of helped us, you know, with rewriting in line with the Florida Statute; but the percentages are what was presented to Board, with the exception of the change from four to five percent.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So on the live version, which I have on the screen, compared to where we started, my first comment was: Use GASB-aligned language, which that language says address normal contingencies, so I can check that off my list, at least in that paragraph.

Then under rules, we went from it saying "fund balance level" to "compliance." It says: The School Board's general fund balance shall be in

compliance with the requirements of the Florida

Statutes, Governmental Accounting Standards Board

statement 54 -- okay -- and Generally Accepted

Accounting Principles.

Okay. As required by GASB 54, it shall be reported in the following five classifications: Non-spendable, restricted, committed, assigned, unassigned.

So is that how it's reported now?

MS. MOTIWALA: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Let's see, and there was a . . .

I see. I see a "shall," "shall" . . .

Okay. So then moving on to balance -- oh, that's being replaced by -- okay.

So this is all added in; that was the language I was looking for, basically.

So then we have: At any time the general fund ending -- shall not fall below five percent. So this says: The general fund ending balance shall not fall below five. So the general fund, then --

In B, it says, "SBBC's fund balance"; is that the general fund balance?

MS. MOTIWALA: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So we don't have the

word "general" here.

MS. MOTIWALA: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But then down here, it says "general fund": At any time the general fund ending bal- -- ending fund balance not classified --

So I think it needs to be consistent. If this is the "SBBC's general fund balance shall be reported in the following five classifications," then B needs to have the word "general" before "funds."

MS. MOTIWALA: So let me just clarify.

Those five categories in Section 1B apply for all funds, but general fund is the one that ends up with having all five categories, if there are balances.

So at the end of the year, when we report, other funds can also have non-spendable, such as inventory for food services; it's not necessarily general fund, but according to GASB, the fund balance has all those five categories.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then let's call it maintain its -- so it's -- up here, it's referred to "the general fund ending fund balance," because my fear, call it the ingrained air of skepticism

that's beat into your head in an accounting program, I like my language consistent.

So it says here "as required by GASB 54,

SBBC's fund balance," and you are saying, "Yeah,

sure"; but we want to -- we want to know that you

mean the general fund ending fund balance so

that --

MS. FERTIG: Can I make a request?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon?

MS. FERTIG: It is hard to see what's there, and it's very different from what's in front -- what we were provided and reviewed. Could we have these -- make copies made and come back to this later, when we have the correct thing in front of us?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Are we making copies?

MR. RHODES: The copies are being made as we speak.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So could we --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That's -- that's fine --

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- because, yeah, it is hard to see with all this, and I'm working off my old copy to see if my --

So we're going to table that until later, because we have different versions going on here.

When is this going to the Board?

MS. MOTIWALA: In June.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The June 18th meeting?

MS. MOTIWALA: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And we don't need until the 20th, unless we have a special meeting, so just -- so this would be 6/18. Okay. All right.

Your office is here?

MS. MOTIWALA: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This might be -- it might be a couple hours until we get back to it, depending on when they make copies.

All right. So we are going to table this 6D and come back to it, because I reviewed the initial one, and I'm still hung up on being specific about which fund balance we're talking about.

All right. So moving on, until we get those copies --

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, sir.

MR. RHODES: I just wanted to make sure that the committee knew that Ms. Motivala got the information -- the updated information to me in a

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

timely manner. What I had in the folder is a matter of what we're trying to work through right now with our executive secretary issue. That will not happen again.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Okay. Yes, I know you've been down clerical help, so I get -- I get that.

All right. Hold on. It somehow popped off the agenda.

So next up is the internal funds, so if everyone wants to get ready for that? I believe the summary -- the internal funds summary report was handed to us. That was available this morning. That gives you a snapshot of where things are.

I'll pull up the --

MS. ARCESE: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?

MS. ARCESE: There is also an email that was sent out to all of the audit committee members with the report in PDF and Excel, and the PDF document is also available online on the link.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't know if -- I don't think I got that.

MS. ARCESE: The email this morning? The email? No?

1 MR. NAYLOR: Oh, from Bryan?

2 MS. ARCESE: It would have been from

Mr. Rhodes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: It was sent twice, once by me and once by Bryan.

MR. NAYLOR: I think I saw Bryan's, but I didn't check to look at --

MS. ARCESE: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I'm going to reiterate, because this --

MS. SHAW: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you're here. Yes, ma'am?

MS. SHAW: I mean, if you're going -- if we're going to send -- we are going to be sent something in a morning of a meeting, at least a copy should be provided here. I don't know if it's a --

MS. ARCESE: There is a copy. I can get you a copy.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, that's the thing we do have a copy of. That's the hard copy that should be sitting in front of you. It's the -- I'm not sure, what is this other -- oh, this is a management response.

MS. STRAUSS: Something was sitting here.

	rage re
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, it's it's
2	primarily
3	MS. STRAUSS: It's this. You have it there.
4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I can tell you,
5	Mr. Rhodes, that one of the pet peeves of any group
6	is getting stuff at the last minute.
7	MR. NAYLOR: I didn't receive that.
8	MS. STRAUSS: Well, Mr. Naylor didn't get a
9	physical copy of it.
10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What's that?
11	MS. FERTIG: So this is this I'm sorry,
12	Mr. Rhodes.
13	Is this in addition to what we got in the
14	packet? Because these schools were listed in the
15	packet. Is this more information in those schools?
16	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is which thing, Mary?
17	MS. FERTIG: Okay. This is
18	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There is two responses
19	MS. FERTIG: Yes.
20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: and then this is the
21	summary that we've asked for that summarizes so we
22	can see the progress. It's the monitoring report.
23	MS. FERTIG: Yeah. Okay. And then I I
24	thought there was also a report in our packet last
	<u> </u>

25

night, which --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But this sum- --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: -- I'm trying to find . . .

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, you may have gotten it in your packet. It would be the same exact thing, though.

MS. FERTIG: No, it's not, no.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then --

MS. FERTIG: I have -- I have -- this is the last agenda -- I'm just trying to figure out.

This is the last agenda item on internal funds of selected schools, which lists Coconut Creek as an exception; and then I have audit report on internal accounts. Are these in any way related -- this is the report --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The response -- okay. Mary, are you talking about the responses being related?

MS. FERTIG: I'm tying to -- which -- which report are you on? Are you on this report that's entitled: May 10th, 2024, audit report on internal accounts, fiscal year 2022?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, we are on internal funds --

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- number seven.

So 7A is the status report for all schools.

They provided a hard copy, because I think it was not linked initially to the agenda.

Is that what happened?

MS. ARCESE: Right. We needed time to get it out, so it was linked this week. It was not part of the packet --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Linked when the packets went out.

MS. ARCESE: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because I think I went and printed it out and made my comments from that.

MS. ARCESE: I could try to clarify so

Ms. Fertig understands what she has in front of
her.

The reports went out last Friday. There were several responses from the schools and administrators that we did not receive. That is what is in front of you.

The report was part of the report, the actual audit is in there. The response is from admin in the schools --

MS. FERTIG: That's what I'm asking.

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: So these two things go

1 together --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARCESE: Will go -- be commingled into the report, yes.

MS. FERTIG: Right, and we got responses from some, but these are responses from the couple that weren't in here.

MS. ARCESE: Correct.

MS. FERTIG: I just want to verify the --

MS. ARCESE: Yes. In the report, you will

see --

MS. FERTIG: -- that we have everything together correctly.

MS. ARCESE: -- that there is a document in there --

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

MS. ARCESE: -- that says "response forthcoming," and that these are the responses that were forthcoming.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then we need them -- so, Lew, you have a question? Comment?

MR. NAYLOR: There were -- there were several -- weren't there several others that didn't have responses?

MS. ARCESE: So there is one school that we're going to have to address that we do not have a

1 response today.

MR. NAYLOR: Is that Heron Heights?

MS. ARCESE: It's Stranahan High.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So let's -- usually we have them summarize. I have pulled up audit reports with exceptions.

I just want to specify that this status report is about us tracking the percentage complete, percentage in progress, the percentage not started, both dollar amounts and percentage.

So according to this, we have 81.6 -- pull that up -- 81.6 percent are completed. 9.9 are in progress, and 8.5 percent are not started. So that means we have 1.8 million that hasn't been started.

In terms of the number of schools, 65.9 percent of them have been completed. 9.3 percent are in progress, and as of right now, 24.8 have not been started.

I know that the rule is to get to 90 percent. Is it 90 percent of the dollar amount, or also 90 percent of the number of schools? And did the State specify that it was okay to do dollars and not the number of schools?

MR. RHODES: It's based on the dollar amount --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: -- of the fund balance.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know that they are looking at that materiality, but you could have schools that have less money available at greater risk because they don't get audited until the next year. So if someone's doing shenanigans at a school that, you know, doesn't have as much money -- thank you -- they won't get audited until the next year; but it's material to that individual school.

So this is more of a forward-moving, continuous-improvement,

trying-to-get-to-a-hundred-percent-by-year-end --

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- as opposed to anything you can do about it today.

So with that, how do you guys want to do -
Yes, I use "shenanigans" so people don't -- so

I don't use "corruption" every five seconds.

So how do you guys want to do this? You want them -- you want to ask questions, have them summarize?

I'm talking to the group here.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I say we ask questions.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ask questions?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

	Oka	ay.	All :	right.	Let	's sta	rt	you	guys
want	to	star	ct at	your	end?	We'll	work	our	way
arour	nd,	and	then	we'll	swite	ch.			

Mr. Medvin, you have any questions?

MR. MEDVIN: Not yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Turso said no.

All right. Mary, you have any questions or comments?

MS. FERTIG: And we're questioning this document or this document or both?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The internal funds report, the ones with exceptions or not exceptions or whatever you made notes about.

MS. FERTIG: It just seems like there are a lot of exceptions here --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For sure.

MS. FERTIG: -- and I'm just wondering -- I'm wondering, we keep increasing -- every month, it seems like, we are increasing the number of exceptions; and so my concern is -- and every month we have the same conversation about training and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's not training. It's not a training issue.

MS. FERTIG: No, no, and we go through the same -- the same -- I feel like we are having the

1 same conversation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we haven't addressed the root cause of the problem.

MS. FERTIG: Thank you. That was great. I appreciate your summary.

Is there a comment on how we -- I -- when I first came on this committee, there were so many things.

And thank you to Dave and -- to the Daves. They got us straight, and we then went for years without really seeing exceptions, but now we are coming to a period where we have increasing.

So I'm just wondering what -- what is causing this?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you -- we know the practice bulletins are out of date and -- yeah, it's the lack -- it's the internal controls.

MS. STRAUSS: Internal controls, Mary. That's it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Thank you.

MS. STRAUSS: We have none here at Broward County Schools.

MS. FERTIG: So I'll renew our motion to --

MS. STRAUSS: It's the same.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MS. FERTIG: I mean, yeah, it's like -- it's like -- I feel like it's Ground Hog -- Ground Hog Day, where, like, we are reliving last month.

MS. STRAUSS: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. It's not a training issue, because if you are training people on -- on processes that haven't changed in 30, 40 years, what are you training them on?

If you have people that -- we had turnover. If the training -- if the instructions are contradicting themselves, if they are not even available -- because there were several practice bulletins that, once I inventoried the ones that were online, we were missing key ones. Key standard practice bulletins weren't available.

So the principals maintain that they don't have access to all of them, and we have to -- they need to review each of them to make sure that they -- a person -- a layperson could follow them without being confused.

And I -- ever since I've been on here, since 2015, I have always questioned whether those standard practice bulletins were enough, and they have never been reviewed, revised, until -- until now, all of a sudden, three years in.

And we also -- the -- there is monitoring that's supposed to happen. The trial balance each month is supposed to go to the business support center; that hasn't been happening. There is year-end reporting that's supposed to happen; that hasn't been happening.

So to Ms. Strauss's point, until we get the internal controls functioning and in place -- we are seeing the -- what is hopefully the last of it, and then for next year, every policy that needs to be in place and the monitoring that needs to be in place, like that monthly -- the monthly reporting needs to be happening.

So it's year end. There is a new -- new superintendent, new org chart. I --

MS. FERTIG: And I understand all this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm still going to say: I think there is training, and I think there is -- I think Ms. Strauss used the word "accountability," maybe it was somebody else. I think there is training; but if you have something and you're not following it, because that's how we are finding these exceptions, what is happening to change that dynamic?

So I understand all of this. I understand this old standard practices, all of that. I would tell you that I think every year is important, because people get lax and forget what they have been doing and so forth, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But if you're not -- if you're not training --

MS. FERTIG: But I don't think it's the only issue. I think there is a lot of issues. I'm not sure what's happening.

I mean, we get these reports: Here is how we are going to change in the future. And then the next year we are getting more exceptions.

So I know we've made the recommendations on an overview of the internal --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's the internal control issue.

MS. FERTIG: -- controls. I know we've made recommendations on changing the standard -- updating the standard practice bulletins, but I just keep coming back to my question: What are we going to do to change the day-to-day that's happening in our schools right now? Because -- because all of that will hopefully change in a few years, but what are we going to do to change it

right now?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But that's -- that's not going to take a few years.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Phyllis, and we are going around --

MS. SHAW: He was first.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I was trying to go in order, but Mr. Turso?

MR. TURSO: Yeah, I apologize. I didn't -- I didn't have anything on this, but mostly because, in full disclosure, I've got to admit I'm kind of against the amount of time that we spend on it.

But to speak to it, maybe with the hope of finally making some progress and not having to talk about it every meeting, when there is all these BCPS employees talking about school funds, or sitting here while we talk about it, here is a great point, for anybody who might be able to answer it, I did a quick cursory review of this; and what would you say is the average tenure of a BCPS school bookkeeper? How long would you say they stay in that position, approximately?

MR. RHODES: I don't have that information.

MR. TURSO: But, I mean, would you say that

1

there are some that --

2

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: A school one or a BSC one or

3

4

MR. TURSO: Well, whatever is listed here as

5 "bookkeeper."

both?

6

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But it tells you whether --

7

MR. TURSO: It --

8

MS. STRAUSS: Some are on site, remember, at

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

the schools.

Well, yeah, we are not talking MR. TURSO: about the ones that are what you call "business support center." We're going to talk --

MS. STRAUSS: Those, I'd like to know the answer to your question, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they turn over --

MR. TURSO: So but I'm -- I'm gonna -- I'm actually asking a question that, by looking at these documents, I can surmise; and that is, a lot of these people -- for example, we had one that went from July '21 to July '22, picked up a new one August '22 to current. And it's a reoccurring theme, where they stay -- here is one that didn't This one that made it September '22 make a year. to November '22. That one was followed up by the one that went July '22 to August '22.

Why I'm trying to bring this home is, if we don't start looking at the bigger problems, the larger financial -- I see people nodding their head. I want that to be reflected in the minutes that we have high-ranking staff members shaking their head in an affirming manner.

If we don't start looking at the more important things to do things to make our district more attractive so that we don't have two-month, three-month, one-year turnover, I don't care how much training you do -- I agree with Mary and I agree with Dr. Walsh that training is important; but what are we doing? We are training somebody who is there for two months? Do you really think they care? They don't.

Again, there is more head shaking in the affirming manner.

So I understand that this is an important topic, but I think that we are looking at one rotten piece of fruit on the ground, instead of looking at the tree that is dying. So we should probably spend a little more time on those things.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Ms. Shaw?

MS. FERTIG: Is it possible to get an answer

1 to the question?

MR. TURSO: You don't have to.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that's a rhetorical question.

MR. TURSO: It's -- but, no, it's actually -- it is rhetorical in the sense that you could look at this and you can see that there is a lot of turnover, and there is a reason for that, and it's the broader reason.

MS. FERTIG: But how much? Okay. I just would like to quantify that.

MR. TURSO: Well, you can make a motion for that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, if we can't --

MS. SHAW: Is it me yet?

MR. TURSO: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It was, yes.

MS. SHAW: So good morning, everyone. My apologies for being late. Apparently I'm in charge this whole month. My boss is away in Italy having fun, and I have to fill in for him, so my apologies for being late.

But, you know, I work for government, and I think one of the things we've been facing, especially lower-level staff, is it's a huge

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

turnover, and with those turnovers come what it is that you're talking about.

And it doesn't matter how much internal control we have in place. If we don't have somewhat -- some type of continuing -- continuative operation standard operating procedure, that the next person who walks over from the next school can come up and pick up that standard operating procedure and says: You know what, this is what we were doing over here at this school. This is what the policy is. This is step one through twenty of how I need to perform my bookkeeping job.

This way, it doesn't matter who is in that position, where they are coming from. The policy, the procedure, the way to do the job remains the same.

And I think one of the things that -- we talk about internal control here, and we beat it to death. And, look, I'm in the middle of an audit right now, so I understand what we're talking about in making sure that we have internal control procedures in place; but we are missing the piece where, even if you train me for six months, I'm only in the position six months and then I move from a bookkeeper to something else and then I

bring somebody else in but I did not leave anything in place for that next person, we are going to continue to be in this same position that we are in.

Number two, we are doing more audits. So as we do more audits, we are going to find more issues; and the more -- and we are going to continue to do this, so if we don't fix what the -- what the problem is -- and as we continue to do more audits, we are going to continue to have more issues, because we are not fixing the reality of what the issues -- issues are.

We can train every staff in the district on what the procedure should be to do this job as a bookkeeper, and then someone leaves, and then no one knows how to do that job as a bookkeeper because we didn't have anything, some kind of continuity of operation. We didn't have any kind of standard operating procedures, how to do the job that's somewhere that gets updated as policy comes through, whether it's from the School Board or from some kind of regulatory agency, for us to change the policy. That's why we continue to have these same issues.

MS. FERTIG: Can I ask a followup question?

Do we not have some kind a manual that is available to -- I mean --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Those are the standard practice bulletins. That's what I keep pointing out.

MS. FERTIG: I know, but we do not have a manual for a bookkeeper -- one bookkeeper to pass off to the other.

And I still think, going back to what Peter said, I know bookkeepers that have done it forever, and then we have people that haven't. So I am really -- I really think he's hit on something here: We need to know what the turnover is.

That's one.

And two, what of kind a manual -- that Phyllis has just brought up I think is an excellent point: What is in place when that new person walks in the door, what kind of a train -- a man- -- job manual is there?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So those are two questions.

And understand, the manual, as Phyllis pointed out, is part of your internal control structure; it's not a separate thing. It's a part of it so -- MS. FERTIG: No, I'm just asking, I want to

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

know -- I want to know when they walk in the door, do they have that?

And he is nodding, so I'm assuming they do.

MS. MARTE: Yes, they do.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Who is that?

Okay. We have never seen it. All we get are standard practice bulletins.

There is a manual for bookkeepers?

Okay. So, Mr. Rhodes, we would like the manual, the bookkeeper manual. Is it a standard or business practice bulletin? What is it called, Mr. Smith?

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

So Ryan Smith, director of business support center.

So yes, to answer the question about the training manual, we have an extensive training process that every bookkeeper in the district comes through. It is a week long. It includes one-hundred percent a training manual with standard operating procedures. It includes a thorough review of school board policy and school board standard practice as it relates to their position, and extensive time working with the Great Plains ERP, which is critical to their function.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the bookkeepers at the schools, same thing?

MR. SMITH: Correct. All of our bookkeepers go through the same exact training, whether they are BSC or non-BSC.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then I'm going to reiterate the request for the training manual. I believe we've asked to see the training to get an understanding, because that -- that's part of the internal control structure; but if there is a manual, then we are requesting a copy.

Also, the bookkeeper turnover -- what?

MS. SHAW: I'm -- okay. So we are audit, and I understand that we need to make sure -- part of our responsibilities: Advise, advise, advise. I don't want to review their manual. That's their job to review their manual.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not looking to review it. I just want to see it.

MS. SHAW: I don't want to see it. We have enough that we need to do, but it is their responsibility to provide us with something to say that these are in place, these are the things that's in place.

Don't send me a manual, because quite frankly,

you send me a manual, based on my accounting experience, I'm probably going to tear it up and tell you it's wrong. Let me be honest -- or that it doesn't match my policies and procedures that I have in place for my staff, and you are going to do the same thing, so let's be honest.

But if you provide us with something that -or take a step back. It's easy for us to say, it's
easy for me to say, "My staff are doing a great
job," when it turn -- when I send my stuff to the
finance department and there are errors.

It's -- but it's better when we all take a step back and say: All right. I hear what these folks are saying. Let's go back, look across the aisle, and make sure that everybody that is supposed to be in whatever position -- whether it's a bookkeeper at the schools, bookkeeper at the district, make sure everybody are being trained, hold folks accountable.

It's one thing that we don't hear much around here: Hold folks accountable. And if there is a bookkeeper that's been placed, has gone through the one-week training, has his or her manual, and don't have any notes for that manual, and doing the job and making errors and continues to make errors and

no one is doing anything about it, then shame, shame on that manager and that supervisor and the audit department.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. SHAW: I have more but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we need to move on from this item, but I'm going to reiterate that I would -- I would like to see -- because the job of the chief auditor's office is to evaluate the sufficiency of internal controls; and if you've never seen said training or training manual, I don't know how you can opine on whether it's sufficient.

MR. RHODES: How the people are trained on the requirements of the red book and the standard practice bulletins is management's responsibility. What we use to test are those very pieces that I just talked about.

So how they've been taught to do their job is not our responsibility. Ours is to go test it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This is not a how. We first have to establish that there is a what, and I've never seen the what, and I don't know that you guys have ever seen the what.

Have you guys seen the training manual?

- 1 MR. RHODES: I have not.
- 2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's my point.
- 3 Yes, Mr. Mayersohn?
- 4 MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.
- 5 MS. MARTE: Ali, you have seen it.
- 6 MS. ARCESE: I can't remember that I have seen 7 it. I'm sure it was provided, but I have not
- 8 looked at it.
- 9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. You can't -- you
 10 can't evaluate the sufficiency of something you've
 11 never seen, is my point.
- 12 Mr. Mayersohn?
- MS. FERTIG: Well, I think we found out what they think is we have it.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary -- no, Mayersohn --
- MS. FERTIG: No, but I just want to answer
- 17 that because --
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.
- MS. FERTIG: -- it's not right to criticize
 them and not say: Okay, they answered our question
- 21 and they have it.
- 22 So now we go to the next point.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary --
- MS. FERTIG: No.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary, you're out of order.

1 MS. FERTIG: And so are you a lot of the time.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm the chair. I'm trying to call --

MS. FERTIG: It's all right for the chair to be out of order?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- Mr. Mayersohn.

No, actually.

Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So -- okay. So just a couple of things, and I know I don't want to beat a dead horse --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We must move on.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I know.

But obviously, this \$18, you know, figure for after school, there is a reason, for some reason -- maybe it's past training or whatever it is -- I think that's an easy correction.

As far as -- I have a question regarding electronic fees. What's the process with -- if somebody uses a credit card, Visa, MasterCard or whatever, they charge three percent. What I was reading here is the booster club, or whatever it is, is charging an additional amount to cover that, as opposed to charging it to the end-user? Is that what this is all about? I mean, I may be reading

1 it wrong, but . . .

MS. ARCESE: Ms. Gouldbourne can give you more information on that.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: (Inaudible.)

MS. FERTIG: I don't think the mic --

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Can you hear me now?

MS. FERTIG: Is it green?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Beacon is working on it, I think.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Okay. So whenever fees are paid online, as a payment processor, they charge a fee. So what's happening is that when the payment processor puts that money into the bank account, that fee has already been removed. So the school is only getting -- they are getting their fee, less four percent.

So for instance, if you pay a hundred dollars, the school is only going to get 96. The school is booking a hundred dollars to that account, to that before-and-after-care account, and they are doing an adjustment for the four, but they are never getting that four dollars. So they are kind of doing back-and-forth entries to kind of cover the negative that they are putting that account into, and for whatever reason -- this is in a process

they have been doing for a long time, so it causes accounts, which is a trust account, per Chapter A, trust accounts are not allowed to go into the negative. It causes that account to go negative, because the bookkeeper didn't do whatever back-and-forth adjustments.

They keep their registration fees, and registration fees is used to cover some of that electronic fees; but the registration fee hasn't changed in I don't know how many years, so it's no longer sufficient.

So our recommendation is that they find a new way to book these electronic fees and to account for them, because I think they remit them to the district, and the district does something different to account for the fees in their books and records, and it's causing an issue with the bookkeeper. So that's our recommendation, that they find a new way to book these electronic fees.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So -- so even to the -- I guess, as a -- I work for government, too, but as a -- as a city, if we have a building permit, and somebody uses a credit card, as opposed to using a check, we charge them the fee.

Well, it should be because it's coming out of

1 the taxpayer dollars.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: A convenience fee.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Whatever the fee is.

But I'm just saying, my recommendation is, the district, or whoever is doing it, should be charging the fee.

I get it; there may be disadvantages to some people, but why should somebody, if the cost is actually -- if we are just, you know, saying the cost of this paper is \$30 and somebody uses a credit card and the district only gets back \$28, somebody is picking up the extra two, if the cost is 30. So the end-user, whoever is using it, should be paying for that, paying that convenience fee.

I'll allow you to share.

MS. SHAW: I don't agree or disagree who should pay for it. I think if the body makes a decision that -- that they are going to eat those convenience fees, they are going to eat their convenience fee. The issue that I'm having is how it's budgeted and recorded.

So we know that if there is \$100,000 in an account and that the revenues is going to be -- supposed to be \$100,000 and four percent is a

convenience fee, there needs to be an expense line item for that four percent. So when the fee comes, however your system is set up, whether it's a manual entry or when the fee is charged, then the hundred -- the hundred dollars goes to wherever it needs to go and the four percent goes against wherever you have it budgeted, and if it is not done --

An accountant can do this, guys, come on; but it needs to be budgeted. I don't care who pays the fees, whether it's the organization, if you voted to do it. But it's a simple, simple budget and accounting, basic accounting entry that needs to get done. It shouldn't be something that has to come up here in order to resolve, really.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, this does seem pretty basic.

Anyone else?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Then the other -- then the other thing that I -- and, again, this is probably my own personal pet peeve, P-cards.

I mean, for somebody -- for somebody at some point -- and I have, this, like I said, you know, being on the County, using a P-card, I can't sneeze without getting audited or whatever it is. So, I

mean, if I'm sitting, using a card, and I don't have a receipt, I get contacted back to let me know that: You need to come up with a receipt some way or another. I mean, it's -- and I'm talking about an item where I get gas at Shell, and everybody knows it's Shell, but don't have the receipt, I'm accountable for it.

And that's where, when we are giving out this ability for somebody to go to Target, we don't know what's on there. I mean, the account reconciles and says "Target"; there is no receipt. I mean, they could be doing it for personal use. They could be doing it for school use. We don't know.

And that, to me, is something that I don't know what the progressive discipline is; but, you know, there have been times normally it's: Your card is suspended for six months, you know.

But there -- nothing in this response have I seen what the response is to that person using the P-card. I didn't see it in here. Maybe I overlooked it.

MS. SHAW: And was the P-card taken away?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And there is no P-card policy?

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, there is a P-card policy.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, we have had P-card audits.

MS. MARTE: And we have a detailed P-card manual.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There is a manual; there is no policy. I believe they are in the process of creating a separate P-card policy, sort of like booster club guidelines versus policy.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, I mean, again, it's -it's -- you're dealing with money, and you're
giving somebody the ability to spend it, and it's
almost like "trust in, but verify." And if
somebody is not responsible to use it accordingly,
they shouldn't be using it. I mean, let them write
their own personal check and "Here is my receipt"
and get reimbursed.

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry, how is it that we don't -- I mean, P-card is one of the easiest audited things in government, and we give a card to someone and say, "Go spend," and then there is not a policy?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's entangled -- I think,
Ms. Andreu, you can probably clarify.

Is -- P-cards are referenced in the purchasing policy and you guys are working on a separate P-card policy, I believe?

1 MS. SHAW: That should -- we've been in 2 existence for how many years? That should --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, there is a manual, not a policy.

MS. SHAW: No, not a manual --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I agree.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHAW: -- not a manual --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just telling you what's there.

MS. SHAW: -- a policy, that only that if something -- if you give me a P-card, included with --

MR. MAYERSOHN: 109 years.

MS. SHAW: 109 years.

-- included with that policy, that says:
These are my responsibilities that I need to sign
off on, initial each one of them, and the policy --

MS. MARTE: We do all that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I want to --

MS. SHAW: -- I mean, and then accountability.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I would like Ms. Andreu to confirm the P-card policy progress, though.

MS. ANDREU: Through the Chair, there is -the P-card was mentioned, as we discussed here in
previous meetings, as a part of the current

purchasing policy. It is still -- there is still language about the P-card in the recommendation for the new 3800 purchasing policy.

But there was a motion by this committee to do a separate P-card policy, and we are writing -- we have that in a draft form, and so that will be coming forth in the next few months.

Additionally, though, most -- I would say it's about 50/50 of the -- at least school districts throughout the state have a manual, in lieu of a policy. We do have a manual. It's a comprehensive manual that outlines all the steps that the P-card holder must take, including annual training.

So, again, all of this is outlined in the manual, and should have been adhered to.

MS. MARTE: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

Hold on one second. I have Ms. Strauss.

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah, so in regards to that, so thank you, is this going to include consequences, so disciplinary action that will be taken if P-card policy is violated?

I would like to see the people responsible for approving expenses, that if they approve, that they are on the hook, too. So if they approve an

expense, like rather than just clicking buttons, like they need to review it; and if they approve it, then it's their responsibility, as well. They are attesting that that's a valid expense that should be approved, and if not, then they could get terminated, as well as the person using the P-card.

MS. ANDREU: Yes. In the manual currently, that's also being revised. It does state that there are disciplinary actions that will take place if the P-card is not utilized correctly, and then we will strengthen that again in the policy. That will be forthcoming.

MS. STRAUSS: And so from a historical perspective, has anybody been disciplined for violations? Because clearly there has been abuse here.

MS. FERTIG: Yes, we have had --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I remember somebody.

MS. STRAUSS: Somebody? One person?

MS. FERTIG: No, there -- we have had -- can I just say to her answer, we have had several P-card audits, and I think Dave could probably tell us how many -- well, not today -- over the years.

But we have had them per- -- that's one thing that we periodically do do, which is why some of

these recommendations have come forward for changes; and yes, there have been employees who have violated it to the extent that --

MS. STRAUSS: That's termination.

MS. FERTIG: I can't name it, I can't sit

here -- but I can think of one in particular. I

can't sit here and give you a name of every person,

but I know they do that.

I don't -- I can't tell you that they do it as often as they should, because I'm not --

MS. STRAUSS: Like I just don't want a policy or a handbook; like I want accountability. And if that's not built into it, then don't bring that -- at least, I'm not going to approve it. Like my vote will be a hard no.

So there needs to be policy, there needs to be process and there needs to be accountability with consequences, and everybody needs to know that.

Because there is no accountability in this district, clearly, when it comes to money; and I'm over it.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So -- so just --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We've got to transmit this.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, no, I just want to correct my statement.

So there is, obviously, from Mr. Smith and the principal to Mr. Rhodes, about the P-card holders, it says in this instance, card privileges were not suspended, as the P-card holder transitioned into a new role and no longers has a district P-card issued.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't know that that's accountability, either, but --

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I'm just saying it's -- I said it didn't say it anywhere, but it did say what had happened, so . . .

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I'm hope -- I'm hopeful that next year, when you start auditing, and things get put in place in the interim, that that will tighten up some of these things, these systemic things we keep having.

So I need a motion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to transmit.

MS. SHAW: Second, Phyllis.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Mayersohn, seconded by Shaw.

Any further discussion?

All right. Hearing none, all in favor of transmitting the internal funds audits of the selected schools, and I will get with Mr. Rhodes

to -- I think we need to have sort of an executive summary of our comments, because usually they take excerpts from the minutes, but I don't know that the board members are really reading those, but if we have some bullet points --

MR. NAYLOR: Should we call before you expand?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon?

MR. NAYLOR: Should we call before you expand?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I start going from one train track to the next.

Yeah, so all in favor?

ALL PRESENT: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Any opposed?

Nope.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as I was saying, sort of like when I leave the store without paying, almost, because I'm done. So I took bullets on that.

All right. Thank you.

And moving on, we have next up, RSM for quarterly and big three, that's items eight and nine. Get your packets ready.

MS. SHAW: What about the fund balance policy, did you want to double back to it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, did you guys want to double back to internal funds?

1	MS.	SHAW:	The	fund	balance

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Or the fund balance, sorry.

But since we just got it, I think the problem is we just -- let's stick with -- well, RSM is here, so maybe we'll try to double back after RSM and give you guys a chance to read through what we just got handed.

All right. So we have the quarterly RSM report. Do we have someone from AECOM? Oh, is that you, Tammy? Oh, and then Shelley hiding behind.

Okay. All right. I'm going to grab my notes.

Does anyone have any commentary?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I do, but I don't know if you're going around starting with Lew, but --

MR. NAYLOR: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I could start in this direction.

Anybody have any -- as I grab my stuff, but, Mary, you might be the first person up.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I just --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So if you want to go ahead.

MS. FERTIG: Just, again -- oh, is Lew starting? Okay. Go ahead.

	Page 83
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon?
2	MS. FERTIG: I said, Is Lew starting?
3	MR. NAYLOR: No, I don't have any questions.
4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.
5	MS. FERTIG: Oh.
6	MR. RHODES: Madam Chair, if I can interrupt
7	just for a second?
8	We have some people here without name tags,
9	and I would like to make sure that everybody here
10	knows to state their name for the new court
11	reporter, so that we can make sure that she doesn't
12	get confused with who is speaking.
13	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I found
14	MS. FERTIG: I have if you want me to
15	start, Nathalie?
16	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, please.
17	MS. FERTIG: I'm just going to start at the
18	beginning on page four.
19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Of the quarterly report?
20	MS. FERTIG: Am I on the wrong one?
21	MR. MAYERSOHN: Well
22	MS. FERTIG: Internal audit of program
23	management?
24	MR. MAYERSOHN: Are they going to introduce

themselves, so the court reporter can --

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, yes, let's have them do that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Focus.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm focused. I just expect people to do these things themselves.

All right. RSM, who are you guys?

MR. GUMS: Chris Gums, RSM manager.

MR. BLONDELL: Matthew Blondell, directer of RSM.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then on behalf of AECOM, we have?

MS. WHIPPIE: Tammy Whip- -- oh, I'm sorry.

Tammy Whippie, AECOM director of administration.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And from the District?

MS. MELONI: Shelley Meloni, executive director of capital programs.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

Okay. So, Mary, you are starting on page four, you said?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I -- I'm starting and I'm just -- you can go through this, but I just -- it just seems -- I'll just mention a few -- that there is a lot that's not being entered into eBuilder that's not -- you know, a lot of the reports may be

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

completed, but they are not entered.

I'm wondering if we can -- I see Ms. Meloni is here; maybe she is the best person to answer that.

But if you look on page four, you see: Five of five sample projects, we noted the forms related to the final inspections were not updated in eBuilder; five of five sample projects -- and I'm picking and choosing here, obviously -- but five of five sample projects, evidence of the project manager review were not provided, as required.

As you go through these pages, next page, four of five samples reviewed, project close-out checklist was not available in eBuilder.

And I could keep reading, but you get my drift here. I'm wondering, whoever the right person is to answer, is there a reason these things are not answered -- are not entered into eBuilder and what do you do to reverse this trend?

