Page 1

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER
BOARD ROOM
600 SE 3RD AVENUE
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, JANUARY 25TH, 2024 9:38 A.M. - 1:05 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 500
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2

- 1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:
- 2 MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH
 - MS. REBECCA DAHL
- 3 MR. ANTHONY DE MEO
 - MS. MARY FERTIG (Telephonic)
- 4 MS. ITOHAN IGHODARO
 - DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH
- 5 MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN
 - MR. ANDREW MEDVIN
- 6 MR. PAVEL MENZUL
 - MS. PHYLLIS SHAW
- 7 MR. PETER TURSO
- 8 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:
- 9 MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor
 - MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director
- 10 MR. DAVID RHODES, Audit Director
 - MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Assistant Director IT Audits
- 11 MS. NAKIA GOULDBOURNE, Acting Manager, Internal Funds
 - MS. ELENA PRITYKINA, Task-Assigned Manager, Operations
- 12 MR. BRYAN ERHARD, System Support Specialist II
 - MS. RAYSA LUGO, Senior Auditor
- 13 MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary
 - MS. LAURA WRIGHT, Clerk Spec C
- 14 MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B
- 15 DISTRICT STAFF:
- 16 DR. ALLEN ZEMAN, School Board Member
 - DR. PETER B. LICATA, Superintendent of Schools
- 17 MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations
 - DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer
- 18 DR. DEBORAH CZUBKOWSKI, Chief Facilities Officer
- DR. TED TOOMER, Associate Superintendent, Teaching &
- 19 Learning, Non-Traditional Schools
 - MR. ALAN STRAUSS, Regional Superintendent, Teaching &
- 20 Learning, South Region
 - DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Regional Superintendent,
- 21 Teaching & Learning, North Region
 - MS. JENNIFER ANDREU, Executive Director, Operations
- 22 MR. OLEG GOROKHOVSKY, Director, Accounting & Financial Reporting
- 23 MR. RYAN SMITH, Director, Business Support Center
 - MS. MARY COKER, Director, Procurement & Warehousing
- 24 | Services
 - MR. ERIC SEIFER, Process Analyst, Procurement &
- 25 Warehousing Services

	Page 3										
1	MS. REBECCA THOMSON, Executive Secretary, Board Office										
2											
3	INVITED GUESTS:										
4	MS. EDDY CASTANEDA, Audit Senior Manager, MSL CPAs & Advisors										
	MR. SHAUN DAVIS, Partner, S. Davis & Associates										
	MS. TANYA DAVIS, Partner, S. Davis & Associates MS. JOY CHAMBERS-NICHOLAS, S. Davis & Associates										
7	MR. BEN KINCAID, Partner, Carr Riggs & Ingram CPAs and Advisors										
8	MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting										
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
L4											
15											
16											
L7											
18 19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											

	Page 4								
1	Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:								
2									
3	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Good morning everyone. I'd								
4	like to call this January 25th meeting of the								
5	BCPS Audit Committee Meeting to order at 9:38.								
6	Our first order of business is the Pledge of								
7	Allegiance, so everyone please stand and the flag								
8	is in the back.								
9	(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)								
10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Next up we have roll								
11	call. Mr. Jabouin?								
12	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Chair.								
13	Ms. Carter-Lynch Walsh?								
14	MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Here. It's Carter-Lynch.								
15	MR. JABOUIN: Sorry.								
16	Ms. Ruth Carter Lynch?								
17	MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Here.								
18	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Rebecca Dahl?								
19	MS. DAHL: Here.								
20	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Anthony De Meo?								
21	MR. DE MEO: Here.								
22	MR. JABOUIN: On the phone, Ms. Mary Fertig?								
23	(No response.)								
24	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Itohan Ighodaro?								
25	(No response.)								

	Page 5								
1	MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh?								
2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.								
3	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Andrew Medvin?								
4	MR. MEDVIN: Here.								
5	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Pavel Menzul?								
6	MR. MENZUL: Present.								
7	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Phyllis Shaw?								
8	MS. SHAW: Present.								
9	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Jaclyn Strauss?								
10	(No response.)								
11	MR. JABOUIN: And Mr. Peter Turso?								
12	MR. TURSO: Here.								
13	MR. JABOUIN: I am Joris Jabouin, the								
14	District's chief auditor until April 11th.								
15	We'll go around the room with District								
16	personnel.								
17	SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: Good morning. Peter								
18	Licata, Superintendent.								
19	MS. ARCESE: Good morning. Ali Arcese, Audit								
20	Director.								
21	MR. RHODES: Good morning. Dave Rhodes,								
22	Audit Director.								
23	MRS. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,								
24	Deputy Superintendent, Finance & Operations.								
25	MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Good morning. Oleg								

- Gorokhovsky, Director of Accounting & Financial Reporting Department.
 - DR. PHILLIPS: Good morning everyone. Joe Phillips, Chief Information & Technology Officer.
- 5 MS. CZUBKOWSKI: Good morning. Deborah 6 Czubkowski, Chief Facilities Officer.

3

4

7

8

9

10

25

- MS. COKER: Good morning. Mary Coker,
 Director of Procurement & Warehousing Services.
 - MS. ANDREU: Good morning. Jennifer Andreu, Executive Director of Operations.
- DR. TOOMER: Good morning. Ted Toomer,
 Associate Superintendent, Non-Traditional
 Schools.
- DR. FLEMING: Good morning. Jermaine

 Fleming, North Region Superintendent.
- MR. SMITH: Good morning. Ryan Smith,

 Director of Business Support Center.
- 18 MR. JABOUIN: Shaun Davis?
- MR. DAVIS: Good morning. Shaun Davis, S.
- 20 Davis & Associates, managing partner.
- MS. GOULDBOURNE: Nakia Gouldbourne, Office of the Chief Auditor.
- MS. LUGO: Good morning. Raysa Lugo, Office of the Chief Auditor.
 - MS. MARQUARDT: Michele Marquardt, Office of

1 the Chief Auditor.

2.1

MS. HARPALANI: Jennifer Harpalani, Assistant Director Auditor.

MS. PRITYKINA: Elena Pritykina, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. RADCLIFF: Wanda Radcliff, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. WRIGHT: Laura Wright, Office of the Chief Auditor.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is that everybody?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I appreciate having a full house because we seem to have people here who don't even have items on the agenda. That being said, I'd like to see the same level of participation at the Facilities Task Force meeting on February 1st. And that's facilities, so all you facilities people.

Okay. Moving on, approval of the minutes -- sorry, approval of the agenda for today.

Oh, yeah I forgot you're not on the agenda.

Okay. Well, that could actually -- well,

basically, we're going to move the follow-up to

the end. We have three -- one, two, three, four

audits today, and most were time sensitive. I,

actually, don't think anything snuck on here. I tried to put off caps and gowns but then, you know, caps and gowns season is coming up. So -- and internal funds is time sensitive and so on and so forth.

2.1

All right. So we're going to move follow-up to the end after internal -- Yes?

MR. JABOUIN: I wanted to mention that some of the individuals that are here are for the follow-up items. As you were wondering, some of the individuals are here, but I just wanted them to know that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, mostly, you know, we like written follow-ups, so -- and I didn't know that that would -- that you were doing that.

All right. So, anyway, the only thing I'm changing is to move that down so that we can get through the audits that we need to transmit. We are also meeting on the 8th, so if we have to, we'll deal with that.

Any questions? Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So moved to approve the agenda as indicated in the changes.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Moved by Ms. 1 2 Shaw, seconded by Ms. Ruth Carter-Lynch. 3 Any further discussion? 4 MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, if we have -- and, 5 again, probably towards the end, just to go over 6 very briefly Sunshine Law and public records 7 retention. 8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. 9 MR. MAYERSOHN: Very briefly. And then we 10 can discuss it later on with whatever --11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, under our comments. 12 So Sunshine and public records. And I was adding 13 to that, backup that should be attached to items 14 so that we don't keep requesting additional 15 backup. 16 Okay. So we'll do that at the end. 17 All right. Any further discussion? 18 (No response.) 19 Hearing none, all in favor DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 20 of the agenda as -- with the changes? 2.1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye. 22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed? 23 (No response.)

Okay.

Motion carries

DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

unanimously.

24

25

Do we have anyone for public comment?

MR. JABOUIN: Chair, we have no public comments.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You have no public on non-agenda items?

MR. JABOUIN: There's no public -- no one has signed up and I'm looking at my team and there's no one outside either.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But, obviously, if someone shows up to speak to an agenda item they're allowed to do that.

Okay. Next --

MR. JABOUIN: Chair, one moment. I just want to recognize Ms. Fertig on the line.

MS. FERTIG: Yes. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So before we get to approval of minutes and get distracted with the agenda, Dr. Licata?

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: First of all, thank you for allowing me to butt in, and I'm not on the agenda.

I do want to make sure that everyone understands the importance of this group. We have a public responsibility. None of the money or none of our actions are our own. They're

owned by the community and the public. And I know you guys are here again, I tried to double your pay, they wouldn't let me. So I can add as many zeros as you want, but they're not going to let me.

MS. DAHL: How about mileage?

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, we'll talk about that.

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: But as I look around the room I see people that are involved in the community. I see parents. Mr. Turso, thank -- God bless you, you have a teenage daughter. I've had three of them. I see members of commissions, people I travel with. I see former professionals.

We are responsible to the public. And without this there is no safeguards. And I do not take this lightly. My staff understands, we are going to be on the right side of doing things and we're going to continue to do that. And as we move forward, and I had a conversation with Mr. Smith this morning, and I said it at the board meeting the other day, and I believe Dr. Lynch-Walsh you were there, the more we start cleansing the more we find and we have to figure out how to get it. Because once we put processes

in place and adhere to what we're supposed to do, it will become easier. Right now it's a tough climb and we're going to straighten it out. this committee is here to do and help that. We are responsible to the public. So I appreciate your time. My staff is here. They understand the importance of this and they know we don't take this lightly. But we, in our efforts to ensure and reconfirm and build back some public trust, this has got to be one of the starting points that we make sure we recognize and respect completely. So I appreciate -- Mr. Menzul, I have promised that I will be meeting with you and Dr. Hepburn soon, just because you're new here, and a board member has asked, so I appreciate you joining the group.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I know we have a big task moving forward with the replacement of our auditor at this time. And that's going to be a big process, if you did not hear, and I think maybe you'll probably cover it, too, we're going to do it -- our HR department is going to do the process. I want to be on record to make sure you understand I have no influence on who, what, when, where and how. It's just I'm availing the services of our HR

department to the board who has the ultimate say in this and they will be making all the processes and points and stuff. We are just facilitating the process. I do not -- I do not have a say in this whatsoever. I'm sure we will find a very, very good person. But it is an important figure in what we're doing, especially as we continue to build back trust.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I do appreciate your time. I'm going to step away. I do have a designee. Unless you have a question for me?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Actually, exactly on two points that you made. The first -- I'll go in reverse order. So the process in other districts, I want to say in particular Miami-Dade, the audit committee weighs in. that, I don't mean after the fact or once someone is brought to the board, I mean on the selection process. They're part of the process. the ones that interact directly with a chief auditor and the office of the chief auditor. So while there is -- none of our policies are that specific, they used to be, but the specificity was removed, and we haven't had a chance to discuss it because, understand, the resignation

came the day after our last meeting. So this is our first chance to discuss it and it is on the agenda. So I appreciate that update.

2.1

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: I'll address that right away. It's an easy one. I do not make that decision, but I will support that perspective. I believe this committee should have an influence in the process. The board, I will let the board know that that's my position on it. And I think it's important that you all have a -- not at the end either, at the beginning, and part of the process and help develop the process. I think that's the right way to do it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That -- okay. And then on the other front, internal controls and strengthening internal controls of the District is not just about internal controls over the financials. There are controls that have been put in place over the -- since forever, and one of those, when it comes to repurposing schools is Superintendent's School Site Review Committee, which reports to the Oversight Committee, which is -- which provides oversight in the execution of the interlocal agreement between the county

municipalities and the District. I have a question mark, because I've asked about the review committee, which I have been on the Facilities Task Force since 2011, and that committee has never met.

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But, furthermore, I couldn't even get confirmation as to whether the Oversight Committee met on January 10th and what their agenda was. So that's a public records request that I put in.

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You would have received my email. I brought it up at the meeting and I sent an email following up. I sent it to the Chief Strategy & Innovation Officer, because in the timeline for repurposing, I did not see any mention of interlocal agreement, Oversight Committee or site Review Committee.

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: So that's a great question and I thank you for bringing that to the Board, as well. We're about 18 months from actually putting it into play, but more importantly, that skeleton is -- that timeline is a skeleton and it's fluid. With your addition

1 and with your input in contacting Zoie Saunders, 2 who is the chief, we're going to have to, 3 obviously, work that in. There's a couple other things, there's a bunch of other things we have 4 5 to work in, but that was just a 20,000, 25,000 6 square -- or foot high perspective. And we 7 certainly need to be in line with the statute. 8 Because we do, if we have interlocal agreements with these facilities that eventually may change, 9 10 repurpose, who knows what we're doing with them, 11 once we decide that, we're going to have to go 12 out to them and talk to them. You know, I've 13 been through this process and it's not easy and 14 it's lengthy and there are so many details that 15 go into this. It's just not, okay, just lock the 16 doors and start over again. There is a 17 significant -- we supposedly own the property, but we don't. All of us own the property and we 18 19 have to abide by state statute, and that's part of this committee's responsibility. So I expect 20 21 -- I'm not -- I'm not anticipating, I expect this 22 group to make sure that we are in line with the way that goes, and I need that input to make sure 23 24 that we do it right and we do it by statute. 25 it's going to be 100 percent complete

transparency in front of everybody. And I need everyone to put their -- give their input as we all will be a part of this process, because it's not easy. And I do not want to open the door and not know that we've done something wrong. I want it to be done right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Great. We'll be following up at the Facilities Task Force because that, obviously --

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: And that's a major part of it, too, yeah, absolutely.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the Diversity Committee also has a seat on the Site Review Committee.

Any other --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. SHAW: I don't mean to interrupt --

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: Sure.

MS. SHAW: And thank you for joining us.

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: Thank you.

MS. SHAW: I really appreciate it, but is this something we can discuss outside?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I just touched on two topics. I couldn't let them pass.

MR. MENZUL: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. MENZUL: I -- I move that the audit

1	CC	mmitt	ee be	tasked	l with	n inte	erviewi	ng o	candida	ates
2	fo	r the	posit	cion of	the	next	chief	aud	itor.	

MS. SHAW: I'll second it with an amendment to the motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: She always -- she always has a friendly amendment.

Okay. Moved and seconded?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: Discussion?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, discussion?

MS. SHAW: We include it as part of -- not the one doing it.

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: As you're making these motions I can step away because this is outside my purview. So, I appreciate it, everyone.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Can we -- can we move that to the discussion item?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Because it is on the agenda.

MR. MAYERSOHN: This way we can have a more robust conversation so if somebody wants to add, take away or whatever.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. SHAW: So I'll withdraw my second?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. It's on the agenda.

Pardon? Okay. It's on the agenda. Motivation to get to that item on the agenda.

All right. Thank you, Dr. Licata.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUPERINTENDENT LICATA: Thank you for giving me the time. I appreciate it. And I'm expecting to hear from you all.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We've already passed a motion, we haven't even started, so I'm sure you will. Well, not passed a motion, but started one.

Okay. So we moved the follow-up, again, to the end, but we need to start determining what we still need to follow up on when we get to that.

Oh, well MSL will be -- yeah.

Hi. Yes, go ahead.

MR. JABOUIN: Can we please have an introduction? Mr. Seifer, start from there.

MR. SEIFER: Eric Seifer, PWS.

MR. CASTANEDA: Eddie Castaneda, MSL.

MS. CHAMBERS-NICHOLAS: Joy

Chambers-Nicholas, S. Davis & Associates.

MS. DAVIS: Tanya Davis, S. Davis & Associates.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. I also wanted to mention that while we're waiting, for agenda item

number 7 there were draft reports that were part of the package and we printed the new final reports that are in your area.

MS. DAHL: Did we do the minutes?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, we did not. Thank you.

So let's double back to approval of the minutes for the December 20th meeting.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve.

MR. TURSO: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Mayersohn, seconded by Turso.

Any discussion?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, all in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

17 (No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion carries.

Back to item number 7, MSL - Reports Required in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards and Rules of the Auditor General of the State of Florida for the Year Ended June 30th, 2023.

Mr. Castaneda?

MR. CASTANEDA: Yes, thank you.

These are three governmental audit reports as

required by governmental auditing standards as well as the Florida Auditor General's Office.

This is in connection with our 2023 audit.

2.1

We finalized these reports yesterday and you should have the final reports now, as Joris had mentioned. So if there's any questions on any of those reports, feel free to ask.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Considering that we had the initial one in time for the agenda and then we got an update last night, yesterday, what changed; if anything?

MR. CASTANEDA: Nothing in the language changed, just our signature. So we just finalized the report. It was a timing issue that we couldn't finalize the reports before the reporting package last Friday, but we finalized it this week. No changes other than the signature.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So -- and what I have up is the final.

All right. Any questions, comments?

MR. JABOUIN: Chair, when the motion -- could I please have three separate motions for the items in the -- with the dots, please?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to transmit --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. De Meo, well, he had a question.

2.2

MR. DE MEO: Mr. Castaneda, could you just summarize like in three minutes what these reports mean? For example, did you audit internal control? Did you find any material weaknesses? That type of thing.

MR. CASTANEDA: Sure. So the first report is a report on internal controls over financial reporting. As the external auditors we are required to evaluate our auditee's internal control structure over financial reporting. We do that in conjunction with our audit. There was no material weakness or significant deficiencies found, which are the two highest levels of internal control weaknesses. We did not have any to report.

The second report is an independent auditor's management letter. This letter is required by the Florida Auditor General saying that we looked at their required Florida statutes that they want us to look at for the school district. It's their report. So we have issued that report. We've had no findings in connection with our audit there.

The last report is an independent accountant report, which is an examination report. This is also required by the Florida Auditor General on the District's compliance with the Florida statute regarding investments. And we're happy to report that we found no instances of noncompliance with that statute.

2.1

And those are the three reports that we issued.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

And just to clarify, because there are a lot of people that get confused out in the public, not on the audit committee, that know that there are issues. In all sorts of departments we have internal control issues. So your report is strictly limited to the District's financial statements.

MR. CASTANEDA: That is correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Everything else is another story. Okay. Just to clarify.

All right. I thought I heard a motion. Well, wait, Phyllis.

MS. SHAW: Madam Chair, in light of adjusting
-- in light of adjusting 7 and doing them
individually, could we relabel them 7 A, B and C

to make it easier, not only for us in the future but also for our recorder?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That would be great. If there's -- right, if there are things that need to be moved separately, if they are then labeled A, B, C and so on and so forth instead of just bullets.

MR. JABOUIN: So noted.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's clear that we're passing 7A, because let's say we had an issue with 7B and we didn't transmit, then that way it's easier for clarity.

MR. MENZUL: I move to divide the motion.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, but please make sure you use the wording that's in the -- these dots, though.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So a motion to transmit the Independent Auditor's Reported Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting & on Compliance & Other Matters.

MS. SHAW: Second, Phyllis Shaw.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Moved by Mayersohn,

24 seconded by Shaw.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

Any discussion?

MR. MENZUL: Point of information. 1 2 have the actual audit or, you know, the 3 financials, as well, instead of just the report? 4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We had the financials last 5 meeting. Okay. 6 MR. MENZUL: 7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. Normally, they're 8 not -- that doesn't occur, but we were delayed 9 from November. 10 Okay. Any other discussion? 11 (No response.) 12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Are we good? All in favor? 13 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye. 14 15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Any opposed? 16 (No response.) 17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion carries 18 unanimously. 19 Okay. Then a motion to MR. MAYERSOHN: 20 transmit the Independent Auditor's Management

MS. SHAW: Second, Shaw.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Moved by Mayersohn,

seconded by Ms. Shaw.

Letter.

21

22

23

24

25

Any discussion?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

to recognize Mr. Gorokhovsky and his team for

25

another year of work extremely well done. Oleg,
I am very grateful for your leadership, your
professionalism and what a great job you do with
this important work. So thank you and your team,
sir.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. Actually -- All right. Thank you.

Moving on, the next audit up is S. Davis -number 8, S. Davis & Associates - Reports
Required by the Uniform Guidance for the Year
Ended June 30th, 2023 - Single Audit.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you, Madam Chair. And thank you for the opportunity to present the results of the single audit.

The report is in your packet and anything that we're reporting on during this time strictly related to the expenditures of -- of your federal grant awards and your major programs.

We have two reports in our package. The first report, which starts on page 1, is the report on the SEFA, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, which in this instance is the financial statement. We have an unmodified opinion on the audited statements -- or I should say the District has an unmodified opinion on the

audited statement. We found no material weaknesses noted in internal control over financial reporting over the SEFA, itself, and no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under government auditing standards, again, as relates to the SEFA, itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The second report is on page -- starts on And that is the report on compliance for page 3. each major program and on internal control over compliance that's required by the uniform So this, again, is a report primarily quidance. on compliance with respect to expenditures of your, what we consider to be major federal We have an unmodified opinion on programs. compliance for your major federal programs, of which we tested five, including one that is a cluster, the special education cluster. no material weaknesses noted in internal control over compliance. And, again, this is internal control over and compliance on the federal expenditures of your major programs. Management's responsible with complying with the federal statutes, regulations, terms, and conditions of federal awards, and that extends to the governing body and you all as the audit and compliance committee. Page 6 begins your SEFA, and from pages of 6 to 9 you have the individual line items of the federal expenditures and the notes to the SEFA. Page 10 is a summary of our auditors' results. And it basically just goes over what I have just stated in terms of the opinions and compliance with internal controls. It also speaks to the fact that the District qualifies as a low-risk audit team because you have not had any significant deficiencies or findings in the last two years and you are transmitting your single audit to the federal audit clearinghouse on time and have done so for the last five years. Page 10 also lists the programs that we determined to be major programs based on risk assessments and our auditing standards.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Madam Chair, we know that you're often interested in Title I and Title I not being tested when we do our audit. So, please, know that these audits are designed in part to rotate between major federal programs and the Auditor General tests Title I every three years or every year that they do the audit. The Auditor General

does an audit, the single audit for a year. We do the single audit for two years and then it's back to the Auditor General. So in that rotation Title I is definitely being tested.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. Any questions, comments? Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Hi, Ms. Davis. How are you doing.

MS. DAVIS: Good. Thank you.

MR. DE MEO: Could you comment on three items? One, what is an unmodified opinion? Two, what was your materiality threshold in determining if there were any errors? And, three, if you audited compliance over the federal major programs.

MS. DAVIS: Okay. An unmodified opinion is the -- is a clean opinion. It's the best type of opinion you can get and it basically says that we found nothing that's materially misstated in what is presented in the auditing package. And, so, you had an unmodified opinion on the SEFA, itself. No significant deficiencies, no material weaknesses as relates to compliance or internal controls over compliance of the SEFA and of your major programs.

In terms of selecting major programs, I'm not sure where you are with respect to materiality, in terms of selecting major programs we started from threshold of \$3 million. So anything above that would be considered a type A program. And then we have a certain threshold for Type B programs. Overall materiality was approximately five percent.

2.1

With respect to -- your third question was, did we audit compliance over major programs? And the answer to that is, yes, and we reported on that in the second report that you -- that is included in our packet.

You had total expenditures of about \$587 million. Our testing, based on identifying major federal programs, was of about \$341 million of the total expenditures. And that's about 58 percent of the total.

MR. DE MEO: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Sorry. Mr. Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: I just want a clarification on your scope. I'm a little confused. Are you auditing the internal controls regarding all these grants or are you going a step further and

looking at the actual mechanics, the grant
expenditures, all those auditing things as part
of your scope.

MS. DAVIS: Okay. We're looking at the expenditures. We ensure that the expenditures that are included in the SEFA agree with the expenditures that are included in the general ledger. For the District as a whole we audit the internal control and compliance of only the major -- we're required to audit of only the major federal programs that we tested, which would be on page 10 of the report. Anything else that comes to our attention, we will look at it. If there's something that we think requires reporting or will be helpful in reporting, we also do that. But our scope specifically is related to those major federal programs.

MR. MEDVIN: So the grants?

MS. DAVIS: The grant expenditures; yes. So this is the single audit of all of the federal grant expenditures.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Madam Chair, before we move to transmit, I do have an issue with not including Title I. If for some reason in a three-year

period that it's only done maybe once, we know that things change so rapidly in our district across -- I work for a local government, things change so rapidly. So I have an issue that it's not included or the fact that because it's being done somewhere else every three years that is sufficient. I disagree.

2.1

2.2

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you have a motion?

MR. JABOUIN: Can I add something? Just for your information, Ms. Shaw, it turns out the Auditor General will be back in April. And I can probably communicate with them as far as their scope to see if that's something that they will -- that they'll cover.

MS. SHAW: That's fine. But then come next year or the year after when they're out another two years, then -- if it's not included in the scope for the SEFA, it's not going to be included. I think it needs to be -- because it's a critical aspect of our district, it needs to be included.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Davis can help comment on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, did you -- so did you have a motion? She has the floor.

1 MS. SHAW: So I move that the -- I'm so 2 sorry.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Title I? Single audit?

MS. SHAW: The Title I is included as part of the single audit on an annual basis going forward, regardless if it's being done by our local company or by the -- by the government.

MR. JABOUIN: I can repeat that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'll second that.

MR. JABOUIN: So the wording is Title I is included in a single audit on an annual basis regardless if it is being done by a local company or the government, Ms. Shaw?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I seconded it and now we have discussion. Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Carter-Lynch and then Mr. De Meo.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay. My question would be, if we're going to do it that way and include it, is that going to require us to pay more for that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Now, everybody -- I would imagine.

MR. JABOUIN: I don't have that answer, Ms. Carter-Lynch. Possibly.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: The reason why I ask, I

normally don't ask questions that I don't have the answers to pretty much, but this one -- this probably means that if we're going to do -- however we decide to do it, and I'm okay with that, we just need to know that that's going to be a cost factor. There's going to be a cost factor. Okay?

2.1

And I know you don't have the answer.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. De Meo and then back to you Ms. Shaw?

MR. DE MEO: Just to clarify, what -- the single audit occurs every year and do we audit every major federal program every year?

MS. DAVIS: We audit major federal programs based on risk assessments. And, so, again, the audit is designed, any audit for grant funding is designed or supposed to be designed to audit on a rotational basis. So let's say that next year the Auditor General tests Title I and they do have findings or deficiencies, then we're required to follow up and look at that again the next year. So it's not as if it's always on a three-year rotating basis. So it depends on the findings and if there's an all clear.

And as Mrs. Carter-Lynch -- I'm sorry if I

mispronounce it, as Ms. Carter-Lynch mentioned when I raised my hand earlier, it was to say that if we are required, if your local, if you will, auditors are required to audit Title I every year, that does significantly affect the scope, significantly increase the scope and it will impact dollars, because we then can't avoid testing any of the other major programs that have not been tested in the two-year period.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. De Meo?

2.1

MR. DE MEO: So Title I programs are done on a rotational basis based on the \$3 million threshold and findings? In other words, they're not 100 percent. All federal major programs are not audited every year by you; is that correct?

MS. DAVIS: Correct. But they will have to be. Because of the dollar value they will have to be audited by the Auditor General.

MR. DE MEO: And the Auditor General audits what every three years?

MS. DAVIS: They audit those programs that they consider for their testing to be major federal programs, based on, again, risk assessments and based on the dollar value of those programs. So, if we look, for instance, at

this year, as mentioned, we audited approximately 58 percent of your total expenditures. If we had included Title I, that would have significantly increased. Of the \$587 million in expenditures this year, Title I is approximately 79 million. The largest program that we audited was the education stabilization fund which was 261 million, if that gives you an idea. And the second largest was the special education cluster, which was approximately 64 million.

2.1

2.2

MR. DE MEO: How much are the Title I expenditures?

MS. DAVIS: The Title I expenditures this year were approximately 79 million.

MR. DE MEO: And how much of that was covered by your audit?

MS. DAVIS: Title I was not covered by our audit.

MR. DE MEO: At all. So you don't touch
Title I; that's strictly the domain of the
Auditor General?

MS. DAVIS: Only because of how -- only because of the fact that we had to test major federal programs and Title I is not one that was an issue in the past two years. Only because.

It's not that we never test Title I. If for some reason the Auditor General did not test Title I next year and they really would have to, I'm assuming, I believe, based on the expenditures and the nature of that program, if they did not test it next year, we would have to test it the following year. But title I is always in the rotation.

2.1

MR. CASTANEDA: Just to add to that, Eddie
Castaneda, lead auditor for MSL, the uniform
guidance is very specific on how an auditor needs
to select major programs. So what is a major
program? Out of all your programs they're deemed
major programs. And there's a very specific
calculation based off of the total federal
expenditures that an entity has, whether or not
that same grant has been audited in the past two
years.

So the uniform guidance spells out, okay, auditors, this is what you have to test based off of, and they evaluate it every single year, okay, these are going to be our major programs. That's not to say that we can't add an additional grant included, but that would be outside of the scope of the audit because we're only required to audit

the grants that meet that criteria asset for -- by the uniform guidance.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you, Mr. Castaneda.

I just want to clarify because it suddenly occurred to me, maybe half of the audit committee was not on the audit committee the last time we had the Title I audit.

Mr. Jabouin, can you clarify and tell everyone when there were -- that Title I was last audited and who it was audited by and whether the findings were all resolved.

MR. JABOUIN: I don't have that specific information, Chair, but I do recall that prior to joining the District there were some Title I findings and they were resolved. And I believe that Ms. Davis's firm also tested it subsequent to that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So the major -- I see a hand and I think moving forward what we're going to have to do if we have a full house is the people that are currently responding need to be in the front row, because only now am I seeing the hand going up back there.

So do you have information -- you can answer those questions?

MS. DAVIS: Yes, the Title I program was tested by the Auditor General for fiscal year ending June 30th, 2021. During that time there were no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: However, that was the finding of all of those moneys that were misallocated; if I'm not mistaken.

MS. DAVIS: I believe that that finding was years prior to that and was resolved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, how do we know that it isn't happening again?

MS. DAVIS: I can't -- well, we didn't test it in 2023. The Auditor General would have tested it in 2021 and they did not have any additional findings.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then something that I know that the Chief Auditor at the time wanted to look into but it never got looked into was the misallocations were fixed, but we still don't know what those funds got spent on. The funds that -- whichever school they went to, what have they been spent on? So I think --

MS. DAHL: Or did they recover the money?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then whatever money

they have, what are they spending it on? Because that question has never been answered.

I'm sorry, Ms. Shaw.

2.1

2.2

MS. SHAW: So my concern with waiting for the next Auditor General's audit to pick it up is based on what Ms. Davis says and I'm so sorry --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Castaneda.

MS. SHAW: Is that based on the risk assessment and the dollar value the Auditor General may not necessarily pick it up next year, because it's the same -- similar assessment, similar assessment, it may not be the same, similar assessment and dollar value.

For example, they were able to pick up one for \$4 million dollars versus the Title I for \$79 million. I do understand, because I'm -- I have my SEFA that I have to go back and review right now, so I do understand the concept and that we cannot audit everything. But when we've had an issue with something, I think at least every two years it needs to be looked upon and reviewed. And not take another chance that it's going to be picked up by whether it's S. Davis & Associates or another local firm or the Auditor General. We really need to make sure. And our superintendent

was here earlier talking about, it is -- this is our -- we are the guard dogs. It is our responsibility to make sure when something looks awry or it was, that we're making sure that in the future it is done. We still haven't recovered or found out what happened with the misallocations, and maybe we can't go backwards, but I think it's important for us to make sure steps are put in place as we move forward.

2.1

2.2

Yes, I do understand that it's going to be an increase in the audit, but there's an increase in the audit anyway from last year to this year. So that's just going to be part of what we include as part of our budget.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Turso and then Ms. Carter-Lynch.

MR. TURSO: So I find myself in an interesting conundrum. Usually, I'm the one kind of touching on what Ms. Carter-Lynch was saying about spending more money. But let's work through the numbers quickly.

The overview of all this was about \$500 million; correct?

MS. DAVIS: Almost \$600 million.

MR. TURSO: \$600 million. Even better. And

the Title I money is around 70 million. So we want to call that -- what percentage do we want to call that 70; that would be an increase of 13 percent, maybe? No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It would make up.

MR. TURSO: It would increase the total amount of money being looked over, instead of it being almost 600, it would be like 670, plus or minus.

MS. DAVIS: No.

2.2

MR. TURSO: It wouldn't?

MS. DAVIS: No, we didn't look at all 600. That was the total expenditures. We looked at 340 million of that. So let's say it would increase it by \$80 million; 420 million.

MR. TURSO: Okay. So then we're looking at nearly a third or a quarter move if you were to just do rough and dirty numbers in the amount of money that we would have to spend to audit the Title I funds. Or does it not work that way? Rough and dirty, back of napkin.

MR. CASTANEDA: No, it wouldn't be -- it wouldn't really work like that. We don't establish audit fees based off of percentages.

MR. TURSO: I was just trying to get a feel.

MR. CASTANEDA: No, right. No, if the District, they wanted to go in this direction, it would have to be a program specific audit, so where you actually hire S. Davis or ourselves to do an audit just of that.

2.1

MR. TURSO: Just for Title I. That is going to cost us a lot of money.

I have another question. You mentioned when you were doing your overall, you said something about how you do such and such and then you match it up with the general ledger. Walk me through in layman's terms, you're walking through the general ledger, but does anybody ever, actually, match everything up, check for check, account for account, or is it just looking at a spreadsheet or a database that somebody could have whatever they gave you?

MS. DAVIS: It's not check for check, account for account, but we look at the expenditures for, let's say, each -- each program and ensure that those total expenditures agree with the expenditures included in the District's general ledger, total of the general ledger.

MR. TURSO: Is there anybody going beyond that, looking at the general ledger and matching

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

it check for check, account for account?

MS. DAVIS: Well, during the course of the financial statement audit there is the opportunity to do that. For our single audit we do look at expenditures and ensure that the expenditures that are included in our major programs agree to the SEFA and then the SEFA agrees to the GL. We're looking at specific invoices in certain instances to look at the dollar amount of the invoices and to test compliance and to ensure that the moneys were spent as they were supposed to be spent based on the grant agreement and federal statutes and uniform guidance.

MR. TURSO: That's exactly what I was after. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Okay. I have a follow-up DR. LYNCH-WALSH: to that, but I will wait.

Ruth?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay. My question is -well, not a question, I just want to clarify the whole -- the process.

So what happens is, the Audit General does ask the -- what am I looking for?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Every three years.

1 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Every three years.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Maybe.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Maybe. Okay. And we only have a follow-up to it with S. Davis or whoever after they -- if they find a problem; right?

And so my question is, you -- were you saying, Ms. Shaw, that you would think it should be done every year?

MS. SHAW: Madam Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, and then Mr. De Meo.

MS. SHAW: Based on the past, I think it should be done more often.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay.

MS. SHAW: Because there is no guarantee that come April it will be picked up by the Auditor General.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay. Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. De Meo, and then we'll go back.

MR. DE MEO: So this is directed to Ms.

Davis. So earlier I asked about materiality. So on a \$64 million program that you audited, what would the materiality be; \$3 million?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Davis?

MS. DAVIS: Our audit threshold is \$3

million. On the specific program it's about five percent. I don't want to confuse the threshold between distinguishing between type A and type B programs, that for sure it's \$3 million, no matter what.

MR. DE MEO: One is used to determine if you audit the program, but the testing that you do that Mr. Turso referred to, how do you determine a balance if you're going to audit it or not; what is the materiality?

MS. DAVIS: It's approximately 5 percent.

MR. DE MEO: So if it's a \$64 million expenditure program, you're looking at 3 million.

MS. DAVIS: Uh-huh.

2.1

MR. DE MEO: So anything over 3 million you're testing.

MS. DAVIS: Uh-huh.

MR. DE MEO: And that's the idea of testing. You can't test 100 percent. We couldn't afford 100 percent.

I would also suggest that doing something like this every year is going to add a lot of dollars, and I don't think the return on investment is -- warrants this. The whole idea of auditing is based on risk assessment. If you

don't have findings, I don't see any purpose of this. Furthermore, the grant holders, themselves, monitor these programs, as well.

These are not just out there in space. So I'm against it, before we vote, I just wanted to -- I don't see the point of it.

MR. JABOUIN: If I may add, Chair, obviously, the committee will have input into the audit plan and there will be decisions to be made as far as whether or not resources should be allocated into Title I, behavioral threat assessment and so forth within the office of budget.

Now, to assist the committee, Ms. Arcese was able to locate that issue that we're talking about and she's going to be able to carve it out and send it to the committee so you can have that information when it's time to create the plan and provide your input. If the committee would like, she can send that to you in short order.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I'm sure they'd all appreciate it. Especially the new members who did not see that prior audit that have us a little riled up.

So just to explain to everyone why Title I -- and it may be that the single audit, based on

what that entails, may not actually capture what we're ultimately trying to do. The District, just at the last board meeting, and I believe this passed, asked the superintendent for a comprehensive plan to address academic achievement in this district. I believe that, I think it's language arts proficiency is at something like 52, 54 percent. I can remember back in 20 -- 10, 12 years ago they were aspiring to 84 percent language arts proficiency by 2015.

Remember the handout, Rebecca?

MS. DAHL: Yeah.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So if the board is now focusing on academic achievement and almost half the District's not reading on grade level should be a cause for a concern among everybody. And Title I is supposed to be addressing that. Title I dollars are supposed to help the schools that are underperforming. And when you misallocate funds, and I did an analysis back then to show what the grades were for the schools that didn't get their allocation and the impact was negative, as it should -- one might expect. But, again, what we've never deciphered, because we've heard all kinds of things about what Title I funds are

actually spent on, so I -- Ms. Shaw, I think -- I don't know if it would be -- given that we have someone that's doing operational audits, if in house and us having a further conversation we'll see on the single audit, because I don't disagree that Title I needs scrutiny, but in terms of answering the concerns from the prior audit and also the follow-up concerns, what do you think about doing it, coming up with something in house?

MS. SHAW: Madam Chair, I'm okay yielding the single audit, but whether or not it's a single audit or a program audit we do need to have an audit on Title I. 10 years ago, 15 years ago there were not as many Title I schools in this district. We have surpassed that. And for that reason, and even so many others, we have more issues and I think it needs to be looked upon.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then it would also get to Mr. Turso's concern. Because, while, no, you're never going to have the time and the resources to sit there and pick through every check, you could sample. Right. Sample. I mean, yes, in theory, those who are doing the program are checking, you know, and it's getting

audited, but sampling it to make sure, and then what -- like I said, years ago we never got to look at what the funds are actually spent on.

And then to see that that actually happens. So we have like multiple phases of multiple concerns that all build on each other on a program that is supposed to help raise the proficiency levels.

Mr. Menzul and then Mrs. Ighodaro.

MR. MENZUL: Yes, Madam Chair, I think -- for that I think we should maybe develop some, you know, key performance indicators for -- I mean, I guess it would be separate from the audit, but it would be related to how the money's spent and what we're getting for it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. It's starting to sound like developing a scope for the audit plan and a motion along those lines, which I suspect could come from this corner of the table -- room.

Ms. Iqhodaro?

2.1

MS. IGHODARO: I'm curious to know what the initial recommendation was from the initial audit that was done after the misappropriation of funds. What was the recommendation that came from that audit and --

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so, Ms. Ighodaro, Ms.

Arcese has just shown it to me and we can carve it out and send it to the committee. It dates back to 2017.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Was there one short recommendation? Because it was essentially to give the money to the schools that were supposed to have it as it was supposed to be allocated. If it's too long, then, no, but --

MR. JABOUIN: Well, so this is just a portion of it, but I do see the recommendation that the District should enhance its procedure to ensure that Title I school wide program resources are properly allocated to schools. And, in addition, the District should provide documentation to the grantor supporting the availability of the questioned cost totalling of \$22,993,453 or restore the amount to the Title I program. So this is just a portion of it from 2017 and I've indicated that we can send that to the committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. JABOUIN: Also, Title I is on the long-range audit plan. So the committee could bring it up to bring it in the regular plan and bumping something down with the existing resources that are in the audit function. Thank

1 you, Chair.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Ms. Dahl and then back to Mr. Menzul.

MS. DAHL: In that -- in that audit, one of the things I never heard, and I believe you might have touched on it, but I just want to make sure, because the money was allocated to schools that should not have had the money, and that was one of the big issues, because they didn't pick the schools correctly based upon the criteria that was set up to pick the schools. I've always been confused about how the money, when it was reallocated, what happened to those schools that had gotten money that they did not deserve or should have gotten, how did they handle giving that money back? Or did there suddenly become an extra pot of money to give to the Title I schools that had missed getting their money and they did not recoup the money from the schools that got it that should not have gotten it? Is that a possibility that it's in the audit?

MS. ARCESE: So I know that the director for Title I came to the audit committee on several occasions to answer those questions. I don't have all of that available to me right now. But

I can carve a lot of that out and send it to the committee along with the information I just found.