And thank you, RSM, again, for your work.

MS. WHIPPIE: Again, this is Tammy from AECOM.

We -- we have a team that is pulling the missing data. Some of this was pre-AECOM, that closed-out project, so we were missing that data.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Can you get closer? Is it just me or can you get closer to the mic? Yeah.

1 MS. WHIPPIE: Sorry about that.

Is that better?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, for now.

MS. WHIPPIE: So some of these predated AECOM, so we didn't have that information. Anything that has been forwarded from AECOM, we are doing a cleanup, where we are getting all that information in and entering it into eBuilder. In some cases, for those examples that she gave for the warranty walks and what have you, again, those were managed before -- prior to us, so we have no recourse to -- to getting that back-data.

MS. FERTIG: How long has AECOM been doing this?

MS. WHIPPIE: 2020.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So we are four years into this, and I'm just looking, it's the same -- I mean, it's consistent through this. I could keep reading them, but it's consistent.

So you are saying all of this was on Heery's watch?

MS. WHIPPIE: No, no, I'm not. I'm saying some of that was part of that. Others are not, and we are doing a cleanup right now and making sure that they are all entered in eBuilder.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Because it -- I mean, three of five documentation of final performance evaluations, okay, I -- I find it concerning that the next person picking up the file isn't going to be able to find out what happened, so -- okay.

If I go to prior -- I'll just move on to prior things. It seems like a lot of these from June 2021: Partially complete, partially complete --

What page are you on?

MS. FERTIG: I'm -- I'm flipping through, 13, 14, 15, is an open and it's from September of 2022: Ten sample projects, we noted three of six have surpassed their contractual substantial completion

date and change orders have not been executed to

DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

modify these.

I mean, how long is it going to take to fix these? November -- September of 2022, open, this contractual completion dates -- well, that's continued.

And we're going to get into that when we see the big three, about what that means on those -- on those completion dates.

But when will these -- when will these ones that were noted two years ago be completed that are

still open?

MS. WHIPPIE: So two of them are on the agenda for the Board, and one is in the CORC step, which is the final review from the committee that reviews change orders.

MS. FERTIG: Two of them are on the Board at the next -- at the May 21st meeting?

MS. WHIPPIE: The June, sorry.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. And then it will be closed?

MS. WHIPPIE: Correct.

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie, I'll -- I just -- I just feel like this paperwork is a continuing issue that we have; and, again, I mean, we just see the same issues over and over, but there are a lot of -- I mean, five out of five, that's like no one is doing it, so -- okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. You good?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- so RSM, we have two program managers, correct? AECOM is running the projects, and remind me, again, what the function of Atkins is?

MR. GUMS: They are the cost and program controls manager.

1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And when you say
2	"cost and program controls," what does that mean?
3	MR. GUMS: Overseeing the control environment
4	of the program.
5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So like if things are
6	not being entered into eBuilder, should they be
7	reporting on that? Should they be monitoring that?
8	MR. GUMS: So my understanding is that the
9	PMOR has a team of document control, who their
10	primary function is to perform these controls, so
11	the responsibility would ultimately fall on those
12	procedures.
13	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And so when we get
14	these quarterly reports, I almost never see one
15	evaluating Atkins, though; we just evaluate AECOM.
16	MR. GUMS: We do look at Atkins as part of our
17	quarterly procedures. We
18	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Are they in this
19	MR. GUMS: They do not have exceptions.
20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. Because I'm going
21	to have to go back and read the scope of work,
22	because when when the two were created, the idea
23	is that you had the PMOR, yes, they are supposed to
24	be doing all these functions; but you have the cost

and program controls as a series of checks and

25

balances so -- and I can tell you that eBuilder has been an ongoing thorn in the side of everybody, including the facilities task force, because they -- we found out that the -- initially, they did not purchase -- and this will sound familiar to many of you -- didn't have all the modules that you needed to track costs, didn't have -- people were putting PDFs in, instead of raw data. All kinds of silliness was happening in the front end of the program.

So it -- absolutely, these things should not be happening; but I almost never see Atkins, in terms of them being evaluated. I'm not sure what we're looking at for Atkins, but that's a to-be-continued.

So my questions and observations start on page five -- and also most of these projects are going to be wrapped up by the end of '25, '26, the 12th of never, somewhere in there. Because if you pump the brakes on projects, then they are going to be behind schedule.

So page five, there is an SOP on warranty management, and I realize that this is limited to what the PMOR is doing, but I can tell you a systemic problem has been what happens after the

PMOR is no longer responsible for warranties.

So this is less about what you're auditing for the PMOR and more about the program as a whole, because what was happening is, the only time that they were doing roof inspections to keep the roofs under warranty, so that we don't have what happened in the past happen again, is when I would shake the tree and go: Have you guys done your warranty inspections?

So I'm overdue for asking, but I think this is an area of concern for the office of the chief auditor, that we need to expand what we're looking at to the District's responsibility for warranties.

I noticed that they are adding a position to org chart, which is good, but we don't know if some of our roofs are already out of warranty because by -- for the most part, AECOM was doing what they are supposed to be doing when they are responsible for it. They even went and got software to track -- call track it so they could track it. I'm not quite sure what's happening on the District side, and I hope we don't have roofs that have already fallen out of warranty.

So that's something we need to look at, is if the District is up to date, so that ties back

1 there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Can we get an answer to that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, they need to provide the latest -- the latest warranty report. I believe Phil Kaufold -- Shelley, is Phil still maintaining that spreadsheet that he writes over every month?

MS. MELONI: Phil is, and then we also have the roof asset manager, who has been involved in some of the warranty walk-throughs, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Great. That -- whatever was happening, that's been resolved? We have a roof asset management company now?

MS. MELONI: Roofing, yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. I didn't realize that got resolved.

MS. MELONI: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So that's -- so can we get an updated report?

MS. MELONI: We can, yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I hope he is not still writing with over --

MS. MELONI: No, Phil is not writing over the reports anymore since we have Bluefin (phonetic) on board.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So most recent warranty report -- I forget what they were called -- roof warranty, I imagine whatever is going up there would show up in the RSM roof report followup, like that's something you guys looked at.

All right. So we'll get that for our next meeting, Mr. Rhodes. Because they have it on hand, they should just be able to produce it.

All right. Page 13.

Thirteen, PMOR compliance with reporting requirements, so I know this is -- I believe is from an earlier one. This is not a complete list of the reporting requirements, and we've -- I've brought this up before.

So 6.7.7, the staffing/responsibility matrix, initiation phase and quarterly, it does refer to RACI matrix, and it specifies A and B for what they're responsible for during the initiation phase; and then on a quarterly basis provide a twelve-month staffing plan that evaluates each team according to the projected status of individual projects and the overall program over of the twelve-month period.

So is that happening? Because I see that the answer -- and this is in 2021, not even recently.

So I see that AECOM responded with, as per -- I think they said they now put it in -- into their reports, but do you have a twelve-month staffing plan?

MR. GUMS: So a staffing plan is provided with each of the PMOR invoices each month. We also recommended in a past report that they start providing that in the monthly reports, as well.

You'll see in prior observation number four that we do call that out: That we did not see that staffing plan in the monthly report. It is in a separate observation.

But through our conversations with AECOM, they did note that that is what they're planning to do moving forward. I know there was some initial concern about providing specific names within the staffing plan, but we ultimately ended up at, you know, you could put placeholders or positions and break it out that way.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So was there -- so you're saying that there was one provided with the invoices?

MR. GUMS: With each invoice, they provide a matrix with all the different positions by program year, by discipline, the rates, et cetera.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is the RACI going into their report on a quarterly basis?

MR. GUMS: My understanding is that yes, it is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I can tell you as one of the two people in this room that knows what the intent of this was, that they wouldn't also include that twelve-month staffing plan in the report, but instead put it with the invoices doesn't really make sense.

I get why you would put it also with the invoices, but to not put it in the report means nobody is seeing it. So that's a concern, because I know I've put it on a facilities task force agenda item and not gotten a response to that.

And, also, the contract for AECOM is due for a renewal. I don't know when that's going to the Board, but I'll --

MS. MELONI: June.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: June? We like to take it right down to the wire, don't we.

All right. Because that would expire, I believe, June 30th, so if anything goes wrong --

MS. MELONI: July 21st.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 21st?

1 MS. MELONI: Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So if anything goes wrong, we'll have a repeat of 2023, and there is a reason that we want the staffing plan well in advance of that.

Which leads us to page 14, and the --

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry, you skipped page 15.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 14? I went from 13 to 14.

MS. SHAW: I have a question on 13.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On 13? Okay.

MS. SHAW: This is the reporting requirement, June 2021?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, this is old but --

MS. SHAW: I know.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

So I was talking about the staffing plan, because the RACI chart specifies the 12-month staffing plan. That's what I just got done asking about. So they are doing the RACI.

What was your question?

MS. SHAW: No, go ahead. I'll wait.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then when they redid the contract, they took out some of the monthly reporting requirements. In fact, I think the things that are mentioned here -- variance

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

analysis, evaluation of pay- -- isn't this all removed from the last amendment?

MR. GUMS: Correct, there were certain things that were removed in the first amendment to the PMOR contract.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the reason for that is the District doesn't track -- doesn't -- is not able to provide the data that would go into the calculation.

All right. So on page 14, it says: AECOM has worked with EDDC, and our February 2024 monthly report now includes EDDC reporting.

My question is: Is that for the entire program?

MS. WHIPPIE: Yes, it is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So if I pulled up the February AECOM report, I would see everything that --

MS. WHIPPIE: There is an appendix with each individual project and contractor.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. All right. I'll pull that up, because I didn't get a chance.

All right. I think that's the end of my stickies on that.

Those were my questions or 1 2 observations, and I'm going to go confirm the EDDC, 3 because in the past, the only thing that anyone was paying attention to was whether AECOM 4 5 subconsultants were getting their money, which 6 totally missed the mark on whether the mom-and-pop 7 subconsultants were being paid. So if we're 8 tracking the entire thing, that's good. So I'm 9 just going to go look for that.

If no one -- does anyone else have any questions?

Ms. Shaw.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHAW: I do.

On page -- sorry, I'm going to relegate it just to page 15, the prior observation followup.

Have we collected the \$518,000 as yet, or where is it -- where is it posted, or do we have the change order to extend the dates and the NTP -- well, it could be a combination of both -- or have we gone to the surety or whatever insurance that we collected on these three projects?

And I know this is from September, which is -the data is kind of old, so I'm not even sure why
we're even seeing it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You are talking about

1 page 15?

MS. SHAW: Uh-huh, page 15.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For Deerfield Beach High, Ramblewood and Maplewood?

MS. SHAW: Yes, ma'am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You are saying you have collected what?

MS. SHAW: \$518,000, 500 a day for each day.

MS. FERTIG: Thank you. I had that checked and forgot.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Do you guys have a response?

MS. WHIPPIE: So we have not. We have not -we have done an evaluation to -- to state how much
liquidated damages could potentially be at the max
that they could be.

There are some projects -- and I don't think it's these particular projects -- that we have withheld payments because their liquidated damages assessment was greater than what was available in their project.

We have since also sent recommendations to adjust the liquidated damages to what the actual liquidated damages were so that we could release some of those payments, because we have stopped

1

work at some schools because of that issue.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It shouldn't.

MS. SHAW:

I -- I understand that, but has the surety been contacted, then? Because if the payments are such that -- or retainage or whatever that's being held is not enough to substantiate the -- the liquidated damage, then at some point, we really need to be talking to the surety, or it could be -- or the insurance company that's on file.

And have we now, since September -- because this was since September, have we now received change orders to extend these dates? Because we can't continue knowing that there are -- as of this point, \$518,000 between these three projects, liquidated damage, number one.

Number two, but we have not done anything to mitigate it or we have not done a change order since last year to extend the -- the date.

So those are those change orders MS. WHIPPIE: I mentioned earlier. Two of them are at -- on the agenda for the June board the meeting, and one is in the CORC final review, to give them additional time, which will take away the -- this deficit of time.

They -- because

1 they --

MS. WHIPPIE: The delay -- I'm sorry.

The delay was not their fault. The delay was either the owner or the AE, so they -- they are not held -- right, they are entitled to the days.

MS. SHAW: As I was saying, it shouldn't, because they have a responsibility. They received the NTP. They know the date of the NTP, and if at that point that they were not able to complete the work, a change order should have been done, whether by AECOM, Atkins, the PMR, or somebody needs to be done.

I don't think the District and all of its constituents should be responsible for this money because the folks who have the job was not responsible enough to do their due diligence.

I guess you have the same question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, we may need further explanation as to the root cause of some of these.

MS. FERTIG: So my question -- so thank you for doing this. I missed my little check there.

So you have -- you're taking two things to the Board tomorrow for change orders for something from 2021 and 2022? Are you taking it Tuesday -- I don't mean tomorrow, but you are going to, Tuesday.

So the auditors noted this problem, and now we are going to get the extra days for them. Can you explain --

MS. WHIPPIE: Sure.

MS. FERTIG: -- the sequencing there?

MS. SHAW: Eight months later.

MS. WHIPPIE: The TIA process is a long process -- I'm sorry, the time impact analysis -- and in it, the contract requires that they submit certain documentation so that it can be reviewed by a claims analyst to evaluate what the delay was, who is responsible for the delay, and the duration of the delay.

And we have -- the main reason for this audit item is the delay in reviewing the TIA change orders itself. It's a long process. The previous PMOR had submitted a memo to each contractor, telling them to wait until the end of the program to submit change orders for time. We were not aware of that when we came onboard; we found out a few years after that and directed everyone to follow the contract, which is submit time, which created a huge backlog for us to review them.

And additionally, these delays were from, like you mentioned back, in 2021; we have different

staff. A lot of things have changed, and it requires a forensic review of that project. It's a very long process to review and evaluate those -- what that change was.

So we are getting to -- finally getting close to being done with this backlog, but it has created a large delay in reviewing these change orders.

MS. FERTIG: Did you review -- you reviewed every project now, so that you know what the District is -- what monies the District is entitled to and --

MS. WHIPPIE: We have -- out of the backlog, we have 30 projects left to review. Every day we get new submissions of change orders, but out of that backlog, there is 30 left to review.

MS. FERTIG: Has the District recovered any money, or have they all been -- because the District -- it's the District's fault so --

MS. WHIPPIE: Well --

MS. SHAW: It's not the District's fault.

MS. FERTIG: I quess --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We -- yeah, there is a lot of minutia that we could, you know, spend hours --

MS. FERTIG: I don't think it's minutia. I think -- I'm glad she brought this up. She is

talking about a lot of money on three projects. So if you take the district as a whole, my question is: Are you recovering any money on -- I'll wait until the big three; then we'll talk about substantial delays.

Are you recovering any monies from -- from projects that are delayed?

MS. WHIPPIE: We have not.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. All right.

MS. SHAW: You haven't done anything to mitigate it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I wish you all sat -- have lived my life the past ten years on the facilities task force and you would understand why, in the grand scheme of headaches, that's -- that's not a headache for me because --

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry, Madam Chair. It is a -it is a problem, because not only are we spending
money, public money, on this project, the mere fact
that these -- just these three projects alone are
over a hundred days old and the excuse is: None.
There is literally no excuse.

There is -- I -- there is a project that my folks have. It was a \$300,000 project, and they were 45 days behind; and not only did we hold the

payment, but they had to get everything done before they could get paid.

So the mere fact that we are so much behind on this, not only is in-house not doing their job, because no payments should have been made the moment that we get to 60 days, because -- especially if we know that it takes -- we know that going to the Board takes a number of days for you to prepare the items for the agenda, et cetera, et cetera. So that should have been done. And if you're doing business with any kind of government agency, you know that, the complexity of taking something to the Board.

So this should have been planned in advance; and if you are at 60 days out, and at that point, you should say: Hey, City -- Hey, District, listen, we are trying to get the T&A (phonetic) done, we are waiting for these people to provide the information, but we'll get this to you on day 90.

I don't understand why we are still having these discussions, why they are even here working, because we should have reached out to the surety and said: Provide someone who can get the job done within a reasonable timeframe.

_

It's not that difficult, I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not disagreeing with you on that front, but we keep having changes in leadership. In terms of things there are things — there are things that are small. Like at Plantation High School, they were going to carve out the media center so that they could save money by going directly to the vendors. That was what we all thought was happening, but then it didn't happen; but there is no one to hold accountable, because the facilities chief just quit. The one who started in October is gone, and the superintendent who brought her in is gone.

So we just have to, like, grit our teeth and get through the rest of this, because we can't fix it, change it, or anything else.

MS. FERTIG: And part of that is true.

But in this time, we have had two companies,
Heery and AECOM; and I'm trying to figure out how
everything is always the fault of the School Board
and we are not recovering monies that we could.

And quite frankly, we don't have enough money to not pursue funds that we should be pursuing --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't disagree with you but --

1	Page 107 MS. FERTIG: and I'll let it go. I'll let
2	it go.
3	MR. TURSO: Don't let it go.
4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, because also during
5	this time, we went through Derek Messier, Leo
6	Bobadilla
7	MS. FERTIG: I know that. I know this. I
8	know this.
9	MS. STRAUSS: Whatever
10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, but
11	MS. STRAUSS: who cares?
12	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But then
13	MS. STRAUSS: It's our money. Get it back.
14	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But that that would
15	presume that that's been a priority for everybody
16	that's been in the driver's seat, and it wasn't.
17	Fighting
18	MS. SHAW: It is a priority.
19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: infighting has been a
20	priority.
21	MR. TURSO: Madam Chair, but but why would
22	it not be the priority of us as the audit?
23	I have to spell this out, because it's going
24	to grind on me if I don't.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

25

You minimized -- Madam Chair, respectfully,

you minimized some comments about this issue; but I sat here and endured our conversation, when, again, I want to illustrate the number of consultants and Broward County public school employees that are in this room, and we had to endure I don't know how long over booster club money. I have a problem with that.

This is a huge issue. We should be quantifying -- thank you, there is more positive nodding; I want that illustrated.

I want to -- I want to note that there is a lot of money here, and what we should be doing as an audit committee is finding out what's the actual dollar value of all this that has gone on. And we need to get the money, because as has been illustrated here, the District doesn't have any money. That's what needs to be done.

MS. STRAUSS: It's just -- it's so aggravating. The end of the day, right, we put a motion forward -- correct me if I'm wrong -- to the Board to have an enterprise risk audit, to identify, okay, where are the biggest monies being spent, being wasted, being not recovered. All of this needs to be done and evaluated and materiality needs to be set.

I mean, at the end of the day, Mr. Turso, I agree with you: Booster funds -- you know, sucks for the kids, and this is all about the students, and to put that burden on them is not fair, okay; but maybe booster clubs need a governing organization like PTAs do, okay, separate issue.

But this is taxpayer dollars right here. This is millions of dollars being wasted, and I want to point out, six months ago I requested detailed statements, invoices, approved invoices, receipts regards to building 12 at MSD, okay. That building is now about to come down, supposedly; yet, what I got back was money was already spent to take the building down. They spent -- they claimed that they spent money destructing the building. I walked through the building. It's standing. But then, according to the District and the information I got back, is: The money was already spent.

What -- how is that possible?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I -- we're going to have to check that. I don't think --

MS. STRAUSS: That is what they sent to all of us. We got that. Okay. And I never got receipts. I never got invoices that was requested. So at the end of the day, I'm over this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I just want to fact-check that, because I -- that's not how I interpreted it.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Fact-check that, just like --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't -- because I agree:
I don't know how they could spend money to demolish
something they haven't demolished, but I don't
think that's happened.

And I am not minimizing. I am just saying there are things we can fix and things we can't fix and --

MS. SHAW: This is something we can --

MR. TURSO: But we can fix this, Madam Chair.

MS. STRAUSS: This is -- yeah.

MR. TURSO: We can fix this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's so messed -- some of this is so messed up, and booster clubs are simple.

MS. STRAUSS: But, Nathalie, with all due respect, you are in the weeds. You have been doing this intimately for ten years; you are in the weeds. We are looking at it from a big-picture scenario.

MR. TURSO: Yes.

MS. STRAUSS: We need -- first of all, who can

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

wondering how much money we have recovered and --

MS. STRAUSS: She said none.

24

25

MS. FERTIG: On this. On this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, hold on.

MS. FERTIG: And finally -- finally, after several years, this kind of moved ahead, but I have a feeling it's died after today's conversation, but maybe not; do we have -- are we pursuing construction errors, or are we just getting change orders?

MS. WHIPPIE: They are two different things.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Let's take it one question at a time.

What is Tom Cooney's position in the District?

MR. RHODES: He's an attorney who specializes

in construction matters.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. STRAUSS: Fabulous.

Does he work to recover funds that we are entitled to?

MR. RHODES: I couldn't answer that question, but I can tell you my understanding is that he responds to matters that come past his desk. Some may include that; some may not.

MS. STRAUSS: So there is no -- we don't know if he proactively is looking to do that and bring suits.

MR. RHODES: Correct, I don't know that.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Well, I want to recommend that he does that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So RSM, when you were following up on all of that, where does legal come into this scenario?

MR. GUMS: So we had discussions with AECOM and -- and Tammy and AECOM, correct me if I'm wrong -- there is active discussion with legal counsel regarding liquidated damages.

Ultimately, you know, our report just states that these contractors are not in compliance with their contract. The contract does have stipulations regarding liquidated damages, but we have not had conversations with legal.

MS. FERTIG: But I just asked the question have we recovered any money from anything, and I thought the answer was no.

MS. WHIPPIE: No. I was answering for liquidated damages only. We have not recovered any money from liquidated damages. E&Os, errors and omissions, we have.

I don't know the number off the top of my head. Atkins tracks that number, but we do -- we do do E&Os.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So it sounds like what you guys need is for this group to be added to the distribution list, or in the interim, because Atkins does report -- there is tons of information out there that could answer a lot of questions.

MS. STRAUSS: I don't need reports. I just want to know. Just answer the question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, Atkins isn't here, and so someone would have to go cull through the Atkins report to answer the question what has been recovered from errors and omissions, because I don't know off the top of my head, and the last report I have is February, so I'm three months out of date.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Is errors and omissions -- may I ask you, are errors and omissions the only piece that we should be recovering?

MS. SHAW: I'm not as concerned about errors and omissions.

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah.

MS. SHAW: I'm concerned about the liquidated damages, \$500 a day for every day that we -- the project is not completed over the NTP date and we did not request an extension, period.

1 MS. STRAUSS: So violation of contract, 2 Phyllis?

MS. SHAW: It is.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STRAUSS: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Tammy?

MS. WHIPPIE: 500 days is the maximum you can charge liquidated damages --

MS. MELONI: \$500 a day.

MS. WHIPPIE: \$500 a day, excuse me.

-- and it has gone to court many times for the very same reason, and it's very hard to recover -- penalize -- it's not a penalization clause; it's to recover liquidated damages. So you can only recover whatever the actual damages were, and in most cases, there are no actual damages.

There are a few projects that we've had to pay for additional portables or things like that that would be an actual damage; but that so far, we have not had any that had actual damages that are related to this.

MS. STRAUSS: So damages to the teachers, staff, students and children that learn in those schools that are not fit to be --

MS. SHAW: No, no, no, no; actually, the damage is the increase in cost that we had to

endure because of -- now that we -- now that these projects are delayed, it creates increase in cost that we now have to find additional cost. That is part of the liquidated damages.

MS. WHIPPIE: That's correct.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So why is that so hard?

MS. SHAW: It's not.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Unless you are BCPS.

All right. So is -- are the liquidated damages that are in dispute cataloged somewhere in one of the reports?

MS. WHIPPIE: They are, and we have submitted recommendations for a specific test of -- I think it's five projects to request -- I can give you the list; I don't know it off the top of my head -- of five projects that we are waiting for direction from the District.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So -- but it is reported monthly or no?

MS. WHIPPIE: We -- right. We have a file.
We haven't shared anything on the liquidated
damages to -- except for the attorneys and Shelley
and the CFO.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I have, I guess, a legal

question, and there is no legal people here.

б

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

collect the liquidating damages, and we have a contract that says we collect them, why can't we write a contract to allow us some way that benefits us, as opposed to somewhere where -- and this is -- as you said, this is not new.

But if we send out the standard contract that

But if you're saying that it's difficult to

says, "Here is what we do if we have a problem collecting it and we have to take somebody to court," why don't we have something that is defensible for the District to create that -- through, you know, court records or whatever may be, to be able to recover those funds?

MS. WHIPPIE: It would be a change to your contracts.

MS. STRAUSS: Mr. Mayersohn, it goes to our RFPs. They are terrible. The way things are written, the way everything is written and published when we go for vendors, is just -- it doesn't protect anyone at BCPS; it's only written so certain people get contracts, so certain people get jobs. Because everything is just convoluted here.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Hold on.

So was Peter before Phyllis?

MR. TURSO: Yeah.

MS. SHAW: Probably.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Probably?

Okay. Because we've got to wrap this up. We still have the other RSM report. We have to double-back to internal funds. We have PCG and the risk assessment.

MR. TURSO: So I have a quick general question, and I think it may speak, once again, to the broader topic of our problems as a District, and I don't know the right way to phrase this, so I am just going to come straight out.

Do contractors, in general, fall all over themselves to get contracts from BCPS; or is it more like we need to kind of compel them, because nobody wants to work for us?

I don't truly know the answer to that. I want to know the answer to that.

MS. STRAUSS: Oh, I do.

MR. TURSO: Well, I want to hear from -- because I -- what I'm -- I'll give you my example.

I'm involved with another school -- actually,
I'll come straight out and say: I'm involved at
FAU, and there is a lot of capital improvement

projects there, and actually, I drove by the Fort Lauderdale Police Station; and there is a large company called Moss. They are a renowned company. People -- they turn more business away that they can get --

MS. STRAUSS: They don't want to do business with us.

MR. TURSO: -- because they only choose -
Just hear me out. This can really go
somewhere, and again, it's a broader picture, which
is why we should be here.

They only choose to do the work with vendor -- with entities that they want to do, because they know it's a well-run organization.

I want to know: Does -- is BCPS in that circle; or is BCPS in the circle of, "Gee, golly, I hope a guy with a hammer shows up."

Because in my little sample, what I've seen in my own school, mostly recently with a fire alarm installation, nice guys, but God help us.

So where do we lie on that? Are we desired?

Like, do people want to work for us? I saw one

kind of eye movement that leans "no," but can

somebody touch on that for me?

MS. SHAW: I think it requires research.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, because we also don't pay the people that are doing the work they are supposed to be doing.

MR. TURSO: Why don't we pay them?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That, I can't answer.

MS. STRAUSS: Because we don't have money, Mr. Turso.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, it's not because we don't have money. We just -- if you saw the process to pay people, you would know.

Ms. Shaw, and then, honest to God --

MR. TURSO: So nobody has an answer?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- I need to double-back to the EDDC compliance, because I can't see where we're paying the subs.

MS. FERTIG: I also had my hand up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I can't see you as easily --

MS. FERTIG: I know.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MS. SHAW: So it's not as easy to recover the liquidated damages; and contractors and vendors rely on the fact that we are -- companies like ours, especially government, are not going to do their due diligence to get it done, number one.

Number two, yes, the construction companies have more lobbyists who are lobbying for them, especially -- I mean, they just changed the retainage last year. That was from ten percent to five percent, or zero, depending on who you are doing it with. So I do understand that.

But the reason why these things that are in place -- and we don't have to do boilerplate construction contract. And the reason why these things are in place, the reason why certain amount of insurance or a surety is -- or a bond is required is because those are our fallback.

And regardless of the changes in management, construction doesn't -- doesn't stop because all of a sudden the superintendent changes. The construction should -- is supposed to continue, because there is going to be an interim. Whether the procurement changes or whether finance changes, it doesn't change.

If we have policies and procedures in place to make sure that these are the ten steps for approval when a payment -- when a payment application comes in, or they consult an engineer when an application comes in, or the Atkins or the AECOMs of the world are reviewing the construction application,

whatever the process is, those doesn't change because your leadership changes, because those are done on the lower level. Even if the construction management that's running those jobs changes, it shouldn't change.

What is the problem, continues to be the problem, is: We don't do the hard job. We don't hold the people accountable, whether it's inside or outside; and we've got to start doing that.

Because you -- if you guys do the numbers, first of all, we are overspent on the bond, because -- and part of that, yes, was COVID; but that -- all that work should have been done before COVID, so the supplier issue --

MS. FERTIG: COVID, you know, that was a five-year thing.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. All right. All right. You guys, stay on task. Stay on task.

MS. SHAW: Let me finish.

So the five -- so the five -- so these issues that continue on, it creates a cost issue for us, which means that this general fund policy that we're about to look at, they are not going to be able to -- to set aside sufficient amount of general fund dollars, because they are going to

have to use it to allocate it to pay for capital dollars. To me, that's ridiculous.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. TURSO: One quick --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary -- no, no, Mary, and then we've got to move on, because --

MS. FERTIG: I just want to point out that in the next audit on the big three, each of the big three schools, there is a note in there that eleven people applied for each job. They have different contractors that they selected, but I thought it was — the reason I thought it was ironic was because it was always the number eleven.

So maybe something has changed. I know we have always had a history of paying -- of not paying timely, and for as long as I can remember, that has been the history of our school board; but there are people who, you know, did, obviously, apply for those projects, even though they went substantially over time.

I'll reserve the rest of my comments for that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

We've got to move on, and since EDDC compliance was an observation, and the followup was that it's in the report, I pulled up the February

report, and I can see that there is a prime and subcontract contract amount. There is a commitment percentage, which I presume is the M/WBE commitment percentage, and then there is certified and noncertified sub percent of the commitment --

Wait, what?

I'm trying to understand these different columns.

So we have a sub percent of the commitment, and then there is a prime and subcontract payment amount and then a prime payment amount. I don't see a column for sub payment amount, which is the thing that tells you whether this is all working or not, is when you actually pay the subs and it matches the commitment, depending on where the project is in the process.

MS. STRAUSS: Garbage in, garbage out.

MS. WHIPPIE: This is a report provided by EDDC. I would ask them to add that column to it and adjust that report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's the most basic. If that isn't there, then --

All right. Take care.

(Ms. Strauss exits the meeting.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: If that isn't there, then

this report isn't really meaningful, because it doesn't track that they are actually being paid per the commitment being paid.

Okay. So I just wanted to point that out on that one.

I believe -- oh, shoot, how many of us are here?

We still have quorum. All right. Because we have other things we have to transmit.

So for our next meeting, for followup with the big three, liquidated damages, can we get -- it sounds like we might need Mr. Cooney here and the AECOM program director, who, as much as I enjoy seeing Ms. Whippie, is not Ms. Whippie. It is the guy that is .08 of a person, Michael McIntyre, who replaced Kathleen Langan. And I'm going to put them on the FTF -- well, he was on the FTF agenda, but I'm going to ask for that specifically.

All right. So I need a motion to trans- -- are we good?

MS. FERTIG: Motion to transmit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. SHAW: Second, Phyllis.

MS. FERTIG: Or do we want to add a -- do we want a thing that we get a followup report on what

1 recovery we have had on --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Liquidated -- well, maybe it's -- we need to quantify anything we've recovered. If they said they haven't recovered any liquidated damages, we at least need to know what the potential for liquidated damages is; and then, on top of that, whether anything was recovered. So we have both --

MS. FERTIG: All right. So I'm making a motion, to keep this simple, to transmit the report with the request to Mr. Rhodes to have a followup on this with us regarding the issue of liquidated damages.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So here is the problem we run into when we make motions, because of it cycling through the chief of staff's office, whereas if we request it for the next agenda.

So for the next agenda, we want a liquidated damages, a list, of the potential and anything collected, and Mr. Cooney at the next meeting for followup.

All right. So we had motion to transmit moved and seconded, to transmit the RSM quarterly report.

Any further discussion?

Hearing none, all in favor?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 ALL PRESENT: Aye. 2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed? 3 All right. RSM quarterly report is 4 transmitted, and we -- with followup. 5 Okay. Moving on, RSM, again, big three. three, for those that don't know, is Stranahan, 6 7 Blanche Ely, Northeast, unless that's changed. 8 All right. Let me pull that up. 9 Do you guys want to give sort of a synopsis, 10 RSM? 11 MR. GUMS: Sure. We could give a --12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Of your observations, and 13 then we go to questions? Audit committee, what -- are you guys good 14 15 with that? MS. FERTIG: 16 Yes. 17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So synopsis of the 18 observations. 19 MR. GUMS: So just a little bit of Sure. 20 context, I'll --21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And context.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You want to provide your

name, again, for --

summary. We can --

22

23

24

25

MR. GUMS: I'll start on the executive

1 MR. GUMS: Yes, ma'am.

My name is Chris Gums, RSM manager.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

MR. GUMS: So just for some background, last year, the Board requested, through the chief auditor, that we perform an analysis of the big three. The main objective of that analysis was to perform a comparative analysis of the 2014 facilities need assessment and the scopes of work for the big three schools, essentially to compare what was in the initial scope of the GOB program versus what actually ended up in the scopes.

So what we did was, we compared the school deficiency listings that were generated from the needs assessment in 2014, and we compared those to various documentation, design and construction documents, for each of the big three schools.

So, for example, the school deficiency listing showed that at Blanche Ely, you know, reroofing, you know, was part of building one. We compared it to available documentation to verify whether that ended up in the school.

So as you will find throughout the report, there were some inherent limitations to that analysis, as we kind of highlight in observation

1 number one.

The information from the needs assessment, in certain cases may not have been accurate, or the conditions in the field may have changed between when the assessment was actually conducted versus when these projects were scoped, which in many cases were -- were years later.

For example, the deficiency listings provided specific square footage and quantities; but assessing whether or not 25,000 square feet of reroofing occurred at a given building, you know, that kind of goes beyond our scope and our expertise.

Our analysis was focused more broadly on the core deficiencies, whether they were addressed at a building by building and deficiency level.

So starting, like I mentioned, on the executive summary here, we provide a truncated background section, our approach and our conclusions. We had six total observations for this report; but just to kind of summarize the key takeaways from our analysis, for the most part, the items that were scoped into the GOB program following the needs assessment appear to be incorporated into the scopes for the big three.

There were, however, certain items where we couldn't validate, you know, based on the documentation, you know, which we itemized in the report; and part of that is due to what I kind of touched on earlier regarding the accuracy of the information from the 2014 assessment, which ultimately served as the basis for the SMART program.

So I'm happy to walk through the report in more detail, or if you guys want to go to questions?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Mary, I see your hand up. You want to start?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

Yes, my microphone is on.

Okay. So thank you for this report. I think it showed a lot of things we thought, but I want to go to deficiencies.

I -- and I'm going to mention one in particular. I looked at the sheets; I wasn't necessarily sure you saw this reflected in the way that it was, and I'm just going to pick one example, which is Stranahan High School. I have the PowerPoint from the project charter meeting. I could pull more documentation, but I figured this

1 was a good summary.

And it shows that roofs, yes, were supposed to be replaced, but so were the walkways, or loggias, whichever one you want to call them. They were never done. Word on the street was that it turned out to be more expensive than they thought, so they just didn't do them because they would have had to move equipment on top.

But, you know, that was a -- like, that was like a big deal, and I'm hoping that you noted that somehow. I'm happy to provide you with these documents so that you can see that it was clearly something that was promised to the community at the initial meeting and -- and did not appear.

There would be other examples. I know

Mr. Dorsett is here, so I want to thank him for

coming in and taking care of some of the issues

that didn't get taken care of during the bond.