2.1

MS. DAHL: Because that, to me, is one of the biggest points of this, is that, you know, as I said, did a new pot of money suddenly appear? And how did those schools that lost money, and most of them lost a significant amount of money, how did they -- how did they handle that? Because Title I -- and if you're a Title I school, you're receiving, depending upon -- well, it doesn't matter whether you're a small school or a big school, that money is very important to your school to help the children who need help. So that's -- that's my basic concern.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. Understood. So -- and I don't remember that being clear. And, if it was, it was so many years ago that we've all forgotten. So that -- that fits in.

Okay. I have Mr. Mayersohn, Mr. Medvin and then Mr. Menzul.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, the audit that there were exceptions was 2014-2015 year?

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right?

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, again, that's nine years MR. MAYERSOHN: What I'm hearing is, is that deciding whether to do some form of audit, or to the point I think Mr. Menzul had kind of -- which is kind of out of our purview, but to kind of do some key performance indicators of whether or not those funds in, however Title I handles it, is actually showing that there's performance measures with utilizing those funds. So if you have a struggling school and you're giving them \$10 million and they're allocating it in different ways, document that, hey, if they have this reading program that they paid for, whatever, is actually showing that there is learning gains in that aspect, that's out of our purview. If we're going to question Title I, I would suggest, because we can continue to have this conversation all day long, is at our next meeting invite the director of Title I, have our questions, and then to the point of determining how we want to move forward with, I don't want to say auditing them or finding out if they're using funds efficiently, is we can do that at that time. figure out, again, because, again, this is going

to go back to the board with an audit plan, because it's going to cost money if we move forward with it, is do it that way.

2.1

Otherwise, as I said, we could be here all day having this discussion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and we do have other audits.

Okay. So Mr. Medvin and then Mr. Menzul and then we need to start wrapping this one up.

MR. MEDVIN: I would just like some quick clarification. It's the Auditor General that's doing an audit every three years, I believe that's coming up, is it a statutory requirement that as part of their audit they have to look at Title I or is it part of the whole pot in the audit the way, I guess, it is when Davis, you guys did it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Davis?

MS. DAVIS: Yes. Based on the uniform guidance and the specific assessments we have to go through and the specific calculations that we have to go through, Title I program would have to decrease significantly, I mean, to almost -- almost nothing in the grand scheme of things for it not to be tested by the Auditor General every

three years. However -- now, if the committee is leaning towards or does want to move towards having Title I tested every year, as mentioned, it can be added to the scope, increase the scope of the normal or the local single audit or considering the concerns that I've heard around the table, you'd probably be better off with what's called a program specific audit and that would be a little bit -- and probably more detailed and more in depth than just increasing the scope of the single audit.

MR. MEDVIN: But there's no statutory requirement?

MS. DAVIS: It's uniform guidance. It's a requirement by uniform guidance.

MR. CASTANEDA: Uniform guidance is a federal law.

MR. MEDVIN: Does it specifically say Title I?

MR. CASTANEDA: It specifically says this.

There's a threshold for what a type A program is.

And that can be either 750,000, \$3 million, or 3

percent of your overall federal expenditures. If

it hits that threshold, it's a type A. Based off

of those figures, as Ms. Davis was saying, Title

I will always be a type A program based off of the dollar amount. It's never going to go below 750,000, or 3 million, or 3 percent. It's not. It will always be a type A. Uniform guidance says, if a type A program has not been audited in two years, you have to test it. You have to test it.

So that's why when we say the Auditor General will do it, it will eventually get, it's because it will eventually have to be audited.

MR. MEDVIN: The reality is every three years it has to be done.

MS. DAVIS: Yes. Yes.

MR. CASTANEDA: Right. Exactly.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Clarify. So it would have to be audited this year?

MS. DAVIS: It would have to be audited by the Auditor General the year coming up. And if there are findings or significant deficiencies, it would then have to be audited the two following years by whoever the firm is that's doing the audit. So, for instance, when those findings occurred back in 2018 or '19, whenever that was, it was audited in that year by the Auditor General and then we chose it for two

following years for audits. And we do recall the director of Title I being present to respond to not just the initial findings but the follow-up by our firm.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So, based on that, it's guaranteed to be audited, but we don't know that they'll find everything we're concerned about. Mr. Menzul --

MS. FERTIG: Can you put me -- can you put me in the queue, Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I'll put you right after Mr. Menzul since you haven't spoken yet, and then we'll get back to you Peter, and then, really, we were on good time and we're losing it.

Yes.

MR. MENZUL: How much of the \$64 million -- or rather does this 64 million, is this all to public schools or how much of this goes to charter schools?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You mean the Title I?

MR. MENZUL: Yes, the Title I.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That was 79 million?

MR. MENZUL: Yes. And what's the percentage going to district charter schools?

MS. DAHL: It's based on the number of

students that have free and reduced lunch. And, yes, it goes to charter schools at the same rate that it goes to public schools. So there's no differentiation to that. And I also believe -- if I can ask the auditor, I also believe it goes to some private schools; is that correct?

MS. DAVIS: I'm not sure.

MR. CASTANEDA: Yes.

2.2

MS. DAHL: Yes. Yes. That's what I thought. So it really goes to all children who reach a certain threshold of being on free and reduced -- I'm sorry total population of children at that school that reach the threshold of being Title I schools. Because if you're below a certain amount, then you're not Title I and you will not receive the funds. High schools are carved out of that. They never receive Title I funds. It only goes to elementary and middle schools. Did that help?

MR. MENZUL: Oh, I just meant like a percentage.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It doesn't work -- what she's just explained is that the way that the funds work is that it's based on the percentage of kids that define the school as being Title I.

MR. MENZUL: No, I understand. I understand that. I just meant for like on budget, like, okay, so you said \$79 million, how much -- you know, are we able to do a breakdown of private schools, let's say, just for an example, 10 percent; you know, charter schools --

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Probably. But we wouldn't get that right now at the drop of a hat.

MR. MENZUL: Yeah, I know, not right now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Add that to the list, which I hope they're keeping a running list so that you don't have to keep reminding everybody.

All right. Where was I? Mary? Ms. Fertig? MS. FERTIG: All right. Thanks. I just wanted to point out that the major findings that were found on Title I were the ones that came out of the Auditor General's report. And if, in fact, they're going to do this this year, I think that's good, but if I understood, Mr. Mayersohn, he was suggesting that next month we be given a menu of options of different types of audits that could be done in this area and what the potential cost would be so that we could make a, you know, kind of an informed decision.

I appreciate Ms. Shaw bringing this up

because I think this is a critical area to make sure we're spending the money correctly, but the audit that really found the most over the years that I've been on the -- on this committee was the one that was done by the Auditor General.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, they usually find things that --

MS. FERTIG: Nobody else wants to talk about.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Turso?

MR. TURSO: So it's done every three years, so we're going to be doing one in the coming year. Do they only cover that third year or do they go back to the two that nobody was looking at anything?

MS. DAVIS: They only cover the year under the audit. So if they're auditing June 30th, 2024, that would be the year that's covered, expenditures in that year.

MR. TURSO: So is there any -- in that year; correct. So is there anybody looking at the other two years ever?

MS. DAVIS: From an audit perspective?

MR. TURSO: Yes.

MS. DAVIS: Only if it's chosen as a major program.

MR. TURSO: Only if it's one of those. Do the people --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. DAVIS: Or there's a -- or there's been a finding of significant deficiency or something in the primary year, let's say, that it was chosen.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I've got to wrap this up because we'll never get done.

MR. TURSO: And are the people making those choices -- so they know that they're not audited, they just know that they're audited every three years. So are you saying that they can almost do whatever they want for two years and every third year --

MS. DAVIS: If they're listening to this meeting they know they're audited every three years. I don't know if that's something that's in the back of their mind, but that's basically how it works.

MR. TURSO: Wow.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, we do check --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. One at a time.

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Ms. Fertig.

MS. FERTIG: Could you just mention, because I know you sat through these meetings, but after

the Auditor General's findings we followed this very closely for several years. I don't know if that resolved these issues, but when there are findings -- to answer the question, when they have found things they have then followed it very closely --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

2.1

MS. FERTIG: -- for a period of -- for a lengthy period of time.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But to Mr. Turso's point, we don't know if, you know, new people have started doing this all over again.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, no, I understand, totally. That's not a should we do it or shouldn't we.

That's just a -- there is a lot of follow-up once it's found.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. Okay. Mr. Jabouin?
MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to mention that
Ms. Arcese was able to look back. So the finding
originated in 2015, and so when the Auditor
General returned in 2019 and 2021 it was part of
their scope. And I think, we don't know for
sure, but there's a high probability it will be
part of their scope when they come in April.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we discussed that

they would have to because of the timing. So I think that's been resolved, that it will be in this audit because of the timing and since -- since the last one.

All right. To wrap -- hang on.

MS. ARCESE: I'm sorry, through the Chair, so I just want to make sure that everyone understands that it has been checked twice since that finding was issued. So it has been part of the Auditor General's checks and balances when they've come in in 20 --

MS. DAVIS: Plus the years that we had to do follow-up.

MS. ARCESE: Correct. So it has been part of the program, so they have been checking it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So, to the point, because I think we -- technically, you have a motion on the floor.

MS. SHAW: I yield my motion. I withdraw my motion. Number two, I do want to make is a second motion. Thank you. So my next motion then will be -- and I withdraw the first motion if it's okay with the seconder.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I withdraw my second.

MS. SHAW: Is that we include or ask, I know

Mr. Joris is on his way out, that it is included as part of the annual audit and that a program-related audit is done on Title I after this year's -- or next -- well, I guess whichever year, 2024.

MR. JABOUIN: Slow down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm going to have her restate. Let her just get her thoughts out.

MS. SHAW: That a program audit, it is included as a program audit as part of the chief auditor's annual audit report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Audit plan?

MS. SHAW: Audit plan.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So your motion is for a program audit of Title I to be included in the annual audit plan?

MS. SHAW: Yes, after the auditor's report of 2024. After the General's report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: After the Auditor General's report for 2024. And that --

MS. SHAW: There's no reason -- there's no reason to do another program audit during the year of the Auditor General audit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, you wait until their findings come out. Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: And there may not be a reason to do it when the local auditor does it, as well, too.

MS. SHAW: If there's one.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Because the thing is, some of our concerns have never been investigated if they don't come out of any of these audits. So we may still be at the point, what are you guys spending the money on?

All right. So moved by Shaw, I'll second.

Discussion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, just briefly, I think that's a good idea, but in any year that the external auditors or the Auditor General is auditing Title I, I don't think we need to include it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. We can skip it and respond to it. Okay.

MS. SHAW: Absolutely.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Any further

22 discussion?

(No response.)

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Seeing none, all in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

(No response.)

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion passes unanimously. We are done with item number 8.

MR. CASTANEDA: Thank you.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Now, moving on to the ones that we thought were going to take some time. Number 9, CRI, Caps and Gowns.

MR. JABOUIN: All right. This is the follow-up audit -- I'm sorry, follow-up forensic examination to the forensic examinations that were done on two prior Cap and Gown agreements of 16052N and 22-204.

So Carr Riggs Ingram who performed the original forensic examination is here, partner Ben Kincaid can go over the report which identified numerous issues in the prior audit and they also are follow-up, additional work was done on the most recent graduation as well.

Mr. Kincaid?

MR. KINCAID: Thank you. So, again, Ben
Kincaid from Carr Riggs & Ingram, and we
conducted a follow-up to the two separate reports
that we did for the agreement 16-052N and
FY22-204. For simplicity's sake I'm just going
to refer to them as the 16 report and the 22

report.

So within the 16 report there was 19 associated findings with that report. And with the 22 report there was 12 associated findings.

For -- to avoid redundancy, because some of these findings were similar in both the 16 and the 22 report, we consolidated those for purposes of this analysis and those condensed down to 20 overall findings in relation to the 16 and 22 reports.

Of those 20 findings, 16, based off of our -based off of our follow-up analysis were
resolved; 4 were not resolved; and we found one
additional finding. An that is summarized on
pages 1 through 2 of the report. And then
continuing on page 3 of the report in the
executive summary, it outlines the 5 findings.
Again, 4 of those were continued, one of those
was new. Of the continued findings, one was
insufficient review of purchase orders, where the
purchase orders weren't being reviewed
appropriately. Another one related to inaccurate
charges or allocations for goods under the
agreement. Essentially, you had goods or
services purchased underneath the agreement that

were allocated off of the agreement, and so that was a finding. And those costs totalled approximately \$22,000. There's a continue finding in relation to the spend authority estimates that was not resolved. The fourth finding was the new finding in regards to excessive late payment of vendor invoices. We identified approximately 27 invoices that were in excess of one year old. And some of those invoices related back to six years that were paid during our review period. And those 27 invoices totalled \$19,000. And fifth finding was in relation to purchase of goods and services prior to obtaining a purchase order.

And so overall we determined that management has significantly improved their policies and procedures in comparison to the 2016 and '22 reports and we'll be happy to answer any questions that you all may have.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thank you.

Anyone have some questions? I've got some, but I'll wait. I'm trying to find my notes.

Yes, Ms. Dahl.

2.1

MS. DAHL: One of the things that I didn't quite understand was the Chuck Puleri &

Associates that the District had been using for caps and gowns, how long had they been in business? Because then they suddenly disappeared and that group -- that particular company, it appeared to me, and maybe I read it wrong, it appeared to me had most of the findings where the money was overcharged; is that correct?

2.1

MR. KINCAID: Yes, Chuck Puleri & Associates was the District's long-term vendor for 20-plus years and most of the over-billings that we identified in the 16 report related to that particular firm. And based off of the District's responses in relation to the follow-up is that it's our understanding that the firm is no longer in business.

MS. DAHL: Yeah, that was another thing that I thought was interesting, was that they overcharged and then they went away.

And on page -- they did. They didn't have to worry about paying us back.

Okay. On pages 3 and 4, I was curious, because you said on some of these they were resolved, however, the date for estimated completion like on number 1 was November 2024 and we haven't gotten there yet. So how do you say

that it has been resolved if we haven't reached the date that it's supposed to be resolved by?

MR. KINCAID: So on pages 3 and 4 of the report, these are the unresolved findings or continued findings.

MS. DAHL: Right.

2.1

MR. KINCAID: So if you go take a step back a page to page 2, you'll see that those same findings on items 13, 14, 15, and 16, those are noted as not resolved. So, again, those are continued findings, so they were not marked as resolved.

MS. DAHL: So, in other words, pages 3 and 4 really is kind of a misnomer, it's misleading?

Because you're stating that November 24th it's going to be completed. So is that related back to those ones that were not resolved? Is that the answer?

MR. KINCAID: No, ma'am. Those estimated completion dates are based off of management's responses to our continued findings and they're estimated completions for resolving those findings. So those dates came from management.

MS. DAHL: Okay. And one other thing. I'm sorry. One other thing on page 5. When you

surveyed the high schools, all of them were surveyed?

2.1

MR. KINCAID: Yes, we sent out a survey to all high school principals and we received an 80 percent response rate from those high schools.

And that included numerous follow-ups to each one of the high schools as well as the assistants at the high schools, the bookkeepers, to ensure that we captured as big of a sample as we could.

MS. DAHL: Okay. Because I was concerned that the positive responses, you had several that were 75 and one that was -- one that was 78 and then you had one that was 69. I don't know that I can concur that that's really enough schools to have a positive response to continue with this. I don't expect 100 percent, but I'm assuming from this -- I should never assume. I'm gathering from this that 75 percent is accurate; is that correct?

MR. KINCAID: So just to make sure I'm understanding and we're clear, so the response rate there, so I'm just speaking on that first line item, the school attended the meeting with PWS regarding Cap and Gown contracts, out of the 80 percent of the schools that responded, 75

percent had a favorable response to that and 25 percent had an unfavorable response to that.

MS. DAHL: So what was said about the 25 percent that had a negative? And it's only 80 percent of the schools that responded?

MR. KINCAID: Yes, we only got an 80 percent response rate.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to further your point, how do you measure a positive versus a negative response? I've never seen responses, like a Likert scale? Like how did you determine what was being deemed positive and what's deemed negative?

MR. KINCAID: So in response to the first line, I'm just sticking on that, the school attended the meeting, that was a simple yes or no question; did they attend the meeting with PWS; or, no, they did not. And, you know, same thing in relation to the next items we went through and had a scale of whether they agreed; whether they disagreed; whether they agreed with it; and those were our scalings. We were not trying to come up with a quantification of a Likert scale, as you mentioned, we were just looking for, you know, whether they obtained -- in the case of the first

line item, whether they attended the meeting. In reference to the second line item, whether there was, from their perspective, clear understanding of the cap and gown contract pricing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Not to belabor this table, but as someone with a Ph.D. and experience in methodology and instrumentation, there's room for improvement. I'm not sure that this gets us -- the entire point doing an instrument is you're trying to measure something. I'm not sure what we were measuring here and whether we measured it and measured it consistently and accurately. Because there's lot of reasons a school might not have attended a meeting. Provided clear cap and gown contract pricing could be any of these -- there's always subjectivity in an instrument. And so you address psychometrics to try to massage out as much bias and subjectivity as possible. without seeing in an exhibit what the questionnaires look like, I'm not -- yeah, I --I'm not sure I would put too much stock. the school was satisfied with the products provided by Jostens, they were satisfied with the service. Is this a yes or no question?

MR. KINCAID: So I'm going to refer to pages 37 and 38 --

2.1

2.2

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I'm looking at --

MR. KINCAID: -- of the report. And that -- again, what's there on pages 5 is an executive summary. The details of that is within item number 18, which is on pages 37 and 38. And so it more deeply describes the instances of the response rate, what schools of the traditional high schools did or did not respond, same with the nontraditional high schools. We also provided additional options for the schools to provide additional feedback in relation to those areas and whether they had negative comments, positive comments or any comments whatsoever. And those comments are summarized on page 37 and 38 of this report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Those are the exact pages I have open right now. But my question is, so -- but is the instrument, the survey, included in the backup to the audit?

MR. KINCAID: It is not, but we can certainly send that out if that is requested.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. This more goes to in the future what we expect as exhibits. I don't

know if anyone wants to see the questionnaire. I could certainly suggest improvements in terms of customer satisfaction surveys. I'll let Mr. Jabouin make a comment, then Mr. Menzul.

MR. JABOUIN: I wanted to mention that I went over these results with the cabinet and I also went over them with the principals, as well.

Because the results were initially surprising that they were this favorable, but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To who?

MR. JABOUIN: Well, to me, given some of the comments that have been made that when the results came out like this they were rather surprising. But I went over them with the cabinet and principals because it's -- that information is valuable to the District's management on that end.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Not when it's this simplistic.

Mr. Menzul.

2.1

MR. MENZUL: Yes, I agree this is -- so surveys -- we should be doing them, but they should be internal and this isn't really a best practice. However, I do have several questions, because when we look at the survey and you look

1 at some, -I actually have several --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and I don't want to get too far into the survey because that's not the most important thing.

MR. MENZUL: Right. Right. It's not -- it's not an objective measure and, you know, this could be sent internally, which is what I was going to say. Big-picture-wise I think purchasing should be centralized for this. And when I look at, for example, when I start with number 5, I guess I do have a couple of questions, because --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, wait, are you back to findings or the survey?

MR. MENZUL: Oh, I'm back to findings.

Because I'd like to move to end discussion on the survey, if that's possible.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I wasn't sure where you were going. Yeah, yeah, yeah. No, like I said, the survey, it definitely -- if they're going to be doing surveys, and the reason I bring this up is that, dislodging the former vendor out of the District was -- it was a task. So there were people that had longstanding relationships with the vendor. And so I was concerned that the

survey almost sounds like they were hoping to find problems with Jostens and hoping that the audit, itself, would find problems. And that isn't the case in those findings. So -- but to make sure that the -- any further surveys are not designed in such a way to potentially, you know, get a bias, get biassed responses.

So that's all I wanted to say there.

Okay. So you're on page 5?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. MENZUL: Yes. Question 5, so when I'm reading this --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wait. Page or question? MR. MENZUL: Page 4, question 5. Purchasing, slash, Receiving of goods and services prior to obtaining purchase orders. Now, this might sound a little pedantic, but when I'm reading this question, there were several instances where goods, slash, services related to agreement FY22-204 were ordered and received prior to obtaining the requisite purchase order. Well, from a procurement perspective, they were not ordered if there's no purchase order. So what that should really say is the vendors sent things that weren't -- goods, slash, services that were not ordered. So the question is, why were they

then received? Because what should have happened was, somebody should have called the vendor and stated, we didn't order this. Right? So -- I mean, it is the way that works.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. Yeah, no, you're not wrong, but I think there's some additional context that you might be needing because this whole thing was -- and there's a statement in here. Because the contract -- this all didn't happen until last minute. So it's understandable some of the findings in here, and we don't expect to see them next year. If they pop up next year, then there's cause for concern. But there are things that clearly were happening on the fly. So that's why you're seeing things. But you're not wrong. I'm not disagreeing with you.