Maybe I'm not supposed to say that, but I will.

And so I have a concern with that. I want to make sure -- and these schools, I think there is real concern at a community level about what did not get done that was promised. And how did you quantify that? Did you go back and look at

these -- at the minutes of these early project charter meetings, the PowerPoints that were provided for the big three?

MR. GUMS: Sure.

So I'll start with your first question about the Stranahan walkways. So we do note that in the analysis on the page 20. It is on the first row. The building is "site." So the aluminum canopies were classified as a site-wide deficiency. At the time of the analysis, the MAPPS repair cost was approximately \$150,000.

So you will see in the roofing category within that "site" row, that we do have a red "no" there, for that it was not included in the scope of work; however, it was included in the MAPPS deficiency listings.

MS. FERTIG: And I saw you had that notation.

I just didn't know if that was an adequate

description of what didn't get done; and in context

of that, given the school plant, the importance of

the walkways to the school itself -- and there are

some great pictures. I'll be glad to share this

with you because I just printed it off. I have

other -- availability to make other copies.

So I wanted to note that, and I'm sure Lew

from Northeast probably has some other examples of things, and then we would have Blanche Ely ones.

So that was the first question.

You had a question -- and there was also something, and I wanted to bring this documentation just to add to record, you know, questions about the use of -- of the construction -- construction management at-risk contracts I wanted to share with anybody that hasn't heard me make this on other occasions, that the audit committee in 2016 presented to the School Board. I was the chair. I have this. We were concerned that with the use of the contracts that had not been modified since 14 recommendations from McGladrey came forward.

And so, in any reports you do, I hope you -- I would hope there would be a footnote that we had -- and many of us are still here; we had articulated those and memorialized those concerns we had about the contract. And when went to the board -- well, the letter -- here is my email to the audit committee on the 27th of June, but to the Board on June 18th, 2016, which was early in this process.

I -- again, there were eleven bidders on this. This went substantially over the amount of time that anybody estimated for the project, so I would

just like some comments on that.

I think we know these -- some of us know these schools well; we are not surprised it took longer than they would have thought, but when you're looking at the chart showing how many days and you are up to, you know, years over, I'd like some comment on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Comment from whom? Because you have things at Stranahan, for instance, like the art building -- I think it's building six -- which --

MS. FERTIG: Did that got done?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Depends on your definition of "done."

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because it should have been replaced, but when you are struggling to renovate something that clearly should be replaced, that is going to take time.

I don't know if it's done. Is it done?
MS. FERTIG: No.

Thank you for your -- by the way, thank you for talking about the Castaldies that were done and what they had shown and how that -- you know, how that -- that we, as a district, did not follow

through with doing what we did and how the -- and the impact in renovating, rather than replacing, on the long-term facilities at those three schools.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I want to get back to your walkway question --

MS. FERTIG: Oh, thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- because I think that's a followup.

I was curious about building six, because I believe one of the hiccups was the kiln room was trying to run away from building six, and I don't know if that got resolved.

But getting back to the aluminum walkways, which there are three line items that are site-wide if you go to the original needs MAPPS document, that does add up to the number you reported, where are those walkways? Are those the ones that are attached to buildings?

Because the detail and the answer and what

Mary cited is consistent with what I heard, is that

all of the equipment on top was deemed too

expensive to remove and then properly address the

walkways. Are those walkways considered part of

the building roof, versus just being a walkway?

Because my understanding is that at least some of

them are part of the roof -- when they say
"reroof," they should have been included in
definition of "reroof," because they're part of the
square -- roof square footage.

So I'm looking for clarity in terms of whether it's a walkway or the roof.

MS. FERTIG: You have in front of you, the -I just -- Bob just passed you the PowerPoint.

But you can see there is several pages on roofs that originally show you what we were told at the project charter meeting would be taken care of.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And it's listed as being funded by GOB. We have to go to scope validation reports, where they would have de- -- you know, descoped it somewhere in there. That's how the cafeteria ended up getting punted by the original architect. They said: Oh, this needs to be addressed in a cafeteria study and --

MS. FERTIG: That was also brought up at the project charter meeting, but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MS. FERTIG: -- yeah, no, this -- I'm sure there is examples of the other two schools, but this is one example that was easy to show was included, and the way most of us found out that it

wasn't done was we actually walked down the walkways. So there you go.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So the walkways, these lovely things with all of this equipment running on top, are those categorized as walkways or the roof? I mean, either way, they were supposed to be part of the scope and didn't happen, but we're looking for clarity on how that got removed -- how and when it got removed from the scope, and then, obviously, how much is it going to cost to do it now.

So I guess that's a followup, is: How did it get -- did you guys address how it got removed from the scope? Because I know you said: Did not appear to be included in the final scope. But there would be scope validation reports. There would be emails. There would be meetings between -- whether it was Heery -- started with Heery and the District, there would be conversations that occurred that led to them not being done.

MR. GUMS: Right. So our analysis just shows a black-and-white yes or no: Was it included in the scope? And we did include a "no." However, for all of the "no's" and all of the "U's" in these

matrixes, AECOM has provided a response in Appendix E. So they do provide a further explanation as to why they weren't included.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What page is that on?

MR. GUMS: Let me scroll down.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then the reroofing with new decking -- sorry, Mary, because, I am on the same page that you are on, page 21.

11, 12, 26 and 27 were not reroofed, and you are saying there is examples -- I mean responses on page?

MR. GUMS: 95.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 95. Okay. I'm getting there, 91 . . .

So there is a response -- wait, no.

MR. GUMS: It's under the "site" bullet point.

You'll see the "roofing, N equals 149K," and then

there is a --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On page 95?

MR. GUMS: Of the report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so building one -- no, site. Okay. Electrical roofing, N equals 149K.

Who is Aluminum Solutions Group? And who are they concurring with?

It says: Manufacturer, Aluminum Solutions

Group, concurs that existing aluminum canopies are in good condition and should remain and require only cleaning and unclogging of column drainage from organic debris.

Who are they concurring with? Architect scope validation report or -- is there anyone that can answer that question?

Shelley?

MS. MELONI: We'd have to go back and look to see if it was something that was identified in the scope validation report, but Aluminum Solutions Group appears to be the manufacturer of the covered walkways.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Are there wooden walkways at Stranahan?

MS. FERTIG: If you look -- if you look -- I'll send you some pictures.

If you look underneath, like that's what's on top, and then you see the wood, which --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The wood is underneath.

Because every time I look up, I see wood.

MS. FERTIG: So I can send you so you can see the "excellent condition." That was a joke.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've seen. That's why I'm asking.

So they say aluminum walkways; is it aluminum on top and wood underneath?

- MS. FERTIG: Well, you have got a picture. I know it's not in color.
- MS. MELONI: I think it would be aluminum throughout and not a composite of aluminum and wood.
- MS. FERTIG: Well -- okay. I -- the pictures are clear in what the PowerPoint of what was taken, and I will be glad to provide those to everybody else.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, because then it begs the question -- so no -- any walkways that Stranahan replaced as part of the SMART?
- MS. MELONI: Not as part of the SMART, but part of the cafeteria, there is a section that we're replacing that --
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is that the one that abuts up to cafeteria that --
 - MS. MELONI: That is the one that --
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- Mr. Bay (phonetic) said was fine?
- MS. MELONI: -- abuts up.
- We are replacing it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is Aluminum Solutions Group

1 still in business?

MS. MELONI: I don't know the current status, but certainly they opined here that we cited the name.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, but is there a letter that they wrote or --

MS. MELONI: We'll have to get that from the project team.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Because, I mean, I could say, you know, Phyllis Shaw told me and --

MS. FERTIG: I'm glad we've had this conversation, because -- I know you know this, Shelley, but in the community meetings that I've sat in, you know, just what we hear is that it became too expensive to do and so it wasn't done. Because the cabling, as you can see from the photos, is on top of --

MS. MELONI: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: -- the walkways. And what you also -- looks like tar on top of there.

But, I mean, I'm not a roofing expert, so I don't pretend to know what should have been done. I only know what we are promised in that project chart and I think both you and I were there.

MS. MELONI: We were there together, yes.

MS. FERTIG: Yes, and I have a presentation that was given. So clearly, this was part of what we were told was the scope.

MS. MELONI: Yes, I recall.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Do you have any others? Because to me, this serves as an excellent research piece for long-term planning as a reminder of what not to do again.

MS. FERTIG: I think this is excellent.

Again, I want to note the number of days that this project has gone on. This was supposed to be the priority project -- these three projects, the priority projects for the District. I know I've extensively, as many of you know, taken photographs during that period of time, before and after -- and I'm not going to address Northeast, because we have Lew and Phyllis here, but I -- I would tell you, the roof problems at Northeast stemmed from, you know, hurricanes in 2005, and were not definitely the first ones to be addressed, in spite of the promises.

And that's what you -- you know, the one thing you don't see in the days that went past is that those roofs were replaced long after other people's roofs were, and that's just -- that's just wrong,

and we got FEMA funds for it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Lew -- sorry, it's been a long week -- do you have any Northeast comments?

MR. NAYLOR: No, not really, not right now.

The one concern that my principal -- or the principal mentioned was -- is the redoing of the STEM labs and what actually comprises a STEM lab. They were -- they were expecting something besides just additional stuff hanging out of the ceiling.

I did speak with Guy Barmoha, who said that it's basically up to the schools to design it; but I don't know if that's an actual truth.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's what's been happening, yes.

Yeah, because there were no -- no -- there is educational specifications --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- for all -- everything --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- but nobody was adhering to those. And I -- I was told by a principal that for the culinary lab, they threw the culinary catalog at them and said, Pick what you want.

MR. NAYLOR: Right. So there was no guidance or anything.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Not in most cases, no.

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

So that was -- that was a concern from the principal. You know, obviously, the length of time that it took to do that was actually brought on partly by the community, because we actually asked for a change in scope and it took a significant amount of time to do that, so that was understandable.

To a certain extent, there were some concerns about, once the construction was done, how long it took to actually get into the building, which had to do something with a water supply issue. And I don't really know the full detail of that. There was conversations between the County and the City on who was providing water sources.

So I regret that I didn't really review this as much as I should have; I am basically, you know relaying what I heard from the principal and some of the people in the community, so . . .

MS. FERTIG: Can I do a followup on the STEM labs?

Did you all take a field trip of any these schools?

MR. GUMS: We did, yes, ma'am.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So you know what he is talking about, about the STEM labs? I was kind of shocked the first time I walked in and saw that that's -- that they had -- I mean, it is --

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah.

MS. FERTIG: So this -- one good thing about this -- one thing you could maybe add is some pictures, because they are worth a thousand words.

But, yeah, I want -- our question, when we saw that, was -- and the restrooms at Stranahan with tile from about five different renovations, was that -- which I think Mr. Dorsett took care of, again. But was that really what we were expecting when we -- and is that how other schools were handled? Did they replace the tile in the restrooms, or did they just go out and pick some new tiles and add a few new tiles into what had been there since 1950?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I have sort of some general comments and a couple specific before we transmit, if you guys are good.

The observations on page three, I concur on the Castaldi, noting that the Castaldi analyses were performed but not contemplated as part of the planning.

And I'm glad this is here, because the redefining -- there was just a workshop the other night, and there were -- I can't call them "plans"; I'm going to say "ideas," and one of the ideas was maybe renovating. And I want to say that was at Bennett, and Bennett has Castaldies on multiple buildings. So we were poised, left to their own devices, to potentially take all the same steps now that were taken in 2014, and so I'm glad that this is here in black and white.

Everybody knows that Castaldies exist, and there are cases where Castaldies don't exist, but should, because the buildings are old enough that they should have one.

But the whole point of a Castaldi is when the state agrees that it is more economical to replace than to renovate, and yet we still renovated tons of things -- Stranahan being a major one -- instead of replacing. So I was glad to see that.

The 2014 needs assessment, and some of these sentences, I think, you know, seem subtle; but basically, you guys confirmed that a comprehensive final report was not provided at the end of the 2014 facility needs assessment.

That's a basic just summary at the end of the

needs assessment. That never happened, much less a master plan; that didn't happen, either, which you guys first noticed in the roofing -- the original roofing review that you guys did that we are going to see an update on next month, I believe.

MR. GUMS: June 20th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: June 20th.

Okay. Unaddressed sufficiencies, S/M/WBE, construction schedules, so those are some general things. I think this is a great document and timely to have as the -- before the District starts trying to redefine anything to kind of not repeat past mistakes.

Getting back to Stranahan and the STEM lab -- and I pulled up page nine -- when they looked at the deficiencies, I have yet to understand, since Stranahan -- these are the big three: Stranahan High School, STEM lab improvements, 1 million 238; Blanche Ely, 1 million 140; Northeast, 2 million 727.

I'm not begrudging Northeast the need of funds for a STEM lab, in the least; I just don't understand how Stranahan, which has a culinary program, they are still struggling. In fact, the chef just quit, because their -- the electrical in

that culinary lab is not up to code. Nothing is up to code, and it's not up to ed specs. So they did not fund the culinary lab at Stranahan according to ed specs, and they don't even have a culinary lab. Meanwhile, Nova High School, which is near McFatter, has a culinary lab. Western didn't even have a program and wound up with a culinary lab; now they have a program, but I believe the lab happened first.

So there are things happening as far as labs.

I'm not sure if you guys gained any understanding as to where the STEM lab money went at Stranahan?

Were you --

MR. GUMS: My understanding is that there was STEM lab improvements. I have to go back and look at which buildings and room numbers.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And how that got determined?

Because I would think you would start with what you already have, instead of putting in something new because --

But this speaks to a global programming problem, where principals, in addition to not having any guidance, could decide that they arbitrarily want a lab of some sort in their school and then, poof, that's what they got. And then the

thing that is a program, that you have kids in, doesn't get funded. So we've been tracking the culinary labs and are awaiting a response. I'm going to have to follow up with that.

But that -- if nobody has anything else, we need a motion.

MR. NAYLOR: Just one.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you've got a question. Yes?

MR. NAYLOR: Just one.

Northeast had several buildings that were part of Castaldi. You mentioned here that you went out and you conducted interviews with the stakeholders; did that include the administrators onsite at the facilities?

MR. GUMS: Yes, sir, that is correct.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay. I know once the state

Castaldies a building -- or I understand that once
the State Castaldies a building, there can be no
more funds used from the state to renovate that.

Northeast has identified two buildings that were Castaldied that they actually need for an operational need right now. And I was -- been talking with the school board member on how to try to go forward with that, and we were speaking with

of a facilities person who just left.

So I don't know if there is any -- and I don't know if you can answer this or if Shelley can answer this or AECOM: Is there any opportunity to take a Castaldied building and repurpose it and put it back online for school use?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which buildings are those?

MR. NAYLOR: Nine and ten, I think.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Nine and ten?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah.

See, when the new classrooms were built, their capacity is based on, you know, class size amendment size, usually, right? So when you have classes, like they offer Cambridge, they offer a lot of AP classes, those classes can't be offered in those new buildings, because if you have 40 -- 40 students in a class, they can't sit there.

So that was one of the observations that Principal Valachovic brought up to me, and I went to Ms. Leonardi to try to determine if we could --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But hold on. Why can't they fit in the new classrooms?

MR. NAYLOR: I don't think the classrooms -Mr. Valachovic said the classrooms aren't large
enough to have the classes that he needs, because

the push -- you know, obviously the push is to have more Cambridge, more AP, and since they are not controlled.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So there is two problems -there is multiple problems here, because if the
building has been being Castaldied and you start
improving it, then you can't tear it down. That's
one problem.

But you just hit upon another problem, which the Board never approved making classrooms smaller, and that's another long-term planning decision that needs to be made. Because they made classrooms smaller at Cypress Bay, Falcon Cove, but they got all the bells and whistles there.

So I was unaware that they were making class -- they were making fewer classrooms at Northeast to reduce the permanent capacity at Northeast, but it sounds like at Northeast, they also made classrooms smaller.

They are attempting to do that at Markham

Elementary, to the point where they can't put

Head Start classes in the new building. So

everybody is now trying to create work-arounds,

when the real issue is stop making things so small.

MR. NAYLOR: And I -- I have not actually

visited the classrooms. I am basing this on my conversations with the principal and what he says he needs assistance with from the community. So I've reached out.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So first we need to determine whether they can or can't put the kids into those new classrooms.

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So
I'll --

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie, I'm happy to make a motion to --

MR. TURSO: Wait. I had one more for you, Madam Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then we've got to --

MR. TURSO: For RSM, on page three, going back to the Castaldi analysis, can you perhaps opine on if -- well, let me preface it by, obviously, BCPS has a habit of, if FLDOE says white, it's black, and vice versa. We don't tend to like to do what -- what our DOE tells us or advises us.

So my question for you is this, on number two -- and it's pretty easy -- is: If -- if third party and FLDOE told us it's not a good idea, why

did we do it? Why do you feel we did it?

MR. GUMS: So I would not be able to opine on that. Unfortunately --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I can tell you.

MR. TURSO: Let him finish.

MR. GUMS: These were conducted between 2005 and 2009. A lot of the stakeholders who were here making those decisions are no longer here.

So as our observation states, you know, we -you know, our position is that, based on our
interviews and the documentation that we reviewed,
there was no reason or explanation as to why the
Castaldies were not considered in future
programming.

MR. TURSO: Okay. And I can appreciate the "Hey, they are not here anymore," but if we don't learn from this, how do we prevent it from happening over and over again? That's not a question, more a comment.

But Madam Chair said she knows why, so I would love to hear it so that we can --

MS. FERTIG: And then I'll be glad to add to it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But, yeah, so Derek Messier, who was the facilities chief when this was all --

when the SMART bond was an infant, was proclaiming that they were going to renovate, not replace.

MR. TURSO: Against the advice of third party and FLDOE.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, no, listen. I never heard the word "Castaldi" said out loud -- I think staff was verboten from saying the word "Castaldi" in 2014.

I've known Shelley from 2012, and I don't think she said the word "Castaldi" for probably the first several years I knew her.

MS. FERTIG: And I will tell you --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think they were allowed to discuss Castaldies.

MS. FERTIG: I will tell you that we did say it in board meetings and were told that they had gone to the decision to replace.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Renovate.

MS. FERTIG: I mean renovate, thank you, Nathalie.

And that the reason they -- all of these schools were slated to be rebuilt, but it was taken off when the fund -- when the funding dried up in 2008. It was taken off in 2010.

And then, when they made this decision, they

made a conscious decision. They had the decision -- and I know Shelley couldn't say anything at the time, so I'm not -- she wasn't the boss; somebody else was, and they made a conscious decision that they were not going to replace the schools, Northeast and Stranahan, that had been -- and I think Hollywood Hills, that had been slated for replacement, but instead they were going to renovate them. And you know, once you renovate, you can't go back and get this again, so . . .

MR. TURSO: I understand.

Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And, Lew, for followup purposes, I see that they have supposedly Castaldies on buildings eight and ten. They are not mentioning nine here, but that might have changed.

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, no, I saw that. I saw that. I'm going to get back with him.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On the numbers?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, and figure that out.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thanks.

MR. NAYLOR: I have to leave by one so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I think we are trying to transmit before the staff dies of old age.

MS. FERTIG: Can I move to transmit, but can I ask that the recommendations, as well as the observations, be culled out totally separately on a page at the front? Because I think those recommendations, you've got some good recommendations in here, and they need to be really easy for people to see, so they can --

So then my recommendation is to transmit with -- my motion is to transmit this with all of the recommendations on a single page.

- MR. MAYERSOHN: On a single page?
- MS. FERTIG: Well, my -- you know what I'm saying --
 - DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The observations are upfront but the recommendations are not.
 - MS. FERTIG: Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So move the recommendations to the observations.
- MS. FERTIG: Yeah, so they are all in one place.
 - DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So they can see them easily.
 - All right. So moved by Mary.
- MR. MAYERSOHN: Seconded.
- 24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Seconded by Mayersohn.
- 25 Any further discussion?

	Page 157
1	Hearing none, all in favor of transmitting RSM
2	Big Three Report, say aye.
3	ALL PRESENT: Aye.
4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?
5	All right. Motion carries unanimously.
6	Thank you very much, RSM. See you guys next
7	month.
8	All right. I know we have
9	MR. MAYERSOHN: Are we going to go back to
10	the
11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To the fund, to general
12	fund?
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.
14	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Before getting into
15	the Carr Riggs.
16	Okay. The only problem we might have is being
17	able to transmit, or else we are going to have
18	to
19	MR. NAYLOR: Right. I need to leave by 1.
20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We are going to
21	endeavor to get this done. I know we have a lot of
22	stuff to try and cover all the time.
23	All right. Ms. Motiwala, let me pull up
24	MS. SHAW: Madam Chair, I have a question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, ma'am.

25

MS. SHAW: What is the timeline on the fund balance, because I have --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You want to table?

MS. SHAW: I think we should table this one.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Is there any -- when are you planning to take the fund back? You said June, right?

MS. MOTIWALA: Yes. That would be before the next audit committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

Is -- do you have five percent, like are we already out of compliance with what's being proposed?

MS. MOTIWALA: Well, the Board just, I guess, reached a consensus, and we were asked to work towards that. The year is still not closed, so we are going through the financials and everything.

And once the Board approves a policy, then, obviously, we have to meet the policy requirements.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But is there any reason it can't go to the Board in July so that we can discuss it in June?

MS. MOTIWALA: My understanding is that
Board's expectation was that it would come in June
before that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The Board was expecting five schools to be re-purposed on Tuesday, and now it's eight.

So what I'm saying, is there -- is there any reason -- because -- because everybody was looking at a different --

And you're having -- you have questions, and I want to review further.

MS. SHAW: I -- if I was in her shoes, I would not take this to the Board. Because I would take off from work to go over and show up and speak against this. I really would not recommend taking this to the Board.

First of all, we -- I mean, even in section B, we couldn't even take the time to even explain what are the five -- five item classifications, define them. So I -- I really --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You want definitions in the policies? Yeah, so I --

MS. MOTIWALA: If that's the recommendation of the committee, we can go back and work with legal and modify that language and put in the definition.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But what she is saying is, she has got other observations, and I have not reviewed this version; I reviewed the

earlier version. And I, myself, need to make sure that every concern I had is addressed.

But, yeah, definitions --

And my concern is that there was a Board member that wanted to use the money that was set aside for workers' comp by the removed board -- I think it's around 50 million, wanted to pull that out so it could be used more easily. And at that point, that's the last of the rainy day funds, and I'm not sure, from looking at this, if this gets us -- makes it easier to do that.

MS. SHAW: It does, and I think that's why we need to really tighten up the fund balance.

If we're -- and I'm sorry to speak out of turn, Madam Chair.

So if we are looking at putting together a fund balance, now we need to look at a number of items. What's the purpose of having a fund balance? We shouldn't make it so easy -- whether it's unemployment fund, or any kind of fund -- for us to quickly move the money.

Not only that, we've got to secure ourself where we are able to look at our credit rating, our bond rating. That's not even addressed in here.

Number three, what are some of the urgencies

that may come down? That's not even addressed -that's not even addressed in here.

So I have a host of -- of things that I think we really need to take a look at.

And number four, it shouldn't be an ending fund balance. Your fund balance should be funded during your budget, because this way you can set aside that money during the budget period. You don't wait until the end of your annual financial report now to fund your balance.

This is something that's critical, and if COVID taught us anything, is that when those emergencies happen, we were not able to quickly go through COVID without having to figure out where the money is coming from. That is not even addressed in here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I'm fine with tabling it.

Mr. Rhodes, can you get with the board chair about this potentially coming in July? Because I can't imagine what the emergency is.

I mean, I know that we've been borrowing from ARP for -- you know, using nonrecurring funding for raises, and maybe that's part of the issue, but it shouldn't be.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

again, we are tabling this, and we are asking the

25

1 Board to push back this policy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. MAYERSOHN: The Board -- if the Board doesn't push back the policy, we are not going to have a bite at the apple.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we could have a special meeting, which we probably need to have anyway.

MR. MAYERSOHN: But we -- but, again, we don't have a quorum. A special meeting, if we are doing it virtually --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We can come to consensus.

MR. MAYERSOHN: We still can't vote on anything.

I would rather make a -- you know, if Lew has to leave at 1 o'clock, so at 12:55.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I don't think -- I'm not ready to vote on recommendations to this, either, and we have three Carr Riggs Ingram items to get through.

MS. SHAW: And I think it's -- it's really -- and I think I saw something Ms. Marte is leaving?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, retiring.

MS. SHAW: So honestly, if I was in your shoes, I would put out something that it -- has

meat on it, because it's -- at the end of the day, it's going to fall back on you, number one.

Number two, it's -- it's so bare. We are the second -- we are number two of the top six largest school districts in Florida, right; Miami-Dade is number one. So why is it that we are putting out something that just seems as if somebody got up last night and just threw it together? It wasn't a lot of thought that seemed to have gone -- gone into it.

We can -- I mean, we -- I know we are horrible at picking at everyone in this room and beyond; but this is -- I'm saying this, because I'm not picking on it. I'm just saying, if we are going to put together a fund balance policy, where we are updating our fund balance policy, let's update it correctly.

MS. MARTE: So -- Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. MARTE: Thank you.

Some of your concerns, Ms. Shaw, about credit rating-type items are in our debt policy. So we have got a whole group of policies around finance.

Ms. Motiwala, who I know you know is a CPA, worked with other school districts across the

state, pulled all their fund balance policies; and we spent a great deal of time looking at what these are. They have been reviewed by Coward & Coward. They have been reviewed by our board attorneys.

We definitely want to hear the input of the audit committee, but I don't want to leave this room with anyone thinking that Erum threw this together without deep thought and planning on her part. She spent a great deal of time working on this, and if you all want to see some of her work as it relates to other peoples -- other CFOs she spoke to, other district policies -- I know Miami-Dade's is a lot shorter than that.

So I just want to, you know, be very, very clear that Ms. Motiwala did a great deal of work with this. It was well thought out. Her and I met at least three times on it.

And, obviously, again, I value your opinion, Ms. Shaw, as a fellow professional, and I want to hear your input. But I don't want the record to show, without me weighing in, it was not put together willy-nilly and there was a great deal of work.

And after hearing your feedback, I'm sure

Ms. Motiwala will consider that, but also tell you

why her and Mr. Gorokhovsky, who is also a CPA who worked on this, came to the conclusion that they did.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TURSO: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mr. Turso. Then Ms. Fertig.

MR. TURSO: I have a question for Ms. Marte.

You are saying that what we are looking at here with this fund balance sheet is what you would consider to be in line with -- let's use

Miami-Dade, since they are bigger than we are.

Would you say this is in line with Miami-Dade?

MS. MARTE: Yes, and just --

MR. TURSO: And what's -- is there a way to know approximately -- I think there was a chart we had up a few minutes ago.

What is Miami-Dade's current fund balance; do we know?

MR. MAYERSOHN: 5.6.

MR. TURSO: What is that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Five point something.

MR. MAYERSOHN: 5.6.

MR. TURSO: 5.6, and they have the same document or a similar document.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 MS. MARTE: Similar, similar, it's not the 2 same.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: They have a three-page document.

MR. TURSO: Three-page document, similar document, and yet they are at 5.6 and we are at 3.7.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, followup question, what is their specified --

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm sorry, 5.5 -- 5.5; it's not 5.6.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 5.5?

MR. MAYERSOHN: 5.5.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So do they have a policy that says they shall not fall below five percent? What does their policy say?

MR. MAYERSOHN: The targeted 5.5 fund reserve general fund balance including designated for re-budgets obligations and --

It doesn't say anything about falling below.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because this literally says, shall not fall below five percent at a time when we are at 3.8, so how are we ever going to get there, much less fall below?

MR. TURSO: We are going to become fiscally

1 prudent.

MS. FERTIG: Right, but as a followup, I -after your conversation last month, Ms. Marte -thank you for keeping us aware of this -- I pulled,
like, some historical fund balance documents. What
I -- what would be interesting to know is month -if we saw a chart month to month of what the fund
balance has been for a while, because when was the
last time the fund balance was at five percent?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Years.

What? When?

MS. SHAW: No, I don't think so. It was a few years ago.

MS. MARTE: No, no, it wasn't years.

MS. FERTIG: When was it?

MS. MARTE: We would have to look it up, but it wasn't years.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And did it include the referendum dollars?

MS. MARTE: Well, it would, because that's how you calculate balances.

MR. TURSO: I think what you are alluding to is: Was there a spike that brought us to the five in more recent years because of the referendum dollars, Madam Chair?

MS. MARTE: Yes. Yeah, and that's not the case.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But we are certainly not there now; we are at 3.8.

So why would we put out a policy -- and I say "we" liberally -- that says 5 percent, if we are at 3.8 and then be in -- we would be out of compliance the second the Board passed the policy.

Why would -- why would that -- how would you get into compliance? What would you be able to move July 1 that would get you in compliance or -- because otherwise, I'm not understanding why you would specify 5 percent.

MS. FERTIG: Well, they represented four percent --

MS. MARTE: Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: -- just to be clear --

MS. MARTE: Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: -- and the Board asked for five percent, so I don't know that they are the ones we should ask.

MS. MARTE: Thank you.

MR. TURSO: And I don't think it's a bad thing, necessarily, to set it at 5 percent.

Because if you are out of compliance, you need to

get in compliance; and getting in compliance at 5 percent is a good idea.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you remember me mentioning the rainy day fund of 50 million plus?

MR. TURSO: I do; but this holds them accountable, where now they are out of compliance, they have to start acting in a way to bring themselves into compliance. I actually applaud the fact that it's five, instead of four.

MS. SHAW: Absolutely.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, they are going to have to start printing money.

MR. TURSO: What's that?

MS. SHAW: I agree. I applaud the fact that it's five percent; but that's the reason I would rather see the change made at the budget, versus at the end of the fiscal year.

Because going -- I mean, they're just, what, getting ready to go into audit, right, or in the middle of audit. There is no guarantee that they are going to make it. So now they are in year one of not making -- making their five percent. I don't -- that's not in here; it's three percent.

But still, I would rather it be at the budget, so now you are making your budget and you are

adding that as part of your budget. So they have to vote on that as part of their -- as part of the budget.

MR. TURSO: Okay. So -- but wouldn't that then behoove us to want to see this occur sooner, so that they have to comply with it?

MS. FERTIG: I think the concern is that we just kind of got this, and they are asking us for an opinion, and there may be other things, besides the five percent, that we are concerned about in there.

MR. TURSO: Definitions, I saw that.

MS. FERTIG: But we had talked about having a meeting the beginning of June, I think -- I think we all circulated --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, June -- June 6th or June 13th.

MR. RHODES: Right now it's five votes for June 6th and four for June 13th.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So we could -- would we be able to discuss this, or that's not good for Ms. Marte?

MS. MARTE: It's not Ms. Marte, but that's the week of graduation, and we have -- Erum has graduation she has to attend. I don't know what

1 her schedule is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What? Why?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Graduations.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Graduations, no, we know graduations --

MS. MARTE: Senior leadership attends graduations.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. SHAW: Both June 6th and June 13th?

MS. FERTIG: Not the 13th, there is no graduations.

MR. TURSO: We are all volunteers here that set aside time that we could be spending with our children, and we are not. So I have to say, graduations is -- needs to go on record as not cool.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And not for nothing,

June 6th is my birthday, but I invariably end up at

an FTF meeting, and apparently this one is

possible, too --

MS. SHAW: All right. So --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- but do we want to do --

MS. SHAW: -- can I send my comments to

24 Mr. Dave?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To Mr. Rhodes? Yeah or,

1 | well --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: You can call me "Mr. Dave."

MS. SHAW: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we still need a meeting, though. Can you guys -- so you guys could do June 6th on Teams?

MS. SHAW: I can't do June 6th. June 13th?

MR. MAYERSOHN: 13th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think you need to poll the June 6th people. I said I can do either.

And it's on Teams, right? Unless we want to do in person, because then we could vote.

MS. SHAW: I'd rather us do in person so we could provide her better feedback.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. June 13th in person?

MS. FERTIG: No, I mean, that's like right after school is out.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I am with you. I have two kids.

MR. TURSO: I'm not available, not in person.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Okay. So we would have to do it on Teams.

MS. SHAW: All right. So if Robert is the only one that is not available on the 6th, he get

on Teams.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: But I'm in person on the 6th.

How many are available on the 6th in person?

Do we have a quorum?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 6th, in person?

Turso?

MR. TURSO: No, I'm not.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

One, two, three, four, five --

MR. MAYERSOHN: What was it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We need two more. Wait,

12 you're --

MR. MAYERSOHN: I can do the 13th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Who are we missing here?

MS. FERTIG: Ruth.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Who on your "six" list is not here? Strauss might be -- no, her kids are still in school.

We have five here now that can do June 6th in person.

MR. RHODES: So we have Ruth Carter-Lynch is available on the 6th. Anthony De Meo is available on the 6th, and then the hands that went up here right now are here on the 6th, so it would look like we would able to have that quorum for a Teams

1 meeting on the 6th.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand the --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No. Meaning we could have quorum in person with those of us, because we can't vote on Teams. So we were trying to vote.

And then the -- once you have the bodies in the room for quorum --

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- you can have extras on Teams.

MR. RHODES: Okay. But either way, I just wanted to remind you that we are up against the clock with regard to advertising. So we have to figure that out and get that out quickly.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So let's -- it looks like June 6th.

MS. FERTIG: You are tabling it until June 6th, Phyllis?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon? Yeah, in person, so we can do it here in person.

MS. FERTIG: No, I just was adding the date to her motion, yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So can I just ask an administrative question?

So the school district now has advertising on

the county website, the Broward County website. Is there still a timeframe to advertise a meeting on that site?

MR. RHODES: Yes, which is how -- and, well, there is a process. I couldn't --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, there is -- there is two processes. There is a process to advertise in a newspaper, and there is a process to advertise on the website.

MR. RHODES: I'm aware of that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So if -- so if the notification is 48 hours or 72 hours, or whatever that timeframe is, if we could get a -- an opinion on whether or not these meetings can -- if, again, it's an emergency meeting or whatever -- be advertised on that website as public notice.

MR. RHODES: And I will check that out with John Sullivan. He is the one who gave me the information that the 6th and the 13th were still available, but I didn't specifically ask him which medium we would be using.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, because, again, if the notification is 48 hours that we have to provide notice, it's -- I mean, it's real time; all you've got to do is press the button, you are there. You

don't have to go through the Sun Sentinel to have another, you know, 36 hours in advance.

MR. RHODES: And just one clarification, Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: Get with the board chair to see if the policy can be moved to the July meeting, there was a couple of other thoughts going on at that moment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we are trying to solve that problem by having a June 6th meeting --

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- and then they would take it to the Board onto 18th.

So in person --

Phyllis, did have you a question and comment?

MS. SHAW: I do.

I do owe Ms. Motiwala an apology. I would never assume that your hard work is -- I'm just saying, this -- this, the way it is, coming from -- we shouldn't -- you shouldn't put it out looking like this. That's all I am saying. So my apologies, and Ms. Marte is correct: We should never assume that the amount of work that you put in or that you didn't put enough work into this.

1 My apologies.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MOTIWALA: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So you will look into that and confirm, but as of right now, we are planning for a June 6th meeting in person in here, unless there is some other reason? That's a Thursday.

MR. RHODES: Laura can start to work on that and find out what the possibilities are of that, as well, physically.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because then we -- all right.

MS. FERTIG: Are we voting on this?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

And then we have a couple -- so then we would tackle this policy again, and then we had a couple of stray items in our agenda planning. I want to say the peer review and the next quarterly BTA, but -- this and the other things.

Okay. So that's been tabled until the 6th, and then we move on to Carr Riggs Ingram.

Lew has to leave in 20 minutes. Then we should be done, basically, because I'll be done.

MS. SHAW: Her hand is over here, Mary.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mary?

1 MS. FERTIG: Are we starting this?
2 Are they -- do they want to say something

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first, or should we start with the questions?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, sorry, I didn't see you guys. I hadn't looked up yet.

Yes, no, absolutely, they should introduce -We need your names for the stand-in court
reporter, and then, if you guys want to do a
synopsis and your observations and I guess we'll do
this one at a time.

All right. Take it away.

MR. BROLINE: Good afternoon. My name is Rob Broline. I am a partner with Carr, Riggs & Ingram.

MR. KINKAID: Ben Kinkaid, partner with Carr, Riggs & Ingram.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

All right. I've got to grab my reports.

This is items 10 and 11. This is the PCG followup from last year.

MS. FERTIG: I have some questions, if you want to start.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. Mary, if you would.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I -- I guess, same thing we were asking -- I know you weren't in the room, but you probably had to listen to us out there --

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 on AECOM --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Microphone?

MS. SHAW: Microphone?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, it's on, believe it or not.

On the AECOM audit, you know, throughout here, there appear to be amounts that are overbillings, and I don't know that you know the answer to this; but has the District recovered or attempted to recover those monies?

MR. BROLINE: Madam Chair, did you want us to present first?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, sorry. I --

MR. BROLINE: I appreciate your question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I get lightheaded if I don't eat.

So, yes, I did say please give us a synopsis --

MR. BROLINE: Okay.

said -- and I said fine.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- and then Mary dis --

MR. BROLINE: Okay. Thank you.

So we are the starting with the first item there, the PCG fol- -- FY 22-001 followup.

So, again, I know some are new, but just to -- it's gone back -- it goes back to 2022. So this

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

particular report was a followup regarding a previous 13 findings that were identified in our forensic examination report on this -- the PCG contract referred to as FY 22-001 education case management software. So the purpose of this was to look at the 13 original findings and determine whether or not they had been appropriately addressed and could be closed.

Just by way of context, on page -- page five, we have a timeline at the bottom of page five. It might be helpful to take a look at that. We were engaged back in July 12th, 2022. That was specifically related to an inquiry regarding procurement itself. We then issued that report on November 3rd, 2022.

In the meantime -- this becomes important for context -- that agreement was terminated by the School Board on December 13th, 2022; and a new agreement, which is part of the scope of this project, new agreement with PCG was executed, which became effective 1/14/23.

And that brings us to where we are now. We were engaged actually to concurrently -- more or less, to do this followup analysis, which is this report of those 13 findings that came out of that

forensic examination, as well -- and since the resolution of those many of our recommendations and findings related predominantly with the establishment of this new agreement, we also looked at the new agreement, as requested.

And then -- and then second thing that was mentioned here, we -- this is the next item, we'll go back and we'll look at the PCG contract 58-132E.

So one other thing to point out -- I think it's good for context -- on page four -- and then we'll move through the executive summary quickly.

On page four, under "background," in a second paragraph, it's important to note that the relationship with the public consulting group, PCG, with the -- with the School District was originally established through a competitive process when they first entered into agreement back in 2002.

Okay. So there was originally a competitive solicitation process whereby PCG was selected.

But since then, all subsequent agreements with PCG were procured via direct negotiation and contracted without competitive solicitation, claiming a software exemption under the Florida procurement standards listed there for you.

Okay. So that's -- that probably helps

provide some context and background.

We then go back to page one. Here is where we have the status of those items. So here are the original 13. You have 1-A and 1-B, so that's why it adds to up 13.

The first item dealt with the lack of compliance with procurement rules and standards. This one is shown as not being resolved, and the reason for that is there were -- even -- so you're looking at the new contract now, because management developed a new contract with PCG to address these items that we identified in that forensic report; however, in this case, they cleaned up 90 percent of it.

But there did remain, in those three modules in there, related to SEPA, three modules in there that were actually -- they were actually still in development. The -- in the development stage, they don't class- -- they do not qualify as copyrighted software, so that's the nature of that not-resolved finding.

In terms of 1-B, lack of policy for what constitutes educational services, for our purposes, there was -- the -- understand recently there is changes being made to the policy -- Board policy

that relates to this; however, for us, we're looking at whether or not educational services became a factor in the new contract.

In the previous contract, they had put in -there were a bunch of tutorial services in there,
other things in there that we said didn't qualify;
but in the new contract, 256, it is only software.
So there was no educational services, so from our
perspective, we were able to close that item.

Number two, which we'll get to -- this pertains to the question of duplicate cost, so that one is not resolved, and the reason for that is there is on -- the mediation management is -- you know, there is ongoing mediation to work through that to determine whether or not the cost we identified should be returned and as to how much. That mediation is still in process. That's why that's not resolved.

For number three, about clarity of contract terms; number four, again, related to the contract; and number six, all got resolved by the fact of the new contract. It just eliminated all those ambiguities and issues, and so the new contract took care of that. So those have all been resolved.

In terms of number five, certain invoices paid, we did extensive testing in that area. We tested all of the disbursements related to that -- to that new contract, and some that came from the previous contract, and we had no issues there, and that was resolved.

Same with potential front-load bill -front-loading of billings, you can think -- you
know, that we did -- the same testing covered that
finding. Again, no exceptions there. We were able
to resolve that one, also.

And then finally, before I turn over to my colleague to look at nine through twelve, lack of timely submission, again, the way we looked at that was, with that new contract, in testing that process, we found no issues there. That was timely submitted through the process by management and then eventually to the Board. There is no issues there, as well. That was also -- we were also able to close that one.

MR. KINKAID: So I'm just, for the sake of time, going to tackle nine and ten together, deletion of cellphone data and lack of retention of text messages and other items. Both of those have been resolved by the District implementing the

SMARSH system. We were able to test that and confirm that that data is now being retained.

Item eleven, establishing policy regarding the use of personal cellphones for District business, and that has not been resolved. A policy was not adopted. My understanding is that is in process of being prepared currently.

Item number twelve, noncompliance with travel reimbursement policy, again, similar to what Rob had indicated earlier, is we are looking at that from a concurrent perspective, that -- related to an employee who has and continues to be on administrative leave due to some medical issues. And so management has taken appropriate action, so we consider that issue to be resolved.

MR. BROLINE: So the next thing we would do is, on the next page, page two, leaves then what we did here is we brought over anything that was continued, so that wasn't yet able to close. And there are three findings that were not resolved -- and we just talked about those in the previous page, but we have them here -- and then three new observations.

So the three new observations came out of us doing this followup testing. We identified

1 three -- three new items for -- to be addressed.

First one, I've already said it; I won't repeat myself. That's where they left three modules in there that were still in the developmental stage, and, therefore, they wouldn't qualify as copyrighted software.

The second one is the potential duplicative cost, and those are -- like I said, those are currently in mediation. And Ben -- my colleague just spoke to the fact of the policy for personal cell use. That's being continued.

And then those are the three ones we just talked about. The reason they are there is because we want -- separately, we have to give detailed effect, recommendations, and management response, which comes later in the back of the report, so that's why they are here. Also, we wanted to risk rate those, give those -- in terms of priority, as far as the level of priority for those to be addressed by the District, and you can see those over there to the right.

And then we have the three new observations. So number four comes out of the fact of those same three SEPA applications that were determined to be incomplete, well, because of the change --

changeover in vendor, they are never going to be completed. They ceased to work on that. So there are costs associated with that, that were paid towards that incomplete software.

So the question is, going back and looking at it, are there any costs there that the District should look into possibly recovering back for costs paid into that. That's -- that's something we put in front of the management to address.

Number five on page three, there was — this is a situation where, because you had the new agreement coming into play, 256, and they had held back on paying some of the invoices under the old agreement until they worked things out with PCG, they then had more items, invoices, that had not been paid that appropriately needed to be paid; however, in this process, there was one particular invoice that got paid under the wrong purchase order. So it's not money to get back, but it was applied under the wrong purchase order in that regard.

And then, this is -- this is the new finding that -- well, you address that one.

MR. KINKAID: So the new finding relates to the cellphone agreements that was put into place by

the District as far as adopting a new policy. We sampled the compliance with that, as far as District employees executing and signing off that they were aware and were acknowledging that policy, and we found a rate of 80 percent of noncompliance within our sample, and that was primarily due to the District's then decentralized process for obtaining those and making sure that those agreements were executed.

MR. BROLINE: So at this point, in the interest of time, we'll stop there and take any questions.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary, back to you.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, thank you. That was very helpful.

And I just -- as I was listening to you, I was thinking about something that, like when people ask us the value of this department and what you do, I just -- and in our earlier conversation about recovering money, I think it's a good idea to keep track of just how much money has been recovered through these audits, because the District, that's -- in addition to changing business practices and other things, but I mean, one great thing I have even seen over the years is the audit

committee, by finding these things, is able to -should I say you all, recover -- recover monies
that are owed to us.

Thank you for explaining that, and I'm ready to vote to transmit when everybody else is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Does anyone else have any questions? I have a couple real quick.

So one, on the "no established policy regarding use of personal cellphones," I know you guys have a risk to the District of low, but there are people that absolutely use -- Board members and staff, that use their personal phone. They have district phones, but when you see phone records from the district phone, all you see is like marketing texts, and all the good stuff is sitting on their personal phone. And then, of course, the risk is, if they are involved in shenanigans and are asked for their phone records, they are not going to -- it's on them to turn it over.

And the way that got discovered with this is that a board member that was embroiled in some shenanigans lost her phone at the Tortuga music festival never to be seen again. So this presents problems, because it was her personal phone; and I'm concerned that there is -- the policy is

underway. I don't know about this policy review project; maybe it got prioritized to the last batch. It's a curious process whereby things that you didn't think were important are prioritized and things that should be important are not coming forward to the Board, but I guess we'll have to look into that, so that policy.

And then I believe there are functions of -that were under PCG, like EdPlan, where the
contract is up in June, and they are going to have
to probably renew, because focus doesn't do that?
No? No, they are not going to renew?

DR. PHILLIPS: No, we are not renewing any part of the PCG contract.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And so they didn't net what the District was owed for the SEPA stuff against the EdPlan for this year.

DR. PHILLIPS: So this was a very convoluted contract to try to get through. So what ended up happening is on the new contract, everything was descoped, except for software. The person who had the most knowledge in the district about which each of these softwares did for the district was out on medical and not in condition to answer the questions.

So the academic department gave us procurement and IT, the best that they could, about each of the different softwares in the contract. After the previous CAO, chief academic officer, left the district, the management of the contract moved to IT, under Dr. Smiley.

When I reviewed the contract and got in and actually started deep diving and working with PCG on it, three of these items were not actually fully developed software, and because of that, there could be no copyright. You can't copyright software that's not developed.

So we immediately stopped work on that development as of last June 30th, and so those pieces have not moved forward, those three SEPA pieces. No payment has been made moving forward, and we are working with legal and procurement about what to do on those three pieces from a previous part of the contract.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do we owe them anything on this year's contract?

DR. PHILLIPS: We have paid all outstanding invoices on this year's contract.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Call me harsh; I have withheld about 582,576.

DR. PHILLIPS: Well, that -- I understand where you are coming from on that.

We weren't in settlement, as of yet, under current contract. The settlement agreement, or conversations/mediation, was under that previous contract.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't know. I'm just coming -- I did accounts payable in one of my previous lives, and nothing motivates people like not getting paid. They all of a sudden are willing to deal.

So we lost our leverage, I would say, on that one. So we may or may not ever see the 382, is what you are saying?

DR. PHILLIPS: Potentially. And there is challenges there, because while it wasn't interpreted as being allowable under statute, it was work that the District user department did commission, that PCG did expend resources on. So we need to go through and actually see what that -- and that's a legal decision and with procurement, but it's a little more complicated than we just paid for something that never happened.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I get it.

All right. Lew, before you leave -- all

1 right. We need a motion to transmit.

MS. FERTIG: So moved.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Moved by Mary.

MR. NAYLOR: Seconded.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Seconded by Lew.

Any further discussion?

Hearing none, all in favor of transmitting Carr Riggs Ingram fiscal year 22-001 followup report, say aye.

ALL PRESENT: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

All right. We have one more.

Do we still have quorum if you leave, Lew? I don't think so.

Does anyone have any questions on the second report?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to transmit.

MS. SHAW: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Any further

20 discussion on PCG 58-123E?

21 | Hearing none -- I forget who moved. Phyllis?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I did. Phyllis seconded.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mayersohn and Phyllis.

24 Okay. All in favor?

ALL PRESENT: Aye.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 195 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Any opposed? 1 2 All right. Motion carries to transmit Carr, 3 Riggs & Ingram PCG 58-132E report. Thank you very much. 4 5 All right. Lew, we'll see you. 6 (Mr. Naylor exits the proceeding.) 7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Risk assessment, I don't 8 think we need to transmit anything. We are just 9 going to discuss and we'll do the audit plan in 10 June, so if we're voting, anything, that will all 11 come together there. 12 All right. 13 MS. SHAW: Madam Chair, I move to add the 14 audit plan to the special board meeting on 15 June 6th. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You mean this risk 16 17 assessment? 18 MS. SHAW: No. 19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, the audit plan? 20 Are you staying for this? 21 MS. SHAW: Yes, I'm staying for this. 22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Okay. 23 we are good on the risk assessment. 24 What?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

All right. So risk assessment, I'm going to

25

1 pull it up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: We are doing the risk assessment now or in June? We are doing it now because they are here?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, because they are here.

MS. FERTIG: But we are voting in June because we don't have a quorum right now?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Correct.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: If there are any motions on the audit point, we'll do it on June 6th.

Okay. Do you guys want to start with background?

Does -- do we need a break?

Okay. We need -- I just realized we've all been sitting here without moving for multiple hours. Does anyone need to get up, stretch, run to a restroom?

MS. SHAW: I've got to go.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What?

MS. SHAW: We need to go.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Now. That's why I was asking if you wanted --

MS. SHAW: Let's get this done. He is here.

MR. MAYERSOHN: If she is going, she is going.

And I'm just looking at this risk assessment; does it make sense to push this off when

Ms. Strauss is here, Mr. De Meo is here? I mean,
we are not --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Remember, we did the special meeting and went through it, but we didn't have Carr Riggs Ingram.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. That's what I said. It's --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So they are here.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, but we can't do anything, either. I mean, we can't make any --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But do we have any feedback for them now that they could incorporate?

Are you guys available on Teams for the 6th?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, because I'm concerned that we have members that aren't here --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, it's --

MS. FERTIG: -- that aren't going to hear the presentation, so how can they vote?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I get it, and I'm trying to think if they had any comments when we just looked at this initially.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I'm just -- I mean, this is a lot of information --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- and I just think --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think Mr. De Meo did have some feedback on how the information was presented.

I'm trying to keep track of my notes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm mean, that's why I'm saying. We don't have -- we don't have Ms. Strauss. We don't have --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, but I'm trying to get back to when we looked at this initially, so that we can tell them, for the next time we look at it.

I think what he was looking for was a summary, because we looked at this once on Teams.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: I also recall that one of his concerns was to identify -- his example is five internal controls that would be tested for in each of these various areas that were identified as areas of risk.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So one of the things -- because you guys went through here -- I'm trying to find individual -- because this is 90 pages.

It is starting to come back to me, is -- well, actually, are there other CRI people or just these?

MR. RHODES: For the audit risk assessment,
Mr. Broline is going to handle that. Mr. Kinkaid
was here for the other two reports. The other
person that he was going to have with him had a
conflict today, so he is here alone for this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Because one of the -- I think we were trying to tie back where the inherent risk for each of these areas, like what it was hitting, so just like you have this on each individual section, at the end, you have -- there was an exhibit, just a similar exhibit, so that at a glance, just like -- I know there was an exhibit somewhere; I think it was this one.

Just like you have the audit universe and risk ratings, which risk areas, if that were summarized. I know that was one of Mr. De Meo's concerns.

And then the five -- I remember the -- because this is all about internal controls.

But if you and -- was it Matt?

MR. BROLINE: So we had -- my team was myself,
Kayla Spellman and Mark Smith --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mark, sorry.

MR. BROLINE: -- predominantly worked on the

1 risk assessment, really the three of us.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Because if you guys are available on Teams on the June 6th, we could patch them in, like we did RSM that one time, and I think that would work, right?

Okay. Rather than try to have a conversation about this.

And then, if there were other -- I have to find my notes from that special -- our last special meeting and then transmit them so that they incorporate what the feedback was the first time for June 6th, so that we don't have to say it again. It wasn't anything major; it was just, I think, in how the information was presented and then also the five top internal controls.

MR. RHODES: So you mean you'll send those notes to me so that I can get those off to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I've got to go find those notes.

So then we'll put that off until the 6th, and that will be also the audit plan, because those two go together. The -- we should have clarity on the school accountability funds for that, as well.

The only other thing is agenda planning. You guys all saw what we have left for June 20th, so if

we move the proposed audit plan to June 6th, then when we come in on June 20th -- well, we may very well have voted on June 6th; but if we have anything left over, we can clean it up for June 20th.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: I think we may want to clarify with Mr. Broline if he is available to be here on the 6th for that discussion. We are talking about an in-person, correct?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I keep saying on Teams, because I don't -- as an alternative --

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- to avoid travel expenses and time and all that.

MR. RHODES: I just want to be clear. Would we still be meeting in person in here --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We are meeting in person --

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- correct? Everybody agreed to meet. There were people off-line that could come. We just need to clarify -- so that we can vote, is really the only reason we would be in person; but they don't need to be in person.

MR. RHODES: Understood. I just wanted to make sure that was clear.

3

1

2

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the room is available or some space, yeah, a closet.

5

6

7

4

MR. RHODES: I think we are working on that part right now, and I also have an email out to the chief of communication to make sure we can do this in that turnaround time.

8

9

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And think Mr. Broline is looking at a calendar.

10 11

MR. BROLINE: Right. So would it be -- what would be the time period you are talking? That would help me to --

14

12

13

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 9:30?

15

MR. BROLINE: 9:30 a.m., I assume?

16

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's when we normally have

17

meetings. What's good for you?

18 19 MR. BROLINE: That's fine.

Τ.

Okay. I was thinking . . .

20

MS. SHAW: It's going to probably be around 10 for you.

21

22

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, by the time we get moving.

2324

MR. BROLINE: Let me -- yeah, let just

25

double-check.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MR. MAYERSOHN: I guess, like, if we could give him a time certain, I mean, if he is trying to juggle.

So 10:30, does that work or --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Just tell us what works for you, and we'll give you a time certain. We'll work around you, because everything else is flexible.

MR. BROLINE: So actually, 9:30 a.m. works fine, 9:30 works, yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. And you see we are not always a hundred percent prompt so --

MR. BROLINE: Yeah, so I am looking -- I'm looking after that. So after that, right now, I do have flex- -- I have -- I have flexibility. We can be flexible after that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

MR. BROLINE: -- and my colleague, I messaged -- messaged them both, and at least one of them is also available.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Whatever -- right, and whatever you guys confirm that that's the time, we'll slot you; because this all started with the fund balance policy. So even if we had to do that --

MS. SHAW: Let's make a time certain.

	Page 204
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 10 a.m. time certain.
2	MR. BROLINE: Time certain would be very nice.
3	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Unless, for whatever
4	reason some
5	Okay. So we we just adjourn, because we
6	can't vote to adjourn.
7	MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I think that's what we do.
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So we are going
9	to adjourn at 1:09 p.m.
10	Thanks, everybody.
11	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 1:09
12	p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 205
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	THE STATE OF FLORIDA)
5	COUNTY OF BROWARD)
6	
7	I, EMILY SCOTT, certify that I was
8	authorized to and did stenographically report the
9	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a
10	true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
11	Dated this 2nd day of June, 2024.
12	
13	
14	Z. NOTCY . GE
15	
16	EMILY SCOTT, Shorthand Reporter and
17	Notary Public, State of Florida at Large Commission No.: HH 464418
18	My commission expires: December 14, 2027
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	acknowledging 189:4	186:13	agrees 146:16	111:9 112:19
a.m 1:11 202:15	act 12:16	administrators 48:18	ahead 13:14 82:23,25	113:18 114:5,7,10
203:8 204:1	acting 2:9 170:7	149:14	96:21 112:4	118:18,19 120:5,12
abide 15:14	action 7:11 77:21	admit 57:12	air 41:25	135:19 139:7 150:3
ability 74:9 75:10	186:14	adopted 186:6	aisle 66:15	150:4 180:7 191:24
able 4:16,18 9:3 10:4	actions 78:9	adopting 189:1	Alan 2:16	answered 17:24 18:3
10:5 11:25 12:16	active 113:9	advance 96:4 105:14	alarm 119:19	68:20 85:17
16:4 57:19 87:5	actual 10:14 33:16,19	177:2	Ali 2:8 68:5	answering 113:19
93:8 97:8 101:9	35:5,15 48:20 99:23	advertise 176:2,7,8	allegiance 3:7,8	Anthony 3:16 174:22
117:14 122:24	108:13 115:14,15	advertised 176:16	allocate 123:1	anybody 4:12 18:21
153:2 157:17	115:18,19 143:12	advertising 175:13	allocated 31:1	57:19 78:14 82:19
160:23 161:13	add 13:9 21:22,25	175:25	allow 72:16 117:5	133:9,25
169:10 171:21	111:6 124:19	advice 154:3	allowable 193:17	anymore 7:2 92:24
174:25 184:9	125:24 133:6	advise 21:3,14 22:10	allowed 10:22 23:2	153:16
185:10,19 186:1,19	135:16 145:7,17	65:15,15,15	71:3 154:14	anyway 163:8
190:1	153:22 195:13	advises 152:22	alluding 168:22	AP 150:15 151:2
absence 21:23	added 28:21 40:16	advisory 6:9 28:15	alternative 201:13	apologies 60:19,21
absolutely 14:22 24:6	114:2	AE 101:4	aluminum 132:8	177:23 178:1
90:11 170:10 179:6	adding 7:16 28:7	AECOM 2:24 82:9	135:13 138:23,25	apologize 19:1 57:10
190:11	34:18 91:14 171:1	84:10,13 85:20 86:4	139:1,11 140:1,1,5	apology 177:18
abuse 78:15	175:21	86:6,13 88:21 89:15	140:6,25	apparently 11:13
abuts 140:18,23	addition 23:5 46:13	91:17 94:1,13 95:16	ambiguities 184:23	60:19 172:19
academic 192:1,4	148:22 189:23	97:10,17 98:4	amendment 21:22	appear 129:24
accept 22:3	additional 8:3 30:19	101:11 106:19	22:4,21 97:2,4	131:14 137:15
Accepted 40:3	69:23 100:22	113:7,8 125:13	150:13	180:6
access 54:17	115:17 116:3 143:9	138:1 150:4 180:1,5	amount 29:13 50:20	appears 139:12
account 17:23 18:7	additionally 77:8	AECOMs 121:24	50:25 57:13 69:23	appendix 97:19 138:2
29:23 31:4 35:4	102:24	affirming 59:6,17	121:10 122:24	applaud 170:8,14
70:13,19,20,24 71:2	address 25:12 29:4	afternoon 179:12	124:2,11,11,12	apple 163:5
71:4,13,16 72:24	39:21 49:25 135:22	age 155:25	133:24 144:8	application 121:22
74:10	137:13 142:16	agency 62:22 105:12	177:24	121:23,25
accountability 6:8,11	183:11 188:9,23	agenda 4:20 5:3,14	amounts 50:10 180:6	applications 187:24
6:23 28:6,16 29:6	addressed 53:2	26:5,15 31:23 44:9	analyses 145:23	applied 123:10
29:16,23 30:17	129:15 136:18	47:9,10 48:2 88:2	analysis 97:1 102:8	188:20
31:13 33:11 35:16	142:20 160:2,24	95:15 100:21 105:9	128:6,7,8,25 129:14	apply 24:5,6 41:13
36:7 55:20 76:20	161:1,2,16 181:8	125:17 126:17,18	129:22 132:7,10	123:19
79:12,17,19 80:8	187:1,20	178:17 200:24	137:22 152:18	appreciate 12:11
200:23	adds 183:5	aggravating 108:19	181:24	53:5 153:15 180:13 approach 129:19
accountable 66:19,21	adequate 132:18 adhered 77:15	ago 7:18 28:14 87:25 109:9 111:11,17	analyst 102:11 analyzing 12:13	
74:7 106:10 122:8	adhering 143:20	166:17 168:13	Andreu 2:16 75:22	appropriate 186:14 appropriated 29:22
170:6	adjourn 204:5,6,9	agree 59:11,12 72:17	76:21,23 78:7	appropriated 29:22 appropriately 181:7
accountant 73:9	adjourn 204:5,6,9 adjourned 204:11	76:6 109:2 110:6	Andrew 2:4 3:24	188:16
accounting 2:18 40:2	adjust 99:23 124:20	170:14	Andrews 1:23	approval 4:17 121:21
40:4 42:1 66:1	adjustment 70:21	agreed 16:23,24	annual 29:12 77:13	approval 4.17 121.21 approve 4:20,23 5:9
73:13,13	adjustments 71:6	201:22	161:9	5:10,13 26:4,17,18
accounts 23:19 28:17	admin 48:21	agreement 181:17,19	answer 9:17,25 10:4	77:24,25 78:2 79:14
47:13,20 71:2,3	administration 1:15	181:20 182:4,5,17	10:5 12:22 18:9,18	approved 5:4 24:7
193:8	84:14	181.20 182.4,3,17	57:20 58:14 59:25	26:15,16 27:1 78:5
100 5	07.17	*		
accuracy 130:5	administrative 20.8	agreements 182-20	1 64·16 68·16 78·71	1 109.10 121.10
accuracy 130:5 accurate 129:3 accused 18:16	administrative 29:8 32:16 175:24	agreements 182:20 188:25 189:9	64:16 68:16 78:21 85:3,16 92:2 93:25	109:10 151:10 approves 158:18

		<u> </u>]	l
approving 77:24	at-risk 133:8	automatically 23:11	183:1 196:13	begs 140:12
approximately 57:23	athletics 23:18	availability 132:24	backlog 102:23 103:6	behalf 84:10
132:11 166:16	Atkins 88:23 89:15	available 9:9 10:18	103:12,15	behavioral 2:20 5:19
April 5:8 26:16	89:16 90:12,14	10:22 32:1 36:3	bad 169:23	behoove 171:5
arbitrarily 148:24	101:11 113:24	44:13,21 51:5 54:12	bal- 41:5	believe 5:21 7:25
Arcese 2:8 44:16,18	114:4,8,9 121:24	54:15 63:1 85:13	balance 33:1,2 35:24	13:21 26:3 27:4
44:24 45:2,8,18	atmosphere 20:20	99:20 128:21	36:14,17 39:3,24,25	28:8 32:25 36:8
48:4,9,13,24 49:2,7	attached 135:18	173:21,25 174:3,22	40:14,20,22,23 41:5	44:11 65:8 75:5,25
49:9,13,16,24 50:3	attempted 180:8	174:22 176:20	41:8,21,24 42:4,6	92:5 93:11 95:23
51:14 68:6 70:2	attempting 151:20	197:15 200:3 201:9	43:18 51:2 55:2	125:6 135:10 147:5
architect 136:17	attend 9:11 171:25	202:3 203:19	81:22 82:1,2 158:2	148:8 180:4 191:8
139:5	attends 172:6	Avenue 1:17,23	160:13,17,19 161:6	bells 151:14
area 12:23 91:11	attention 98:4	average 57:21	161:6,10 162:7	Ben 2:23 179:14
185:2	attesting 78:4	avoid 33:9 201:15	164:15,16 165:1	187:9
areas 198:20,21	attorney 111:2,13	awaiting 149:3	166:10,18 167:18	benefits 117:5
199:10,17	112:13	aware 9:12 102:20	168:5,8,9 203:23	Bennett 146:6,6
ARP 161:23	attorneys 111:22	168:4 176:10 189:4	balances 29:24 35:1	best 85:3 192:2
art 134:10	116:23 165:4	aye 4:25 25:22,23	41:16 90:1 168:21	better 66:12 86:2
articulated 133:17	attractive 59:9	26:12,13,24 27:15	band 17:7	173:14
aside 122:24 160:6	audit 1:7 2:1,8 3:4	28:2,4 81:12 127:1	bank 70:13	beyond 129:12
161:8 172:13	5:8,17,19 6:12 7:17	157:2,3 194:9,10,25	bare 164:3	164:12
asked 7:23 8:8,9	7:19 14:18 20:21	B	Barmoha 143:10 based 23:10 50:24	bidders 133:23
15:25 46:21 65:8	22:1,12,18 23:8,12	B 2:11 40:22 41:10		big 81:20 87:22 104:4
113:16 144:6 158:15 169:19	25:1,22 28:8,15,17 28:22 31:6 38:5	93:17 159:14	66:1 130:2 150:12 153:10	123:8,8 125:11
190:18		back 5:12,16 6:6,13	basic 73:13,17 124:21	127:5,5 128:6,10,17 129:25 131:10
asking 10:21 30:22	44:19 47:12,19 48:21 50:5 61:19	7:21 8:8 14:3 28:7	146:25	132:3 147:17 157:2
35:10 48:23 58:17	65:13 67:3 83:22	28:19 31:3 32:22	basically 6:14 35:4	big-picture 110:22
63:25 91:10 96:18	102:14 107:22	36:6,8 42:13 43:13	35:14 40:17 143:11	bigger 59:2 166:12
139:25 162:25	102:14 107:22	43:16 56:21 63:9	144:18 146:22	biggest 108:22
171:8 179:24	127:14 133:10,20	66:8,13,14 72:11	178:23	bill 185:7
196:23	158:9 165:6 170:19	74:2 81:23,25 82:5	basing 152:1	billings 185:8
aspect 23:21	170:20 180:5	89:21 91:25 102:25	basis 29:12 93:19	birthday 172:18
assessing 129:10	189:25 195:9,14,19	107:13 109:13,18	95:2 130:7	bit 127:19
assessment 2:20 5:19	196:11 199:3,16	111:1 131:25 135:4	Bass 1:22	bite 163:5
25:14 99:20 118:8	200:21 201:1	135:13 139:9	batch 191:3	black 28:20 146:10
128:9,15 129:2,5,24	audited 14:25 15:2	147:14 148:15	Bay 24:12,14 140:21	152:20
130:6 146:20,24	28:11 51:6,9 73:25	150:6 152:17	151:13	black-and-white
147:1 195:7,17,23	75:18	155:10,19 157:9	BCPS 57:17,22 116:9	137:23
195:25 196:2 197:1	auditing 80:13 91:2	158:6 159:21 163:1	117:21 118:15	Blanche 127:7 128:19
199:3 200:1	auditor 2:7,8,23,23	163:4 164:2 180:25	119:15,16 152:19	133:2 147:19
asset 92:9,13	2:24 12:21 91:12	180:25 181:12	Beach 99:3	Blondell 84:8,8
assigned 36:17 40:7	128:6	182:8,17 183:2	Beacon 70:8	Bluefin 92:24
assistance 11:18	auditor's 67:9	187:16 188:5,7,13	beat 42:1 61:18 69:10	board 1:6,16 14:24
152:3	auditors 102:1	188:19 189:13	BECON 2:22	15:16 21:3,16 22:10
associate 2:14 36:13	audits 2:9 62:5,6,10	198:10 199:1,9	before-and-after-c	22:17 24:3,8 25:21
associated 188:3	75:1 78:22 80:24	back-and-forth 70:23	70:20	36:15,17 37:4 39:15
Asst 2:9	189:22	71:6	begging 33:6	40:2 43:3 62:21
assume 177:19,24	August 58:21,25	back-data 86:12	beginning 29:7,17	64:22,22 81:4 88:3
202:15	authority 16:8	background 128:4	83:18 171:14	88:6 92:25 95:18
assuming 19:2 64:3	authorized 205:8	129:19 182:12	begrudging 147:21	100:21 101:23
			_	

			l	<u> </u>
105:8,13 106:20	bring 59:1 62:1 79:13	bulletin 64:11	179:13,14 194:8	144:10 185:1 203:2
108:21 123:17	112:24 133:5 170:7	bulletins 53:16 54:13	195:2 197:7	203:6,25 204:1,2
128:5 133:11,19,21	brings 181:22	54:15,23 56:20 63:4	carried 29:10 33:24	certainly 33:7 141:3
149:24 151:10	Broadcast 2:22	64:7 67:16	carries 157:5 195:2	169:3
154:16 158:14,18	broader 60:9 118:11	bullets 81:17	carryover 29:23	certified 124:4
158:21 159:1,10,13	119:10	bunch 184:5	30:11	certify 205:7
160:4,6 161:19	broadly 129:14	Bunny 34:24	Carter 4:13	cetera 94:25 105:9,10
163:1,3,3 165:4	Broline 2:23 179:12	burden 109:4	Carter-Lynch 2:2	CFO 116:24
169:8,19 177:6,14	179:13 180:10,13	business 2:19 3:6	3:12 13:12,13,17	CFOs 165:11
181:18 183:25	180:18,21 186:16	5:16 55:3 58:11	174:21	chair 3:11 29:3 30:3
185:18 190:11,21	189:10 199:4,22,25	64:11,14 105:11	CARTER-LYNCH	43:21 44:16 45:11
191:6 195:14	201:9 202:9,11,15	119:4,6 141:1 186:4	22:23 23:1 33:17,21	59:23 69:2,4 76:23
Board's 39:25 158:24	202:18,24 203:8,12	189:23	34:5	77:16 83:6 104:17
Bob 136:8	203:17 204:2	button 176:25	carve 106:6	107:21,25 110:14
Bobadilla 107:6	Brondell 2:25	buttons 78:1	case 169:2 181:4	133:11 152:14
bodies 7:20 175:6	brought 63:16 93:14	bylaws 14:14	183:13	153:20 155:12
body 6:2 72:18	103:25 106:13	<u>C</u>	cases 86:8 115:15	157:24 160:15
boilerplate 121:8	136:19 144:5		129:3,7 144:1	161:19 164:18
bond 121:11 122:11	150:19 168:23	C 2:11 205:2,2	146:12	166:4,5 168:25
131:18 154:1	186:18	cabling 141:16	Castaldi 145:23,23	177:4,6 180:10
160:24	Broward 1:6 21:16	cafeteria 136:16,18 140:16,19	146:15 149:12	195:13 198:15
book 67:15 71:13,19	53:22 108:4 176:1	calculate 168:21	152:18 154:6,7,10	201:6
booked 12:17	205:4	calculation 97:9	Castaldied 149:22	challenges 193:16
booking 70:19	Bryan 2:10 45:1,5	calendar 202:10	150:5 151:6	chance 15:15 82:6
bookkeeper 57:22	Bryan's 45:6	call 3:4,9,12 41:22,25	Castaldies 134:23	97:23
58:5 61:25 62:15,16	BSC 58:2 65:5	58:11 69:3 81:6,8	146:6,11,12 149:18	change 36:19 39:16
63:7,7 64:10,18	BTA 5:24,24 27:2,8	91:20 94:10 131:4	149:19 153:13	55:24 56:12,22,24
65:12 66:17,17,22	27:18 178:18	146:3 173:2 192:24	154:14 155:15	56:25 62:22 87:15
71:5,17 bookkeepers 63:10	budget 2:19 7:2,5 29:11 30:10 33:15	Call-in 2:2	Castaneda 2:17 catalog 22:9 143:23	88:5 98:18 100:12 100:17,19 101:10
64:8 65:1,3	33:16,19 34:2 35:5	called 64:11 93:3	cataloged 116:11	100:17,19 101:10
bookkeeping 61:12	35:14 73:12 161:7,8	119:3	categories 41:13,15	101.23 102.13,19
books 71:16	170:16,24,25 171:1	calling 4:14	41:21	112:7 117:15
booster 7:22,23 8:8,9	170.10,24,23 171.1	Cambridge 150:14	categorized 137:5	121:19 122:1,5
8:17 10:10,12,13,23	budgeted 72:22 73:7	151:2	category 132:12	144:7 170:16
12:1,2 14:3,21 16:3	73:10	canopies 132:8 139:1	cause 53:3 101:19	187:25
16:12,20 17:2,5,6	budgets 32:12	CAO 192:4	caused 17:17	changed 54:7 71:10
17:15,21 18:22 20:8	building 1:23 71:22	capacity 150:12	causes 71:1,4	103:1 121:3 123:14
20:14 21:4,17 22:11	109:11,11,14,15,16	151:17	causing 53:13 71:17	127:7 129:4 155:17
22:18 23:2,4,6,22	128:20 129:11,16	capital 2:18,19 84:17	causing 33.13 /1.17 ceased 188:2	changeover 188:1
24:7,18 25:2,4,21	129:16 132:8	118:25 123:1	ceiling 143:9	changes 8:5 13:23
31:11 69:22 75:7	134:10,10 135:9,11	card 69:20 71:23	cell 11:3 187:11	36:9 38:1 39:5,12
108:6 109:2,5	135:24 138:21	72:11 74:1,17 75:18	cellphone 185:23	79:2 106:3 121:13
110:18	144:12 149:18,19	80:3	188:25	121:15,18,18 122:2
borrowing 161:22	150:5 151:6,22	care 59:10,15 73:10	cellphones 186:4	122:4 183:25
boss 60:20 155:4	buildings 135:18	124:23 131:17,18	190:9	changing 56:19
bottom 181:10	146:7,13 148:16	136:11 145:12	center 1:15 2:19 55:4	189:23
bought 33:7	149:11,21 150:7,16	184:24	58:12 64:15 106:7	Chapter 71:2
Bradford 2:17	155:15	cares 107:11	certain 32:20 97:3	charge 60:19 69:21
brakes 90:20	built 79:13 150:11	Carr 25:13 157:15	102:10 117:22,22	70:11 71:24 115:7
break 94:19 196:14	bullet 81:5 138:16	163:19 178:21	121:10 129:3 130:1	charged 73:4
	•	•	•	•