MR. MENZUL: Well, I mean, we can only go by what's written here; right?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Absolutely. Right. I just wanted to add some context.

I'm sorry. Did you say something about Ms. Strauss?

MR. JABOUIN: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. All right. Ms. Shaw. Or are you still going?

MR. MENZUL: It is -- I mean, it is -- I would be interested in hearing Ms. Marte's -- because, I mean, if we're reading the report, I would be curious how -- I mean, it shouldn't work this way.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, no, absolutely. And then, generally, for -- just to keep ourselves straight, we go in order of observations. So you're on observation 5. So if anybody has issues with the first one and then there's follow-ups to additional findings; right. So we somewhat try to go in order.

MR. MENZUL: So insufficient, I guess if we're going in order --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Did you have any on number 1, observations number 1?

MR. MENZUL: Let me reread this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And, if not, we can come back to you.

MR. MENZUL: I mean, I was going more -- more by an order of importance here, but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, if not, not. Don't worry about it.

MR. MENZUL: Okay. So with insufficient review of purchase orders, who was reviewing

1

these and who is required to sign off on them?

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. So I'm just trying to -- and you're fine to stick to 5 if you want

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So did you read the follow-up? So you're -- insufficient review, so that one has -- so observation number 1 then has like additional findings and they're not always right next to the observation. I was sort of struggling at first.

MS. IGHODARO: I think it got a little confusing there because your question is really to 5.

MR. MENZUL: Right. Well, I was told that I have to go back to 1.

MS. IGHODARO: I know. But if there -- if no one has any direction --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's why I want to determine if there's any -- where people's concerns are. I tend to go by page number, myself, because, for this, some of the findings were separated from each other, so it was hard to stick to one, because then I wouldn't have an issue on the initial observation, but then I might have an issue on the additional findings.

MR. MENZUL: Right, I got you. 1 to stay there.

2.1

MR. MENZUL: Okay. Sorry I went -- I actually just turned the page from the survey and that was where I was, so that explains it.

So I guess with these, you know, it would be good to get some more granularity on what exactly was going on if I am missing, because I had made some notes. This is one of the things that I reviewed over the weekend when this package came, and I think, you know, there's -- to me, by just reading this item, and, obviously, in conjunction with the others because they do show it, you know, it would be good to know how -- you know what happened when this happened. So, you know, vendors --

MR. JABOUIN: I can send you the original reports that were published; if you'd like.

MR. DE MEO: Page 18.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So on page -- you're on page 4, which has observations number 5.

MR. MENZUL: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then the explanation -you notice it says continued on page 27. So then
you go to page 27 and that's where I've got
stickies and highlights and all kinds of things

going on. So 27 and 28. Because it then turns into number 13 --

MR. MENZUL: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- which is kind of -- well, I have a couple stickies. So I don't know if you want to review that, because --

MR. MENZUL: Oh, I did -- I did read this, too.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you did? Because the updated --

MR. MENZUL: Well, what I'm seeing with all of this is, okay, I get that this is in the report, but this -- to me, this shouldn't have been as much as of a problem as it was; right? So we have this giant report, but this is something that happens both in government and companies every day and it would never make it to a report; right? Because somebody would just take care of this on a daily basis. So -- but when I -- when you look at this, right, so you're seeing -- I mean, I would actually take it in a much bigger picture and say I would centralize purchasing on this, so the schools --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It is centralized.

MR. MENZUL: But, I mean, are the schools

individually purchasing it? Because that's what
it was --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, they kind of -- that, they kind of -- yes, but there's in theory a process that we've put in place. But what I was trying to explain to you earlier is that, by the time this occurred, it was a mad dash to get kids' stuff ordered. So I would probably take note of the observations for this year --

MR. MENZUL: Right.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- and then if this were happening next year, then, clearly, we have a problem. Because most of these observations are directly related to the situation the District was in for this year.

MR. MENZUL: Right. But do we have a planner to prevent the situation for next year?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: If you look at most of the responses it has to do with strengthening internal controls, procedures, policy procedure training, all of our usual responses, improving things, clarifying. So there's a lot of things that we should not see.

If this were something that we kept seeing -- and that was the whole thing Chuck Puleri &

Company. That was systemic. This is new.

MR. MENZUL: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm not disagreeing that that's not how this should happen.

Ms. Shaw and then Mr. Mayersohn.

MS. SHAW: Were you finished?

MR. MENZUL: Well, I guess, I mean, really -and because you said preventing it next year, so
we could theoretically already have an answer as
to how is this going to be prevented this coming
year; right? So when is graduation,
theoretically?

MS. SHAW: May-June.

MR. MENZUL: May-June. So have we started -- I mean, we know how many kids will be graduating, in general. You know, if we look back we have an idea.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But the vendor has now been in place, this would be their second year.

MR. MENZUL: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So what I'm saying is, the conditions are not the same.

MR. MENZUL: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So there are things that

were bypassed out of necessity in a lot of cases. And then there are things -- you know, there's one -- well, there's one finding that has to do with how we paid invoices, so I don't even want to bring it up here.

MR. MENZUL: Right.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But what I'm saying is, we all know water is wet. They know water is wet. So let them get past the water is wet part and then if it happens -- if they seem to not know that water is wet next year, then I would jump up and down.

MR. MENZUL: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they do -- if you read the responses there is an acknowledgment of things that happened and there are controls in place in some instances. But it's not that nobody has a plan. Just like we're all very familiar with Sunshine Law. For years it's been a battle.

So, anyway, Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So I think for me what I would -- and I have a host of questions, but I think this is probably not the time or the place because it's not going to really answer any of these five

items. For me item number three and item number 5, number 1 and number 2 is, is someone able to tell us, because the deadline, they've made a completion date was December 2023 to give us an idea of where we are with that, because we are in January, number one.

Number two, are we able to, because we're going into another graduation season, and I would like to know what do we plan to do for this graduation season? When can we get some information, some follow-up information as to this audit, where we are, and how -- and know what has been changed? What I don't want to wait for is January of next year to know what's happening. And I know with Mr. Joris, you know, leaving us, and I'm taking it personally, leaving us, that whoever is going to be carrying on needs to make sure this is added as a continuation of right now.

Because I agree that we were in a situation, Mr. Menzul, that needed to be resolved, we needed to get students graduated, we needed to get that done, and so -- but --

MR. MENZUL: I see that, too, by the way.

MS. SHAW: As far as I'm concerned a

violation of a policy, regardless of the reason, to me, is a violation of the policy. I don't care what the reason is. It's a violation of the policy. But it was done. Whatever was needed to get done was done. The students were graduated. It wasn't the students' fault. It's a District issue. And so I want to have a follow-up sooner than later on this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I think what he's looking for is a summary. I think one of the issues, at least I was having it with this, it's not clear --

MS. SHAW: It's not.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- what the issues -- internal control issues were.

MS. SHAW: Or put into place.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And so it's -- even though there's tons of updated responses, they're not consolidated so that Mr. Menzul could have read a page and determined that and you could see from the same page what the game plan is. And that's more of a management response.

MR. MENZUL: Well, I did read the -- I actually did read most of this and I have notes here, too. But to your point --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But what I'm saying is it's not consolidated and concise.

2.1

MR. MENZUL: Right. An executive summary would be nice.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I mean, I think they have one, but it doesn't address -- the updated responses are peppered here and there.

I'm sorry, after Ms. Shaw, who was next; you, Bob? Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So a couple of things. First thing is, if you go, I guess, to the last page in the back, which is very disconcerting to me, is that -- and this is to Dr. Phillips where it says, our office's General Counsel has deemed that the District does not have legal standing on the amounts billed to students and parents. So based upon that, students and parents are out. I mean, they're -- now, that's through the General Counsel. Do they have -- and, again, you may not want to opine on it, but do they have other legal means to form a class action suit or anything else that they would do?

You may not want -- you may not want to opine on it.

DR. PHILLIPS: Well, and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, to that point, what's your position; General Counsel?

2.1

DR. PHILLIPS: No, I'm the Chief Information Officer.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I know, but why --

DR. PHILLIPS: I was the superintendent's representative on this audit and working with the vendors to come to resolution of the audit findings. So, working on this, I will not opine, I will just say that in discussions with legal counsel it was said that the District does not have legal standing and that the course of action would be for parents and students to take legal action on their own against the company.

MS. IGHODARO: But isn't the District supposed to assign -- I'm sorry to speak out of turn without being called --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that's okay.

MS. IGHODARO: -- but isn't the District the body that --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Tells us it's safe to go with this vendor? Yes.

MS. IGHODARO: -- that tells us whom to purchase from? That's like literally washing your hands after you've facilitated the entire

transaction.

2.1

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I understand what you're saying, but, again, the position of General Counsel is that they have no legal standing with this, which I'm not saying I agree or disagree, but that's the position of General Counsel.

It doesn't mean that parents as a group can't formulate a class action suit and file a complaint, not only to the vendor, to Herff Jones, who I guess was the head over Puleri's franchise, and to other entities that may include Broward County Schools in their class action suit. But that's up to parents. So I'm just pointing that out. I don't want to get into it but it just disappoints me that parents get caught in the mix.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: In the middle.

MR. MAYERSOHN: In the middle of something that shouldn't happen. So all I do is go back and ask if the District can find a way to make those parents whole, it would be greatly appreciated, I'm sure, by all the parents. So that's -- you know, whether it happens or not, that's just my -- my point.

The other -- the other thing I want to add is that, or question, and this is to Dr. Fleming, or Dr. Toomer, or Dr. Strauss, or Mr. Strauss. And especially Mr. Strauss and Dr. Fleming and Dr. Toomer have all been, I guess, principals, how does this process work as far as, number one, in a high school do all students have -- or do all schools have identical needs when it comes to purchasing caps, gowns, cords, medallions, whatever it is? So that's the first question. Anyone?

2.2

MS. STRAUSS: Good afternoon -- no, good morning. In regards to your question, Mr.

Mayersohn, the -- in general I would say, yes, most schools have the same needs. There are some differences in regards to some medallions. Maybe this school orders this medallion versus another school that doesn't. This school orders this cord versus a different school that orders a different one. But in general purpose of ordering of the materials; yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So it's all -- so it's all the same. It's the basic, here's what it is, you need a cap, you need a gown. They're not different colors? I mean, the cords are,

1 obviously, different cords.

2.1

MR. STRAUSS: Right. So I just want to clarify for the committee, the cap and gown is purchased by the student and the family. The school is only purchasing medallions, or cords, or stoles that are in addition, above the regular regalia.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, but the school tells the parents who to purchase the cap and the gown from.

MS. STRAUSS: Correct. The agreement here determines that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. So -- so -- and the price is set by the vendor. It's not like I'm a parent, I can go to anywhere or say, hey, I got mine from high school, you know, son, daughter, you're welcome to use it.

MR. STRAUSS: That is correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It's got to have uniformity and whatever else it may be.

MS. STRAUSS: That is correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So the possibility of, I guess, central purchasing as Mr. Menzul had suggested, there's a possibility to that, where it goes to a warehouse somewhere and parents or

schools can purchase it through that warehouse. So we could order -- I mean, most of this, I'm assuming, is rented anyway?

2.1

MS. STRAUSS: There's actually -- now, last year was the first year that schools chose whether they were, what they call throw-away gowns, where they just keep them, or rentals. So it just depends on each individual school in that case.

MR. MAYERSOHN: But could parents make that their own decision, I want a rental or I want a throw-away?

MR. STRAUSS: No, the school determines whether --

MR. MAYERSOHN: So it has to be identical to the school?

MR. STRAUSS: Right. Correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So are there policies and procedures that are currently set up in a policy or this is just an administrative policy? Or is it still like we've been doing this for 30 years and it works or it doesn't work?

MS. STRAUSS: I can't -- I cannot speak to, when you say "policies", Mr. Mayersohn, I don't know if you're talking about from a procurement

1 standpoint, which I would --

2.1

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, procurement or administrative? Here's our administrative policy, you go call up so-and-so you get a -- we have a District contract, the purchasing has to be made by this date, et cetera, et cetera, something that at least --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You mean to the schools?

MR. MAYERSOHN: To the schools, at least a checklist.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Because we know the purchasing policy, we've seen that. And --

MR. MENZUL: Well, technically, we're not the one purchasing them; right? So that's --

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, no, no, the school purchases the cords, the other things. The caps and gowns -- the caps and gowns are done by the parents.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Parents with the specified vendor, which in the -- for last year was just one because the board cut all ties with Chuck Puleri and Herff Jones.

But what Mr. Mayersohn is asking, because I believe that the decision school by school is made at SAC? SAF?

1 MR. STRAUSS: No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: SAC or SAF?

MR. STRAUSS: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No? There's a selection committee?

MR. STRAUSS: There is a selection committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not sure that's better.

But to Mr. Mayersohn's point, where are the procedures, and is that in policy somewhere?

MR. STRAUSS: I am not aware that there is such procedures.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Then that would be what we like to call internal control weakness.

Okay. So --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Dr. Fleming or Dr. Toomer, do you want to opine on it?

I'm not saying --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, hold on.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm not saying -- I'm not saying -- procurement of the items is a different story. I'm talking about, I'm a principal at XYZ school --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What am I supposed to do?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I call up Mr. Strauss and say, hey, you've been a principal for, you know,

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

30 years, whatever it is, what do I do? I'm just a -- you know, I was a middle school principal.

Well, here's what you do, call up this one, get this one, do this, do that? As opposed to something that you can say, hey, look here's our procedure, here's our policy, here's our administrative policy. It doesn't have to be a school board policy, but here's the flow and here's what we do. So it's in writing, so there's no confusion about, I get one thing from Mr. Strauss and I get another thing from, you know, Mr. LaPace, or I get another thing from somebody else.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So any policy would be a board policy.

MR. MAYERSOHN: That's right, it could be an administration.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It could be a procedure. It could be a procedure.

But in light of everything that happened last year, even if you didn't make a policy one would -- a procedure?

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. SHAW: Why exactly wouldn't we have a

policy across the district on this, say, every principal, you're moving from a school, to a school, to a school, can pick up something and say in December of every year this is the process for graduation when it comes to doing this, and, of course, working with procurement to get this done?

2.1

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, we have standard practice bulletins. This is very similar to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, hold on. Let's find out. One sec. We're just trying to get -- lay out what we do have and don't have.

MR. TURSO: Okay. But you had said something earlier about --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But now that someone said standards, because we're trying to decipher. We know there's no policy. We know there's no procedure. Is there a standard practice bulletin, we're just trying to cover all bases, that explain to principals what happens? Because we don't even know when graduations are and where --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, we do.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We do?

MR. MAYERSOHN: We do. It just came out.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Andreu may be able to respond to that, Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But she's purchasing.

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, yes, true. Academics then.

MR. MENZUL: Madam Chair?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, sir.

MR. MENZUL: If we don't centralize this, we don't really own the supply chain, and there's not really much we're ever going to be able to do for the parents. The only way to really deal with this issue is to put this under Procurement and, you know, obviously, develop policies and develop, I guess, what would you call it? know, planning procedures with forecasting so you know ahead of time. It's already too -- I would say it's kind of late to do it this year. and then could you just sell it to the students at cost? The other benefit is, in general, if you're --you know, Broward Schools, I don't know how many of these things we're buying, but you can directly go to the vendor or several vendors and, you know, you get better pricing.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. MENZUL: In general.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. Hold that thought.

It's not that I disagree with you in spirit, but we are where we are. Infrastructure matters, as I am sure you can appreciate.

Mrs. Marte wants to respond and then I think I had Peter and then Mrs. Carter-Lynch.

Yes, Mrs. Marte.

MR. MENZUL:

That's very normal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MRS. MARTE: Thank you, Madam Chair. Conceptually, sir, what you say makes sense. there's sizing of every student. So every student gets measured for a gown. To buy them centrally schools would have to gather all the measurements. The schools decide whether it's a disposable gown or a rental gown. Those are all different decisions that are made. So to centralize it would actually add a layer of complexly. Because then they'd have to be warehoused and they'd still be ordered by school. So you still would have to have an order for Cypress Bay, an order for Marjory Stoneman Douglas. There are schools -- a few schools that I believe have a different colored gown. So it's not a one-size-fits-all type of thing to order.

MRS. MARTE: But every student has to be

Right. They're different skews.

measured for their cap and gown. So it's a very unique event.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And we're trying to reduce the footprint of the District, including administrative space. So now we would have to put those somewhere, all those caps, gowns honor cords, diplomas, the whole nine yards.

Mr. Turso?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. TURSO: Yeah, can you just clarify? You mentioned that, I think the quote from you was that we severed ties with Herff Jones, the board severed ties with Herff Jones. When did that occur?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: December of '22?

MS. IGHODARO: '22; yeah.

MR. TURSO: Why -- why then were they at a school making a presentation for a yearbook?

MR. JABOUIN: I think it was February of '22.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate contract.

MR. TURSO: But it's still the same company.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, yes, there's a huge
-- there's tons of discussions that went on and
the board agonized over all of those things. I
was at some of the meetings. There was also -there may have been a couple schools that were

still doing Herff Jones for graduation or Chuck Puleri, because there was like a mad fire sale where people were getting -- were telling them they had to use Chuck Puleri. And I think they even had to cancel --

MR. TURSO: I'm talking about for this current year. Herff Jones is still in our schools. They owe the District money, they --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On a separate --

MR. TURSO: I understand, but it's the same company.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, Herff Jones is trying to distinguish itself from Chuck Puleri. Chuck Puleri did not do yearbooks; at least as far as I know.

MS. SHAW: No, no, no, he's saying that Herff Jones is here for a separate matter. Even though it's a separate matter --

MR. JABOUIN: They're separate companies.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think they couldn't get out of it easily. I'm speaking as the mother of an editor-in-chief of a yearbook, they almost were like ready to, you know, jump off a cliff at the idea of not using what they use.

But in terms of the contract and its current

status or if they're going to change for next year, that, I don't know. And then I think -- so hold that thought. You're not wrong, but we don't know where they are on that. I think it was a logistics issue.

MR. TURSO: But I just want to make sure it's clear from a -- I have to say this, from a dollar and cents point of view, yes, the cap and gown thing is rather de minimus. But it's the tip of the iceberg and it's absolutely a great illustration of everything that's wrong. The fact that parents are still being given presentations from a company that perpetrated this and is still -- right here it says, the District is currently conducting ongoing with Herff Jones leadership to come to a resolution of these amounts. Then they shouldn't be dealing with the District until they do. And the fact that they are, I'm sorry, smells bad.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we'll get a -- we'll get a contract update on yearbook.

Ms. Carter-Lynch.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yes, yes, please. Thank you. Thank you. You know, we are doing this to death. That's what we're doing right now.

1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're what?

a happy medium, if I can suggest it; okay?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: We're doing this to death. We're talking this to death. And we're going off on all these different directions. I would like

All of these things that we're talking about are things that happened last year and the year before and all that; right? So we're trying to get into a new day. We can still discuss them and talk about them, but why don't we see how -especially with the cap and gown situation, why don't we see how it goes this year and then come back and try -- because then we can fix whatever happens this year. Because last year and the years before was a hot mess. And we know that.

So I'm at a point right now, why don't we just try to come to a solution on how we're going to move forward now?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And if someone has some motions, think them through, Mr. Menzul, because I haven't even gotten, I just have three things, because I do have --

MR. MENZUL: I can make a motion, too, but I was going to say, well, if that's the case, but for this year I would just add quickly to your

point, because I agree we should have a solution, but the solution to me then, it would seem to allow parents to not go with whatever vendor we selected. Because on Amazon you have these gowns, like 20 bucks. So how much are they paying? I mean, I guess probably nobody knows.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, actually we do know. Just for basic cap and gown was like 34.

MS. STRAUSS: 29.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 29. Yeah, it's not a lot. Now, is that for the keep; or the toss, the rental?

MS. STRAUSS: Either.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Either. We got rid of all of the -- you know, last year was the transition year. Before that kids were being roped into -- parents were being roped into spending hundreds of dollars for all these cutesy-pooh pieces of -- some of them just, literally, things you toss, and that wasn't even the cap and gown. So they stripped it down to the bare basics and solved that problem.

So, to Ruth's point, you have to really let this cycle through, and then if we're still having issues -- and then tighten up on how it's

being monitored. But to your point from earlier and so that we can move along, and I don't even think --

MR. JABOUIN: Chair, I wanted to mention, we can ask Mr. Kincaid who did the last report if he can speak from a high level on what process is going to be done.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's what I'm getting to. I'm not done.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we don't have time to keep on -- on this. What I would have liked to have seen is all of next steps, recommendations. And on one of your last ones the recommendations were all together. This one they're kind of all over the place, which is leading to some of us not being clear on what the internal control weaknesses actually are. But I'm going to speak to some that I don't think are Procurement weaknesses because Procurement doesn't pay invoices, so -- last I checked.

MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: You asked for a motion, I have a motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is it going to be to transmit?

MR. DE MEO: A little background. This report was done by some forensic auditors, which I think is appropriate. I think the report is very good. And to Ms. Carter-Lynch's point, this is in the past. So here's my motion. I move that the principals and Mrs. Marte get together and make recommendations on how we should go forward.

MRS. MARTE: We've done that.

MS. SHAW: I want to amend that motion.

Sorry. Can I?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Friendly amendment?

MS. SHAW: Friendly amendment.

MR. JABOUIN: Can you hear from the auditors before you vote, because --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, we heard from them. It's right here.

MR. JABOUIN: But he has more that he could probably enlighten us.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Then it should have -then, respectfully, it should have been in the
report. And so now we're providing feedback on
what we've been given. And I just said, they

have provided us with fairly succinct recommendations in the past. If they want to submit something that's for dissemination, fine.

Okay. Ms. Shaw is trying to amend a motion.

MS. SHAW: So included that the District put in a process and an SOP, standard operating procedure, in place, across all schools in order to order caps and gowns.

MR. JABOUIN: Slow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MS. SHAW: I was writing it down.

MR. JABOUIN: Go ahead.

MS. SHAW: And a follow-up to this report is done prior to January 2025.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Can you restate and can you put the word policy in there?

MS. SHAW: That the District put a process which includes standard operating procedure and a policy in place for all schools who are ordering cap and gowns. And I want to take it a little bit further, and work with Procurement to make sure all policies and procedures are followed.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. SHAW: But I also want a follow-up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And you have caps and gowns and other graduation --

MS. SHAW: And other graduation --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- regalia?

MS. SHAW: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Did you?

MR. JABOUIN: I will re-read what I have, Ms.

Shaw. My court reporter skills are not as good as Mr. Bass's. The District put a process --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move that the

District --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: -- SOP.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. JABOUIN: That is the motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Can she re-read it then?

MR. JABOUIN: Would you mind if I read it and then you can correct me?

MS. SHAW: Go ahead.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. The District put a process, slash, SOP and policy in place for all schools who are ordering caps and gowns and work with Procurement to ensure that policies and procedures are enforced, and a follow-up to this report is done before January 2025.

MS. SHAW: Caps and gowns and other graduation --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Regalia.

MR. JABOUIN: Almost there. Caps and gowns and other graduation regalia.

Okay. Would you like me to re-read it, Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Uh-huh.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. This is the motion. The District put a process --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Thank you. We move the District put a process, slash, SOP and policy in place for all schools who are ordering caps and gowns and other graduation regalia and work with Procurement to ensure that policies and procedures are enforced and a follow-up to this report is done before January 2025.

MS. SHAW: Is that okay?

MR. DE MEO: Well, the first part of it is the most important part. I think the principals know what to do. They're pretty smart people. I don't think they need a big policy. I really don't. This is simple stuff. But I'm -- so I agree to this amendment, but the first part of it is that the principals and Mrs. Marte get together and come up with the policy and then the rest of it will follow.

MS. IGHODARO: I second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: I did not write that portion.

MR. MENZUL: I move to amend the motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wait. Hold on. Hold on.

MS. SHAW: May I explain?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, you amended his motion and did we capture -- because the thing is, it's -- they would provide input and feedback on a policy. But it was all the school-based activities that got us where we were with caps and gowns in the first place. And from an internal control standpoint, the responsibility for policy and procedure would not start at the school level, it would start at the District level with the people that are tasked with that over schools, which would be Teaching & Learning in conjunction with, because they can't do it outside of Finance and Procurement. And then certainly they would be included in it as part of the process.

MS. SHAW: Yes. Exactly. And I think our principals have enough for them to do. And so if you walk into the chair as a high school principal and you have no idea about graduation and what you have to do, there's something in

place that you can pick up and follow and go about being a principal in your school. I don't want them to have to come up with, well, I think we should do A, B, C, one step, one, two, three. This is something that the District can do. We have quality people who can put this together. You can include a few of the principals and their feedback into this for them to do this. But I don't want to task our principals to putting this together. Because, you know what, if you go to the Cypress Bay, versus the Northeast High School, versus the Hollywood High School, every principal will have a different idea of how this should be executed.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And it would improve -- it makes the schools more efficient, effective in terms of this process. Because, Mr. De Meo, you'd be shocked at how much is all verbal. Everything is verbal around here.

Nobody really knows because it's not written down anywhere.

MR. DE MEO: You know, this isn't really brain surgery. This is ordering caps and gowns. You have the order information from the company. And that's why I asked Mrs. Marte to be involved.

She can -- she can lever whoever needs to be involved and come up with a policy that is both mindful of the District's overall concerns and the principals' practical everyday living with these ideas.

So I'll withdraw my part of it and you can vote on the other one.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Are you seconding Ms. Shaw's?

MS. IGHODARO: I am and I also want to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then we'll have --

MR. MENZUL: I want to amend.

MS. DAHL: Use your mike.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, hold on.

All right. We're in discussion, you can amend away.

MR. MENZUL: If there's going to be discussion --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But we're going to run out of time.

MR. MENZUL: I move to amend -- what is it our green belt, black belt, six sigma person, I forget his name, this is the person who should be speaking as well with Ms. Marte regarding this

issue, as well, and consulting on the processes to develop, and I guess, ideally, Procurement, as well, if we're going to have them involved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There's so many people with green belts, yellow belts, black belts and that six sigma and everything else in this district, we don't lack for that. I'm not sure which person you're referring to, because, trust me, there's a ton of people with that.

MR. JABOUIN: He may be referring to SIM.

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, hang on. Mrs. Marte had a comment and then I'll come to you, Mary.

MS. FERTIG: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and we need to move this along.

Okay. Mrs. Marte.

MRS. MARTE: Thank you, Madam Chair. So, certainly, to codify a document that offers clarity to me makes a great deal of sense, but we're using the word policy. Policy's adopted by the school board. Is the committee looking for a policy on how to order caps and gowns?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, it would be a policy that they need to follow the procedures for

1 ordering caps, gowns.

2.1

MRS. MARTE: And you want a policy that says they have to follow a procedure?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MRS. MARTE: Okay. I just -- I mean, because we do SOPs and business practice bulletins all the time to offer clarity to schools and to the departments on how we should operate. I will have to review -- I mean, certainly, the committee motion can be what the committee motion is, but this is not typically something that would elevate to policy. We have a procurement policy.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, we do. And so let me give you an example real quick.

MS. SHAW: No, no, no, may I?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MS. SHAW: That's great. But my question is, since this has been up in the last few years have we put an SOP or a process in place to address this? And can I see it? Because if there's not, then I want a policy.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, either way I think we -- the motion is on the floor.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Can we let Mary go and

1 then --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mary, and we really must move this along if everybody wants to get out of here. And I heard with 1:00 is our hard stop.

MS. DAHL: No, not 1:00.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know your hard stop is before that, but we've got to get through a couple more of these.

All right. Mary?

MS. FERTIG: Yes, I just want to comment that I think we're over thinking -- I think we're over talking or over thinking this. It's a simple motion, put a process in place, is, basically, how I'm thinking what she said. This has been high profile now for two and a half years, maybe longer. So I -- you know, I think putting the process in place and having everybody agree on it, and that to me, it's fine if the board agrees on it, because that really should be the way it is. Let's just do it. Let's just move forward, get this done and get to the next item.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Good.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Just to -- and to Ms. Marte's point, I'm not looking -- I'm not looking for a

policy. I'm just looking for an SOP. Because, again, I'm hearing that there's nothing in writing where, Madam Principal or Mr. Principal, here's your -- here's your process of what you can do, what you have autonomy on, what you don't, what's required. And this way everybody is on the same page. The finance of it, the procurement of it, all that stuff, will get done some way. But this is more of so that, you know, a principal gets into place, whether they've been there for 10 years or whatever, they could say, this is the way I do it. I used to call up the guy and say, hey, by the way, can you send me five medallions and he's like, yeah, we'll bill you later. If it's not part of the internal control process, that's where, to me, this has been the problem. So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And the motion the way it stands is fine, because you have policy. And it could be a line in the purchasing policy. All purchases of caps, gowns and other graduation regalia will be made -- will be made in -- will conform to the whatever manual. So you're directing them. It's in policy. So you have a policy you can enforce, you reference the manual.

Just like when it comes to P-cards in Miami-Dade, there's, actually -- actually, there's even a P-card policy and manual in Miami-Dade and it's referenced in the purchasing policy in Miami-Dade. So that's all this motion is.

So before somebody says, call the question, are we good on discussion so we can vote?

MR. MENZUL: Sorry, Madam Chair. On page 3 and 4, you know, we're talking about additional policies, but it looks like these observations, and it says the estimated completion dates are November 2024 for most of them and December 2023, so, you know, we have the graduation coming up in May or June.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. This is strictly on the policy that Ms. Shaw amended.

MR. MENZUL: But it looks like some of these do get into this, right, in terms of insufficient review of purchase orders.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But there isn't -on this particular issue there is -- it's not
mentioned in a policy, what schools do, it's
not -- there are no procedures despite everything
that we just went through. So that's what the
motion is about.

And then as far as what you're looking at, if there were a concise list of recommendations, that would also be a separate issue.

This is more for the school-based personnel, what do we do, graduation's coming up.

MR. MENZUL: Well, then I do have a question then. So will this -- and this is actually -- so I get what you're saying; okay.

Now, will this --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. This process will not happen tomorrow. And everything you're concerned about can be part of that, will become part of that.

MR. MENZUL: But will this process be executed through SAP Ariba?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's getting into the weeds. Yes -- well, possibly, if there is infrastructure that exists. That's like a next -- that's a follow-up to this.

We will never get out of here today.

MS. FERTIG: Can we just form a --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Don't call the question.

23 Please don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

24

25

MS. FERTIG: No, I'm not calling the question because I think we just need to vote. I just

want to say, what we're recommending, basically, is that they formalize a process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, we have a motion.

MS. FERTIG: We don't need to worry about how that's going to happen because we're going to see it come back to us, so -- yeah, I won't call the question.

MS. DAHL: I'm calling the question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Then we have to vote on it.

All in favor of the motion? Mr. Jabouin has captured it, we've read it three times, I think.
All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Anyone opposed?

MR. DE MEO: I'm opposed because it's overkill and without the principals and Mrs.

Marte involved all we need is a memo, for God's sake. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're going to be involved.

MR. JABOUIN: So noted.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

MR. MENZUL: I'm opposed, also.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Menzul and Mr. De Meo opposed.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Anybody else? So we can record this and move on.

All right. Motion passes, 2 opposed, 9 to 2.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: Chair, can we kindly acknowledge the presence of --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, yes, and he's sitting right across from me.

Dr. Zeman, member of the school board is with us. Hello.

Who else came in? I can't see everybody. I don't know.

MR. JABOUIN: If you can say your name?

MS. THOMSON: Rebecca Thomson. I'm his assistant.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Welcome.

All right. I'm assuming you're here to listen, observe, or did you want to -- you're good?

Okay. All right. Next up.

MS. SHAW: Do we need to transmit?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, we do need to transmit. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, yeah, we probably do.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to transmit.

1 MS. SHAW: Second. Phyllis Shaw.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Moved by Mayersohn, seconded by Shaw.

All in favor to transmit?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion carries unanimously.

Moving on to Internal Funds, number 10.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. So this is the internal -- the audit of internal funds. These are the standard audits that we have done in accordance with the District. We do need to transmit this item so we can improve our totals for the Auditor General before they arrive in April.

Ms. Dahl?

MS. DAHL: I just have a comment that I want to make. Actually, a couple comments. Is that okay, Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On internal funds?

MS. DAHL: Uh-huh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. DAHL: Okay. There was either two or three of the high schools had an issue that we

had last year, which was remitting funds for nurseries or daycare sites. And one of the things we discussed last year when this happened, we discussed who goes back and checks these amounts to make sure they are remitted? And I thought that we had discussed it enough to the point that there was somebody that was supposed to be doing that. However, I didn't feel that happened, because we still had this come up. And maybe it'll never come up again, but it concerns me that it has come up again.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, I have an update for, Ms. Shaw. So at one of the --

MS. DAHL: It's Ms. Dahl.

2.1

MR. JABOUIN: This is the second time I've done this.

MS. DAHL: We do look alike. I just want to say that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And Ruth and I are also identical twins.

MR. JABOUIN: All right. Here's an update for you, Ms. Dahl. So during one of the previous audit committee meetings where these issues came up the committee asked me to meet with District management. And we were able to do that in early

December. And that included the principals, it included individuals throughout -- throughout the District, including Business Support Center. So one of the key things that needs to be done is that the procedure needs to be updated for that. And we have a commitment that it will be updated in March. And so we'll be able to have improved controls with respect to that once those procedures are updated and we'll be able to test those. But we may run into some issues before then, though.

2.1

2.2

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Let me clarify. Because you just mentioned the motion and that's the motion that was not accurately captured. Because we didn't ask to get with principals. There was a very detailed motion that has yet to be addressed as the motion that was passed, unless you're referring to a different internal funds.

MR. JABOUIN: No, it's the same motion. And we've gone back -- originally, we responded to it and we provided an updated response.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To that incorrect capturing of the motion. You have yet to put the motion as it was passed.

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, actually, it's written in

the way that, just like we just did with Ms.

Shaw, so we did confirm -- just like we did just now, where I re-read the motion, that is the language that went in.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you know that I went -you know I went back to the minutes and I've read
from the minutes, to some people's annoyance,
because they -- I read from --

MR. JABOUIN: I did that, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, no. What is in the minutes as being the motion that Mr. De Meo made was not captured as the motion. And we'll talk about that --

MR. JABOUIN: Sure, we can talk about that later. But I confirmed the language with Mr. De Meo just as I did with Ms. Shaw.

MS. FERTIG: Could we move ahead with the conversation on this audit? And can you put me in line? Can you put me in line to speak, please?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. JABOUIN: So, anyway, Ms. Dahl, I did meet with the principals and District management, so we'll anticipate improved controls with the update of the procedures.

1 MS. DAHL: And so these were done before the updated procedures; is that correct?

2.1

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, the procedures will, we have a commitment that they will be updated in March of 2024.

MS. DAHL: But that concerns me. I just want to say that. Because this was last year that we discussed this. And -- and we -- I don't believe that we felt that it was only the remittance and for the daycare and stuff, that there were other things that needed to be remitted that were not happening.

So my concern is, are we capturing everything? In other words, we even talked about, I think, a checklist to have to go through to see if everything had been remitted. I'm pretty sure that's correct. And -- because it just bothered me that we haven't fixed this yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think it can be fixed that quickly.

MS. DAHL: And the thing is, that I feel sometimes that we talk about these things and we ask for things to be done, and then it's almost like a year later that we're discussing getting that in place. And that bothers me. Because

when we discussed that last year, I felt that this was going to be something that was at the top of the burner to get this done. Maybe I'm wrong. Maybe it's just because I was a school principal and internal accounts are very important to me and mistakes should not be made there. So, anyway, that's my comment on that and I have some other comments; okay?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, do you want to go through them, because then Mary's in the hopper.

MS. DAHL: Okay. I spent time yesterday with Ms. Arcese, and, I'm sorry, I don't remember the other lady's name.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Gouldbourne.

MS. DAHL: Ms. Gouldbourne. They called me yesterday by my request to discuss something that I did not understand. And I want to point out to you all, if you can turn to page -- actually, it's the very first page. No, it's not the first page. That's the small one. Sorry, I thought I had the answer. Okay. I think it's Deerfield Beach High School. Yes. If you go to page 9, I didn't understand what Treasurer's pool accounts were and they explained it to me. My concern

becomes that, I guess I want to see in an audit, and maybe this is overreaching, what is considered -- what is being held there? words, I used the example yesterday with them that when Blanche Ely was trying to get a scoreboard, they probably were putting that money into the treasurer's pool. Because some of these schools, there's a couple elementary schools that have huge amounts of money in that particular area, one of them being Floranada Elementary School with \$225,000. And, to me, that's unheard I was a principal of an elementary school, I of. was a principal of a middle school, and -- so I just would kind of like to know what they're holding the money for and -- I mean, I know they're getting interest on it, I get all of that. But it just seems to me, if that money is sitting there, I would think that money needs to be spent for what it's supposed to be spent for or it needs to go back to the kids. Because I get concerned -- okay. Thank you, Ms. Marte, you can correct me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, that's --

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Marte?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mrs. Marte.

MRS. MARTE: Thank you, Madam Chair.

2.1

So it is the kids' money and it's simply a vehicle to help the schools earn more interest.

It's treasurer's pool cash. It is --

MS. DAHL: I understand that.

MRS. MARTE: It's completely accessible. But sometimes the school, for whatever reason, we'd have to look at the detail, they had a lot of donations, they had a local company give them a \$50,000 check --

MS. DAHL: And I get that.

MRS. MARTE: -- and they haven't through the SAC and through the administration decided what they want to do. Some of these elementary schools have been actively fundraising for quite a bit of time to put coverings on playgrounds and things like that. So this is a -- it is not a good practice to have large amounts of cash in a bank account in a school building. This is a very, very natural common practice to use pooled cash to safeguard the asset, to maximize the earnings, and to have better accountability.

MS. DAHL: And I totally understand that because my conversation yesterday really did explain that to me.

My concern is, Ms. Marte, is maybe I just need more information when these amounts of money show up in places. Because I'd like to know what Floranada is holding that kind of money for. And they have every right to hold it. I'm not saying that they don't, but --

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So for the purposes of this audit and getting -- so it sound like, moving forward, and I don't think it's an unreasonable request, that for -- that you're provided with an explanation for bank accounts over a certain amount. We can figure out what we consider --

MS. DAHL: Well, and it's not only -- it's not only the pool amounts, but I'm also concerned about the trust accounts.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Meaning, what is the purpose? I mean, exhibits could be -- if there was -- if they are fundraising, what's the purpose?

MS. DAHL: Right, right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So that you know why -- it could get unwieldy --

MS. DAHL: So you think I'm being ridiculous.

MS. SHAW: No, no, no, I'm not thinking -I'm sorry. I don't think you're being

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

ridiculous. But Floranada is probably one of those schools similar to the west schools that do a lot of fundraising and they do a lot in the schools. And so, for me, asking them what they're doing with this money is ridiculous. The question is, is something happening with the money? Are they losing the money? Are they misappropriating the money? That would be the question. But if that's not the case, I don't think it's unreasonable for them to save up to put on what, I don't know what those things in the play — in the yard mean so they can have covering for the kids.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, there's canopies, sun shades, rubberized surfaces.

MS. SHAW: Right. And we ended up with a grant at Crystal Lake from Lowe's to do some of those things, you know, for ourselves over at Crystal Lakes over the last few years. So I don't have an issue with this. My issue is, are the funds being misappropriated? Are grants that they receive from donors being spent inappropriately? And if that's not the case and we have a clean audit except for those schools who have issues, I think we need to move on.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Is there a practice bulletin or something that provides a general explanation for amounts and what's allowed; just for a general sense?

MS. SHAW: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MRS. MARTE: There's directions and measures from the treasurer.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Marte?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On what you can and can't.

MRS. MARTE: So there's directions and instructions on pool cash from the treasurer I can pull together and give them to you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think that would help.

MS. SHAW: But it doesn't tell them that you need to only have \$25,000 in a three-month period.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, no, no, no. But so Rebecca knows and anyone else that, you know, there isn't an issue unless the conditions you just mentioned.

All right. Mary was and then Mayersohn and De Meo.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I -- I want to just comment overall on this report. Can you hear me okay?

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

2.1

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I find this probably the worst internal, and you know I've been on the audit committee for a long time, that I have seen in very, very long time. My concern is, we're seeing more of these. And I'm reading through the resolutions, it's going to be, well, I'll review this standard practice bulletin; or I'll review that.

I'm just kind of wondering what -- what -- if we need to review the training that's in place to make sure that people are getting the appropriate training before the problem is -- before they make the mistake. And I'm just saying that because some of these schools have multiple, multiple issues.

I don't remember seeing a report like this in so long. I -- I just -- and I know one of the things that changed that dynamic was that we changed the training.

So I want to raise the issue. I know we have ongoing conversations about the Business Support Center and other -- other things, but I think this report kind of emphasizes the importance of doing this quickly, not -- not letting it go over

a long period of time, kind of to reemphasize what Rebecca was saying about we found these problems last year and then we're going to get something in place so that maybe after two years something new will be in place.

No, we need to start making sure that the money is safeguarded now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. De Meo or Mayersohn; which one? Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: You can go.