charging 60:22 24	151:12,16,19 152:1	combination 98:19	company 92:13 100:8	139:5
charging 69:23,24 72:6	151:12,16,19 152:1	come 5:12,16 6:6,13	119:3,3	concurs 139:1
chart 55:15 91:15	clause 115:12	7:21 36:5 42:13	comparative 128:8	condition 139:2,23
96:17 134:5 141:24	clean 201:4	43:16 61:1,8 73:9	compare 128:10	191:24
166:16 168:7	clean 201.4 cleaned 183:13	73:15 74:3 79:1	compared 39:18	conditions 129:4
charter 130:24 132:2	cleaning 139:3	109:12 112:21	128:13,15,20	conducted 129:5
136:11,20	cleanup 86:7,24	113:5 118:13,24	compel 118:16	149:13 153:6
check 7:5,7 37:18	clear 140:9 165:15	158:24 161:1	competitive 182:16	confirm 9:3 76:22
39:21 45:7 71:24	169:17 201:17	163:12 195:11	182:18,22	98:2 178:4 186:2
75:14 101:21	202:2	199:1 201:2,23	complete 50:8 87:8,9	203:21
109:21 176:17	clearly 16:14 78:15	comes 31:3 62:20	93:12 101:9 205:10	confirmed 9:1 146:22
checked 99:9	79:20 131:12	64:18 73:2 79:20	completed 50:12,16	conflict 199:7
checklist 85:13	134:18 142:2	121:22,24 187:16	85:1 87:25 114:24	confused 14:1 54:20
checks 89:25	clerical 44:6	187:23	188:2	83:12
chef 147:25	Clerk 2:11,11	coming 27:5 32:11,13	completion 87:14,19	conscious 155:1,4
chief 2:7,8,15,15	clicking 78:1	32:13,18 34:23	87:23	consensus 36:16 37:4
12:21 67:9 91:11	clock 175:13	35:19 36:2 53:12	complexity 105:12	158:15 163:12
106:11 126:16	close 4:18 103:5	56:21 61:14 71:25	compliance 7:22	consequences 77:20
128:5 153:25 192:4	184:9 185:20	77:7 131:17 161:15	39:24 40:1 93:10	79:18
202:7	186:19	161:20 177:20	113:12 120:14	consider 165:25
children 115:22	close-out 85:12	188:12 191:5 193:2	123:24 158:12	166:11 186:15
172:14	closed 88:10 158:16	193:8	169:7,10,11,25	considered 135:23
choose 119:8,12	181:8	comment 5:5 28:25	170:1,1,6,8 183:7	153:13
choosing 85:8	closed-out 85:23	29:1 39:19 49:20	189:2	consistent 41:7 42:2
chorus 17:7	closer 85:24,25	53:6 134:7,8 153:19	complicated 11:20	86:18,19 135:20
Chris 2:24 84:7 128:2	closet 202:4	177:16	193:22	constituents 101:14
circle 119:16,16	club 7:22 8:9,17	commentary 82:13	comply 171:6	constitutes 183:23
circulated 171:15	10:13 12:1,2 16:3	comments 48:11 52:8	composite 140:6	construction 111:2
cited 135:20 141:3	17:4,6,21 18:22,22	81:2 108:1 123:21	comprehensive 77:11	111:14,23 112:7,14
city 71:22 105:16	20:8,14,22 23:4,6	134:1 143:3 145:20	146:22	121:1,9,14,16,25
144:15	23:22 25:2,4 69:22	172:23 197:22	comprises 143:7	122:3 128:16 133:7
claimed 109:14	75:7 108:6	commingled 49:2	concern 14:11 16:22	133:7 144:11 147:9
claiming 182:23	clubs 7:23 8:9 10:10	commission 193:19	39:4 52:20 91:11	consult 121:23
claims 102:11	10:12,23 14:4,17,21	205:17,18	94:16 95:13 131:21	consultants 108:3
clarification 177:3	16:12,20 17:2,5,15	commitment 124:2,3	131:23 143:5 144:3	consulting 182:14
clarify 41:12 48:13	19:11 21:4,17 22:11	124:5,9,15 125:3	160:2,4 162:24	contacted 74:2 100:3
75:22 201:8,23	22:18 23:2 24:7,18	committed 40:7	171:7	contacting 13:13
clarity 30:19 136:5	25:22 31:11 109:5	committee 1:7 2:1 3:5	concerned 114:19,22	contemplated 145:24
137:8 184:19	110:18	5:8,17 6:9 36:21	133:12 171:10	context 127:20,21
200:22	Coconut 47:11	38:5,19 43:24 44:19	190:25 197:16	132:19 181:9,17
class 150:12,17	code 148:1,2	53:7 77:4 88:4	concerning 87:3	182:10 183:1
151:16	colleague 185:13	108:13 127:14	concerns 20:18	contingencies 39:21
class- 183:19	187:9 203:17	133:10,21 158:9	133:18 144:10	contingency 111:13
classes 150:14,15,15	collect 18:22 117:3,4	159:21 165:6 190:1	164:21 198:18	111:22
150:25 151:22	collected 98:16,21	communication 202:7	199:18	continuative 61:5
classifications 40:6	99:7 126:20	community 131:13,23	conclusion 166:2	continue 62:3,8,9,10
41:9 159:16	collecting 117:10	141:13 144:6,20	conclusions 129:20	62:23 100:13
classified 41:6 132:9	color 140:4	152:3	concur 145:22	121:16 122:21
classroom 30:2,12	column 124:12,19	comp 160:6	concurrent 186:11	continued 20:23
classrooms 150:11,22	139:3	companies 106:18	concurrently 181:23	87:20 186:19
150:23,24 151:10	columns 124:8	120:23 121:1	concurring 138:24	187:11

continues 66:25	126:20	COVID 122:12,14,15	186:2	deficiencies 129:15
122:6 186:12	Cooney's 112:12	161:12,14	date 8:19 53:16 87:15	130:18 147:16
continuing 61:5 88:13	copied 13:22	Coward 165:3,3	91:25 100:18 101:8	deficiency 128:14,18
continuity 62:18	copies 38:16,18 42:13	CPA 164:24 166:1	114:14,24 175:21	129:8,16 132:9,15
continuous-improv	42:16,17 43:14,20	create 21:3,17 22:11	Dated 205:11	deficit 100:23
51:12	132:24	22:17 25:21 117:12	dates 87:19,23 98:18	define 159:16
contract 9:19 95:16	copy 28:9 37:14	151:23	100:12	definitely 34:18
96:23 97:5 102:9,22	42:25 45:16,18,19	created 89:22 102:23	Dave 2:8 53:9 78:22	142:19 165:5
113:13,13 115:1	45:21,21 46:9 48:1	103:6	172:24 173:2	definition 134:13
117:4,5,8 121:9	65:11	creates 116:2 122:21	Daves 53:9	136:3 159:22
124:2 133:19 181:4	copyright 192:11,11	creating 75:6	day 54:3 99:8,8	definitions 159:18
182:8 183:10,11	copyrighted 183:19	credit 69:20 71:23	103:13 105:19	160:3 171:12
184:3,4,7,19,20,22	187:6	72:11 160:23	108:19 109:1,25	delay 101:2,3,3
184:23 185:4,5,15	CORC 88:3 100:22	164:21	114:23,23 115:8,9	102:11,12,13,15
191:10,14,19,20	core 129:15	Creek 47:11	160:9 164:1 170:4	103:7
192:3,5,7,19,21,23	correct 6:25 14:6	CRI 2:23,23 199:2	205:11	delayed 104:7 116:2
193:4,6	15:9 16:21 42:14	critical 64:25 161:11	day-to-day 56:22	delays 102:24 104:5
contracted 182:22	49:7 65:3 79:25	criticize 68:19	days 101:5 102:2	deletion 185:23
contractor 97:20	88:11,21 97:3	culinary 143:22,22	104:21,25 105:6,8	demolish 110:7
102:17	108:20 113:1,8	147:23 148:1,3,4,6	105:15 115:6 134:5	demolished 110:8
contractors 113:12	116:5 149:16	148:7 149:3	142:10,23	department 28:15
118:14 120:22	177:23 196:8	cull 114:9	De 3:16 174:22 197:3	29:9 66:11 67:3
123:11	201:11,21	culled 156:3	198:3 199:18	189:18 192:1
contracts 117:16,22	correction 37:7 69:17	curious 135:9 191:3	de- 136:14	193:18
118:15 133:8,13	correctly 49:12 78:10	current 8:13 38:17	dead 69:10	depending 43:13
contractual 87:14,19	164:17	58:21 76:25 141:2	deal 111:5 131:10	121:5 124:15
contradicting 54:11	corruption 51:19	166:18 193:4	165:2,9,15,22	Depends 134:13
control 16:4 56:16	cost 72:8,10,12 88:24	currently 28:11 29:22	193:11	Dept 2:14
61:4,18,21 63:23	89:2,24 115:25	78:7 186:7 187:9	dealing 75:9	deputy 9:21
65:10 89:3,9	116:2,3 122:21	cursory 57:20	dealt 183:6	Derek 107:5 153:24
controlled 151:3	132:10 137:11	cut 20:11 34:5	death 61:19	descoped 136:15
controls 53:17,18	184:11,15 187:8	cycling 126:16	debate 17:4,11 18:12	191:21
55:8 56:18 67:10	costs 90:7 188:3,6,7	Cypress 24:12,14	18:22 20:22 25:4,16	description 132:19
88:25 89:2,10,25	Council's 28:16	151:13	debris 139:4	design 128:16 143:11
198:19 199:20	counsel 113:10		debt 164:22	designated 167:18
200:15	count 13:19 26:4	$\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ 2:15	DECA 17:7	designed 33:8
convenience 72:2,14	county 1:6 21:16		December 27:19	Designee 2:8
72:20,21 73:1	53:23 73:24 108:4	DAC 28:13,18	28:19 181:18	desired 119:21
conversation 52:21	144:15 176:1,1	Dahl 3:14 damage 100:6,15	205:18	desk 112:21
53:1 108:2 112:5	205:4	_	decentralized 189:7	destructing 109:15
141:12 168:3	couple 43:13 49:5	115:18,25	decide 148:23	detail 130:10 135:19
189:19 200:6	69:9 145:20 177:8	damages 99:15,19,23	decision 72:19 151:11	144:14
conversations 94:13	178:15,16 190:7	99:24 113:10,14,20 113:21 114:23	154:17,25 155:1,2,5	detailed 36:2 75:2
113:15 137:20	course 190:16	115:7,13,14,15,19	193:21	109:9 187:14
144:15 152:2	court 1:21 2:22 83:10	115:7,13,14,13,19	decisions 153:8	determine 150:20
conversations/medi	83:25 115:10	117:3 120:22	decking 138:7	152:6 181:6 184:15
193:5	117:11,13 179:7		declining 9:11	determined 148:17
convoluted 117:23	Cove 151:13	125:11 126:5,6,13 126:19	deemed 135:21	187:24
	cover 69:23 70:23		deep 165:8 192:8	developed 183:11
191:18	71.0 157.00	data 85.22 22 00.0	Doorfield 00.2	100.10 10
191:18 cool 172:16 Cooney 125:12	71:8 157:22 covered 139:12 185:9	data 85:22,23 90:8 97:8 98:23 185:23	Deerfield 99:3 defensible 117:12	192:10,12 development 183:18

183:18 192:14	36:9 80:22 113:9	66:9,24 67:1 70:20	39:2,7,17 40:11,25	140:21 142:5 143:2
developmental 187:5	126:24 156:25	70:23 71:1 72:5	41:3,22 42:9,16,20	143:13,18,20 144:1
devices 146:8	162:22 194:6,20	74:12,13 86:6,13,24	42:23 43:5,7,12,22	145:19 147:7
died 112:5	201:10	88:17 89:24 90:24	44:5,17,22 45:9,12	148:17 149:8 150:7
dies 155:25	discussions 105:22	91:5,17,18 96:19	45:20 46:1,4,10,16	150:9,21 151:4
different 16:6 39:8	113:7	101:21 105:4,11	46:18,20 47:1,3,7	152:5,9,15 153:4,24
42:11 43:2 71:15	dispute 116:11	108:12 110:20	47:15,21,24 48:7,10	154:5,13,18 155:13
94:24 102:25 112:9	distinct 23:8,24	120:2,3 121:6 122:9	49:19 50:4 51:1,3	155:20,22,24
123:10 124:7	distribution 114:3	135:1 163:10	51:15,25 52:6,11,16	156:14,17,21,24
145:11 159:6 192:3	district 2:13 3:4 6:9	186:25 196:2,3	52:22 53:2,15,20	157:4,11,14,20,25
difficult 17:3 106:1	6:22 9:20 20:9,19	dollar 50:10,20,24	54:5 55:17 56:6,16	158:3,5,10,20 159:1
117:2	30:2 59:8 62:13	108:14	57:2,5,8 58:2,6,15	159:18,23 161:17
diligence 101:16	64:18 66:18 71:15	dollars 6:24 7:6	59:12,24 60:3,14,17	162:5,11,17,20
120:25	71:15 72:5,11 79:20	29:19,20 30:6,17	63:3,20 64:5 65:1,6	163:2,6,12,17,23
Dir 2:18	80:5 84:15 91:21,25	32:11 50:22 70:17	65:18 67:4,6,21	164:19 166:6,22
direct 182:21	97:7 101:13 103:10	70:19,22 72:1 73:5	68:2,9,15,18,23,25	167:8,12,14,21
direct-support 24:6	103:10,16,18 104:2	109:7,8 122:25	69:2,6,12 72:2	168:10,18 169:3
directed 102:21	105:16 108:16	123:2 168:19,25	73:16 74:23 75:4,21	170:3,11 171:16
directer 84:8	109:17 112:12	door 63:18 64:1	76:3,6,8,19,21	172:2,4,8,17,22,25
direction 82:18	116:18 117:12	Dorsett 2:15 131:16	77:17 78:18 79:23	173:4,9,15,19,22
116:17	118:11 134:25	145:12	80:7,12,20 81:7,9	174:5,8,11,14,16
directly 5:23 12:15	137:19 142:13	double 28:7 81:23,25	81:13,24 82:2,17,22	175:3,9,15,19 177:5
106:8	147:11 165:12	82:5	83:1,4,13,16,19	177:10,13 178:3,11
director 2:8,9,16,17	175:25 180:8	double-back 118:7	84:1,4,10,15,18	178:14,25 179:4,16
2:17,18,19,19 64:14	182:15 185:25	120:13	85:24 86:3 87:10	179:22 180:2,12,14
84:13,17 125:13	186:4 187:20 188:6	double-check 202:25	88:18,20 89:1,5,13	180:19 189:13
directs 24:3	189:1,3,22 190:10	Dr 2:3,15 3:3,9,20,21	89:18,20 92:3,11,15	190:6 191:13,15,18
dis 180:19	190:13,14 191:16	4:11,15,21,24 5:1,3	92:18,21 93:1 94:20	192:6,20,22,24
disadvantages 72:7 disagree 72:17	191:22,23 192:5 193:18	5:11,23 6:1,5 7:14	95:1,5,20,25 96:2,8	193:1,7,15,24 194:3
106:24	District's 91:13	8:7 9:3,8,9,13,18,20 10:5,7,17 11:5,9,11	96:10,13,15,22 97:6 97:16,21 98:25 99:3	194:5,11,19,23 195:1,7,16,19,22
disagreeing 106:2	103:18,20 189:7	11:12,17,19 12:17	99:6,11 101:18	195.1,7,10,19,22
disappointing 12:14	district-wide 25:15	13:1,7,10,12,13,14	103:22 104:12	190.5,8,10,20,22
disbursements 185:3	districts 77:9 164:5	13:14,19 14:7,20,22	106:2,24 107:4,10	198:1,3,9,16,22
disciplinary 77:21	164:25	15:7,9,19,24 16:9	107:12,14,19	199:8,24 200:2,18
78:9	diving 192:8	16:11,14,19,25	109:20 110:1,6,17	201:7,12,15,19,21
discipline 74:15	doctor 13:15	18:12,25 19:6,13,16	111:4,7,10,15 112:2	202:3,9,14,16,22
94:25	document 44:20	19:20,24 20:3,12,17	112:10,15 113:4	203:5,10,16,20
disciplined 78:14	49:13 52:10,10 89:9	20:21,25 21:11,15	114:1,8 115:5 116:9	204:1,3,8
disclosed 23:14,23	135:15 147:10	21:18,21,25 22:3,6	116:19 117:25	draft 77:6
disclosure 23:21	166:25,25 167:4,5,6	22:9,15,25 24:2,21	118:4 120:1,5,8,13	drainage 139:3
57:12	documentation 87:2	24:23,25 25:3,6,9	120:17,20 122:17	dried 154:23
discovered 190:20	102:10 128:16,21	25:24 26:7,9,13,20	123:3,5,22 124:21	drift 85:14
discuss 6:13 24:2	130:3,25 133:5	26:25 27:10,12,16	124:25 125:22	driver's 107:16
154:14 158:22	153:11	27:21,24 28:3 29:2	126:2,14 127:2,12	drop 12:5
171:21 195:9	documents 58:18	29:15,19,25 30:4,9	127:17,21,24 128:3	drove 119:1
discussed 5:18 27:6	128:17 131:12	30:13,18,25 31:6,9	130:12 134:8,13,16	due 95:16 101:16
76:24	168:5	31:21 32:3,9,12,24	135:4,7 136:12,21	110:19 120:25
discussion 17:1,19	DOE 152:22	33:20 34:4,11,15,17	137:3 138:4,6,13,19	130:4 186:13 189:6
22:22 24:17 25:18	doing 20:4 51:7 56:5	35:2,11 36:1,22	138:21 139:14,20	duplicate 184:11
26:10,22 27:13,25	59:13 61:10 62:5	37:9,19,22 38:21	139:24 140:12,18	duplicates 10:19 11:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

duplicative 187:7 duration 102:12 dying 59:21 dynamic 55:25 \mathbf{E}

E 138:2 205:2,2 **E&Os** 113:21.25 earlier 93:12 100:20 130:5 160:1 186:10 189:19 early 132:1 133:22 easier 10:16 160:11 easiest 75:17 easily 120:18 156:21 160:8 **Easter** 34:23 easy 36:3 66:8,9 69:17 120:21 136:24 152:24 156:7 160:19 eat 72:19,20 180:15 **eBuilder** 84:24 85:7 85:13,17 86:8,25 89:6 90:1 economical 146:16 ed 29:9 148:2,4 **EDDC** 97:11,12 98:2 120:14 123:23 124:19 **edit** 20:3 **edited** 38:9 EdPlan 191:9,17 education 181:4 educational 143:16 183:23 184:2,8 **effect** 187:15 effective 181:21 **effort** 18:6 **eight** 17:12 81:20 102:6 155:15 159:3 eighteen 17:14 **EIN** 14:13 either 5:14 6:6 80:8 101:4 137:6 147:2 163:18 173:10 175:11 197:12 electrical 138:22

147:25

71:13,19

electronic 69:19 71:9

Elementary 151:21 **Elena** 2:10 eleven 17:12 123:9.13 133:23 186:3 eliminated 184:22 Ely 127:7 128:19 133:2 147:19 **email** 13:22,22 44:18 44:24,25 133:20 202:6 **emails** 137:17 embroiled 190:21 emergencies 161:13 emergency 161:21 176:15 **Emily** 1:22 2:22 205:7.16 **emplovee** 186:12 **employees** 57:17 79:2 108:4 189:3 **empty** 10:25 end-user 69:24 72:13 endeavor 157:21 ended 33:25 94:17 128:12,22 136:16 191:19

ends 41:14 **endure** 108:5 116:1 **endured** 108:2 engaged 181:12,23 engineer 121:23 **enjoy** 125:13 entangled 75:21 **entered** 84:24 85:1,17 86:25 89:6 182:17 entering 86:8 enterprise 108:21 entire 97:13 98:8

entities 119:13 **entitled** 47:19 101:5 103:10 112:18 entity 23:8,24 **entries** 70:23 entry 73:4,13 environment 89:3

equals 138:17,22 equipment 131:8 135:21 137:4

Erhard 2:10 **ERP** 64:25 errors 66:11,25,25

114:16,19 Erum 2:14 13:22 36:12 165:7 171:24 especially 35:20 60:25 105:7 120:24

111:23 112:7

113:21 114:11,15

essentially 128:10 establish 67:22 established 182:16 190:8

121:3

establishing 186:3 establishment 182:4 estimated 133:25 et 94:25 105:9.9

evaluate 67:9 68:10 89:15 102:11 103:3

evaluated 90:13 108:24

evaluates 93:20 evaluating 89:15 evaluation 97:1 99:14 evaluations 87:3

eventually 185:18 everybody 6:9 12:9 12:20 31:19 66:15

66:18 74:5 79:18 83:9 90:2 107:15 140:10 146:11

151:23 159:5 190:5 201:21 204:10 evidence 85:9

exact 47:4 65:4

Exactly 20:16 examination 181:3

182:1

example 20:7 58:19 118:22 128:18 129:8 130:23

136:24 198:18 **examples** 86:9 131:15

133:1 136:23 138:10

Excel 19:4,6,18,21,23 44:20

excellent 21:7 63:16 139:23 142:6,9

exception 39:15 47:12

exceptions 50:6 52:12

52:12,15,20 53:11 55:24 56:13 89:19 185:10

excerpts 81:3 excuse 104:21,22 115:9

executed 87:15 181:20 189:9

executing 189:3 **executive** 2:16,17,17 2:18 44:3 81:1

84:16 127:22 129:18 182:11 exemption 182:23

exhibit 199:13,13,14 exist 146:11.12

existence 76:2 existing 139:1 exits 124:24 195:6

expand 81:6,8 91:12

expect 13:11 84:4 expectation 158:24

expected 10:2 expecting 10:10

143:8 145:13 159:1

expend 193:19 expenditures 8:11,13 10:24 12:4

expense 5:15 73:1 78:1.4

expenses 77:24 201:15

expensive 131:6 135:22 141:15

experience 66:2

expert 141:21 expertise 129:13

expire 95:22 **expires** 205:18

explain 102:3 159:15 explaining 190:4

explanation 12:19 31:25 101:19 138:3 153:12

extend 98:18 100:12 100:18

extension 114:25 **extensive** 64:17,24 185:2

extensively 142:14

extent 79:3 144:10

External 2:23,23,24 extra 72:12 102:2 **extras** 175:9 eve 119:23 eyes 32:25

F

F 205:2 **Fabulous** 112:16 **face** 3:7 **facilities** 2:15 90:3 95:14 104:13

106:11 128:9 135:3 149:15 150:1 153:25

facility 146:24 **facing** 60:24

fact 17:18 96:24 104:19 105:3 120:23 147:24 170:9,14 184:21

187:10,23 fact-check 110:2.4

factor 184:3 **fair** 109:4

Falcon 151:13 **fall** 40:19,21 89:11 118:14 164:2

167:15,22,24 **fallback** 121:12

fallen 91:23 **falling** 167:20 familiar 90:5

far 15:12.25 69:18 115:18 148:10

187:19 189:1,2 FAU 118:25

fault 101:3 103:18,20 106:20

favor 4:24 25:20 26:11,22,23 27:14 28:1 80:23 81:11 126:25 157:1 194:7 194:24

fear 41:25

February 97:11,17 114:13 123:25 162:15

fee 70:12,14,15 71:9 71:24 72:2,3,6,15 72:21 73:1,2,4

feedback 8:3 12:15
36:21 165:24
173:14 197:13
198:4 200:11
feel 21:24 52:25 54:2
88:13 153:1
feeling 112:5
fees 69:19 70:10 71:7
71:8,9,13,16,19
72:20 73:11
feet 129:10
fell 28:20
fellow 165:19
FEMA 143:1
Fertig 2:2 3:18 15:18
18:24 19:1,12,15,18
10.2.17.1,12,13,10
19:22 20:1,5,16,18
20:24 21:2,6,14,16
21:19,24 22:5,8
26:6 31:8,10,15,19
34:7,13,16,25 36:25
37:5,14,20,25 38:13
38:24 39:4,10 42:8
42:10,19,22 46:11
46:17,19,23 47:2,6
47:8,17,23 48:14,23
48:25 49:4,8,11,15
52:9,14,17,24 53:4
53:21,24 54:1 55:16
55:18 56:8,18 57:4
59:25 60:10 62:25
63:6,25 68:13,16,19
68:24 69:1,4 70:5,7
75:1 78:17,20 79:5
82:14,21,24 83:2,5
83:14,17,20,22
84:21 86:13,16 87:1
87:11 88:6,9,12,19
92:2 99:9 101:20
102:5 103:8,16,21
103:24 104:9
106:17 107:1,7
111:9,11,17,21
112.1 2 112.16
112:1,3 113:16
120:16,19 122:15
123:7 125:21,24
126:9 127:16
130:14 132:17
134:12,15,21 135:6
136:7,19,22 139:16
139:22 140:3,8

141:11,19 142:1,9 144:21 145:1,6 152:11 153:22 154:12,15,19 156:1 156:12,16,19 162:3 162:6,13,19 166:7 168:2,15 169:14,17 169:19 171:7,13,20 172:10 173:17 174:2,15 175:17,21 178:13 179:1,20,23 180:4 189:14 194:2 196:2,6,9 197:16,19 204:7
festival 190:23
fewer 151:16
field 10:13 11:10,13
11:23 12:1 19:11,25
129:4 144:23
fields 10:25 11:8 12:4
fifteen 17:13
Fighting 107:17
figure 47:9 69:14
106:19 155:21
161:14 175:14
figured 130:25
file 8:8 10:16 38:5 87:4 100:9 116:21
67.4 100.9 110.21 fill 60:21
filled 12:10
filter 11:4 19:8
filtering 12:13
Fin 2:18
final 85:6 87:2 88:4
100:22 137:15
146:23
finally 57:15 103:5
112:3,3 185:12
finance 2:14,14 36:13
66:11 121:18
164:23
financial 59:3 161:9
162:9,15
financials 8:15
158:17 find 36:22 47:2 62:6
71:12,18 87:3,5
111:12 116:3
128:23 178:9
198:24 200:9,18
finding 55:23 108:13
9

183:21 185:10
188:22,24 190:1
findings 181:2,6,25
182:3 186:20
fine 16:18 42:21
140:22 161:17
180:20 202:18
203:9
finish 122:19 153:5
fire 119:19
first 3:6 17:1 20:7
22:16 39:19 53:7
57:7 67:21 82:20
07.4 110.25 122.11
97:4 110:25 122:11
132:5,7 133:3
142:20 145:3 147:3
148:9 152:5 154:11
159:14 162:4 179:3
180:11,22 182:17
183:6 187:2 200:11
fiscal 29:7,18,24
34:17 35:5,17 47:20
170:17 194:8
fiscally 167:25
fit 115:23 150:22
five 6:23 7:6,17 17:10
17:12 28:14 29:19
30:6 34:3,4,11
36:18 37:1,4,12
38:17,25 39:16 40:6
40:19,21 41:9,13,15
41:21 51:19 85:4,5
85:7,7,8,9,12 87:2
88:16,16 90:17,22
116:15,17 121:5
122:20,20 145:11
150.11 150.1 16 16
158:11 159:1,16,16
166:22 167:15,22
168:9,23 169:19
170:9,15,22 171:10
171:18 174:9,19
181:9,10 185:1
188:10 198:18
199:19 200:15
five-year 122:16
fix 62:8 87:17 106:15
110:11,11,14,16
fixing 62:11
FL 1:24
flag 3:7
FLDOE 152:20,25
111011134.40,43

154:4
flex- 203:14
flexibility 203:14
flexible 203:7,15
flipping 87:11
Florida 1:18 15:21
39:14 40:1 164:5
182:23 205:4,17
focus 84:3 191:11
focused 84:4 129:14
fol- 180:23
folder 44:1
folks 66:14,19,21
101:15 104:24
follow 7:8 15:20 36:4
54:19 102:22
134:25 149:4
followed 16:24 58:24
following 3:1 40:6
41:9 55:23 113:5
129:24
followup 5:17 62:25
93:5 98:15 123:24 125:10,25 126:11
126:21 127:4 135:8
137:12 144:21
155:13 167:8 168:2
179:19 180:23
181:1,24 186:25
194:8
food 41:19
footage 129:9 136:4
football 12:2
footnote 133:16
force 90:3 95:14
104:14
foregoing 205:9
forensic 103:2 181:3
182:1 183:12
forever 63:10
forget 56:4 93:2
194:21
forgot 99:10
form 77:6
format 17:3 35:22
formatting 39:12
forms 11:6 85:5
Fort 1:24 119:1
forth 56:5 77:7
forthcoming 49:17,1
78:12

1
forward 27:5 29:10
29:24 79:1 94:15
108:20 133:14
149:25 191:6
192:15,16
forward-moving
51:11
forwarded 8:4 86:6
found 25:17 68:13
83:13 90:4 102:20
136:25 185:16
189:5
foundation 15:22
four 26:3 36:25 37:3
37:6,8,21,22 39:16
70:16,21,22 72:25
73:2,6 83:18 84:20
85:4,11 86:16 94:9
161:5 169:14 170:9
171:19 174:9
182:10,12 184:20
187:23
fourteen 17:13
frankly 65:25 106:22
free 21:24
Friday 48:16
friendly 21:22 22:3
22:21
front 42:11,14 45:22
48:14,19 90:9 106:3
136:7 156:4 188:9
front-load 185:7
front-loading 185:8
fruit 59:20
Ft 1:18
FTF 125:17,17
172:19
full 57:12 144:14
fully 33:3 192:9
Fulton 9:8,20 10:5
fumes 32:6
fun 60:21
function 64:25 88:22
89:10
functioning 55:8
functions 89:24 191:8
fund 7:7 13:24 30:14
31:13 36:9,14,24
39:3,23,25 40:18,20
40:21,22,23 41:4,4
41:5,8,14,20,20,24