MR. DE MEO: I'd like to ask Ms. Marte a question. First, does anybody monitor -- to Mrs. Dahl's concerns, does anyone monitor -- in the operations, does somebody look at these funds and say, maybe, pick up the phone and call a principal and say, what's going on?

For example, my wife was president of the PTA at River Glades and they had a couple hundred thousand dollars in their -- they were building a shed, they built -- they bought a sign. They bought computers. Hundreds of thousands of dollars. But I do think Ms. Dahl has a point. I don't think we need a big policy or a sigma six with all -- you know, I think we just simply need somebody to call up when balances are over

judgment, and perhaps make a record, a note, and the chief auditor from time to time when doing the internal funds could review those notes.

That's -- that's what I suggest.

MS. DAHL: I like that.

MRS. MARTE: So, may I, Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mrs. Marte.

MRS. MARTE: Thank you. Thank you. So I, absolutely, agree with what you're saying.

Internal funds are monitored on a monthly basis by the Business Support Center. We have not looked at if you've got an excessive balance, the why. Large schools routinely and for decades have large balances. We certainly take that as a very good suggestion. And I am going to sit down with Mrs. Andreu and Mr. Smith and we will build that into his team's practices. Because I think that note in the file would offer some clarity as to the why.

And as I believe Madam Chair also said, there are -- they're surfacing a lot, there's all kinds of reasons why year over year they're, actually, saving towards something, but that isn't necessarily disclosed in the monthly documents and it probably should be.

So thank you for that recommendation. We'll take that immediately into consideration.

MS. DAHL: I'm not crazy.

2.1

MR. MAYERSOHN: No comment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So a couple of things that -that continue to pop up. And I guess I'm looking
at it from whether this is a budgeting issue or
an accounting issue, in that taking a look at
page 10, Deerfield Beach High School, where it
says purchasing policy. During the review it was
noted that a vendor was paid \$12,000 for
services. However, the school did not receive
additional quotes per school board policy.

So what layers in place do we have from, I'm going on a field trip or I'm going somewhere? I know now working for Broward County I've got to require to have a travel authorization if I'm going to Tallahassee. I put in my authorization, it's approved by the budget office. It's not approved by the county, it's approved by the budget office. When I go file for reimbursement and I say, here's what I want to get reimbursed for, the accounting department says, no, you can't get reimbursed for that, because you're

either, for argument's sake, you don't get paid for your gas, you get paid for mileage. It has to be preauthorized if you're going to get paid for gas, or whatever the case is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I don't know if we have that layer in place. You're going to give me an answer, through the Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mrs. Marte?

Thank you. So there is a form MRS. MARTE: that's filled out by the school, a field trip request form, it goes through the region. region counterparts here can help me if there's more questions on that end. It'll then go down to the Business Support Center. The staff there makes sure there are three quotes in place, that all of the policy pieces have been complied with, and then the rest of what you said, as far as what gets reimbursed and how that all happens, in the case of internal funds it's handled by the Business Support Center. But if it were regular travel, like your example, we all have to follow our travel guidelines, they are published, they were updated yesterday because mileage changed at the beginning of January. And Mr. Gorokhovsky's team is a stickler, a stickler about being

exactly right because -- I don't know if I should confess this, but they've rejected a few things that I put on my travel voucher as we're not paying that because I made a mistake. So -- so we do have tight -- and those are -- the travel and all that is in a business process bulletin.

2.1

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. So I guess -- so I guess my question is then, how does the \$12,000 from one vendor get missed?

MRS. MARTE: So someone did not get the three quotes. When that happens, Mr. Smith and his team will follow up on additional training, direct them to where the directions are that they should have followed. This is an issue of mistakes happens.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I guess -- yeah, but I guess I'm asking the question of, if I'm doing a field trip or needed it for, I don't know what the purpose was of the -- whatever the vendor was it doesn't say what the purpose was, but let's say I'm doing it where I need a vendor and it's going through the internal funds account. And maybe it's not supposed to go through the internal funds account, but if I have approval and say I want to use this vendor, they've given me a quote

of \$12,000 and it goes to somewhere, it should, as you said -- so, I guess, to your point, it got through the cracks, but I just want to ensure that there's an internal control process where, if I submit that \$12,000, call it quote, that somebody says to me, hey, wait a second here, that's between five and 50,000, you need to get three quotes.

MRS. MARTE: So that is happening. But what happened in this case is they went and contracted with a vendor, did it, and then it was caught after the fact that they didn't get the guotes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. But we do have a process where somebody has to submit authorization to get approval prior to them just going out and saying I got a quote.

MRS. MARTE: So I'm going to let, if Madam Chair allows it, Mr. Smith enhance my answer, because he's front row with this work.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Sure. Mr. Smith?

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Madam Chair. Ryan

Smith, Director of the Business Support Center.

To answer the question, yes, all disbursements or payments for purchases need to be pre-approved by the principal.

To Deputy Superintendent Marte's response, in instances like this, outside of the technical colleges, it is very infrequent that any internal funds purchase exceeds that threshold. So for Deerfield Beach, which is a medium-sized high school, I think it was only 1 out of 350 disbursements they did hit that threshold. And in this case the quotes were overlooked by the bookkeeper.

In addition to training the bookkeeper, again, we've sent communication out to all bookkeepers in the District reminding them of the importance of this, and that although they touch it very infrequently, when it does happen, it does pertain to policy, and if they miss it, it will result in an exception.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Any -- where were we? We got Mary.

Ms. Iqhodaro?

2.1

MS. FERTIG: Could I -- could I just -Nathalie, could I just say again, if you read
through every response from the principals and
the directors and so forth, they all mentioned
that they're going to review the processes, the

SOPs, the controls and so forth. I am really thinking, because we're seeing this with greater frequency, that somebody needs to review the training that's in place at the beginning of the year so that they don't have to review it after it happens, they are very familiar with it before it happens.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. And I think we've had that discussion in here before about how effective the training is. And I would even question, because they mentioned some of these practice bulletins -- well, I'll hold my question so Ms. Ighodaro because mine is really, on the training, is it accessible beforehand?

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Beforehand what do they have access to.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Just one quick, are these done electronically or they're done by hand, these reports? In other words, if I make a request, is it done electronically or is it done, here, and I scan it and send it to you?

MR. SMITH: Currently, the disbursements are done via a wet signature. So, in paper.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Because I -- because

my theory is, if it was done electronically, you could put stopgaps in there to say, no, this doesn't -- this meets over the threshold and it automatically comes back to the principal, so they're aware, hey, you know, I can't -- I can't press the button to send. So maybe we need to kind of make an investment in that area.

DR. PHILLIPS: We are.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mrs. Ighodaro?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes? No, Dr. Phillips said,

yes, we are.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Ighodaro?

MS. IGHODARO: I want to point out just a few things. I'm not going to be long. One, I do agree with Mary, this is pretty bad. It's actually worse than the one that we got prior to this. It seems like a lot of the mistakes are being repeated over an over.

My question would be -- I know that -- I see, however, that in some of these issues that BSC was consulted and had like an input, especially with the Dillard, for example. To what degree do you all hold folks accountable after the mistake is made? After the training; after the correction; is there like a three- to six-month

process where folks are being checked on? Is this just a one training and done and go back to keep making the same mistakes? That's the first question.

And just so I wrap up, the second question is around, there are some mitigation plans here that require a follow-up through the end of 2023. I just want to get a follow-up on that. Were those actually seen through? For example, Bennett Elementary is one of them. So I'll let you guys reply.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Smith?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mr. Smith?

MR. SMITH: So in reference to your first question, could you give me a page number when you referenced the BSC was consulted?

MS. IGHODARO: Dillard High School.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I was just reading that.

Although that had no exceptions.

MS. DAHL: Yeah, I don't think Dillard was one of them.

MR. JABOUIN: Can you tell us the number at the bottom of the page, Ms. Ighodaro?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not me.

MR. SMITH: I think that was my mike.

MS. ARCESE: Deerfield maybe? Not Dillard.

MS. IGHODARO: I'm sorry, Deerfield.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So that would be on pages 9 through 12.

MR. SMITH: Okay. So let me answer the first question. Yes, we do provide training. Yes, we do hold staff accountable in alignment with our current FOPE contract which calls for very specific steps of progressive discipline. frequent training. We believe that that training is to be effective and a lot of these that appear to be showing up more frequently have been issues the District has faced for some time. I think we will continue to hold our staff accountable up to and including separation from employment, and which we've had a few instances of those. believe that it's our responsibility as an employer to provide our staff the support they need to be successful. So our first tool will always be empowerment, education and training. When that fails, yes, we do hold them accountable, up to and including our leadership staff. As I mentioned two meetings ago, this was mentioned on our supervisor of internal funds performance review, and it is now part of mine.

So we believe in accountability. We believe that the system is stronger today than it has been in recent times. And we are going to continue to push in that direction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. IGHODARO: So then how -- after an issue occurs, how -- what's the period of time after a training has been conducted where folks are still being checked on to make sure that the issue is not coming up again? Because change doesn't necessarily happen from one training.

I think that's a great question. MR. SMITH: And I do think it also provides us an opportunity to give more understanding on how internal funds operates across the District for even members of this committee. Because it is important to note that a lot of times we're looking backwards. some of those infractions occurred more than a year ago. So at that point we've already made the -- we've already addressed the staff, but we can't go backwards. And when one happens -- so, for example, this Policy 3320, this has not been a point of emphasis in the district, and, therefore, some bookkeepers have not been as disciplined with it. However, now that one has happened, it's a point of emphasis with our new

audit team, we've seen more of these pop up. So while we've gone back and we've trained, we've gone back and we've reminded, until they complete this whole schedule of audits for this year, which I think there are 75 or so remaining, this has the potential to pop up again on a different audit report relating back to a period prior to when we instituted the control, prior to the time in which we instituted the training to help them understand their role. So I hope that addressed your question, but, if not, I'm happy to go deeper.

2.1

2.2

MS. IGHODARO: It does partially, but I think the question still remains, after a corrective measure has been -- has happened, how long are folks being held liable past that one training period? So if I made a mistake today, a recommendation comes back, Itohan needs a retraining, Itohan gets the retraining; right; is there any follow-up communication up until I make that mistake again?

MR. SMITH: There is. And for those bookkeepers who make mistakes, I'll give you a great example, our end of month reports. When mistakes are made, if they receive more than

three mistakes in a two-month period they're required to go through a mandatory training for the next 30 days, so where they group, as a cohort, led by Sheena Newton, who is the supervisor of internal funds, and they retrain them on some of these exact same standard practice bulletins that we're speaking to today.

MS. IGHODARO: Got you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I think Mr.

Mayersohn just wanted a quick follow-up, then Mr.

Menzul.

MS. DAHL: Will you still have quorum? Because I've got to go.

MR. JABOUIN: We need seven.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We need seven for quorum, so we can lose a couple. We don't want to lose you, but --

MR. MAYERSOHN: We've got eight.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We've got eight?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, say two go?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're okay. And you did say first you needed to go. So I'd run; if I were you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So just -- just a blanket statement. We have principals that work their

butts off. They're great educators. But they're not accountants; they're not property and inventory control people; they're not facilities management.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Finance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: They're not finance people. They're not those. And I just say sometimes our system is backward. Because we're putting 90 percent of the burden on principals and then we're expecting them to be great educators, as So something -- and this is, just like I said, a broad statement, something has to change somewhere along the way. Internally, whether it's how we manage business practices, inventory, control maintenance. I mean, Mr. Strauss, you know, you've been a principal and, you know, we've had conversations about, you know, just even administrating certain policies. And then going back and going, well, why isn't your school an A school? Why is it a B; or a C; or a D? we really have to just focus on that.

The other part is, and I read some of this report, a \$13 expense, you have a principal now, and to Ms. Marte's comment of, you know, you submitted a reimbursement receipt and, you know,

the District said, yeah, I'm sorry, we're not reimbursing you. That's not public -- that's not put out there on a public informational prospective. You've got principals now that are coming, you know, and looking at it to go, hey, I went to the audit committee and I got dinged for 13 bucks out of my, you know, \$400,000 internal control budget and they're dinging me for \$13. So there's got to be an element of --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Materiality?

2.1

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, you can say that, you know, but something that is valid. I mean, I get the idea of, you know, a purchasing avenue, I think as you said, you know, look, we had 300 purchases and one was over the \$5,000 limit. The bookkeeper should know that. I mean, it's -- and, again, relating back to the principal, I just -- huh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, they want to answer you while you're still speaking.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, no, no, just let me and then you can answer the questions. You know, it's just to me a travesty for somebody that -- principals that work that hard to go out and work and to deal with parents, and students, and other

teachers, and now they're put on, you know, to deal with other things that they're not really trained for. So they're doing the best that they can and some of these things, you know, when we get situations that you can definitely see that there are issues, but, again, I bring back that for \$13 the principal at Deerfield Beach High School is now, when we go back five years later and say, did you have any audit exceptions, the response will be, yes, but not particularly what the item is.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Mayersohn, I can have Ms. Arcese talk about this.

MS. ARCESE: So we did review that, Ms. Gouldbourne an I did review that finding. When we requested additional documentation from the school there was no travel reimbursement documents completed. It was just a mere reimbursement of \$13.98.

So, yes, we understand from a materiality standpoint that it's very minimal, but we're looking at the control, the process internally within the school. More or less there was no documentation for that reimbursement. There was no compliance with -- you know, there should have

been a control at the school to say, wait, we're supposed to be reimbursing based on the per diem, not necessarily reimbursing \$20 of cash; right?

2.1

And so we felt strong enough that we wanted to write the finding as a corrective action going forward, not necessarily ding the principal to say, hey, you know, you've done something horrible, it's more or less based on the control at the school and ensuring going forward that they implement that there isn't travel just being reimbursed back to a person without having the proper documentation.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. And I go back to, I think Ms. Shaw said it, it goes back to training and it goes back to having an electronic system that, when you input your travel, if you don't have a receipt, you don't get paid. You know, whatever it may be. That would eliminate an audit exception because it wouldn't be allowed. So that goes back to, again, the internal controls as opposed to later on you only captured the \$13, there could be 40 other schools that had \$13 expenses that went through. And, again, as Dr. Phillips said, you know, working on getting some electronic system in place. But once that

happens, then a lot of these exceptions, in my opinion, would be eliminated, because once you press the button to send, it won't allow it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Are you good?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm good.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Menzul and then I have some questions and comments.

Okay. Mr. Menzul, did you have a --

MR. MENZUL: Yes, I guess, Mr. Smith, so you had mentioned a couple things I had a couple questions on. Now, a lot of these purchases that we're discussing, what system do these currently run through?

MR. SMITH: When you say "what system", could you help me understand? Are you asking do we have an ERP that --

MR. MENZUL: I guess, well, let's say the \$5,000 -- let's say the \$5,000 purchase that was discussed?

MR. SMITH: From an accounting perspective it's registered as a transaction in our Great Plains system.

MR. MENZUL: Okay. So -- and I think, I believe it was you, and I'm not sure, maybe somebody else, so you had mentioned that there

was a -- actually you did say that there was a wet signature. Does this get -- does this wet signature, does it ever end up getting uploaded into SAP?

2.1

MR. SMITH: It does not. And I think as part of my offer to help inform the committee on some of the ways that we operate, it's important to note that we use Great Plains for internal funds and we use SAP for state appropriated funds. So we actually have two systems that we utilize. So not all of the processes parallel one another.

MR. MENZUL: I understand that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think what -- let me help you. Is any of this paper based?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Can I just say one thing?
I think --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. No, not yet. Hold that. I'm the chair and I haven't recognized you.

Because Mr. Menzul is thinking systems and I think he needs to understand that a lot of what happens around here is paper.

MR. MENZUL: No, I understand that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: At the school level. Because you were asking if it gets uploaded.

MR. MENZUL: Well, at some point it has to be uploaded; right? I would think.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But not at the school. Is it happening at the school or when it gets to you guys?

MR. SMITH: When you say "uploaded", I'd like just a little more clarification on what you mean.

MR. MENZUL: Well, when does it become a digital -- when does the document become digitalized? Or the package? You know, so -you know, because we're talking about systems, processes, or people, and I'm noticing that, you know, from reviewing this entire package, and some of this is general, very often the solution becomes training. You know, we're often saying, okay, some more training is necessary. And in my experience, when the issue is consistent, you know, which indicates a people issue -- when the issue is consistently people, that, in, my experience is because there's -- you know, there's been a lot -- basically the root cause analysis isn't really happening; right? And so I think it's very often the process; right? you're mentioning the bookkeeper missed, you

know, what is it, you guys mentioned they missed the two quotes that were required, you know, to meet the minimum. Right? So I've never worked with the Great Plains system, but I know in SAP and SAP Ariba there is the functionality built in so that you cannot -- you know, the buyer would never be able to send the purchase order without those quotes. So, you know, so I agree with what you said about training, but some of this is also -- you know, you don't get -- training will take more time than establishing this functionality, right, so that the person that's placing the PO can just say, oh, wow, I can't place the PO. I mean -- and once that's done, that's done for everybody in the District forever; right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, yeah, that is part of the problem. But if we are -- but we are spending money; right?

So this is a \$3.5 million contract that was already signed, right, for this SAP Ariba system?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You mean Ariba?

MR. MENZUL: Yes. Well, and, plus, we have -- we already work with SAP; right? We already have SAP. And that's a -- and I would imagine that's also a very expensive system.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think -- are the schools using Ariba?

MR. SMITH: As it relates to internal funds; no.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So -- so, yeah. So none of what you're saying we don't agree with, it's just that what you think should be happening is not even close.

MR. MENZUL: Well, then there has to be some way that we can account for -- right. So what is happening at the school level; right? So then does Great Plains have this functionality, right, for these POs; right? So, I mean, that becomes -- to me, that's the big question then. So if we're talking about \$5,000 purchases, \$50,000 purchases, that needs to be -- you know, you need to have a process for that; right? Like -- I agree with what you're saying about training --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Agreed. And so --

MR. MENZUL: -- but you shouldn't be able to have a situation where the bookkeeper can miss this; right?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The bookkeeper, though, was at the business support center, not at the

school. Because you need to pay attention when you look at each school to where the bookkeeper is.

But having said that, on page 10 they mentioned the purchasing policy and I-314

Internal Funds Purchases. Is there -- so do the -- and I can't even put this on the principal because we don't know exactly what happened here. Is this Internal Funds Purchases, I-314, is that part of this backup?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's attached here somewhere?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: It's attached to the link that you were provided of all the standard practice bulletins.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So the link with all the standard practice bulletins on the website?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: No, I think it's provided when they upload the reports.

MS. ARCESE: The link's on our agenda item.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon me?

MS. ARCESE: The link's on our agenda item on the Office of the Chief Auditor.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And it says standard

practice bulletins? I'm more concerned about
whether the schools have it. So --

MS. ARCESE: Well, the schools should have it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The schools should? Should or do?

MS. ARCESE: They do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. SMITH: They do.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So --

MS. ARCESE: And then they're also attached to the report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Microphone. Microphone.

MS. ARCESE: Sorry. Thank you. They're also attached to the report. I'm on-line right now. They're all attached to the report. We just didn't want to kill the trees. We were trying to save paper.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: How does a member of the public access the standard practice bulletins?

MS. ARCESE: Mr. Smith would you like to respond?

MR. SMITH: I would suggest through an information request, a public records request. I do not believe that they're public facing as they

state to our internal operations.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you want to take that one or should I?

MR. MAYERSOHN: You can take it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Are they public documents? They may not be public facing, but they're public documents.

MRS. MARTE: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So do you want to ask your question again?

MR. MAYERSOHN: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We'll rephrase the question. Is there any reason why the standard practice bulletins cannot be public facing preferably -- where would we look for them?

Because, yeah they may be attached.

MRS. MARTE: It depends on which business practice bulletin that it is. There's a whole bunch of them in different departments.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And I want to comment. My screen isn't up here, but --

MR. MENZUL: Also, Madam Chair, just to go back really quick, it also says Great Plains does link with SAP.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sure it does, but hold

that thought.

2.1

Internal funds of -- internal funds audits of selected schools, I get the hard copy. If I'm looking for the practice bulletin, I'm not clairvoyant. I know I may seem like it sometimes, but I would not know that it's in this link unless you spell it out. And I don't know that anybody else knew it was there unless they clicked the link and scrolled.

MR. JABOUIN: So we all know that now. If you need to access them in the future, go ahead and access them through the link. I don't think printing all these out for the package --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, no. Okay. Listen -- listen carefully. I'm saying on-line, next to number 10, it doesn't say that the practice bulletins -- and because it's not in my hard copy, no one would know that it's there.

MS. ARCESE: There should be a document in your packet that you received that references these standard practice bulletins are listed on-line.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: References it?

MS. ARCESE: I'm trying to get the page for you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'm just saying, for ease of use, if we're looking -- if anyone, because this is public facing, but I think what Mr. Mayersohn is looking for is somewhere where the public can go and look at all of these standard practice bulletins. We're not necessarily going to dictate on whose website, just so long as it's public facing and everybody can find them, because they are still public documents.

Do we still have seven?

MR. JABOUIN: We have eight. I counted.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Eight? So we're good.

And you've got to go? Okay.

So are we clear that it's not -- in parentheses, internal funds audit of selected schools exhibits, including, you know, for our purposes so that we know there's more information. Remember, we're unpaid volunteers.

MS. ARCESE: Can you check your packet on page --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But that's what I'm asking, because I don't see it.

MS. ARCESE: I'm trying to get to it.

Because obviously our documents -- look at page

10 -- after 101.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Of this?

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think I have a 101.

MS. ARCESE: No, no, the page right --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, yeah, I was on 101.

I don't -- I'm trying to get to --

MS. ARCESE: Try 100. I think there's a document in there that should direct you to --

MS. SHAW: Instead of us trying to figure out what is or isn't here for something that we don't need right now, could we move on to this report, I mean, Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You have how many minutes? 13; 10? 10?

Okay.

MS. SHAW: There is literally nothing we can do about this report. We had a great principal, Mr. Marlow is an absolutely wonderful principal and he's in her for \$13 even though there was something else for something over \$5,000.

My point is this is looking backward, we're looking forward. Let's figure out how we move forward, what policies and procedures are in place to mitigate this? This has been absolutely

one of the worst audits in the last years. We have made such great strides since the last auditor and we have -- in the last few years these internal audits have been -- have been -- they're not perfect, but they have been better than this.

2.1

So let's figure out how to make it better.

Let's transmit it. We have someone in place who is -- who is gung-ho into putting all these policies and processes in place and make sure this doesn't continue to happen. Give him the opportunity to do that going forward. Let's transmit this report and hear back from him in the next one.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on.

MS. FERTIG: If I could just comment real quickly, Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: I agree with everything Phyllis is saying. I would like to add to that a review of the training that is in place, to see how it can be improved. I know they've made improvements. We're still seeing problems.

Let's just review it one more time. That's not -- you know, I think that's the minimum that

1 should be done.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So we want to be able to review the training.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Is that a motion, Phyllis?

Because everything that Phyllis said, I second

it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Who's talking now? Oh, Ruth.

MS. SHAW: I don't necessarily want to see the training. I just want to follow up in the next three months as to where we are, including what training and how training is going. I don't think it is within our purview to dictate how, when, what training should be -- let me finish -- training should be done. However, we can ask for a follow-up to see how training is going, what -- and then the other question is, if the one person that has been trained once, twice, three times, I believe she made a point earlier, what happens after the third training or the fourth training? And I believe he said there are processes in place, including termination, for folks.

Right now, let's give them the opportunity to come back with us and let us know how things are going. But what's done is done. There's

literally nothing we can do. And this report, we need to transmit it and then wait for a follow-up?

MS. FERTIG: All I'm asking -- all I'm asking, Phyllis, is not that we review the training, but that they review the training. The way they got to having clean records was to implement training that made sure that everybody understand what the rules were before they entered into it. So I'm not asking that we review any of that or that we come up with the answers. I'm just asking that they be charged with reviewing that as one of the things that we're asking them to do.

MS. SHAW: And he already said that trainings were in place and it's been reviewed, so --

MS. FERTIG: I -- I -- I heard that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Before we transmit, because I don't know if we would attach this, I just -- I gave -- I provided a handout, which was actually the reason I was running a little late. And this handout is where we were in terms of internal funds, because there are requirements for what needs to be done and by when. And I had asked that moving forward that we receive

something similar. And so we got the same thing, and this is not necessarily on the people doing the audits, this is a management issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So back in October there was a list of internal funds that were in progress, and I can't tell easily without repeating the exercise I did whether it's the same schools, because I see that, say, Stoneman Douglas is still in progress, and it was in progress in October, but just looking at some numbers, back in October they had audit 6.4 percent of I believe what needed to be audited, and then what had been audited and in progress was 26.2. So completed and in progress -- sorry, 26.2 was in progress. that point in time completed and in progress was The dollars to be audited by March 31st is 10.8 million. What was not yet in progress in terms of dollars to be audited by March is 3.8 90 percent of the total balance is what they're aspiring to by June 30th. That would give us 19.4 million. So the dollars to -remaining to be audited if the 3/31 goals were to be met was 8.6.

So now we have the updated progress report and total internal funds completed, it looks like

are now 6.3 as opposed to 1.3, and then total internal funds balance in progress is 6.4. Just what I'm reading here.

2.1

2.2

So how do we know if you're on track to hit what's required in policy by March 31st?

Because looking at this, just quickly, I would say we're in danger of not hitting -- meeting that goal.

MR. JABOUIN: And why do you say that, Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just looking at it.

And it's hard to tell -- for everyone else to tell, because we can't -- we don't have -- we're not getting a walk from where we were to where we are now.

MR. JABOUIN: So we know what the goals are and so getting these reports approved as well as the ones that will be on the agenda for February 29th is going to allow us to meet those goals.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So the ones that are in progress -- so by -- and then how many schools do you anticipate having done?

MR. JABOUIN: It's difficult to determine because the audits sometimes have findings.

There are meetings that need to be done. What happens is, though, these audits are very

thorough. And with that comes findings which require responses. So we do the best that we can and we are -- our team is working very hard and fast to be able to get as many of them done as possible.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sure -- I don't doubt that, but I'm just saying, from a logistics standpoint. So you're saying you are on track to have half of them done by March 31st, which is 10.8 million, you're currently at 6.3 with another 6.4 in progress?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, we are on track, Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Arcese wants to add something.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So which one would be coming in February or which ones are likely?

MR. JABOUIN: It's too early to comment on that now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So -- and then, to be clear, so just so I don't have to do this and since the going rate per piece of paper is now 6.67, if we follow this school police 15-page PowerPoint, so that I don't have to do this and start submitting bills for my

work, can we, in the next one, follow from where we started so that we can see year to date at the next meeting where you are in terms of what's required for March 31st and what's required by June both as dollars and number of schools?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: We know the balances of what we do, so we could prepare that for you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I get it, but you haven't done it. So I'm --

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Then we'll do it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay perfect. All right.

Do you have a comment?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Just, can I get, and I don't know if all the other committee members get, a link to the standard practice bulletins?

MR. JABOUIN: The answer is yes, from Mr. Smith.

MR. MAYERSOHN: And then I'd like to make a motion to transmit.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Arcese has something to add on the audit.

MS. SHAW: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. Discussion?

Moved by Mayersohn, a motion transmit.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I second it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, Phyllis seconded Mayersohn. You're good.

Any further discussion? Just for clarity, you asked for the --

MR. MAYERSOHN: A link to all the standard practice bulletins for the whole committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For the whole committee.

And I would add to be put --

MS. SHAW: Could you finish the motion? All in favor?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All in favor?

MS. SHAW: Thank you.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Motion to transmit passes unanimously.

But if we get emailed a link, then we have to go scrounging through our emails. So if it could be put on the audit committee website, for starters.

MS. SHAW: He's -- he is -- he is empowered, educated in training, and he's going to provide us what we need to make our job easier. That's what you just said already. See?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And then we're going to have to dig through our emails every time we want to find it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. SHAW: We'll have a link on our website.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. Yes, that's what I want.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, I believe they -- I believe they used to be on the website, but that's --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which? The website under which --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Somewhere in the District's, somewhere in the District's space.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I believe you're probably right. But for starters if we could get it under the audit committee --

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, we can't put it in the Office of the Chief Auditor because we're not responsible for them. So the content, we have no control.

MS. SHAW: We can link it. We can link it. We can link it. We can link it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's a link.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I'll look into that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, if you put a link to

the State Auditor General, you're not responsible for it, but you put a link to direct people.

MS. SHAW: It's a link. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So to be clear, we still have seven, I think we need a motion. Because you're saying you're going to look into it and --

MS. FERTIG: Can we just -- I mean, this is not that complicated to get the link done. Can we just get it done and go to the next item?

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Andreu has a comment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary, I don't think you heard Mr. Jabouin's response. He said he would look into it. He didn't say he would do it.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I think Ms. Andreu wants to say something.

MS. SHAW: It's not his responsibility. And they're both going to make that happen.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On the audited committee site?

MS. ANDREU: Am I recognized, Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. And I just want to make sure you're clear on what we're asking for and he's saying.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It could be on any site. I don't care.

MS. ANDREU: For clarity, would it be amenable to the committee if we just have the link posted on Business Support Center website?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Absolutely.

MS. SHAW: Perfect.

2.1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. I've got to make sure we can find that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It's under Business Support Center.

MS. FERTIG: Can I ask, is there a problem with having it on both sites? Because I think your point was for anybody looking at audits, then they could go to the policy.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. I want it on the audit site, as well. I think it, A, should be on the Business Support Center, and that's something you guys can control, but we're struggling over here because we want it on our site so that other people -- because most people may not think Business Support -- there are people that will think Business Support Center, and then if I'm looking, I now have to remember this conversation and go looking there.

So I believe we have enough people to pass a motion. Do I need a motion to have it put on the

2.1

office -- a link --

MS. SHAW: No, Dr. Phillips is here. He can make this happen. He is the Chief --

MR. JABOUIN: As long as we don't have a hard copy of it that would get updated, then we'll get the link. We'll work on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's a link.

MS. SHAW: Just a link. We don't need anything but a link; just a link.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Let me just clarify, Mr.

Jabouin, all you need to do, and I get that

you -- you know, the responsibility of it. But

all it has to do is say on the audit committee

website Business Support Center standard practice

bulletin with a link that has -- to the standard

practice bulletins which will go to the Business

Support Center.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we don't want to weave through the Business Support Center. The link goes to the standard practice bulletins.

MR. JABOUIN: We'll put it on the Office of the Chief Auditor site.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. Yes?

MR. SMITH: If I may? I will build the link. We'll make sure that's done pretty quickly. I do

want to make sure, again, as part of the education and inform process, that the audit committee know we are in the process of updating these standard practice bulletins, many of which have not been updated for 20-plus years. So we are working on a collaborative process to do that now. And it actually begins on Monday.

2.1

So I mention that to caution you against printing them or saving them on your hard drive, because as they are updated and approved, they will update on the link. So I just want to make sure that, you know, inadvertently you don't download them and then find yourself with an outdated version.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And would we know they're outdated because there's dates on them?

MR. SMITH: Some of them currently have dates. The new format that we will move to will easily identify the date of revision, the date that was -- or that preceded it, as well as any policies enuring to it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then I would suggest that there is nobody I'm familiar with on this committee that would get confused as to whether or not they have the most updated

version, if there's dates. Because, systemically, throughout the District dates in footers are not a thing.

2.1

And if you could not use the words educate and inform, it's kind of -- it's not sitting well with me, actually. It kind of goes the other way, usually.

All right. So that was -- we transmitted 10 --

MR. JABOUIN: Chief Auditor Resignation next.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Since everybody's got to leave --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, we're not voting. We don't vote on anything; do we?

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie, can I just jump in? I think somebody was making a motion earlier that we be involved in the interview process. I would like to make it broader to the process.

MR. MENZUL: I was making that. But also I drew up something for -- I guess we kind of -- is it too late to go back to just add a small motion?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On what item?

MR. MENZUL: To the discussion on the Great Plains.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well -- it's not too late. He can make a motion. It's just that the discussion wasn't necessarily on Great Plains.

2.1

MR. MENZUL: But it's tied -- my point is -- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So let's hear it. Let's hear it.

MR. MENZUL: So I'd like to move -- I move to essentially -- so Great Plains has this functionality where you can create a custom approval process -- you know, custom workflow so that would fix the issue stated with the \$5,000 purchase in the dynamics platform.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't know that it would fix it in the context of what occurred. I think you really need to -- nobody's disagreeing that that exists and that would fix a problem, but you have to fully understand the manual processes and how things actually work here, which are 1992, often, at best. So you're proposing a 21st century solution to a process that is still in the '90s.

MR. MENZUL: Well, Mr. Smith said he is updating. You know, they are working on that piece.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. I would -- I would

just -- yeah, I would just share with you, I have utmost confidence in Dr. Phillips in implementing these technology changes. So if he doesn't get it done in the next 12 days, you know, then --

2.1

MS. SHAW: I was thinking six and hold him to the fire.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. By February 8th, if it's not done --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. He can come back and report to us continuously, you know, where things are at. So, I have --

MR. MENZUL: He doesn't have to do it like this second.

MR. MAYERSOHN: But it's more of a broader -DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But to your point
-- right, but to your point, you wanted, you're
saying there's a workflow. I mean, it doesn't
hurt to pass a motion.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: May I jump in here and say something real quick?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. We're going to get into the weeds of something that we don't even know, and we have a big thing, which is the resignation.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: And that's what I would

1 like for us to --

MS. FERTIG: Yes, if we could move on to that, because I'm afraid we're going to lose everybody at 1:00 and then --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And we have to be done.

Because I keep getting whispers in my right ear
about --

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I've just got one thing to say. Okay? And I'm just going to jump in here.

Please, please, can we stop getting in the weeds for everything that we talk about?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So, okay. Back to the motion that did have to do with what we were talking about, and Mary wanted it broader, to be the selection process?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I don't know that at this juncture -- I mean, I think if we could put the interviewer's office or whatever, but for whoever was making the motion, I was just going to ask that we be involved in the process. And then I'm sure you all will have some great thoughts and I will want to chime in with whatever motion you come up with.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So do you want to substitute the word selection for interview,

essentially?

2.1

MS. FERTIG: I mean, I'm more comfortable with that because that's broader and there may be other things that we want to be involved with.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, we'll get to that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So -- so, Mary, I have just a question. Was your intention to have a designee on the selection committee or to have us as an entire group?

MS. FERTIG: You know, that's a great point, because I know we do at times have designees.

When I was talking about process, just in what we're looking for, job description, things like that, I think it's helpful to talk about our interaction with the auditor and what we see as important. And that could be done, Bob, at a committee meeting and then the idea of a designee is fine, our chair or whatever.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I -- yeah, I don't want to too narrowly define this, because we still -- remember, on the 8th we're going to be reviewing policy. And policies in, I think it's Miami-Dade, the audit committee, which suggests the committee as a whole, is involved in the selection process. So I think if we leave it

broad, and then on the 8th, because that's not too far from now, we can get more specific. And then bring it back on the 29th even if we're just doing consensus because we can't vote at that meeting. Does that make sense?

2.1

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I would just like to get something broad on the record and then we can work with it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so broad would be having the audit committee involved in the chief auditor selection process.

MS. FERTIG: Right. And it's like eight minutes to one, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Pavel, is that acceptable?

MR. JABOUIN: So we moved that the audit committee be involved in the chief auditor selection process. That's the wording.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Selection process.

MR. TURSO: I have a question. Being involved can be kind of narrow. Is there a way that we can ensure that we're a little more than -- because being involved --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's broad, but we don't have -- we don't have -- we have seven minutes

1 and we can't formalize.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Nathalie, can I offer -can we make a motion that the audit committee
take an active role in the selection process for
the new chief auditor?

MR. TURSO: Active role is excellent.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So moved by Ms. Fertig.

MR. JABOUIN: Here's the language. We move that the audit committee take an active role in the chief auditor selection process.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I would just say have an active role instead of take.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, have an active; yeah.

MR. JABOUIN: That the audit committee have an active role in the chief auditor selection process. That's the motion.

MS. SHAW: Second.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I'll second it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I think Phyllis got ahead of you.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Oh, Phyllis got ahead? Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

Discussion?

Mr. Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: This morning Mr. Licata said that he was not involved. I believe he also said that the HR department was handling the situation. What is the policy, if any, to replace a position of this stature? In other words, if we're talking we're going to be involved, in what at this point? I mean, I think most of us would like to see us significantly involved, but I don't know if that's the intent of the school board or the policy of the school board. Do we know what that is at this point?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think they have one. And I'm going to say that because -- because, remember, this position used to report to the superintendent and then it -- now it reports directly to the school board. So this is the first time this has come up. And, remember, we're looking at policies in Miami-Dade and Palm Beach on the 8th. I have a matrix I owe everybody. And that -- how the audit committee's involved I believe is mentioned in the Miami-Dade policy. So we can be more specific.

But if I were to speak to what this district has in place, there's nothing prohibiting it and

there's nothing specifying it. I'm not even sure they know how to go about recruiting a new chief auditor.

MS. FERTIG: And I believe the superintendent said he would support our involvement. So I just -- I just -- just to get something on and move forward, you know, hoping that we have a voice in this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: More than hope.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So to that point, I would authorize our chair to write a letter to our school board chair requesting the motion that we have.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: With the motion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: With the motion. This way it's the streamline of reporting and it doesn't have to go through anybody else.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Good point.

MR. JABOUIN: Is that a second motion?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's great. Just incorporate it, Bob.

MS. SHAW: Incorporate it into your first motion.

MR. JABOUIN: All right. So the complete motion is, the audit committee to have an active

role in the chief auditor selection process and have the audit committee chair write a letter to the school board with the motion.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: School board chair.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you. School board chair with the motion. Have the audit committee chair write a letter to the school board chair with the motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

2.1

MR. TURSO: Madam Chair, I have a quick question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that works -- hold on.

And that works because I believe they're

discussing it at the January 30th workshop. I

could be wrong.

MR. TURSO: I assume it would be too greedy to ask if it would be possible that we had the ultimate decision or is that absolutely --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Let's look at the policy. It's been a while since we looked at what Miami-Dade does.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I don't think we're going to get there, but --

MR. TURSO: You know, ask for a lot, happy with a little?

1 MR. MAYERSOHN: Very aspirational.

MR. TURSO: Ask for lot, happy with a little.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'll tell you what I'll do, though. I will attach -- I will attach the policy, but since I have to make you guys a matrix, I can highlight what the other counties, if they speak to it, and put that as an exhibit to the letter to show her that that's what they -- you know, that that's what the other counties are doing.

MR. TURSO: Perfect. Thank you, ma'am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. There's so much more, but -- so we'll table everything else to the 8th.

MS. SHAW: All in favor?

MS. FERTIG: Can we vote on this motion just to get it done.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, shoot. But we need to vote on this motion. Yes, thank you. Almost violated the civility policy. We have seven people.

All right. Keeping it together, any more discussion? It's been moved and seconded. Moved by Mary seconded by Fertig -- seconded by Shaw. All in favor?

1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion passes unanimously.

I believe -- let's see. Comments. Agenda planning. I'll do that off-line. It's a matter of --

MR. MAYERSOHN: The only thing I just wanted to kind of resolve at this point until we can resolve it further is regarding Sunshine and conversation of emails going back and forth.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ah, yes.

MS. SHAW: Add that to the next --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To the 8th?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, I understand that, but prior to the 8th, what I would strongly recommend in an abundance of caution, having dealt with Sunshine Law is for anybody who has a correspondence to send it, not necessarily to the chair, because the chair is still a member of this voting body, is just, if you have a question or a comment or even have a position statement, just send it to the chief auditor.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, here's the thing, and

why I don't necessarily agree with that. I don't want any -- position statements could be brought -- should be brought up at a meeting.

But if you're looking for additional information, which I think that perhaps the group has found out that there are exhibits -- if you include it as an exhibit then we're not going to ask for it at a meeting, because it's already there. And if it's clear that it's included. So for the purposes of streamlining communication, though, I would like to know what people's request for information are.

So I don't want to not know and then somebody's like, I never got it, and then they come to a meeting and they're all annoyed with me when I have no idea what's going on.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. I'm just saying between now and the 8th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

2.1

MS. FERTIG: And, Bob, I agree with you. I think in and abundance of caution we should do that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Before we get into, like I said, the explanation of what you can send, what's transient, I mean, because anything you

1 send becomes a public record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. MENZUL: Well, that's not what that training said.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Huh?

MR. MENZUL: The training didn't say that.

But --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For streamlining, send it to me, copy the chief auditor.

MR. JABOUIN: It's better to send it to me, period.

MR. MENZUL: The discussion was regarding an item that was not on the agenda and it was sent on Monday after this package. I asked for clarification. Or, actually, I asked for seven things. And, actually, I got an email from our counsel on that and there was --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I thought I saw Pat Gleason responding.

MR. MENZUL: There was no violation. There was just complication.

MR. MAYERSOHN: The issue is is that when you send something out there are times that somebody may respond in such a way that it's perceived to start to have a public meeting, number one.

MR. MENZUL: But they shouldn't respond.

1 That's the point.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There are people that - so

MR. MAYERSOHN: People did respond.

MS. FERTIG: It's okay. But let's just agree, I mean, it's only two weeks.