41.04.40.4.6.6	2502420254040	145454045	5 0.16	GENERAL A A 1
41:24 42:4,6,6	36:8,24 39:25 40:18	145:16 148:15	gonna 58:16	GUESTS 2:21
43:18 51:2 81:22	40:20,21,23 41:1,4	149:25 155:10	good 25:19 36:12	guidance 143:24
82:1,2 122:22,25	41:4,8,10,14,20,24	157:9 158:21	60:18 88:18 91:15	148:23
148:3 154:23	42:6 118:9,14	159:11,21 161:13	98:8 125:20 127:14	guidelines 7:5,23 8:17
157:11,12 158:1,6	122:22,25 145:20	170:19 172:15	131:1 139:2 145:6	14:4,5 16:22 20:14
160:13,17,18,20,20	147:9 157:11	177:1 182:8 183:2	145:21 152:25	20:14,23 21:8 75:7
161:6,6,10 162:7	167:18	193:20 196:19,21	156:5 170:2 171:21	Gums 2:24 84:7,7
164:15,16 165:1	generally 9:16 11:5 11:17 40:3	200:18,22	179:12 182:10	88:24 89:3,8,16,19
166:10,18 167:17		GOB 128:11 129:23	189:20 190:15	94:5,23 95:3 97:3
167:18 168:5,7,9 170:4 203:23	generated 128:14 getting 5:15 19:18	136:13 God 119:20 120:11	195:23 202:17	113:7 127:11,19,22 128:1,2,4 132:4
funded 29:18 136:13	23:16 32:9 46:6	goes 18:2 31:3 73:5,6	Gorokhovsky 2:18 166:1	137:22 138:5,12,16
149:2 161:6	56:13 70:15,15,22	95:23 96:2 117:17	gotten 47:3 95:15	137.22 138.3,12,10
funding 29:12,15	73:25 86:7,12 98:5	129:12 180:25	Gouldbourne 2:9	147:6 148:14
30:16,17 34:8,10	103:5,5 112:7	GoFundMe 17:20	70:2,4,6,10	147.0 148.14
154:23 161:23	135:13 136:16	18:6,13	governing 21:4,17,18	guy 17:16 119:17
funds 2:9 6:8,11,23	138:13 147:14	going 4:18 6:12 7:4	22:11,18 25:21	125:15 143:10
7:4 14:17 16:5	157:14 170:1,19	8:5 14:3,8 17:18	109:5	guys 10:7 51:17,20
17:19,22 18:2,5,19	193:10	21:22,25 22:5 24:11	government 60:23	52:1 67:23,25 73:9
18:20,20 28:6,10,16	give 18:5 32:22 70:2	27:7 28:6 33:14,18	71:21 75:18 105:11	75:24 81:24 82:6
29:7,10,16,22 30:2	75:18 76:11 79:7	33:25 43:1,2,3,15	120:24	84:6 91:8 93:5
30:11,12 32:20	82:6 100:22 116:15	45:9,14,15,15 49:25	Governmental 40:2	99:11 111:8 114:2
33:11 35:16,24 36:7	118:22 127:9,11	55:18 56:12,22,25	governor 29:17	119:20 122:10,18
41:11,14,18 44:10	180:16 187:14,18	57:3,5 58:12 62:2,6	governo 25.17 governs 15:10	127:9,14 130:10
44:12 47:10,22	203:2,6	62:7,10 63:9 65:6	grab 82:12,19 179:17	137:13 145:21
52:11 57:17 80:24	given 129:11 132:20	66:2,5 67:7 70:18	graduation 171:24,25	146:22 147:3,4
81:25 106:23 109:2	142:2	72:19,20,24 77:20	graduations 172:3,4	148:11 157:6 173:5
111:1 112:17	gives 44:14	79:14 81:9 82:12,15	172:5,7,11,15	173:5 179:5,8
117:14 118:7 143:1	giving 7:6 74:8 75:10	83:17,24 87:4,17,21	grand 104:15	190:10 196:12
147:21 149:20	glad 19:2 103:25	89:20 90:17,20 93:4	great 6:18,20 53:4	197:15 198:23
160:9 200:23	132:22 140:10	95:1,17 98:2,9,14	57:19 64:24 66:9	200:3,25 203:21
further 22:22 25:18	141:11 146:1,9,19	101:25 102:2 105:8	92:11 132:22	
27:13,25 31:16	153:22	106:6,8 107:23	147:10 165:2,9,15	Н
80:22 101:18	glance 199:14	109:20 118:13	165:22 189:24	habit 152:20
126:24 138:2	global 148:21	120:24 121:17	greater 51:5 99:20	hammer 119:17
156:25 159:8	go 7:19 13:14 14:8	122:23,25 125:16	green 70:7	hand 18:15 93:7
162:22 194:6,19	18:13 24:4 28:8	125:18 130:19,22	grind 107:24	120:16 130:13
future 30:10 56:12	32:21 34:19 48:25	134:19 137:10	grit 106:14	178:24
153:13	49:2 52:24 55:3	142:16 146:4 147:4	ground 54:2,2 59:20	handbook 79:12
FY 180:23 181:4	57:8 65:4 66:14	149:4 152:17 154:2	group 46:5 51:23	handed 44:13 82:7
	67:20 68:22 71:3,4	155:5,8,19 157:9,17	114:2 138:23 139:1	handle 199:4
G	73:6 74:9 75:19	157:20 158:17	139:12 140:25	handled 145:15
gained 13:3 148:11	82:23,25 84:22	163:4 164:2,14	164:23 182:14	hands 174:23
games 15:15	85:11 87:6 89:21	167:24,25 170:11	guarantee 170:20	Hang 111:6
garbage 124:17,17	91:8 96:21 97:8	170:18,21 177:8	guess 8:23 14:11,15	hanging 143:9
gas 74:5	98:2,9 107:1,2,3	185:22 188:1,5	17:8 21:23 25:9	happen 25:10 44:4
GASB 40:5 41:20	111:1,14,22 114:9	190:19 191:10,12	30:18 71:21 101:17	55:2,5 91:7 106:10
42:3	117:20 119:9	195:9,25 196:25,25	103:21 116:25	137:7 147:2 161:13
GASB-aligned 39:20	127:13 130:10,18	197:19 199:4,6	137:12 158:14	happened 28:23 48:3
Gee 119:16	131:25 135:15	202:20 204:8	179:9,23 191:6	80:11 87:5 91:6
general 7:7 13:24	136:13 137:2 139:9	golly 119:16	203:1	110:9 147:1 148:9

	_	_	_	
193:23	High 17:15 20:7 50:3		increasing 52:18,19	44:10,12 47:10,13
happening 55:4,6,13	99:3 106:6 130:23		53:12	47:19,21 52:11
55:24 56:10,23	147:18 148:5	ID 23:7,10 24:1,19	independent 14:12	53:17,18 55:8 56:15
70:12 90:9,12 91:4	high-ranking 59:5	25:7	15:13	56:16 61:3,18,21
91:21 92:12 93:24	highlight 128:25	idea 89:22 152:25	indicated 186:10	63:23 65:10 67:10
106:9 143:14	Hills 155:7	170:2 189:20	individual 51:10	80:24 81:25 83:22
148:10 153:18	Hire 111:2	ideas 23:4 146:4,4	93:21 97:20 198:24	118:7 198:19
191:20	historical 78:13	identified 139:10	199:12	199:20 200:15
happens 90:25	168:5	149:21 181:2	individually 10:14	interpreted 18:16
happy 11:18 130:9	history 123:15,17	183:12 184:16	infamous 25:4	110:3 193:17
131:11 152:11	hit 63:12 151:9	186:25 198:20	infant 154:1	interrupt 83:6
hard 35:7 42:10,23	hitting 199:11	identify 17:1 108:22	infighting 107:19	interviews 149:13
45:21 48:1 79:15	Hog 54:2,2	198:18	information 2:15 8:4	153:11
115:11 116:6 122:7	hold 17:21 21:11	II 2:10	8:25 38:3 43:25,25	intimately 110:21
177:19	30:18 36:6 37:17	illustrate 108:3	46:15 57:24 70:3	introduce 83:24
Harpalani 2:9	44:8 66:19,21 77:18	illustrated 108:10,16	86:5,7 105:19	179:6
		imagine 93:3 161:21	109:17 114:4 129:2	
harsh 192:24	104:25 106:10 112:2 117:25 122:8	immediately 17:25	130:6 176:19	invariably 172:18 inventoried 54:13
head 42:1 59:4,6,16 113:24 114:12	112:2 117:25 122:8	192:13	197:25 198:4	
		impact 34:9 102:8		inventory 41:19 INVITED 2:21
116:16 151:22 headache 104:16	holder 77:13 80:4 holders 80:2	135:2	200:14	
		implementing 185:25	ingrained 41:25	invoice 94:23 188:18
headaches 104:15	holds 170:5	importance 132:20	Ingram 25:13 163:19	invoices 94:6,22 95:9
hear 25:13 66:13,20	hole 28:20	important 56:3 59:8	178:21 179:13,15	95:12 109:10,10,24
70:6 118:21 119:9	Hollywood 155:7	59:12,18 181:16	194:8 195:3 197:7	185:1 188:13,15
141:14 153:21	home 17:16 59:1	182:13 191:4,5	inherent 128:24	192:23
165:5,20 197:19	honest 66:3,6 120:11	improvement 28:12	199:10	involved 92:9 118:23
heard 133:9 135:20	honestly 163:24	118:25	initial 7:24 43:16	118:24 190:17
144:19 154:6	hook 77:25	improvements	76:17 94:15 128:11	ironic 123:12
hearing 26:23 27:14	hope 57:14 80:12	147:18 148:15	131:14	issue 10:8 20:6,9 23:3
28:1 80:23 126:25	91:22 92:21 119:17	improving 151:7	initially 48:2 90:4	44:3 52:23 54:6
157:1 165:24 194:7	133:15,16	in-house 105:4 111:3	197:23 198:10	56:9,17 71:17 72:21
194:21	hopeful 80:13	in-person 201:11	initiation 93:16,18	88:13 100:1 108:1,8
Heery 106:19 137:18	hopefully 38:19 55:9	Inaudible 70:4	input 165:5,20	109:6 122:14,21
137:19	56:24	include 77:20 95:8	inquiry 181:13	126:12 144:13
Heery's 86:20	hoping 131:10	112:22 137:24	ins 35:24	151:24 161:24
Heights 50:2	horrible 164:11	149:14 168:18	inside 122:8	186:15
held 100:5 101:5	horse 69:11	included 76:11,15	inspections 85:6 91:5	issued 80:6 181:14
188:12	host 161:3	132:14,15 136:2,25	91:9	issues 20:8 56:9 62:7
Hello 6:16	hours 12:9 43:13	137:15,23 138:3	installation 119:20	62:11,12,12,24
help 11:15 17:8 44:6	103:23 176:12,12	includes 22:1,12,18	instance 33:1 70:17	88:15 122:20
119:20 202:13	176:23 177:2	25:22 64:19,21	80:3 134:9	131:17 184:23
helped 39:13	196:17	97:12	instructions 54:10	185:5,16,18 186:13
helpful 181:11 189:15	huge 60:25 102:23	including 77:13 90:3	insurance 15:11	Italy 60:20
helps 182:25	108:8	167:18	98:20 100:8 121:11	item 7:1 31:23 38:20
Hepburn 9:18 12:17	hundred 70:17,19	incomplete 187:25	intent 95:7	47:10 67:7 73:2
Heron 50:2	73:5,5 104:21	188:4	interest 189:11	74:5 95:15 102:15
Hey 105:16,16	203:11	incorporate 197:14	interesting 168:6	159:16 180:22
153:16	hung 5:15 43:17	200:11	interim 80:14 114:3	182:7 183:6 184:9
HH 205:17	hunting 6:3	incorporated 129:25	121:17	186:3,8
hiccups 135:10	hurricanes 142:19	increase 115:25	internal 2:9 5:19	itemized 130:3
hiding 82:10		116:2	17:19 28:17 35:23	items 12:23 81:20

105:9 129:23 130:1 85:14 86:18 91:5 118:19 119:14,15 Lauderdale 1:18,24 155:13 163:15 135:14 160:18 106:3 126:10 120:10,19 122:15 119:2 178:22 193:25 163:19 164:22 189:20 198:5 123:14,18 126:5 Laura 2:11 38:12 194:5.13 195:5 178:17 179:18 201:12 127:6 128:19,20 178:8 liberally 169:6 183:3,12 185:24 keeping 168:4 129:11 130:2,3 lax 56:4 **lie** 119:21 187:1 188:15 192:9 keeps 32:13 131:9,15 132:18 layperson 54:19 lieu 77:10 kev 54:14,14 129:21 133:6 134:2,2,6,20 **lead** 31:15 **life** 104:13 J **kids** 18:3,12 25:17 134:24 135:12 leadership 106:4 light 10:17 Jabouin 28:21 109:3 149:1 152:6 136:14 137:14 122:2 172:6 lightheaded 180:14 Jaclyn 2:5 4:7 leads 96:6 173:20 174:17 140:4 141:2,10,12 **limit** 23:13 **Jennifer** 2:9,16 **kiln** 135:10 141:12,14,22,23 leans 119:23 limitations 128:24 **iob** 61:12,15 62:14,16 Kim 2:20 142:13,14,19,22 learn 115:22 153:17 **limited** 90:23 62:19 63:18 65:17 Kincaid 2:23 143:12 144:4,14,18 **learning** 2:16 9:22 line 7:1 39:13 73:1 66:10,24 67:8,19 **kind** 10:8 19:13 30:10 145:1 146:21 leave 12:4 62:1 81:15 111:19 135:14 101:15 105:4,24 39:12 57:12 62:17 149:17 150:2,3,12 155:23 157:19 166:11,13 122:7 123:10 62:18,22 63:1,15,18 151:1 153:9,10 163:16 165:6 **link** 38:11,17 44:21 jobs 117:23 122:4 70:22,23 98:23 155:2,9 156:12 178:22 186:13 linked 48:2,5,7 **John** 176:18 **liquidated** 99:15,19 105:11 112:4 157:8,21 161:22,23 193:25 194:13 joined 15:18 38:2 118:16 119:23 163:15 164:11,24 leaves 38:19 62:15 99:23,24 100:6,15 joke 139:23 128:25 129:12.21 164:24 165:12,14 186:17 113:10,14,20,21 **Josiah** 2:15 **leaving** 163:22 130:4 145:2 147:12 166:16,19 168:6 114:22 115:7,13 **Judith** 2:14 160:20 171:8 169:20 171:25 led 137:20 116:4,10,22 120:22 **juggle** 203:3 **kinds** 90:8 172:4 177:2 179:24 **left** 30:24 31:3,4 125:11 126:2,5,6,12 **July** 27:18 58:20,20 **Kinkaid** 179:14,14 180:5,7,7,24 184:14 33:10 103:13,15 126:18 58:25 95:24 158:21 liquidating 117:3 185:21 188:24 185:9 190:9 191:1 146:7 150:1 187:3 161:20 169:11 199:4 193:7 199:14,18 192:4 200:25 201:4 **list** 7:23,24 10:10,23 177:7 181:12 knew 43:24 154:11 **knowing** 100:13 **leftover** 30:20 32:20 15:25,25 17:10,10 **June** 6:13 36:7 43:4,5 knowledge 191:22 know 8:12,24 11:1 **legal** 39:11 113:5,9 19:3,4,10 31:10,12 87:8 88:8 95:19,20 known 8:14 154:9 12:3,17 15:12,14,21 113:15 116:25 33:11 35:4 39:22 95:23 96:12 100:21 23:16,17,19 33:5 knows 23:19 62:16 117:1 159:21 93:12 114:3 116:16 133:21,22 147:6,7 34:21,24 36:14 74:6 83:10 95:6 192:17 193:21 126:19 174:16 158:7,22,24 162:2 38:13 39:13 42:5 146:11 153:20 legislative 7:11 **listed** 11:13 19:10 171:14,16,16,17,19 44:5,22 45:17 50:19 length 144:4 46:14 58:4 136:12 L 171:19 172:9.9.18 51:3,8 53:15 56:14 **Leo** 107:5 182:24 **lab** 143:7,22 147:14 173:6,7,7,10,15 56:18 58:13 60:23 Leonardi 150:20 listen 105:17 154:5 174:19 175:16,17 147:18,22 148:1,3,4 61:9 63:6,10,13 **let's** 8:22 26:1 40:11 179:25 177:11 178:5 148:6,7,8,12,15,24 64:1,1 67:12,23 41:22 50:4 52:1 listening 189:16 191:10 192:14 **labs** 143:7 144:22 69:10,13,14 71:10 66:6,14 82:4 84:1 **listing** 128:18 145:2 148:10 149:3 195:10,15 196:3,6 72:9,23 73:23 74:2 112:10 164:16 **listings** 128:14 129:8 196:11 200:3,12,25 lack 53:17 183:6,22 74:9,13,15,16,17 166:11 175:15 132:16 201:1,2,3,5 205:11 185:13,23 79:8,18 80:7 81:3 196:24 203:25 lists 47:11 **junior** 17:7 Langan 125:16 **letter** 133:20 141:5 **literally** 8:17 104:22 82:14 84:25 91:15 language 39:20,20 167:22 93:11 94:15,18 level 39:24 122:3 K 40:16 42:2 77:2 95:14,17 96:14 129:16 131:23 Litigation 1:23 **Kathleen** 125:16 159:22 98:22 101:8 103:9 187:19 little 12:14 59:22 Kaufold 92:5 large 103:7 119:2 103:23 105:7,7,12 leverage 193:12 101:21 111:18,19 Kayla 199:23 150:24 205:17 107:7,7,8 108:5 Lew 2:6 4:3 22:20 119:18 127:19 **KC** 1:15 larger 24:13 59:3 109:2 110:7 112:23 26:20 27:12 31:24 193:22 keep 8:16 22:5,7 32:9 largest 164:4 113:1,11,23 114:7 32:24 49:20 82:15 live 38:11 39:17 32:11 52:18 56:21 late 19:1 60:19,22 114:12 116:16 82:24 83:2 132:25 **lived** 104:13 63:4 71:7 80:16 latest 92:4,4 117:13 118:12,18 142:17 143:2 lives 193:9

lobbying 121:2	lot 24:11,14 52:15	59:24 60:3,14,17	162:5,11,17,20	66:25 86:24 126:9
lobbyists 121:2	56:9 58:18 60:7	63:3,20 64:5 65:1,6	163:2,6,12,17,23	151:10,15,16,24
loggias 131:3	69:1 84:24,25 87:7	65:18 67:4,6,21	164:19 166:6,22	153:8 170:22,22,25
long 15:20 23:9,9	88:15 103:1,22	68:2,9,15,18,23,25	167:8,12,14,21	189:8
57:22 64:19 71:1	104:1 108:12 114:5	69:2,6,12 72:2	168:10,18 169:3	man- 63:18
86:13 87:17 102:7	118:25 130:17	73:16 74:23 75:4,21	170:3,11 171:16	managed 86:10
102:16 103:3 108:6	150:15 153:7	76:3,6,8,19,21	172:2,4,8,17,22,25	management 45:24
123:16 142:24	157:21 164:9	77:17 78:18 79:23	173:4,9,15,19,22	83:23 90:23 92:13
143:3 144:11	165:13 197:25	80:7,12,20 81:7,9	174:5,8,11,14,16	121:13 122:4 133:8
long-term 135:3	loud 154:6	81:13,24 82:2,17,22	175:3,9,15,19 177:5	181:5 183:10
142:7 151:11	love 153:21	83:1,4,13,16,19	177:10,13 178:3,11	184:13 185:17
longer 7:3 29:18	lovely 137:4	84:1,4,10,15,18	178:14,25 179:4,16	186:14 187:15
30:14 71:11 91:1	low 190:10	85:24 86:3 87:10	179:22 180:2,12,14	188:9 192:5
134:3 153:8	lower 122:3	88:18,20 89:1,5,13	180:19 189:13	management's 67:16
longers 80:5	lower-level 60:25	89:18,20 92:3,11,15	190:6 191:15	manager 2:9,10 67:2
look 7:12 10:7 15:4	Lynch-Walsh 2:3 3:3	92:18,21 93:1 94:20	190:0 191:13	84:7 85:10 88:25
		·	-	92:9 128:2
16:7 21:8 33:23 38:4 45:7 60:6	3:9,20,21 4:11,15 4:21,24 5:1,3,11,23	95:1,5,20,25 96:2,8 96:10,13,15,22 97:6	194:3,5,11,19,23 195:1,7,16,19,22	
				managers 88:21
61:19 66:14 85:4	6:1,5 7:14 8:7 9:3,9	97:16,21 98:25 99:3	196:5,8,10,20,22	manner 44:1 59:6,17
89:16 91:24 98:9	9:13 10:7 11:9,12	99:6,11 101:18	197:5,10,13,21	manual 63:1,7,15,18
122:23 131:25	11:19 13:1,7,10,14	103:22 104:12	198:1,3,9,16,22	63:22 64:8,10,10,17
139:9,16,16,18,21	13:19 14:7,20,22	106:2,24 107:4,10	199:8,24 200:2,18	64:20 65:7,11,16,17
148:15 160:17,23	15:7,9,19,24 16:9	107:12,14,19	201:7,12,15,19,21	65:25 66:1,23,24
161:4 168:16	16:11,14,19,25	109:20 110:1,6,17	202:3,9,14,16,22	67:11,25 73:4 75:3
174:24 178:3 181:6	18:12,25 19:6,13,16	111:4,7,10,15 112:2	203:5,10,16,20	75:4 76:3,5,7 77:10
181:11 182:8	19:20,24 20:3,12,17	112:10,15 113:4	204:1,3,8	77:11,12,15 78:7
185:13 188:7 191:7	20:21,25 21:11,15	114:1,8 115:5 116:9	M	Manuel 2:17
198:11	21:18,21,25 22:3,6	116:19 117:25		manufacturer 138:25
looked 68:8 93:5	22:9,15,25 24:2,21	118:4 120:1,5,8,13	M/WBE 124:3	139:12
130:20 147:15	24:23,25 25:3,6,9	120:17,20 122:17	ma'am 22:25 29:21	Maplewood 99:4
179:5 182:4 185:14	25:24 26:7,9,13,20	123:3,5,22 124:21	31:9 45:13 99:5	MAPPS 132:10,15
197:23 198:10,14	26:25 27:10,12,16	124:25 125:22	128:1 144:25	135:15
looking 18:21 35:15	27:21,24 28:3 29:2	126:2,14 127:2,12	157:25 164:19	March 162:9
36:20 37:6 38:10	29:15,19,25 30:4,9	127:17,21,24 128:3	Madam 3:11 29:3	mark 2:15 98:6
40:17 51:3 58:17	30:13,18,25 31:6,9	130:12 134:8,13,16	30:3 43:21 44:16	199:23,24
59:2,7,19,21 65:18	31:21 32:3,9,12,24	135:4,7 136:12,21	45:11 59:23 77:16	marketing 190:15
86:17 90:14 91:12	33:20 34:4,11,15,17	137:3 138:4,6,13,19	83:6 104:17 107:21	Markham 151:20
110:22 112:24	35:2,11 36:1,22	138:21 139:14,20	107:25 110:14	Marte 2:14 6:16,18
134:5 136:5 137:8	37:9,19,22 38:21	139:24 140:12,18	152:14 153:20	7:1,5,12 28:24 29:1
159:5 160:10,16	39:2,7,17 40:11,25	140:21 142:5 143:2	155:12 157:24	29:3,17,21 30:1,7
165:2 166:9 177:21	41:3,22 42:9,16,20	143:13,18,20 144:1	160:15 164:18	30:11,16,23 31:1,14
183:10 184:2	42:23 43:5,7,12,22	145:19 147:7	166:4,5 168:25	31:18 32:5,14 35:9
186:10 188:5 197:1	44:5,17,22 45:9,12	148:17 149:8 150:7	180:10 195:13	64:4 68:5 75:2
	45:20 46:1,4,10,16	150:9,21 151:4	198:15 201:6	76:18 77:16 162:3,9
198:13 202:10			main 102:14 128:7	162:12,15 163:22
198:13 202:10 203:12,13	46:18,20 47:1,3,7	152:5,9,15 153:4,24		
203:12,13	47:15,21,24 48:7,10	152:5,9,15 153:4,24 154:5,13,18 155:13	maintain 41:23 54:16	164:18,20 166:8,14
203:12,13			maintain 41:23 54:16 maintained 36:18	•
203:12,13 looks 39:7 141:20 175:16	47:15,21,24 48:7,10	154:5,13,18 155:13	maintain 41:23 54:16 maintained 36:18 maintaining 92:6	164:18,20 166:8,14
203:12,13 looks 39:7 141:20 175:16 lord 17:8	47:15,21,24 48:7,10 49:19 50:4 51:1,3	154:5,13,18 155:13 155:20,22,24	maintain 41:23 54:16 maintained 36:18 maintaining 92:6 major 146:18 200:13	164:18,20 166:8,14 167:1 168:3,14,16
203:12,13 looks 39:7 141:20	47:15,21,24 48:7,10 49:19 50:4 51:1,3 51:15,25 52:6,11,16	154:5,13,18 155:13 155:20,22,24 156:14,17,21,24	maintain 41:23 54:16 maintained 36:18 maintaining 92:6 major 146:18 200:13 making 20:11,24 36:6	164:18,20 166:8,14 167:1 168:3,14,16 168:20 169:1,16,18
203:12,13 looks 39:7 141:20 175:16 lord 17:8 lose 18:1	47:15,21,24 48:7,10 49:19 50:4 51:1,3 51:15,25 52:6,11,16 52:22 53:2,15,20	154:5,13,18 155:13 155:20,22,24 156:14,17,21,24 157:4,11,14,20,25	maintain 41:23 54:16 maintained 36:18 maintaining 92:6 major 146:18 200:13	164:18,20 166:8,14 167:1 168:3,14,16 168:20 169:1,16,18 169:22 171:22,23

N. 0.0.2.10.10.07
Mary 2:2 3:18 18:25
22:20 26:7 46:16
47:15 52:7 53:18
59:11 68:15,23,25
82:20 84:19 123:5,5
130:12 135:20
138:7 156:22
162:21 178:24,25
179:22 180:19
189:13 194:3
master 147:2
MasterCard 69:20
match 66:4
matched 9:6
matches 9:24 124:15
material 25:15,16
,
51:10
materiality 51:4
108:24
matrix 93:15,17
94:24
matrixes 138:1
Matt 199:21
matter 5:13 44:2 61:3
61:13
matters 112:14,21
Matthew 2:17,25
·
84:8
max 99:15
maximum 115:6
Mayersohn 2:3 3:22
1 3:23 4·22 5·10 6·16
3:23 4:22 5:10 6:16
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17 140:25 141:5,9 156:11,23,24 157:9
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17 140:25 141:5,9 156:11,23,24 157:9 157:13 162:23
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17 140:25 141:5,9 156:11,23,24 157:9 157:13 162:23 163:3,9,13 166:20
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17 140:25 141:5,9 156:11,23,24 157:9 157:13 162:23 163:3,9,13 166:20 166:23 167:3,10,13
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17 140:25 141:5,9 156:11,23,24 157:9 157:13 162:23 163:3,9,13 166:20 166:23 167:3,10,13 167:17 172:3 173:8
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17 140:25 141:5,9 156:11,23,24 157:9 157:13 162:23 163:3,9,13 166:20 166:23 167:3,10,13
6:20 7:3,8,13 14:3 14:11,21 15:4,8,10 15:20 16:2,16,21 24:20,22,24 25:1,5 25:8 26:8,19 27:9 27:20 29:4 51:24 68:3,4,12,15 69:6,8 69:9,13 70:8 71:20 72:3 73:19 74:25 75:8 76:13 79:22,24 80:9,18,20 83:21,24 84:3 116:25 117:17 140:25 141:5,9 156:11,23,24 157:9 157:13 162:23 163:3,9,13 166:20 166:23 167:3,10,13 167:17 172:3 173:8

176:6,11,22 194:17
194:22,23 196:25
197:8,11,18,24
198:2,6 203:1
Mayersohn's 29:5
McFatter 148:6
McGladrey 133:14
McIntyre 125:15
mean 11:19 15:11,13
25:3 32:3 42:6
45:14 54:1 56:11
57:25 63:2 69:25
73:22 74:1,4,10,11
75:8,13,17 76:20
86:18 87:1,17 88:14
88:16 89:2 101:25
109:1 121:3 137:6
138:10 141:9,21
145:4 154:19
159:14 161:22
164:11 170:18
173:17 176:24 189:24 195:16
189:24 195:16
197:3,12,24 198:6
200:16 203:2
Meaning 175:3
meaningful 125:1
means 34:1 50:14
87:22 95:12 122:22
meat 164:1
media 106:7
mediation 184:13,14
184:17 187:9
medical 186:13
191:24
medium 176:21
Medvin 2:4 3:22,24
3:25 18:10 52:4,5
meet 158:19 201:22
meeting 1:7 3:4 5:8
5:18 6:21 9:11 27:6
31:22 35:13 43:5,8
45:16 57:16 88:7
93:7 100:21 124:24
125:10 126:20
130:24 131:14
136:11,20 163:7,10
171:14 172:19
173:5 175:1 176:2
176:15 177:7,11
178:5 195:14 197:6

200:10 201:18,19
204:11
meetings 5:17 76:25
132:2 137:17
141:13 154:16
176:14 202:17
Meloni 2:18 84:16,16
85:2 92:8,14,17,20
92:23 95:19,24 96:1
111:11,17 115:8
139:9 140:5,15,20
140:23 141:2,7,18
141:25 142:4
member 149:24
160:5 190:21
members 2:1 6:3
44:19 59:5 81:4
190:11 197:17
memo 102:17
memorialized 133:18
mention 84:23 130:19
mentioned 76:24
96:25 100:20
102:25 129:17
143:6 149:12 182:7
mentioning 155:16
170:4
Menzul 4:1
Meo 3:16 174:22
197:3 198:3
Meo's 199:18
mere 104:19 105:3
messaged 203:18,18
messages 185:24
messed 110:17,18
Messier 107:5 153:24
met 165:16
MGR 2:20
Miami-Dade 164:5
166:12,13
Miami-Dade's
165:13 166:18
mic 70:5 85:25
Michael 125:15
microphone 111:15
111:16 130:15
180:2,3
Microsoft 11:6
Microsoft 11:6 middle 61:19 170:20
middle 61:19 170:20

170:4
nillions 109:8
nine 37:22
minimized 107:25
108:1
minimizing 110:10
ninute 5:11 38:24
46:6
ninutes 5:7 13:6
26:16 27:1 59:4
81:3 111:12 132:1
166:17 178:22
ninutia 103:23,24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
missed 98:6 101:21
missing 54:14 61:22
85:22,23 174:14
nistakes 147:13
nitigate 100:17
104:11
nodified 133:13
nodify 87:16 159:22
nodules 90:6 183:15
183:16 187:4
nom-and-pop 98:6
noment 105:6 177:9
noney 15:23 18:15
21:9 30:21,22,24,24
21:9 30:21,22,24,24
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 nonies 103:10 104:6
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 nonies 103:10 104:6
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2 monitoring 5:24
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 nonies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2 nonitoring 5:24 27:18 46:22 55:1,11
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2 monitoring 5:24 27:18 46:22 55:1,11 89:7
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2 monitoring 5:24 27:18 46:22 55:1,11 89:7 month 52:18,20 54:3
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2 monitoring 5:24 27:18 46:22 55:1,11 89:7
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2 monitoring 5:24 27:18 46:22 55:1,11 89:7 month 52:18,20 54:3
21:9 30:21,22,24,24 31:1,3,4,12 32:1,13 32:18,21 33:3,9,10 34:19 35:19 51:5,8 70:13 75:9 79:20 98:5 101:14 103:17 104:1,3,19,19 106:7 106:22 107:13 108:6,12,15,17 109:13,15,18 110:7 111:24 113:17,21 120:6,9 148:12 160:5,21 161:8,15 170:12 188:19 189:20,21 monies 103:10 104:6 106:21 108:22 180:9 190:2 monitoring 5:24 27:18 46:22 55:1,11 89:7 month 52:18,20 54:3 55:3 60:20 92:7

168:7
monthly 55:12,12
94:8,11 96:23 97:11
116:20
months 59:14 61:23
61:24 74:17 77:7
102:6 109:9 114:13
morning 36:12 44:13
44:24 45:16 60:18
Moss 119:3
motion 4:22,22 5:8,10
6:10,14 7:16,17,19
15:3 20:10,24 21:1
21:13,20 26:17,18
27:2,6,17 28:13
36:6 53:24 60:12
77:4 80:17,18
108:20 125:19,21
126:10,22 149:6
152:12 156:9 157:5
162:1 175:22 194:1
194:17 195:2
motions 5:20 20:13
27:4 126:15 196:10
motivates 193:9
Motiwala 2:14 13:23
26:2 36:11,12,12
1 27.0 0 10 17 20.15
37:2,8,12,17 38:15
38:22,25 39:9,11
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12
38:22,25 39:9,11
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21 80:20 112:4 126:22
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21 80:20 112:4 126:22 156:22 162:20
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21 80:20 112:4 126:22 156:22 162:20 177:7 192:5,15
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21 80:20 112:4 126:22 156:22 162:20 177:7 192:5,15 194:2,3,21
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21 80:20 112:4 126:22 156:22 162:20 177:7 192:5,15 194:2,3,21 movement 119:23
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21 80:20 112:4 126:22 156:22 162:20 177:7 192:5,15 194:2,3,21 movement 119:23 moving 40:14 43:19
38:22,25 39:9,11 40:10,24 41:2,12 43:4,6,11,24 157:23 158:8,14,23 159:20 164:24 165:15,25 177:18 178:2 move 28:14 61:24 67:6 69:12 87:6 123:6,23 131:8 156:1,17 160:21 169:11 178:21 182:11 195:13 201:1 moved 22:20 26:6,7 26:20 27:9,10,20,21 80:20 112:4 126:22 156:22 162:20 177:7 192:5,15 194:2,3,21 movement 119:23

202:23	125:12,19 126:3,5	nice 119:20 204:2	number 23:7,10,12	25:18 37:5 40:14
MSD 24:14 109:11	128:9 147:21 149:6	night 39:8 46:25	23:13 24:1,19 33:21	45:1,12,23 81:24
multiple 10:12 11:3	149:22,23 152:5	146:3 164:8	33:22 47:24 50:15	82:9,10,24 83:5
11:21 19:11 146:6	156:6 157:19 160:1	nine 17:12 81:21	50:21,23 52:19 62:5	84:1,12 89:20 92:15
151:5 196:16	160:13,17 161:4	147:15 150:8,9	94:9 100:15,16	118:20 125:6 135:6
multiples 11:10	162:18 163:7	155:16 185:13,22	105:8 108:3 113:23	136:17 149:8 179:4
music 190:22	169:25 173:4,9	nineteen 17:14	113:24 120:25	180:12
music 190.22	174:11 179:7	no's 137:25	121:1 123:13 129:1	okay 3:24 4:15 6:1
N	193:20 194:1 195:8	nodding 59:3 64:3	135:16 142:10	7:8,14 9:9,13 10:7
N 138:17,22	196:14,15,17,21	108:10	152:23 160:17,25	11:19 13:1,3,7
Nakia 2:9	201:23,25	non-BSC 65:5	161:5 164:2,3,4,6	14:11 15:24 16:9,18
name 11:22 12:3,3	needed 15:12 48:4	non-spendable 40:7	184:10,19,20,21	19:19,22 20:1 22:6
19:10 79:5,7 83:8	90:7 188:16	41:18	185:1 186:8 187:23	22:8,15,25 25:9,25
83:10 127:25 128:2	needs 23:13,14,21	Nonathletic 17:5	188:10	26:15,20,23 27:1,10
141:4 179:12	41:7,10 55:10,11,13	noncertified 124:5	numbers 14:13	27:17,21,24 28:4
names 8:10 10:13,14	73:1,6,10,13 79:16	noncompliance 186:8	122:10 148:16	29:25 30:8,18,25
94:16 179:7	79:16,17,18 101:11	189:5	155:20	31:10,19 35:3 36:5
Nathalie 2:3 3:20	108:17,24,25	nonrecurring 161:23		37:5,17 38:7,10
18:24 21:20 83:15	128:15 129:2,24	nope 5:12 13:3 81:14	0	39:17 40:3,5,11,14
88:12 110:19	135:15 136:17	normal 39:21	o'clock 163:16	40:15,25 41:2,22
152:11 154:20	146:20,24 147:1	normally 74:16	objective 128:7	42:19,20 43:9 44:5
nationals 18:14,20	150:25 151:12	202:16	obligations 167:19	45:8 46:17,23 47:1
nature 183:20	152:3 172:15	Northeast 127:7	observation 94:9,12	47:7,15,23 48:10
Naylor 2:6 4:3,4,20	negative 70:24 71:4,4	133:1 142:16,18	98:15 123:24	49:15,19 50:4,22
21:5 26:18 27:11	negotiation 182:21	143:3 147:19,21	128:25 153:9	51:1 52:1 53:21
30:3,5,8 31:25 32:7	net 191:16	149:11,21 151:17	observations 90:16	55:18 57:4,8 60:10
32:10 35:22 38:6,8	never 4:18 8:20 17:16	151:18,18 155:6	98:2 127:12,18	63:20 64:6,9 65:6
45:1,6 46:7,8 49:21	28:23 30:20 54:24	not-resolved 183:20	129:20 145:22	65:13 67:4 68:4,20
50:2 81:6,8 82:16	64:6 67:11,23 68:11	Notary 205:17	150:18 156:3,14,18	69:9 70:10 80:12,20
83:3 143:4,17,19,24	70:21 89:14 90:12	notation 132:17	159:24 179:9	82:12,21,25 83:13
144:2 145:5 149:7	90:19 109:23,24	note 35:4 94:14	186:23,24 187:22	84:18,19 86:16 87:1
149:10,17 150:8,10	131:5 147:1 151:10	108:11 123:9 132:6	obtaining 189:8	87:3,5 88:9,17 89:1
150:23 151:25	154:5 177:19,24	132:25 142:10	obviously 18:8 69:14	89:5,20 92:15,18
152:8 155:18,21,23	188:1 190:23	182:13	80:1 85:8 123:18	93:1 95:1,5 96:10
157:19 194:4 195:6	193:23	noted 85:5 87:13,25	137:10 144:4 151:1	97:16,21 98:1 104:9
near 148:5	new 55:14,14,15	102:1 131:10	152:19 158:19	108:22 109:4,6,11
necessarily 41:19	58:20 63:17 71:12	notes 36:23 52:13	165:18	109:23 110:1,4
130:21 169:24	71:18 77:3 80:5	66:24 82:12 198:5	OCA 2:8	112:10,15 113:2,4
need 5:8,20 6:2 7:10	83:10 103:14 117:7	200:9,17,19 205:10	occasions 133:10	114:1,15 115:4
11:15 17:24 18:3,7	138:7 145:17,17	notice 9:10 21:19	occur 171:5	116:6,8 117:25
18:15,18 19:13 26:4	148:19 150:11,16	176:16,24	occurred 129:11	118:5 120:17 123:3
26:16,17 27:2,17	150:22 151:22	noticed 91:14 147:3	137:20	123:22 125:4,22
31:16 33:12,15 43:7	152:7 180:24	notification 176:12	October 106:12	127:5,17 130:16
49:19 54:18 61:12	181:18,20 182:4,5	176:23	off-line 201:22	138:13,22 140:8
63:13 65:14,21 67:6	183:10,11 184:3,7	noting 145:23	offer 150:14,14	141:9 142:5 145:1
74:3 76:16 78:2	184:22,23 185:4,15	Nova 20:21,22 25:3,4	offered 150:15	147:8 149:17 152:9
80:17 81:1 91:12,24	186:22,24 187:1,22	148:5	office 2:7 43:10 67:9	152:15 153:15
92:3 100:7 101:18	188:11,22,24 189:1	November 58:24	91:11 126:16	155:12,24 157:14
108:15 109:5	191:20	87:18 181:15	officer 2:15,15 192:4	157:16,20 167:14
110:25 114:2,6	newspaper 176:8	NTP 98:18 101:8,8	oh 5:11 13:17,24 17:8	167:21 170:11
118:16 120:13	nexus 15:5 16:3	114:24	17:8 20:3 22:5,8	171:4,20 172:8

173:15,22 175:8,11
177:12 178:3,11,20
179:23 180:18,21
182:18,25 191:15
194:19,24 195:19
195:22,22 196:9,12
196:15 200:6
201:14,20 202:9,19
203:16,20 204:3,5
old 9:21 42:24 56:2
96:13 98:23 104:21
146:13 155:25
188:13
Oleg 2:18
_
Omar 2:19
omissions 113:22
114:11,16,17,20
onboard 102:20
once 45:4,5 54:13
118:10 144:11
149:17,18 155:9
158:18 175:6
198:14
one-hundred 64:20
one-week 66:23
one-year 59:10
ones 52:12 54:13,14
58:11 87:24 133:2
135:17 142:20
169:20 187:12
ongoing 90:2 184:14
online 4:12 44:21
54:14 70:11 150:6
onsite 149:14
onward 34:17
open 23:4 87:12,18
88:1
opened 23:10,15,22
operate 14:13
operating 61:6,8
62:19 64:21
operation 61:6 62:18
operational 149:23
Operations 2:10,14
2:16,17
opine 67:12 152:18
153:2
opined 141:3
opinion 165:18 171:9
176:13
opportunity 150:4

opposed 5:1 25:24 26:14,25 27:16 28:3 32:4 51:15 69:24 71:23 81:13 117:6 127:2 157:4 194:11
195:1 order 3:5,6 57:9 68:25 69:5 73:15 98:18 100:17 101:10 188:19,20
orders 87:15 88:5 100:12,19 101:23 102:16,19 103:7,14 112:8 org 55:15 91:15
organic 139:4 organization 73:11 109:6 119:14 organizations 14:12 16:15 24:6
original 135:15 136:16 147:3 181:6 183:4 originally 136:10 182:15,18
ourself 160:22 outlined 77:14 outlines 77:12 outs 35:25 outside 14:12 15:17
outstanding 192:22 overall 93:22 overbillings 180:6 overdue 91:10
overlooked 74:21 Overseeing 89:3 oversight 16:10,13,16 overspent 122:11 overview 56:15 owe 177:18 192:20 owed 190:3 191:16
owner 101:4

P-card 73:24 74:20 74:22,23,25 75:1,2 75:6,17,25 76:11,22 76:24 77:2,5,12,21 78:6,10,21 80:2,4,5 P-cards 73:21 75:23

p.m 1:11 204:9,12
packet 37:19,20 38:5
46:14,15,24 47:4
48:6
packets 48:7 81:21
page 83:18 84:19
page 03.10 04.19
85:4,11 87:10 90:16
90:22 93:9 96:6,7
97:10 98:14,15 99:1
99:2 132:7 138:4,8
138:8,11,19 145:22
147:15 152:17
156:4,10,11 181:9,9
181:10 182:10,12
183:2 186:17,17,22
188:10
pages 85:11 136:9
198:25
paid 70:11 98:7 105:2
125:2,3 185:2 188:3
188:8,16,16,18
192:22 193:10,23
Panthers 15:22,22
paper 7:15 72:10
paperwork 88:13
paragraph 39:22
182:13
Pardon 42:9 81:7
83:1 175:19
part 23:3,7,11,15,23
24:16 48:5,20 63:23
63:24 65:9,14 76:25
86:23 89:16 91:17
106:17 116:4
122:12 128:20
129:22 130:4
135:23 136:1,3
137:7 140:14,15,16
142:2 145:24
149:11 161:24
165:9 171:1,2,2
181:19 191:14
192:19 202:6
partially 87:8,8
particular 23:17 79:6
99:18 130:20 181:1
188:17
partly 144:6
partner 179:13,14
party 20:23 152:25
154:3

pass 7:18 63:7 passed 28:18 136:8 169:8 **Passes** 25:25 **patch** 200:4 **Pavel** 4:1 pay 70:17 72:18 115:16 120:2,4,10 123:1 124:14 **pay-** 97:1 pay-to-play 20:20 payable 193:8 **paying** 72:14,14 81:16 98:4 120:15 123:15,16 188:13 **payment** 70:11,12 105:1 121:22,22 124:10,11,12 192:16 payments 99:19,25 100:4 105:5 pays 73:10 **PCG** 118:7 179:18 180:23 181:3,20 182:8,14,19,21 183:11 188:14 191:9,14 192:8 193:19 194:20 195:3 **PDF** 44:20,20 **PDFs** 90:8 **peer** 178:18 **peeve** 73:21 peeves 46:5 penalization 115:12 penalize 115:12 people 9:22 51:18 54:6,9 56:4 58:19 59:3 63:11 67:14 72:8 77:23 83:8 84:5 90:7 95:6 105:18 111:18 117:1,22,22 119:4 119:22 120:2,10 122:8 123:10,18 144:20 156:7 173:10 189:17 190:11 193:9 199:2 201:22 **people's** 142:24 **peoples** 165:11

per- 78:24 **percent** 36:18 37:1,1 37:3,4,12,21,23 38:18 39:1,16 40:19 50:12,13,16,16,19 50:20,21 64:20 69:21 70:16 72:25 73:2,6 121:4,5 124:5,9 158:11 162:10,11 167:16 167:22 168:9 169:6 169:13,15,20,24 170:2,15,22,23 171:10 183:13 189:5 203:11 **percentage** 50:8,9,9 50:10 124:3,4 percentages 39:14 Perfect 13:7 **perform** 61:12 89:10 128:6,8 performance 87:2 performed 145:24 **period** 53:12 93:23 114:25 142:15 161:8 202:12 periodically 78:25 permanent 151:17 **Permission** 22:13 **permit** 71:22 **person** 13:4 17:17 26:4 54:19 61:7 62:2 63:17 74:19 78:6,19 79:7 82:20 85:3,15 87:4 125:15 150:1 173:12,13,15 173:21 174:2,3,5,20 175:4,19,20 177:15 178:5 191:21 199:6 201:18,19,25,25 **personal** 73:21 74:12 75:14 186:4 187:10 190:9,12,16,24 personally 20:6 perspective 10:21 78:14 184:9 186:11 **pertains** 28:7 184:11 pet 46:5 73:21 **Peter** 2:5 4:9 63:9 118:1 petition 24:4

phase 93:16,19
Phil 92:5,5,8,23
Phillips 2:15 10:17
11:5,11,17 191:13
191:18 192:22
193:1,15
phone 13:18 190:12
190:13,14,16,18,22
190:24
phoned 4:12
phones 190:13
phones 190.13 phonetic 92:24
105:17 140:21
photographs 142:14
photos 141:17
phrase 118:12
Phyllis 2:4 4:5 13:5
57:5 63:15,22 80:19
115:2 118:1 125:23
141:10 142:17
162:17,21 175:18
177:16 194:21,22
194:23
Phyllis' 21:23
physical 46:9
physically 178:10
pick 61:8 130:22
143:23 145:16
picked 58:20
picking 72:12 85:8
87:4 164:12,13
picture 119:10 140:3
pictures 132:22
139:17 140:8 145:8
piece 59:20 61:22
114:17 142:7
pieces 7:14 67:17
192:15,16,18
place 9:19 15:1,8
55:8,11,12 61:4,22
62:2 63:17 65:23,24
66:5 78:9 80:14
121:8,10,20 156:20
188:25
placed 29:11 66:22
placed 29:11 66:22 placeholders 94:18
_
places 16:6
Plains 64:24
plan 6:12 7:11,17,19
27:18 28:8,12,22
93:20 94:4,5,11,17

05.0 06.4 16.10
95:8 96:4,16,18
147:2 195:9,14,19
200:21 201:1
planned 105:14
planning 94:14 142:7
145:25 151:11
158:6 165:8 178:5
178:17 200:24
plans 146:3
plant 132:20
Plantation 106:6
platform 7:11
play 188:12
please 3:7,10 83:16
180:16
pledge 3:6,8
plop 12:10
plus 30:1 170:4
PMOR 89:9,23 90:24
91:1,3 93:10 94:6
97:5 102:17
PMR 101:11
pockets 33:10
point 15:5 17:1 29:12
36:20 55:7 57:19
63:16 68:2,11,22
73:23 100:6,14
101:9 105:15 109:9
123:7 125:4 138:16
146:15 151:21
160:9 166:22 182:9
189:10 196:11
pointed 63:22
-
pointing 38:22 63:4
points 81:5
poised 146:7
Police 119:2
policies 66:4 121:20
159:19 164:23
165:1,12
policy 12:21 13:23
14:4,6,10,24 15:1,6
20:6,10,15 21:3,17
21:18 22:11,17 24:3
24:5 25:21 30:5
36:9,14,19 37:3
55:10 61:11,14
62:20,23 64:22
74:24,25 75:5,6,7
75:20,24,25 76:4,10
76:15,17,22 77:1,3
, 0.13,11,22 / / / / / /

77.5 11 00 70 11
77:5,11,22 78:11
79:11,16 81:22
122:22 158:18,19
163:1,4 164:15,16 164:22 167:15,16
169:5,8 177:7
178:16 183:22,25
183:25 186:3,5,9 187:10 189:1,4
190:8,25 191:1,7
203:23
policy-development 24:16
poll 173:9
poof 34:20 148:25
poor 18:3
popped 44:8
portables 115:17
position 9:21 57:23
61:14,24 62:3 64:23
66:16 91:14 112:12
153:10
positions 94:18,24
positive 108:9
possibilities 178:9
possible 19:4 31:11
33:5 34:7 38:20
59:25 109:19
172:20
possibly 188:7
posted 98:17
potential 126:6,19
185:7 187:7
potentially 99:15
146:8 161:20
193:15
PowerPoint 130:24
136:8 140:9
PowerPoints 132:2
practice 53:16 54:12
54:15,23 56:20 63:4
64:7,11,23 67:16
practices 56:2 189:24
pre-AECOM 85:22
predated 86:4
predominantly 182:3
199:25
preface 152:19
prepare 105:9
prepared 186:7
present 4:8,10,25

25.22 26.12 24
25:23 26:12,24
27:15 28:2 81:12
127:1 157:3 180:11
194:10,25
presentation 142:1
197:20
presented 36:15 37:2
39:15 133:11 198:4
200:14
presents 190:23
press 176:25
_
presume 107:15
124:3
pretend 141:22
pretty 73:16 152:24
prevent 153:17
previous 34:1 76:25
102:16 181:2 184:4
185:5 186:21 192:4
192:18 193:5,9
primarily 46:2 189:6
primary 89:10
prime 124:1,10,11
principal 24:8 80:2
143:5,6,21 144:4,19
150:19 152:2
principals 10:12
54:16 148:22
Principles 40:4
printed 38:9 48:11
132:23
printing 170:12
prior 5:17 13:11
86:11 87:6,6 94:9
· ·
98:15
prioritized 191:2,4
priority 107:15,18,20
107:22 142:12,13
187:18,19
Pritykina 2:10
privileges 80:3
proactively 112:24
probably 17:16 35:1
59:22 66:2 73:20
75:22 78:22 111:12
118:3,4 133:1
154:10 163:7
179:25 182:25
191:11 202:20
problem 23:15 53:3
62:9 82:3 90:25

i e
102.1.104.10.100.6
102:1 104:18 108:6
117:9 122:6,7
126:14 148:22
151:8,9 157:16
177:11
problems 59:2
118:11 142:18
151:4,5 190:24
procedure 61:6,9,15
62:14
procedures 61:22
*
62:19 64:21 66:4
89:12,17 121:20
proceeding 195:6
proceedings 3:1
15:18 38:2 205:9
process 31:7 64:18
69:19 70:25 75:5
79:17 102:7,8,16
103:3 120:10 122:1
124:16 133:22
176:5,7,8 182:16,19
184:17 185:16,17
106 6 100 17 100 7
1 186:6 188:1 / 189: /
186:6 188:17 189:7
191:3
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7
191:3
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11 129:23 130:8
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11 129:23 130:8 147:24 148:7,8
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11 129:23 130:8 147:24 148:7,8 149:1
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11 129:23 130:8 147:24 148:7,8 149:1 programming 148:21
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11 129:23 130:8 147:24 148:7,8 149:1 programming 148:21 153:14
191:3 processes 54:7 176:7 processor 70:11,13 proclaiming 154:1 procured 182:21 procurement 121:18 181:14 182:24 183:7 192:1,17 193:21 produce 10:23 11:1 93:8 produced 10:18 professional 165:19 proffered 29:9 program 5:24 27:3,8 42:2 83:22 88:21,24 89:2,4,25 90:10 91:3 93:22 94:24 97:14 102:18 125:13 128:11 129:23 130:8 147:24 148:7,8 149:1 programming 148:21

The state of the
progressive 74:15 108:4 176:16 83:19 89:14,17 Radcliff 2:11 Rebecca 3:14 recoil 15:22 Rebecca 3:14 rebuilt 15:4:22 recall 142:4 198:17 recall 142:4 198:17 recall 142:4 198:17 recall 142:4 198:17 receipt 74:2,3,6,11 75:14 receive 46:7 48:18
Prohibit 15:15
project 85:9,12,23 published 117:20 published 117:2
97:20 99:21 103:2,9
104:19,23,24
114:24 124:16
130:24 132:1
133:25 136:11,20
141:8,23 142:11,12 147:15 165:1 168:4 58:17 60:1,4 62:25 rates 94:25 100:11 101:7 181:20 191:2 pulling 85:21 64:16 68:20 69:18 rating 160:23,24 receiving 7:4 projected 93:21 pump 90:20 96:9,20 97:13 rating-type 164:22 recited 3:8 projects 85:5,7,9 punted 136:16 101:17,20 104:2 ratings 199:17 recommend 21:15 90:20 93:22 98:21 purchase 90:5 188:18 114:7,10 117:1 re-budgets 167:19 113:2 159:12 99:17,18 100:14 188:20 118:10 132:5 133:3 re-purposed 159:2 recommendation 115:16 116:2,15,17 77:1,3 140:13 145:9 149:8 158:15 37:3 71:12,18 72:4 119:1 123:19 129:6 purpose 160:18 181:5 152:23 153:19 read 32:14 82:6 77:2 156:8 159:20 141:23 purposes 155:14 157:24 162:3 166:8 89:21 recommendations promises 142:21 pursuing 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 prompt 203:11 112:6 questioned 54:22 ready 44:11 81:21 156:15,17 163:18 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 163:18 170
181:20 191:2
projected 93:21 pump 90:20 96:9,20 97:13 rating-type 164:22 recited 3:8 87:13 88:22 90:17 90:20 93:22 98:21 90:20 93:22 98:21 101:17,20 104:2 ratings 199:17 recommend 21:15 99:17,18 100:14 188:20 114:7,10 117:1 re-budgets 167:19 113:2 159:12 103:13 104:1,7,20 purchasing 75:23 133:4 135:5 139:7 re-purposed 159:2 recommendation 119:1 123:19 129:6 purpose 160:18 181:5 purpose 160:18 181:5 152:23 153:19 read 32:14 82:6 77:2 156:8 159:20 promised 131:13,24 183:23 167:8 175:24 89:21 recommendations 141:23 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 prompt 203:11 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 puestioning 52:9 ready 44:11 81:21 156:15,17 163:18
projects 85:5,7,9 punted 136:16 101:17,20 104:2 ratings 199:17 recommend 21:15 87:13 88:22 90:17 90:20 93:22 98:21 purchase 90:5 188:18 114:7,10 117:1 raw 90:8 22:14,15,16,17 99:17,18 100:14 188:20 118:10 132:5 133:3 re-budgets 167:19 113:2 159:12 103:13 104:1,7,20 purchasing 75:23 133:4 135:5 139:7 reached 105:23 152:4 21:12 22:14 36:16 119:1 123:19 129:6 purpose 160:18 181:5 152:23 153:19 read 32:14 82:6 77:2 156:8 159:20 141:23 purposes 155:14 157:24 162:3 166:8 89:21 recommend 21:15 19 reposes 142:21 purposes 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 31:16 56:14,19 79: 10 recommend 21:15 13:21 25:14 13:21 25:12 13:22 13:23 10 recommend 21:15 13:22 15:22 13:24 13:25 13:3 15:25:23 15:35:13 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23 15:25:23
87:13 88:22 90:17 90:20 93:22 98:21 199:17,18 100:14 103:13 104:1,7,20 115:16 116:2,15,17 119:1 123:19 129:6 142:12,13 promised 131:13,24 141:23 prompt 203:11 properly 135:22 Punzi-Elabiary 2:20 purchase 90:5 188:18 114:7,10 117:1 118:10 132:5 133:3 114:7,10 117:1 118:10 132:5 133:3 118:10 132:5 133:3 118:10 132:5 133:3 114:7,10 117:1 118:10 132:5 133:3 114:7,10 117:1 118:10 132:5 133:3 114:7,10 117:1 118:10 132:5 133:3 114:11 18:15 133:14 118:10 132:5 133:3 114:11 18:15 133:14 118:10 132:5 133:3 118:10 132:14 82:6 158:15 177:16 180:13 188:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 133:14
90:20 93:22 98:21 purchase 90:5 188:18 114:7,10 117:1 re-budgets 167:19 113:2 159:12 99:17,18 100:14 188:20 118:10 132:5 133:3 re-purposed 159:2 recommendation 103:13 104:1,7,20 purchasing 75:23 133:4 135:5 139:7 reached 105:23 152:4 21:12 22:14 36:16 119:1 123:19 129:6 purpose 160:18 181:5 152:23 153:19 read 32:14 82:6 77:2 156:8 159:20 142:12,13 purposes 155:14 157:24 162:3 166:8 89:21 recommendations promised 131:13,24 183:23 167:8 175:24 reading 14:4 24:22 31:16 56:14,19 79: 141:23 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 prompt 203:11 pursuing 106:23 184:11 188:5 85:14 86:19 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
99:17,18 100:14 103:13 104:1,7,20 115:16 116:2,15,17 119:1 123:19 129:6 142:12,13 promised 131:13,24 141:23 promises 142:21 prompt 203:11 properly 135:22 1188:20 purchasing 75:23 133:4 135:5 139:7 140:13 145:9 149:8 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 152:23 153:19 153:24 162:3 166:8 167:8 175:24 167:8 175:24 177:16 180:13 184:11 188:5 152:23 153:19 157:24 162:3 166:8 167:8 175:24 177:16 180:13 184:11 188:5 185:14 86:19 173:14 156:2,5,6,16 182:2 187:15
103:13 104:1,7,20 purchasing 75:23 133:4 135:5 139:7 reached 105:23 152:4 21:12 22:14 36:16 115:16 116:2,15,17 77:1,3 140:13 145:9 149:8 158:15 37:3 71:12,18 72:4 119:1 123:19 129:6 purpose 160:18 181:5 152:23 153:19 read 32:14 82:6 77:2 156:8 159:20 promised 131:13,24 183:23 167:8 175:24 89:21 recommendations promises 142:21 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 prompt 203:11 properly 135:22 112:6 questioned 54:22 ready 44:11 81:21 156:15,17 163:18 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
115:16 116:2,15,17 77:1,3 140:13 145:9 149:8 158:15 37:3 71:12,18 72:4 119:1 123:19 129:6 purpose 160:18 181:5 152:23 153:19 read 32:14 82:6 77:2 156:8 159:20 142:12,13 purposes 155:14 157:24 162:3 166:8 89:21 recommendations promised 131:13,24 183:23 167:8 175:24 reading 14:4 24:22 31:16 56:14,19 79: 141:23 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 prompt 203:11 pursuing 106:23 184:11 188:5 85:14 86:19 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
119:1 123:19 129:6 purpose 160:18 181:5 152:23 153:19 read 32:14 82:6 77:2 156:8 159:20 142:12,13 purposes 155:14 157:24 162:3 166:8 89:21 recommendations promised 131:13,24 183:23 167:8 175:24 reading 14:4 24:22 31:16 56:14,19 79: 141:23 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 promises 142:21 pursuing 106:23 184:11 188:5 85:14 86:19 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 prompt 203:11 112:6 questioned 54:22 ready 44:11 81:21 156:15,17 163:18 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
142:12,13 purposes 155:14 157:24 162:3 166:8 89:21 recommendations promised 131:13,24 183:23 167:8 175:24 reading 14:4 24:22 31:16 56:14,19 79: 141:23 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 promises 142:21 pursuing 106:23 184:11 188:5 85:14 86:19 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
promised 131:13,24 183:23 167:8 175:24 reading 14:4 24:22 31:16 56:14,19 79: 141:23 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 promises 142:21 pursuing 106:23 184:11 188:5 85:14 86:19 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioned 54:22 reading 14:4 24:22 156:15,17 163:18 106:23 112:6 112
141:23 pursue 106:23 177:16 180:13 38:14 69:22,25 81:4 99:22 116:14 promises 142:21 pursuing 106:23 184:11 188:5 85:14 86:19 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 prompt 203:11 112:6 questioned 54:22 ready 44:11 81:21 156:15,17 163:18 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
promises 142:21 pursuing 106:23 184:11 188:5 85:14 86:19 133:14 156:2,5,6,10 prompt 203:11 112:6 questioned 54:22 ready 44:11 81:21 156:15,17 163:18 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
prompt 203:11 112:6 questioned 54:22 ready 44:11 81:21 156:15,17 163:18 properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
properly 135:22 push 151:1,1 163:1,4 questioning 52:9 163:18 170:19 182:2 187:15
property 15:16 197:2 questions 9:17 37:10 190:4 recommended 94:7
proposed 13:23 36:9 put 7:10 10:12,13 51:21,24,25 52:4,7 real 131:23 151:24 recommending 25:20
158:13 201:1 11:22,23 12:2,3 63:21 83:3 90:16 176:24 190:7 reconciles 74:10
protect 117:21
protocol 5:15 19:8 38:5 80:14 127:13 130:11 realize 90:23 92:15 172:15 205:10
provide 10:4 11:18 94:2,18 95:9,11,12 133:6 159:7 179:3 realized 196:15 recorded 72:22
14:16 31:18 65:22 95:14 108:19 109:4 179:20 189:12 really 20:18 53:11 records 71:16 117:13
66:7 92:3 93:19 125:16 150:5 190:7 191:25 59:14 63:12,12 190:13,18
94:23 97:8 105:18 151:21 152:6 194:15 73:15 81:4 95:10 recourse 18:21 86:11
105:24 127:24 159:22 163:25 quick 57:20 118:9 100:7 119:9 125:1 recover 111:1 112:17
129:18 131:11
138:2 140:10 169:5 177:21,24,25 quickly 160:21 145:13 156:6 117:14 120:21
173:14 176:23 184:4 188:8,25 161:13 175:14 159:12,17 160:13 180:9 190:2,2
183:1 200:20 182:11 161:4 163:21 200:1 recovered 103:16
provided 42:12 45:17 puts 70:13 quit 106:11 147:25 201:24 108:23 111:24
48:1 68:7 85:10
94:5,21 124:18
129:8 132:3 138:1 164:6 quorum 5:12 13:20 85:16 96:3 97:6 189:21
146:23
Provided = 2,1,12,13
prudent 100.1
PTAs 16:5,16 109:6 quantifying 108:9 R 205:2 201:24 204:4 redefining 146:2

redid 96:22	removed 70:14 97:2,4	83:11,25 179:8	responded 94:1	revisit 12:20
redo 19:14	137:9,9,13 160:6	205:16	responds 112:21	rewriting 39:13
redoing 143:6	renew 53:24 191:11	reporting 1:22 2:18	response 3:13,15,17	RFPs 117:18
reduce 151:17	191:12	2:22 55:5,12 89:7	3:19 4:2,6 5:2 6:10	rhetorical 60:3,6
refer 93:16	renewal 95:17	93:10,13 96:11,24	7:25 28:19 45:24	Rhodes 2:8 3:9,11,14
referenced 75:23	renewing 191:13	97:12	47:15 48:21 49:16	3:16,18,20,24 4:1,3
referendum 168:19	renovate 134:17	reports 27:5 32:17,23	50:1 74:18,19 95:15	4:5,7,9 6:4 7:25 8:2
168:24	146:17 149:20	48:16 50:6 56:11	99:12 138:1,15	9:1,7,10 10:2 12:25
referred 41:23 181:4	154:2,18,19 155:9,9	84:25 89:14 92:24	149:3 187:15	13:5,9,11,16 14:9
reflected 59:4 130:21	renovated 146:17	94:3,8 114:6 116:12	responses 46:18	35:3,12 37:24 38:3
regard 175:13 188:21	renovating 135:2	133:15 136:14	47:16 48:17 49:4,5	38:7,10,16 42:17
regarding 69:18	146:5	137:16 179:17	49:17,23 138:10	43:21,23 45:3,4
113:10,14 126:12	renovations 145:11	199:5	responsibilities 65:15	46:5,12 50:24 51:2
130:5 181:1,13	renowned 119:3	represent 29:23	76:16	57:24 64:9 67:14
186:3 190:9	reoccurring 58:21	representative 33:3	responsibility 12:24	68:1 80:2,25 83:6
regardless 121:13	repair 132:10	represented 169:14	65:22 67:16,20 78:3	93:7 112:13,19
regards 77:19 109:11	repeat 35:9,10 96:3	repurpose 150:5	89:11 91:13 101:7	113:1 126:11
regional 2:16 9:23	147:12 187:3	request 8:4 31:20	responsible 75:12	161:19 171:18
10:2	rephrase 30:13	37:14 42:8 65:7	77:23 91:1,18 93:18	172:25 173:2
registration 71:7,8,9	replace 145:15	114:25 116:15	101:14,16 102:12	174:21 175:8,11
regret 144:17	146:16 154:2,17	126:11,17	rest 106:15 123:21	176:4,10,17 177:3,6
regular 23:7	155:5	requested 109:9,24	restricted 40:7	177:12 178:8
regulatory 62:22	replaced 40:15	128:5 182:5	restroom 196:18	198:15,17 199:3
reimbursed 75:15	125:16 131:3	requesting 65:11	restrooms 145:10,16	200:16 201:6,8,14
reimbursement 186:9	134:17,18 140:14	require 30:5 139:2	result 10:19 27:5	201:17,20 202:1,5
reiterate 45:10 65:7	142:24	required 29:9 40:5	retainage 100:4	ridiculous 123:2
67:7	replacement 155:8	42:3 85:10 121:12	121:4	riding 32:6
related 5:23 6:10,11	replacing 135:2	requirement 96:11	retained 186:2	Riggs 25:13 157:15
20:13 28:12 47:13	140:17,24 146:19	requirements 40:1	retention 185:23	163:19 178:21
47:16 85:5 115:20	report 41:17 44:12	67:15 93:11,13	retiring 163:23	179:13,15 194:8
181:13 182:3	44:20 46:22,24	96:24 158:19	returned 30:2 184:16	195:3 197:7
183:16 184:20	47:12,14,18,18,19	requires 102:9 103:2	revenues 8:10,12	right 3:3 4:11,17,24
185:3 186:11	47:25 48:20,20 49:3	119:25	10:24 12:4 72:24	5:3,7 6:5,8 7:22 8:7
relates 64:23 165:11	49:9 50:7 52:11	reroof 136:2,3	reverse 85:18	13:7,8,21 15:7,19
184:1 188:24	82:9 83:19 92:4,19	reroofed 138:9	review 28:15 54:18	16:11,19,25 19:12
relationship 182:14	93:2,4 94:7,11 95:2	reroofing 128:19	57:20 64:22 65:16	20:1,17 21:11 22:10
relaying 144:19	95:9,12 97:12,17	129:11 138:6	65:17,18 78:2 85:10	22:12,20,22 25:11
release 99:24	113:11 114:4,10,13	research 119:25	88:4 100:22 102:23	25:13,18,20 26:1,7
relegate 98:14	118:6 123:25 124:1	142:7	103:2,3,8,13,15	28:3,4 32:24 34:13
reliving 54:3	124:18,20 125:1,25	reserve 123:21	144:17 147:4 159:8	34:13,15,16 35:2
rely 120:23	126:10,23 127:3	167:17	178:18 191:1	36:22 37:9 38:11
remain 139:2 183:15	128:23 129:21	resolution 182:2	reviewed 39:8 42:12	43:9,15,19 44:2,5,8
remaining 30:11	130:4,9,16 138:20	resolve 73:15 185:11	43:16 54:24 85:12	48:4,9 49:4 50:17
remains 61:15	139:6,11 146:23	resolved 92:12,16	102:10 103:8	52:1,7 54:5 56:23
remember 6:1 58:8	157:2 161:10 181:1	135:12 183:8	153:11 159:25,25	57:1 59:24 61:20
68:6 78:18 123:16	181:3,14,25 183:12	184:12,18,21,25	165:3,4 192:7	66:13 68:9,19 69:4
170:3 197:5 199:19	187:16 194:9,16	185:6,25 186:5,15	reviewing 102:15	76:19 79:24 80:23
remind 88:22 175:12	195:3 205:8	186:20	103:7 121:25	81:13,18 82:8,12,22
reminder 142:7	reported 40:6,9 41:9	resources 193:19	reviews 88:4	83:4 84:6,18 85:15
remit 71:14 remove 135:22	116:20 135:16	respect 110:20 respectfully 107:25	revise 22:13 revised 54:24 78:8	86:24 88:18 89:13
1 ciliove 155:22	reporter 1:21,22 2:22	respectiony 107:23	1 eviseu 34.24 / 8.8	93:1,6,9 95:21,22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		<u> </u>		l
96:1 97:10,21,22,24	136:4,6 137:6	saving 32:19	118:23 119:19	156:23,24 162:21
99:11 101:5 104:9	142:18	saw 34:19 45:6	123:17 128:13,18	194:4,5,22
107:4,10 108:19	roofing 92:14 132:12	119:22 120:9	128:22 130:23	seconds 51:19
109:7 111:7,7	138:17,22 141:21	130:21 132:17	132:20,21 133:11	secretary 44:3
116:10,19,21	147:3,4	145:3,9 155:18,18	147:18 148:5,24	section 37:13 41:13
118:12 122:17,17	roofs 91:5,16,22	163:22 168:7	149:24 150:6 164:5	129:19 140:16
122:18 123:22	131:2 136:10	171:12 200:25	164:25 173:18	159:14 199:12
124:23 125:8,19	142:24,25	saying 8:16 10:21	174:18 175:25	secure 160:22
126:9,22 127:3,8	room 1:16 9:5 13:20	15:1 16:2 24:12	181:18 182:15	see 8:22 9:5 10:2 11:2
130:12 137:3,22	95:6 108:5 135:10	32:5 39:23 42:4	200:23	13:24 26:1 33:7,12
141:5 143:4,17,19	148:16 164:12	66:14 72:4,9 80:9	school's 17:23 18:2	33:18,25 34:3,9,25
143:24 144:2	165:7 175:7 179:24	81:15 86:20,22	23:5,6,10 24:1,18	38:4 40:11,13,13
145:19 149:23	202:3	94:21 99:6 101:6	25:7 28:17	42:10,24,25 46:22
150:13 152:8,9	root 53:3 101:19	110:10 117:2	schools 8:25 11:21,22	49:10 59:3 60:7
155:22 156:22	ROTC 17:8	138:10 154:7	11:23 12:8 14:16	65:8,19,20 67:8
157:5,8,19,23 158:5	rotten 59:20	156:13 159:4,24	19:9,10 20:19 23:2	74:20 77:23 85:2,4
158:7,10,20 159:23	row 132:7,13	164:13,14 166:9	24:9 29:11,22 34:9	87:21 88:14 89:14
161:17 162:8,17,20	RSM 2:24,25 81:19	177:20,22 193:14	34:25 46:14,15	90:12 93:24 94:1,9
164:5 168:2 170:19	82:4,5,8 84:6,7,9	198:7 201:12	47:11,25 48:17,22	94:10 97:17 120:14
171:18 172:21	85:19 88:20 93:4	says 8:18 12:21 23:22	50:15,21,23 51:4	120:17 124:1,12
173:11,17,22,24	113:4 118:6 126:23	37:6,12,21,22 39:20	53:23 56:23 58:9	130:12 131:12
174:24 175:15	127:3,5,10 128:2	39:24 40:20,22 41:3	65:2 66:17 80:25	132:12 136:9
176:22 178:4,12	152:17 157:1,6	42:3 49:16 61:9	100:1 115:23 123:9	138:17 139:10,19
179:11,17 187:21	200:4	74:11 76:15 80:3	128:10,17 131:22	139:21,22 141:16
190:6 193:25 194:1	rule 32:16 50:19	97:10 117:4,9	134:3 135:3 136:23	142:23 146:19
194:12 195:1,2,5,12	rules 15:21 24:5 29:8	138:25 152:2,20	143:11 144:24	147:5 150:11
195:22,25 196:7	39:23 183:7	167:15,22 169:6	145:14 154:22	155:14 156:7,21
197:8,11,18 198:22	run 126:15 135:11	SBBC's 40:22 41:8	155:6 159:2	157:6 165:10
199:8 200:2,5 202:6	196:17	42:4	scope 89:21 128:11	170:16 171:5 177:6
202:11 203:10,13	running 88:21 122:4	scared 17:25	129:12 132:14	179:4 187:20
203:16,20 204:8	137:5	scenario 110:23	136:13 137:7,10,14	190:13,14 193:13
rise 3:7	Ruth 2:2 3:12 13:17	113:6	137:15,16,24 139:5	193:20 195:5
risk 25:14 51:5	174:15,21	schedule 90:21 172:1	139:11 142:3 144:7	203:10
108:21 118:8	Ryan 2:19 64:14	schedules 147:9	181:19	seeing 24:9 36:3
187:17 190:10,17	S	scheme 104:15	scoped 129:6,23	37:16 53:11 55:9
195:7,16,23,25		school 1:6 6:11,23	scopes 128:9,12	95:13 98:24 125:14
196:2 197:1 198:21	S 2:16	7:24 8:9,13 10:23	129:25	seen 17:17 32:12 64:6
199:3,10,16,17	S/M/WBE 147:8	15:16 17:4,15 18:5	Scott 1:22 2:22 205:7	67:11,23,24,25 68:5
200:1	SAC 6:8,21 32:10,12	18:14 20:7 21:3,16	205:16	68:6,11 74:19
Rob 2:23 179:12	33:15,15,20 35:5,14	22:10,17 23:11,23	screen 10:8 39:18	119:18 139:24
186:9	SACs 30:9 32:1,18	24:11,13 25:16,21	scroll 138:5	189:25 190:23
Robert 2:3 3:22 26:9	sake 185:21	27:3,8,18 28:6,11	seat 107:16	selected 47:11 80:25
26:21 27:10,21	sample 85:5,7,9 87:13 119:18 189:6	28:15,16,21 29:16	second 17:21 21:5,12	123:11 182:19
173:24		31:4,12,13 32:22	26:8,19,20 27:11,12	send 9:10,16 10:20
role 80:5	sampled 189:2 samples 85:12	33:11 35:4,16 36:6	27:17 37:17 77:18	35:12 45:15 65:25
roll 3:9,12	_	39:25 49:24 51:7,10	80:19 83:7 125:23	66:1,10 117:8
rolling 29:24 35:18	Santa 34:23	57:17,22 58:2 61:7	162:18,19 164:4	139:17,22 172:23
35:19	SAP 36:2 sat 104:12 108:2	61:10 62:21 64:22	169:8 182:6,12	200:16
rollover 32:19 34:19	141:14	64:22 69:15 70:14	187:7 194:15,18	Senior 172:6
roof 91:5 92:9,12	save 106:7	70:18,18 74:13 77:9	seconded 22:20 26:9	sense 60:6 95:10
93:3,4 135:24 136:1	5a v C 100./	106:6,20 108:4	27:22 80:21 126:23	197:2
		l		l

sent 7:25 8:7 37:24
38:3,25 44:19 45:4
45:15 99:22 109:22
sentences 146:21
Sentinel 177:1
SEPA 183:16 187:24
191:16 192:15
separate 11:8 23:8,24
63:24 75:6,24 77:5
94:12 109:6
separately 14:14 27:7
156:3 187:14
September 58:23
87:12,18 98:22
100:10,11
sequencing 102:5
series 89:25
served 130:7
serves 142:6
service 1:22 14:16
services 2:17 41:19
183:23 184:2,5,8
set 10:15 11:15 28:10
73:3 108:25 122:24
160:5 161:7 169:24
172:13
settlement 193:3,4
seven 17:12 26:3
47:24
seventeen 17:14
shake 91:7
shaking 59:5,16
shame 67:1,2
share 12:7 33:7 72:16
132:22 133:8
shared 6:8 10:16
116:22
Shaw 2:4 4:5 13:6
38:2 45:11,14 57:7
59:24 60:15,18
65:13,20 67:5 72:17
74:22 75:16 76:1,5
76:7,10,14,20 80:19
80:21 81:22 82:1
96:7,9,11,14,21
98:12,13 99:2,5,8
100:2,25 101:6
102:6 103:20
104:10,17 107:18
110:13 111:2,5,16
114:19,22 115:3,24
114.17,22 113.3,24

116.7 110.2 110.25
116:7 118:3 119:25 120:11,21 122:19
125:23 141:10
157:24 158:1,4
159:9 160:12
163:21,24 164:21
165:19 168:12
170:10,14 172:9,21 172:23 173:3,7,13
173:24 177:17
178:24 180:3
194:18 195:13,18
195:21 196:19,21
196:24 202:20
203:25
SHAW(Via 22:2,13 shed 10:17
sheet 166:10
sheets 130:20
Shell 74:5,6
Shelley 2:18 82:10
84:16 92:5 116:23
139:8 141:13 150:3
154:9 155:2 shenanigans 51:7,18
190:17,22
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17 131:2 137:22
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17 131:2 137:22 side 11:1 90:2 91:22
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17 131:2 137:22 side 11:1 90:2 91:22 sign 76:16 significant 32:19
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17 131:2 137:22 side 11:1 90:2 91:22 sign 76:16 significant 32:19 144:7
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17 131:2 137:22 side 11:1 90:2 91:22 sign 76:16 significant 32:19 144:7 signing 189:3
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17 131:2 137:22 side 11:1 90:2 91:22 sign 76:16 significant 32:19 144:7 signing 189:3 silliness 90:9
Shim 2:19 shocked 145:3 shoes 159:9 163:25 shoot 125:6 short 21:20 22:7 shorter 165:13 Shorthand 205:16 show 6:2 93:4 136:10 136:24 159:11 165:21 showed 8:8 128:19 130:17 showing 14:1,2 134:5 shown 134:24 183:8 shows 38:17 119:17 131:2 137:22 side 11:1 90:2 91:22 sign 76:16 significant 32:19 144:7 signing 189:3

186:9 199:13

simple 73:12,12
110:18 126:10
single 156:10,11
sir 6:15 43:22 149:16
sit 32:10 79:5,7
150:17
site 58:8 132:8,13
138:16,22 176:3
site-wide 132:9
135:14
sitting 45:22,25 57:18
74:1 190:15 196:16
situation 188:11
six 11:23 17:12 26:3
61:23,24 74:17
87:13 109:9 129:20
134:10 135:9,11
164:4 174:16
184:21
sixteen 17:13
size 150:12,13
skepticism 41:25
skipped 96:7
slated 154:22 155:7
slot 203:22
small 29:14 106:5
151:24
smaller 151:10,13,19
SMARSH 186:1
SMART 130:7
140:14,15 154:1
smell 31:6
Smiley 192:6
Smith 2:19 64:12,13
64:14 65:3 80:1
199:23
snapshot 44:14
sneeze 73:24
software 91:19 181:5
182:23 183:20
184:7 187:6 188:4
191:21 192:10,12
softwares 191:23
192:3
solicit 8:24
solicitation 182:19,22
Solutions 138:23,25
139:11 140:25
solve 177:10
somebody 9:17 12:5
55:21 59:13 62:1

69:20 71:23 72:8,10 72:12 73:22,22 74:9 75:10,12 78:18,19 101:11 117:10 119:24 155:4 164:7 someone's 51:7 somewhat 61:5 sooner 171:5 **SOP** 90:22 sorry 13:16 29:8 34:5 35:9 36:25 46:11 75:16 82:2 84:12 86:1 88:8 96:7 98:14 101:2 102:8 104:17 106:1 138:7 143:2 160:14 167:10 179:4 180:12 199:24 **sort** 11:4,25 19:8 36:4 37:10 75:6 81:1,15 127:9 145:19 148:24 **sorting** 12:13 **sound** 90:5 sounds 114:1 125:12 151:18 **sources** 144:16 **South** 1:23 Southeast 1:17 **space** 202:4 speak 42:18 57:14 118:10 143:10 159:11 160:14 **speaking** 11:5 36:10 83:12 149:25 speaks 28:11 148:21 Spec 2:11,11 **special** 5:18 27:6 43:8 163:7,10 195:14 197:5 200:9,9 Specialist 2:10 specializes 112:13 **specific** 10:6 43:17 94:16 116:14 129:9 145:20 specifically 35:15 125:18 176:20 181:13 specifications 143:16 specified 167:9 **specifies** 93:17 96:17

specify 50:7,22 169:13 specs 148:2,4 **spell** 107:23 **Spellman** 199:23 **spend** 16:6 57:13 59:22 75:10,19 103:23 110:7 **spending** 30:22 35:7 104:18 172:13 **spent** 29:11 108:23 109:13,14,15,18 165:2,9 **spike** 168:23 **spite** 142:20 **spoke** 165:12 187:10 spreadsheet 19:5 92:6 square 129:9,10 136:4,4 **staff** 2:7,13 10:18 12:22 59:5 60:25 62:13 66:5,9 103:1 115:22 154:7 155:25 190:12 staff's 126:16 **staffing** 93:20 94:3,5 94:11,17 95:8 96:4 96:16,18 staffing/responsibil... 93:15 stage 183:18 187:5 stakeholders 149:13 153:7 **stand-in** 179:7 **standard** 54:15,23 56:2,19,20 61:6,8 62:19 63:3 64:7,10 64:20,23 67:15 117:8 standards 40:2 182:24 183:7 **standing** 109:16 **start** 52:1,2 59:2,7 80:13 81:9 82:17 83:15,17 90:16 94:7 122:9 127:22 130:13 132:5 148:18 151:6,22 170:7,12 178:8 179:3,21 196:12

started 12:12 17:20	99:25 192:13	103:14	33:4,6,13,13 35:6	162:24,25 175:17
18:13 34:20 39:19	store 81:16	submit 102:9,19,22	35:17	tackle 178:16 185:22
50:9,13,14,18	straight 53:10 118:13	submitted 8:1 26:18	supply 30:2,12	tags 83:8
106:12 137:18	118:24	102:17 116:13	144:13	take 12:15 16:7 33:9
192:8 203:22	Stranahan 50:3 127:6	185:17	support 2:10,19 55:3	38:4 57:3 66:8,12
starting 21:19 35:24	130:23 132:6 134:9	subs 120:15 124:14	58:12 64:14	77:13 78:9 81:2
82:15,25 83:2 84:19	139:15 140:14	subsequent 20:13	supposed 4:13 12:22	87:17 95:20 100:23
84:21 129:17 179:1	145:10 146:18	182:20	13:6 55:2,3,5 66:16	104:2 109:13
180:22 199:1	147:14,17,17,23	substantial 87:14	72:25 89:23 91:18	112:10 117:10
starts 147:11	148:3,12 155:6	104:5	120:3 121:16 131:2	124:23 134:19
state 6:10 7:1,10	Strauss 2:5,16 4:7,8	substantially 123:20	131:19 137:7	144:23 146:8 150:5
14:23 15:14 29:6	5:22,25 16:10,12,15	133:24	142:11	158:6 159:10,10,15
30:5,14 32:14,16	16:18,20,23 27:23	substantiate 100:5	supposedly 32:10	161:4 177:13
34:8 50:22 77:10	27:23,24 45:25 46:3	subtle 146:21	109:12 155:14	179:11 181:11
78:8 83:10 99:14	46:8 53:18,22,25	subtract 11:4	Supt 2:14,14,16	189:11
146:16 149:17,19	54:4 55:20 58:8,13	sucks 109:2	sure 8:19 9:13 10:15	takeaways 129:22
149:20 165:1 205:4	77:18,19 78:13,19	sudden 23:18 34:20	11:7 12:11 17:6	taken 74:22 77:21
205:17	79:4,11 107:9,11,13	54:25 121:15	29:2 31:14 42:5	131:18 136:11
statement 40:3 79:25	108:18 109:22	193:10	43:23 45:23 52:16	140:9 142:14 146:9
162:10,15	110:4,15,19,25	sufficiencies 147:8	54:18 56:10 61:21	154:22,24 186:14
statements 109:10	111:20,25 112:16	sufficiency 67:10	65:14 66:15,18 68:7	takes 105:7,8
states 113:11 153:9	112:23 113:2 114:6	68:10	83:9,11 86:24 90:13	talk 57:15,18 58:12
Station 119:2	114:15,21 115:1,4	sufficient 67:13 71:11	91:21 98:23 102:4	61:17 104:4
status 47:25 50:7	115:21 116:6,8	122:24	121:21 127:11,19	talked 27:3 67:18
93:21 141:2 183:3	117:17 118:20	suggested 111:13,21	130:21 131:22	171:13 186:21
statute 7:10 14:23	119:6 120:6 124:17	111:21	132:4,25 136:22	187:13
15:14 29:8 32:14	124:24 174:17	suggesting 111:19	148:11 160:1,10	talking 18:10 37:15
39:14 193:17	197:3 198:8	Suite 1:23	162:5 165:24 189:8	38:20 43:18 47:16
statutes 6:10 40:2	Strauss's 55:7	suits 112:25	202:2,7	51:23 57:17 58:10
stay 57:23 58:22	stray 178:17	Sullivan 176:18	surety 98:20 100:3,7	61:2,20 74:4 96:16
122:18,18	street 131:5	sum- 47:1	105:23 121:11	98:25 100:7 104:1
staying 195:20,21	strengthen 78:11	summarize 50:5	surmise 58:18	134:23 145:2
STEM 17:8 143:7,7	stress 18:4	51:22 129:21	surpassed 87:14	149:24 201:10
144:21 145:2	stretch 196:17	summarized 199:17	surprised 134:3	202:12
147:14,18,22	structure 63:23 65:10	summarizes 46:21	survey 8:23 10:15,20	Tammy 2:24 82:10
148:12,15	struggling 134:17	summary 44:12,12	11:6	84:12,13 85:20
stemmed 142:18	147:24	46:21 53:5 81:2	suspended 74:17 80:4	113:8 115:5
stenographic 1:22	students 17:20,25	127:23 129:18	switch 52:3	tar 141:20
205:10	109:3 115:22	131:1 146:25	synopsis 127:9,17	Target 74:9,11
stenographically	150:17	182:11 198:13	179:9 180:17	targeted 167:17
205:8	study 136:18	Sun 177:1	system 2:10 33:8 73:3	task 90:3 95:14
step 61:11 66:8,13	stuff 46:6 66:10 82:19	superintendent 9:18	186:1	104:14 122:18,18
88:3	143:9 157:22	9:21 12:19 36:13	systemic 80:16 90:25	task-assigned 2:10
steps 77:12 121:21	190:15 191:16	55:15 106:13		9:20
146:8	sub 124:5,9,12	121:15	<u>T</u>	taught 67:19 161:12
stick 82:4	subconsultants 98:5	superintendents 9:23	T 205:2,2	tax 23:7,10 24:1,19
stickies 97:25	98:7	10:3	T&A 105:17	25:7
stipulations 113:14	subcontract 124:2,10	supervisor 67:2	table 43:1,15 158:3,4	taxpayer 72:1 109:7
stop 121:14 151:24	subject 18:21	supplement 33:8	162:1	teacher 23:16 30:1,12
189:11	submission 185:14	supplier 122:14	tabled 178:20	30:14,16 33:4,12,13
stopped 29:6 34:8	submissions 11:21	supplies 30:15,16	tabling 161:18	35:6,16

		 I		ı
teacher's 31:2	38:15,22 42:22 51:8	12:18 13:18,24 20:5	34:4,11,16 54:25	timely 44:1 123:16
teacher-supply 30:21	53:4,9,21 54:4	20:7,9,9 21:8 23:3,5	69:21 81:20 87:2,13	147:11 185:14,16
30:24 32:21	59:23 64:13 77:17	24:2,9,14 28:20	87:22 98:21 100:14	times 74:16 115:10
teachers 30:21 33:6,9	77:20 81:18 85:19	30:20 33:12 36:1	104:1,4,20 114:13	165:17
115:21	99:9 101:20 108:9	41:7 44:23 45:6	123:8,9 125:11	to-be-continued
teaching 2:16 9:22	116:8 128:3 130:16	48:1,10 55:19,19,20	127:5,6 128:7,10,17	90:15
team 85:21 89:9	131:16 134:22,22	55:21 56:3,8,9	129:25 132:3 135:3	today 26:5 31:13 50:1
93:20 141:8 199:22	135:6 154:19	59:14,19 60:24	135:14 142:12	51:16 78:23 199:7
Teams 22:2,13,23	155:12 157:6	61:17 63:9,12,16	145:22 147:17	today's 112:5
23:1 33:17,21 34:5	164:20 166:4 168:4	68:13,14 69:17 70:5	152:17 157:2	told 11:14 17:22
173:6,11,23 174:1	169:16,18,22 173:3	70:9 71:14 72:18	160:25 163:19	18:14 136:10
174:25 175:5,10	178:2 179:16	75:21 78:22 79:6	165:17 170:23	141:10 142:3
197:15 198:14	180:21 189:14	81:1 82:3 91:10	174:9 183:15,16	143:21 152:25
200:3 201:12	190:4 195:4 196:9	94:2 96:24 97:24	184:19 186:20,22	154:16 162:6,14
tear 66:2 151:7	Thanks 155:22	99:17 101:13	186:24 187:1,1,3,12	Tom 112:12
technically 6:5 23:25	156:16 204:10	103:24,25 109:21	187:22,24 188:10	tomorrow 101:23,25
teeth 106:14	theft 18:16	110:9 116:14	192:9,15,18 200:1	ton 24:13
tell 46:4 56:3 66:3	theme 58:22	118:10 119:25	three-month 59:10	tons 114:4 146:17
78:22 79:9 90:1,24	theory 8:14 111:4	130:16 131:22	three-page 167:3,5	tools 10:18
95:5 112:20 142:17	thing 14:15,24 16:5	134:2,10 135:7	threw 143:22 164:8	top 17:5 113:23
153:4 154:12,15	19:7 32:20 42:14	140:5 141:24 142:9	165:7	114:12 116:16
165:25 198:11	45:20 46:16 47:4	145:12 146:21	Thursday 1:10 178:7	126:7 131:8 135:21
203:5	63:24 65:2 66:6,20	147:10 148:18	TIA 102:7,15	137:5 139:19 140:2
telling 16:7 76:8	73:20 78:24 98:3,8	150:8,23 154:6,10	tie 14:15 199:9	141:17,20 164:4
102:18	122:16 124:13	154:13 155:7,24	ties 6:12 91:25	200:15
tells 58:6 124:13	125:25 142:22	156:4 158:4 160:7	tighten 80:15 160:13	topic 19:2 59:19
152:22	145:6,7 149:1	160:12 161:3	tile 145:11,15	118:11
ten 17:12 29:20 87:13	169:24 179:23	163:17,21,22	tiles 145:17,17	Tortuga 190:22
104:13 110:21	182:6,9 186:16	166:16 168:12,22	time 23:22 34:8 35:7	total 129:20
121:4,21 150:8,9	189:25 200:24	169:23 171:7,14,14	40:18 41:4 48:4	totally 39:7,7 98:6
155:15 185:22	things 11:16,23 25:14	173:9 182:9 185:8	57:13 59:22 64:24	156:3
tend 32:25 152:21	31:16 44:14 48:25	189:20 191:4	69:1 71:1 91:4	touch 119:24
tenure 57:21	53:8 59:8,8,22	194:14 195:8	100:23,24 102:8,19	touched 130:5
terminated 78:6	60:24 61:17 65:23	197:22 198:2,3,13	102:22 106:18	track 81:10 90:7
181:17	69:10 75:18 80:14	199:9,15 200:5,14	107:5 111:10	91:20,20,20 97:7
termination 79:4	80:15,16 84:5 85:16	201:8 202:5,9 204:7	112:11 123:20	125:2 189:21 198:5
terms 12:13 50:15	87:7 89:5 90:11	thinking 12:8 165:7	132:10 133:24	tracking 50:8 98:8
90:13 106:4 136:5	96:25 97:3 101:22	189:17 202:19	134:19 139:21	149:2
183:22 184:20	103:1 106:4,4,5	third 152:24 154:3	142:15 144:4,8	tracks 113:24
185:1 187:18	110:11,11 112:9	thirteen 17:13 93:10	145:3 155:3 157:22	train 61:23 62:13
terrible 117:18	115:17 117:18	thorn 90:2	159:15 165:2,9	63:18 81:10
test 67:17,20 116:14	121:7,10 125:9	thorough 64:21	167:23 168:9	trained 66:18 67:14
186:1	130:17 133:2 134:9	thought 13:2 46:24	172:13 176:24	training 5:24 27:2,8
tested 185:3 198:19	137:4 146:18	106:9 111:18	179:10 185:22	52:21,22,23 54:5,6
testing 185:2,9,15	147:10 148:10	113:18 123:11,12	189:11 198:11	54:8,10 55:19,22
186:25	151:24 161:3 171:9	130:17 131:6 134:4	200:4,11 201:16	56:7 59:11,12,13
text 11:8 17:18	178:19 184:6	164:9 165:8,16	202:8,12,22 203:2,6	64:17,17,20 65:4,7
185:24	188:14 189:24	thoughts 177:8	203:21,25 204:1,2	65:8 66:23 67:11,11
texts 190:15	190:1 191:3,5	thousand 145:8	timeframe 105:25	67:25 69:16 77:13
thank 3:11 5:6 7:13	198:23	threat 2:20 5:19	176:2,13	trans- 125:19
21:10,21 22:2 29:3	think 7:6 8:21 10:4	three 6:24 9:23 34:3	timeline 158:1 181:10	transcript 205:9
		l		l

transitioned 80:4	57:9,10,25 58:4,7	unaware 151:15	150:13	152:13 161:9
transmit 5:21 6:6	58:10,16 60:2,5,12	unclogging 139:3	utilized 78:10	174:11
27:2,7,17 79:23	60:16 107:3,21	underneath 139:18	v	waiting 105:18
80:18 125:9,21	109:1 110:14,16,24	139:20 140:2		116:17
126:10,22,23	111:6 118:2,9,21	understand 10:8	Valachovic 150:19,24	walk 64:1 130:9
145:21 155:25	119:8 120:4,7,12	55:16 56:1,1 59:18	valid 78:4	walk-throughs 92:10
156:1,8,9 157:17	123:4 152:13,17	61:20 63:22 65:14	validate 130:2	walked 109:16 137:1
190:5 194:1,17	153:5,15 154:3	100:2 104:14	validation 136:13	145:3
195:2,8 200:10	155:11 166:5,6,8,15	105:21 121:6 124:7	137:16 139:6,11	walks 61:7 63:17
transmitted 19:22	166:21,24 167:5,25	147:16,23 149:18	value 108:14 165:18	86:10
28:5 127:4	168:22 169:23	155:11 175:2	189:18 variance 96:25	walkway 135:5,24
transmitting 80:24	170:5,13 171:4,12	183:24 193:1		136:6
157:1 194:7	172:12 173:21	understandable 9:16	various 128:16 198:20	walkways 131:3
travel 186:8 201:15	174:6,7	144:9	vendor 119:12 188:1	132:6,21 135:13,17
tree 59:21 91:8	tutorial 184:5	understanding 6:22		135:23,23 137:2,3,
trend 85:18	twelfth 8:20	9:7 12:25 13:12	vendors 106:8 117:20 120:22	139:13,14 140:1,13
trial 55:2	twelve 17:13 185:13	14:9 35:7,18 65:9	verbal 32:4	141:19
trip 14:8 144:23	186:8	89:8 95:3 112:20	verbat 32:4 verboten 154:7	wallet 31:2
true 32:7 106:17	twelve-month 93:20	135:25 148:11,14		Walsh 59:12
205:10	93:23 94:3 95:8	158:23 169:12	verify 49:8 75:11 128:21	Wanda 2:11 38:11
truly 118:18	twenty 17:14,15	186:6	versa 152:21	want 15:3,24 20:10
truncated 129:18	61:11	understands 48:14	version 39:8,18	20:12,25 23:4 29:4
trust 71:2,3 75:11	twice 45:4	Understood 202:1	159:25 160:1	42:5,5 49:8 50:7
truth 143:12	two 23:13 26:3 37:13	underway 191:1	versions 43:2	51:17,20,20,21 52:
try 48:13 82:5 149:24 150:20 157:22	46:18 48:25 59:14	unemployment 160:20	versus 75:7 128:12	59:4 63:25 64:1
191:19 200:6	62:5 63:15,20 72:12 87:25 88:2,6,20	Unfortunately 153:3	129:5 135:24	65:16,19,20 68:16 69:10 76:19 79:11
	89:22 95:6 100:16	United 2:22	170:16	79:12,24 81:23,24
trying 4:21 22:6 44:2 47:2,9 57:8 59:1	100:20 101:22	universe 199:16	vice 152:21	82:22 83:14 96:4
69:2 105:17 106:19	106:18 112:9 121:1	unused 30:1 33:4	violated 77:22 79:3	108:3,10,11,11
124:7 135:11	136:23 149:21	undsed 30.1 33.4 update 13:5 147:5	violation 115:1	108.5,10,11,11
147:12 151:23	150:23 149:21	164:16	violations 78:15	113:2 114:7 118:18
155:24 175:5	164:4 173:19 174:9	updated 38:3 43:25	virtually 163:11	118:21 119:6,13,15
177:10 197:22	174:11 176:6	62:20 85:6 92:19	Visa 69:20	119:22 123:7
198:5,9,24 199:9	184:10 186:17	updating 56:20	visited 152:1	125:24,25 126:18
203:2	199:5 200:21	164:16	visualize 11:24	127:9,24 130:10,13
trying-to-get-to-a-h	two-month 59:9	upfront 156:14	volunteers 172:12	130:17 131:4,16,21
51:13	tying 47:17	uploaded 37:18	vote 6:2 7:20 25:19	135:4 142:10
Tuesday 101:24,25	type 11:13,14 12:1,2	urgencies 160:25	79:15 163:13,18	143:23 145:9 146:5
159:2	61:5	use 11:6 18:19 39:19	171:2 173:12 175:5	148:24 158:3 159:8
turn 58:15 66:10		51:18,19 67:17	175:5 190:5 197:20	159:18 162:1 165:5
119:4 160:15	U	74:12,13 75:12	201:24 204:6	165:6,10,14,19,20
185:12 190:19	U's 137:25	123:1 133:7,12	voted 73:11 201:3	171:5 172:22
turnaround 202:8	Uh-huh 25:5 99:2	150:6 160:5 166:11	votes 171:18	173:11 178:17
turned 8:15 20:22	ultimately 89:11	186:4 187:11 190:9	voting 178:13 195:10	179:2,8,21 180:10
131:5	94:17 113:11 130:7	190:11,12	196:6	187:14 196:12
turnover 54:9 59:10	Unaddressed 147:8	useable 19:21,24		201:8,17
60:8 61:1 63:13	unanimously 25:25	user 193:18	W	wanted 8:3 33:18
65:12	28:18 157:5	uses 69:20 71:23	wait 5:11 13:25 17:19	38:13 43:23 125:4
turnovers 61:1	unassigned 36:17	72:10	38:24 96:21 102:18	132:25 133:5,8
Turso 2:5 4:9,10 52:6	40:8	usually 50:4 81:2	104:3 124:6 138:15	160:5,7 175:12
1 ul 50 4) 4.7.10				

	<u> </u>		I	
187:17 196:23	152:15 160:22	wording 39:12	Y	154:11 168:10,13
202:1	161:22 196:15	words 22:1 145:8	yeah 5:12 6:4 11:3,5	168:14,17,24
wants 44:11 118:17	weakness 25:12	work 20:4 21:7 44:2	11:11 13:10 21:2	189:25
warranties 91:1,13	website 176:1,1,9,16	52:2 60:23 71:21	22:5,15 24:25 25:6	yesterday 6:21
warranty 86:9 90:22	weeds 110:20,22	85:19 89:21 100:1	31:8,21 34:18 36:2	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
91:6,8,16,23 92:4	week 48:5 64:19	101:10 112:17	37:22 38:21 39:10	
92:10 93:2,3	143:3 171:24	118:17 119:12,22	42:4,22,23 45:9,20	zero 121:5
wasn't 107:16 130:20	weighing 165:21	120:2 122:13 128:9	46:1,23 53:16,20	0
137:1 141:15 155:3	well-run 119:14	132:14 158:15	54:1 55:17 57:10	08 125:15
164:8 168:14,17	went 39:23 48:7,11	159:11,21 165:10	58:10 73:16 75:1	00 123.13
186:19 193:16	48:16 53:10 58:20	165:15,23 177:19	77:19 78:18 81:9,11	1
200:13	58:25 91:19 96:8	177:24,25 178:8	82:14 84:21 85:25	1 147:18,19 157:19
wasted 108:23 109:8	107:5 123:19	184:14 188:2	88:19 96:15 101:18	163:16 169:11
watch 86:21	133:19,24 142:23	192:13 193:18	103:22 110:15	1-A 183:4
water 144:13,16	148:12 149:12	200:5 203:4,6 work-arounds	114:21 118:2	1-B 183:4,22
way 10:11 14:8 19:7	150:19 174:23		120:20 130:14	1.8 50:14
35:13 47:13 52:2	197:6 198:23	151:23	134:15 136:21,22	1/14/23 181:21
61:13,15 71:13,18	weren't 19:7 49:6,22	worked 39:11 97:11	138:21 140:12	1:09 1:11 204:9,11
74:3 94:19 117:5,18	54:15 138:3 179:24	164:25 166:2	143:15 145:5,9	10 179:18 202:20
117:19 118:12	193:3	188:14 199:25	150:10 153:24	204:1
130:21 134:22 136:25 137:6 161:7	Western 17:14 18:11	workers' 160:6	155:18,21 156:19	10:30 203:4
166:15 170:7	20:7 24:10,14 25:16 33:1 34:19 148:6	working 42:24 64:24 70:8 75:24 105:22	159:19 160:3 163:2	100,000 72:23,25
175:11 177:20	whichever 131:4	124:13 165:9 192:8	169:1 171:16	109 76:13,14
		192:17 202:5	172:25 175:19	10th 47:19
181:9 185:14 190:20	Whip- 84:12	works 9:14 203:5,8,9	179:22 180:4	11 138:9 179:18
we'll 5:12,16 6:6 7:12	Whippie 2:24 84:12 84:13 85:20 86:1,4		189:14 197:16	11th 5:8 26:16
7:20 30:18 36:2,5,6	86:15,22 88:2,8,11	workshop 36:15 37:4 146:2	200:18 202:4,22,24	12 109:11 138:9
52:2,3 82:5 93:6	97:15,19 99:13	world 121:24	203:12 204:7	12-month 96:17
96:3 104:4 105:19	100:19 101:2 102:4	worth 145:8	year 8:13 27:3,8,18	12:55 163:16
141:7 179:9 182:7,8	100:19 101:2 102:4	worthy 20:9	28:22 29:7,18,24	12th 90:19 181:12
182:11 184:10	104:8 112:9 113:19	worthy 20.9 wouldn't 4:16 95:7	31:5 32:2,21 33:2,2	13 87:11 93:9 96:8,9
189:11 191:6 195:5	115:6,9 116:5,13,21	171:4 187:5	33:23,24,25 34:1,2	96:10 181:2,6,25
195:9 196:11	117:15 124:18	wound 148:7	34:17,20 35:5,17,20	183:4,5
200:20 203:6,6,22	125:14,14	wrap 118:5	35:20 41:17 47:20	13th 171:17,19 172:9
we're 6:12 8:5 43:1	whistles 151:14	wrapped 90:18	51:6,9 55:10,14	172:10 173:7,8,15
43:18 44:2 45:14	white 146:10 152:20	wreckage 17:17	56:3,13 58:23 80:13	174:13 176:19
49:24 52:9 58:12	willing 193:10	Wright 1:15 2:11	94:25 100:18 121:4	181:18
61:20 87:21 90:14	willy-nilly 165:22	4:13	128:5 158:16	14 87:12 96:6,8,8
91:12 98:7,24	wire 95:21	write 75:13 117:5	170:17,21 179:19 191:17 194:8	97:10 133:13
109:20 120:15	wish 104:12	writes 92:6		205:18
122:23 137:8	withheld 99:19	writing 32:3 77:5	year's 8:14 192:21,23	140 147:19
140:17 160:14				149K 138:17,22
184:1 195:10		•	1 ~	15 13:6 87:12 96:7
we've 11:20,21,22	_		l '	98:15 99:1,2
16:4 46:21 56:14,18	· ·			150,000 132:11
60:24 65:8 76:1				16 1:10
79:23 93:13 115:16				16th 3:4
118:5 122:9 123:6	· ·			18 69:14
123:23 126:3				18th 43:5 133:22
141:11 149:2	•	X		177:14
			112.4 127./ 134.0	1950 145:18
	withiled 99.19 192:25 wondering 52:17,18 53:13 85:2,15 111:24 wood 139:19,20,21 140:2,7 wooden 139:14 word 41:1,10 55:20 131:5 154:6,7,10 withing 32.3 77.3 92:22,23 written 117:19,19,21 29:13 33:22,22 34:3 34:4,11,16 53:10 54:7,25 56:25 57:3 71:10 76:2,13,14 78:23 86:16 87:25 102:21 104:13 110:21 111:11,17 113:4 120:7 134:6			

			Pay	ge z
1B 41:13	28 72:11	172:18 173:6,7,10		
1st 8:18	2nd 205:11	173:25 174:2,3,5,19		
		174:22,23,24 175:1		
2	3	175:16,18 176:19		
2 147:19	3.7 167:7	177:11 178:5,20		
20 24:11 29:24 34:17	3.8 162:10,12,16	195:15 196:11		
35:5,17 132:7	167:23 169:4,7	197:15 200:3,12,20		
178:22	30 23:19 31:3 54:7	201:1,3,10		
2002 182:17	72:10,13 103:13,15			
2005 142:19 153:6	300,000 104:24	7		
2008 154:24	30th 95:23 192:14	72 176:12		
2009 153:7	31st 8:18	727 147:20		
2010 154:24	33301 1:24	7A 47:25		
2012 154:9	36 177:2			
2014 128:8,15 130:6	3800 77:3	8		
146:9,20,24 154:8	382 193:13	8 28:14		
2015 54:22	3rd 1:17 181:15	8.5 50:13		
2016 24:22,24 133:10		80 189:5		
133:22	4	81.6 50:11,12		
2019 28:14	40 54:7 150:16,17			
2020 28:19 86:15	45 104:25	9		
2021 87:8 93:25	464418 205:17	9.3 50:16		
96:12 101:24	48 176:12,23	9.9 50:12		
102:25		9:30 202:14,15 203:8		
2022 47:20 87:12,18	5	203:9		
101:24 180:25	5 169:6,13,24 170:2	9:38 1:11 3:5		
181:12,15,18	5.5 167:10,10,12,13	90 50:19,20,21		
2023 27:18 96:3	167:17	105:20 183:13		
2024 1:10 27:19	5.6 166:20,23,24	198:24		
47:19 97:11 205:11	167:6,11	91 138:14		
2027 205:18	50 160:7 170:4	95 138:12,13,19		
20th 43:8 147:6,7	50,000 34:21	96 70:18		
162:2 200:25 201:2	50/50 77:9			
201:5	500 1:23 99:8 114:23			
21 29:18 58:20 138:8	115:6,8,9			
21/'22 29:7	501(c)(3) 15:13,22			
21st 88:7 95:24,25	518,000 98:16 99:8			
22 28:22 58:20,21,23	100:14			
58:24,25,25	54 40:3,5 42:3			
22-001 180:23 181:4	58-123E 194:20			
194:8	58-132E 182:8 195:3			
23/24 27:3,8	582,576 192:25			
238 147:18				
24 12:9	6			
24.8 50:17	6.7.7 93:15			
25 31:3 90:18	6/18 43:9			
25,000 129:10	60 105:6,15			
256 184:7 188:12	600 1:17			
26 90:18 138:9	633 1:23			
	CE 0 50 15	I		
	65.9 50:15			
27 138:9 27th 133:21	6D 43:15 6th 171:16,19 172:9			