MR. MENZUL: Well, that's why we need legal counsel.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. For the next two weeks -- right. For the next two weeks until we clear this up, and, actually, in general, I'm saying as the chair, if you want --

MR. MENZUL: I won't.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm saying, as the chair, if you are looking for additional information, please make sure I know. And you can ask the chief auditor. Just don't include everybody. Because whether people should know not to respond, but some people don't always. But it's also very confusing for people to get so many emails because they're not sure if they need to read it or not. So that's all we're saying, to streamline communication. To me, nothing violated Sunshine, because you were looking for information, much of which, if not all, should

have already been provided. And we can talk more on the 8th. But I'm just saying so that the rest of the group isn't trying to keep up, just, if you have anything that comes from here that you need in addition, if you let me know, copy them, because they're the ones providing it.

2.2

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, I didn't see a conversation between the emails that happened. What I'm trying to do is manage the risk in case somebody makes an error.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that would be handled by him just emailing the two of us.

MR. JABOUIN: The safest process is to just mail it to me, but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Jabouin.

MR. JABOUIN: I'm not finished with my thought.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But you haven't even been recognized and we need to end this meeting.

MR. JABOUIN: That's fine. That's the safest thing.

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie, it sounds like we're all in agreement. It sounds like we're all in agreement. And I just want to clarify that you are bringing the follow-up items on the 8th; is

that correct?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Me? Yes. I will endeavor to have it obviously beforehand, if you're referring to the matrix. I have to do these things in order. What follow-up item are you referring to?

MR. JABOUIN: There's also the fund balance.

MS. FERTIG: The follow-up items we did not discuss today, are you putting any of that on the 8th, just for planning purposes?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which -- I'm sorry. You're cutting in and out. Which follow-up?

MS. FERTIG: I'm sorry. I know we had some follow-up items to talk about today. Are those being put on the 8th or not?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think we're adding anything from today because we have enough from before and the policy and -- so, no.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

MR. MENZUL: Madam Chair, will the -- Madam Chair, will SAP Ariba be on the 8th?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That wasn't originally part of this.

MR. MENZUL: I'm just asking.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, no, probably not.

Because we need to sort of pull it all together to see what our actual issues are with that.

2.1

I smell subcommittee for that, because some of that's way out of what we do on audit. But, TAC, it falls probably within their purview, the Technology Advisory Committee.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Can we just not talk to each other for two weeks?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That would -- right.

MR. MAYERSOHN: That's what I said.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's what he's saying.

But I am anticipating, again, if somebody wants

an additional document I would just like to know

about it.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. So the simple way to do that is anybody that makes a request, send it to Mr. Jabouin. If you want to be copied on it, then Mr. Jabouin can say, here's the request that I received. So there's no -- there's no -- but, again, I'm just saying, in an abundance of caution until we iron out this specific --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not going to respond to a request for information, so --

MR. MAYERSOHN: I understand that, but it just -- it makes it a lot cleaner. It really

1 does.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: I am so sorry, I have to sign off. I will see you on the 8th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I would like to end the meeting.

MR. JABOUIN: Also, please, copy Ms. Arcese, as well.

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie, I appreciate you sending us that matrix. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

MS. FERTIG: If you need me to do anything, let me know.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Will do.

Okay. I think we're good with, certainly, comments. Volunteer mileage, we'll get back to that.

Motion to adjourn?

MR. MEDVIN: So moved.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. I just wanted to make sure, on those communications, please, copy Ms. Arcese as well as me.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: And good luck on your next endeavors.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Ms. Carter-Lynch.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion my adjourn?

		Page 197
1	MS. SHAW: We did.	
2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We did?	
3	All right. All in favor?	
4	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.	
5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?	
6	(No response.)	
7	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Adjourned.	
8	(Meeting was concluded at 1:05 p.m.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

24

25

	activities 113:10	51:2 54:18 55:3	58:2 60:15 132:12	approval 7:19,20
<u>A</u>	actual 25:2 32:1	146:23 147:18	amounts 91:16	10:17 20:6 140:24
A.M 1:15				
abide 16:19	195:2	agonized 103:23	105:17 125:5 130:9	141:15 179:10
able 41:14 48:14,15	add 11:3 18:21 33:9	agree 32:6 44:21 45:7	131:18 132:2,14	approve 8:23 20:8
61:4 64:19 89:2,7	38:9,23 47:22 48:7	78:21 89:20 93:5	134:3	approved 138:20,21
101:1,9 125:25	61:10 81:20 94:1	107:1 112:22	analysis 49:20 70:8	138:21 169:16
126:7,9 157:7	102:15 106:25	118:18 137:9	70:12 156:23	177:10
158:21 166:3 170:4	165:20 170:14	144:15 157:8 158:6	Analyst 2:24	approximately 31:7
absolutely 17:11	171:20 172:8	158:18 165:19	Andreu 2:21 6:9,9	37:1,5,10,14 47:11
67:20 81:19 82:6	178:21 189:14	190:1,20 192:6	101:1 137:16	71:3,8
105:10 137:9	added 57:4 89:18	agreed 75:20,21	174:10,14,20 175:1	April 5:14 33:11
164:19,25 175:4	adding 9:12 194:16	158:20	Andrew 2:5 5:3	46:15 64:24 124:16
187:18	addition 15:25 52:13	agreement 14:25	Andrews 1:24	Arcese 2:9 5:19,19
abundance 189:18	95:6 142:10 193:5	15:18 45:13 69:23	annoyance 127:7	48:13 52:1 53:22
190:21 195:20	additional 9:14 38:23	70:24,25 71:1	annoyed 190:15	54:24 55:1 64:19
academic 49:5,14	40:16 69:17 70:14	80:18 95:11 193:23	annual 34:5,11 66:2	65:6,14 129:13
Academics 101:4	77:12,13 81:6	193:24	66:11,16	146:1 152:13,14
acceptable 183:15	82:11 83:5,22	agreements 16:8	answer 31:11 34:23	159:21,23 160:3,7
access 143:17 160:20	120:9 138:14	69:11	35:8 39:24 53:24	160:10,13,21
162:11,12	140:12 152:16	agrees 45:8 118:19	64:4 71:18 73:18	162:19,24 163:20
accessible 131:6	190:4 192:15	Ah 189:13	87:9 88:25 129:22	163:24 164:3,5,8
143:14	195:13	ahead 19:15 101:15	139:6 141:18,23	170:14 171:20
account 44:14,15,18	address 14:4 49:5	110:11 111:16	146:5 151:19,22	196:6,21
44:19 45:1,1	76:18 91:6 117:20	124:23 127:17	171:16	area 20:3 61:22 62:1
131:19 140:22,24	addressed 126:17	162:11 184:21,22	answered 41:2	130:10 144:7
158:10	147:19 148:10	ALAN 2:19	answering 50:7	areas 77:14
accountability	addressing 49:17	Ali 2:9 5:19	answers 35:2 167:12	argument's 139:1
131:22 147:1	adhere 12:1	alignment 146:7	Anthony 2:3 4:20	Ariba 121:15 157:5
accountable 144:23	adjourn 196:17,25	alike 125:17	anticipate 127:24	157:20,21 158:2
146:7,14,22	Adjourned 197:7	Allegiance 4:7,9	169:21	194:21
accountant 23:1 26:9	adjusting 23:23,24	ALLEN 2:16	anticipating 16:21	arrive 124:16
accountants 150:2	administrating	allocated 48:10 52:7	195:12	arts 49:7,10
accounting 2:22 6:1	150:18	52:13 53:7 71:1	anybody 44:13,24	asked 12:15 15:2
138:9,24 154:20	administration 1:11	allocating 55:12	62:20 82:9 123:1	46:21 49:4 108:24
accounts 129:5,24	99:17 131:13	allocation 49:22	136:12 162:8	114:25 125:24
132:11,15	administrative 96:20	allocations 70:23	175:12 186:17	167:25 172:4
accurate 74:18	97:3,3 99:7 103:5	allow 107:3 154:3	189:19 195:16	191:13,14
accurately 76:13	adopted 116:21	169:18	anyway 8:17 42:12	asking 97:23 133:4
126:14	Advisors 3:4,7	allowed 10:11 134:4	88:21 96:3 127:22	140:17 154:15
achievement 49:6,14	Advisory 195:6	153:19	129:7	155:25 163:22
acknowledge 123:6	affect 36:5	allowing 10:20	appear 54:6 146:11	167:4,5,10,12,14
acknowledgment	afford 47:19	allows 141:18	appeared 72:5,6	174:22 194:24
88:15	afraid 181:3	Amazon 107:4	appreciate 7:12 12:5	aspect 33:20 55:16
Acting 2:11	afternoon 94:12	amenable 175:2	12:12,15 13:9 14:3	aspirational 188:1
action 91:21 92:12	agenda 7:15,20,21	amend 109:12 110:4	17:19 18:14 19:5	aspiring 49:9 168:20
92:14 93:9,13	8:23 9:20 10:10,18	113:3 115:13,17,22	48:21 61:25 102:3	assessment 41:9,11
153:5	10:21 14:3 15:10	amended 113:6	196:8	41:12,13 47:25
actions 10:25	18:19,25 19:1,2,25	120:16	appreciated 93:23	48:11
active 184:4,6,10,13	21:9 159:21,23	amendment 18:3,6	appropriate 109:5	assessments 29:17
184:14,16 186:25	169:17 189:6	109:14,15 112:22	135:12	35:15 36:24 56:20
*	101.10	amount 43:7,18	appropriated 155:9	asset 39:1 131:21
actively 131:15	191:12	•		
actively 131:15	ago 49:9 50:14,14	45:10 52:17 54:8	appropriately 70:22	assign 92:16

	1	1	1	1
assist 48:13	148:7 151:6 152:9	audits 2:10 7:25 8:19	178:21 180:9	38:4 39:15 40:9
assistant 2:10 7:2	153:19 163:16	29:22 36:19 50:3	181:12 183:3	49:3,6 53:5 56:12
123:15	168:11 171:21	56:7 59:1 61:21	189:12 196:15	60:4,5 97:24
assistants 74:7	172:20 173:16,22	67:9 124:13 148:4	background 109:3	102:21 128:8
Associate 2:18 6:12	175:15 176:13	162:2 165:1,4	backup 9:13,15	137:20 146:10,17
associated 70:3,4	177:2 182:23	168:3 169:23,25	77:21 159:10	147:1,1 154:24
Associates 3:5,5,6	183:10,16 184:3,10	175:12	backward 150:8	160:25 166:19,21
6:20 19:21,23 27:9	184:15 185:21	authority 71:4	164:22	168:11 173:7,8,14
41:23 72:1,8	186:25 187:2,6	authorization 138:18	backwards 42:7	175:24 185:3,22
assume 74:17 187:16	195:4	138:19 141:15	147:16,20	186:4 187:13 189:6
assuming 38:4 74:16	audited 27:24 28:1	authorize 186:11	bad 105:19 144:15	belt 115:23,23
96:3 123:17	30:14 36:15,18	authorized 198:6	balance 47:9 137:12	belts 116:5,5,5
attach 167:19 188:4	37:1,6 38:17 39:10	automatically 144:4	168:19 169:2 194:7	Ben 3:6 69:15,20
188:4	39:10 46:22 51:1	autonomy 119:5	balances 65:10	benefit 101:18
attached 9:13 159:12	58:5,10,16,17,20	availability 52:15	136:25 137:14	Bennett 145:9
159:14 160:10,14	58:24 59:6 63:9,10	available 53:25	171:6	best 30:17 78:23
160:15 161:16	63:15 168:12,12,16	availing 12:25	bank 131:19 132:11	152:3 170:2 179:19
attend 75:17	168:18,22 174:18	avenue 1:12,24	bare 107:21	better 42:25 57:7
ATTENDANCE 2:1	auditee's 22:11	151:13	based 29:17 31:15	98:7 101:22 131:22
attended 74:23 75:16	auditing 20:20 21:1	avoid 36:7 70:5	35:15 36:12,23,24	165:5,7 191:9
76:1,14	28:6 29:17 30:20	awards 27:18,22	38:4,15,20 41:6,8	beyond 44:24
attention 32:13 159:1	31:24 32:2 47:25	28:25 68:16	43:24 45:12 46:11	bias 76:19 80:7
audit 1:4 2:9,10 3:4	55:22 62:16 67:16	aware 98:10 144:5	47:25 48:25 53:10	biassed 80:7
4:5 5:19,22 13:16	auditor 2:8,9,12 5:14	awry 42:4	56:19 57:24 58:1	big 12:17,19 53:9
17:25 20:25 21:3	6:22,24 7:1,3,5,7,9	Aye 9:21 20:15 25:14	59:5,25 60:24	54:13 74:9 112:20
22:5,13,25 23:13	12:18 13:21,21	26:4,16 67:25	70:11,12 72:12	136:23 158:14
25:2 27:8,11,14	18:2 20:21 21:2	68:23 122:13 124:5	73:20 91:17 153:2	180:23
29:1,10,13,14,21	22:20 23:3 29:23	172:13 189:1 197:4	153:8 155:14	Big-picture-wise
29:25 30:1,1,2	29:25 30:3 33:11	B	bases 100:19 basic 54:15 94:23	79:8
31:10 32:8,10,20 34:3,5,11 35:12,12	35:19 36:18,19 37:21 38:2,10,11	B 2:14,16 23:25 24:6	107:8	bigger 85:22 biggest 54:5
35:14,16,16,17	40:2,14,18 41:5,9	31:6 47:3 114:4	basically 7:23 29:6	bill 119:14
36:4,21 37:16,18	41:24 46:15 56:11	150:20	30:18 63:17 118:14	billed 91:16
38:25,25 39:5,6,7	56:25 58:8,18,25	back 4:8 12:9 13:8	122:1 156:22	bills 170:25
41:5,19 42:11,12	60:5 61:17 62:5	20:6,19 30:3 33:11	basics 107:21	bit 57:9 110:20
43:19,24 44:3,5	64:1,20 65:10	35:9 39:23 41:17	basis 34:5,11 35:18	131:16
45:3,4,23 46:25	66:19,23 67:2,15	43:21 46:19 49:9	35:23 36:12 85:19	black 115:23 116:5
47:7,9 48:8,22,25	124:15 137:2	49:20 52:3 53:3,16	137:10	Blanche 130:5
50:5,7,12,13,13,14	159:24 165:3	56:1 58:23 59:13	Bass 1:23,23 3:7	blanket 149:24
51:12,16,21,24	173:18 174:1	62:13 63:17 64:19	198:4,14	bless 11:11
52:22,25 53:4,21	176:22 178:10	71:10 72:20 73:7	Bass's 111:7	board 1:2,11 2:16 3:1
53:23 54:22 55:4	182:15 183:11,17	73:16 79:13,15	battle 88:20	11:22 12:15 13:1
56:1,12,14,16 57:5	184:5,11,16 186:3	82:19 83:12 87:16	Bay 102:19 114:11	13:18 14:8,9 15:22
57:8,11 58:22 62:3	187:1 189:24 191:8	91:12 93:20 106:13	BCPS 4:5	49:3,13 56:1 97:21
62:16,22 65:3 66:2	192:17	122:6 125:4 126:20	Beach 129:23 138:10	99:8,15 103:11,23
66:3,9,10,11,12,13	auditor's 22:18 24:19	127:6 130:20 144:4	142:5 152:7 185:20	116:22 118:19
66:15,16,22,23	25:20 66:11,17	145:2 148:2,3,7,18	beginning 14:12	123:9 138:14
69:9,16 77:21 80:3	68:15	150:19 151:17	139:24 143:4	185:11,12,17
89:12 92:7,8	auditors 22:10 36:4	152:6,8 153:11,13	begins 29:2 177:7	186:12 187:3,4,5,7
124:12 125:23	38:20 67:15 109:4	153:14,15,20	behavioral 48:11	Bob 91:9 182:16
127:18 130:1 132:8	109:16	161:23 165:13	belabor 76:5	186:21 190:20
133:24 135:4 148:1	auditors' 29:6	166:24 168:4,10	believe 11:22 14:7	body 29:1 92:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>

189:22 **burden** 150:9 capturing 126:22 centralize 85:22 charter 59:19,24 **bookkeeper** 142:9,10 **burner** 129:3 128:13 101:7 102:15 60:2 61:6 151:16 156:25 **business** 2:23 4:6 care 85:19 90:3 centralized 79:9 **check** 44:14.14.18.18 158:22,24 159:2 85:24 45:1,1 50:23 63:20 6:17 72:3,15 117:6 174:25 bookkeepers 74:8 126:3 135:22 carefully 162:15 centrally 102:11 131:10 163:20 142:12 147:23 137:11 139:14,20 Carr 3:6 69:13,21 **checked** 65:8 108:21 cents 105:8 148:23 140:6 141:22 carries 9:24 20:18 **century** 179:20 145:1 147:8 **checking** 50:25 65:15 **bothered** 128:18 150:14 158:25 25:17 124:8 **certain** 31:6 45:9 60:11.14 132:11 checklist 97:10 **bothers** 128:25 161:17 175:3.8.16 carrying 89:17 Carter 4:16 128:15 **bottom** 145:23 175:20,21 176:14 150:18 **bought** 136:20,21 176:16,19 Carter-Lynch 2:2 **certainly** 16:7 77:22 checks 65:10 125:4 brain 114:23 **butt** 10:20 4:13,14,14,17 8:25 78:2 113:19 116:19 **chief** 2:8,9,17,18 5:14 **button** 144:6 154:3 117:9 137:14 9:2 34:16,17,24,25 6:4,6,22,24 7:1,5,7 breakdown 61:4 briefly 9:6,9 67:13 **butts** 150:1 35:25 36:1 42:16 196:14 7:9 13:20,21 15:15 **bring** 52:23,23 79:21 **buy** 102:10 42:19 45:20 46:1,3 **CERTIFICATE** 16:2 18:2 40:18 88:5 152:6 183:3 **buver** 157:6 46:13,17 68:14,18 198:1 66:10 92:3 137:2 **bringing** 15:21 61:25 **buying** 101:20 102:5 105:22,23 certify 198:6 159:24 173:18 bypassed 88:1 193:25 106:2 166:4 171:25 cetera 97:6,6 176:3,22 178:10 **broad** 150:12 183:1,7 180:19,25 181:8 **chain** 101:8 183:10,17 184:5,11 \mathbf{C} 183:9.24 184:19,22 186:18 **chair** 4:12 7:11 10:2 184:16 186:2 187:1 C 2:13 23:25 24:6 **broader** 178:18 187:4 195:7 196:22 10:13 17:23 21:22 189:24 191:8 114:4 150:20 192:17 180:14 181:14 196:24 23:23 26:22 27:12 182:3 cabinet 78:6.15 29:19 32:23 39:13 **children** 54:14 60:10 Carter-Lynch's calculation 38:15 **brought** 13:18 15:14 109:6 45:16 46:9 48:7 60:12 calculations 56:21 190:3.3 carve 48:15 52:1 54:1 50:11 51:9 53:1 **chime** 181:22 **Broward** 1:2 93:13 call 4:4,11 43:2,3 **carved** 60:16 65:6 101:2,5 102:7 choices 63:9 96:6 97:4 98:13,24 case 75:25 80:4 96:9 101:19 138:17 108:4,22 113:23 chose 58:25 96:5 99:3 101:13 119:12 116:18 120:8 123:5 198:3.11 106:24 133:9.23 **chosen** 62:24 63:5 120:6 121:22 122:6 **BRYAN** 2:12 139:4,19 141:10 124:20 131:1 137:6 Chuck 71:25 72:8 **BSC** 144:20 145:16 136:15,25 141:5 142:8 193:9 86:25 97:21 104:1 137:20 139:7 called 57:8 81:2 **bucks** 107:5 151:7 **cases** 88:1 141:18,21 155:18 104:4,13,14 92:17 129:16 **budget** 42:14 48:12 cash 131:4.18.21 161:22 164:13 **civility** 188:20 **calling** 121:24 122:8 61:2 138:20,22 134:11 153:3 169:9 170:12 clairvoyant 162:5 calls 146:8 151:8 **Castaneda** 3:4 19:19 174:20 182:18 clarification 31:22 **cancel** 104:5 19:19 20:23,24 56:11 156:7 191:14 **budgeting** 138:8 186:11,12 187:2,4 **build** 12:9 13:8 51:6 candidates 18:1 21:12 22:3,8 23:18 187:6,7,7,10 **clarify** 23:11,20 canopies 133:14 137:16 176:24 38:9,10 39:3 41:7 189:21,21 192:12 35:11 39:4,8 45:21 cap 69:11 74:24 76:4 **building** 131:19 192:14 194:20,21 43:22 44:1 57:16 58:15 95:3 103:9 76:15 94:24 95:3.9 136:19 57:20 58:14 60:8 **Chambers-Nicholas** 126:12 176:10 103:1 105:8 106:11 **built** 136:20 157:5 69:3 3:6 19:20.21 193:24 107:8,20 110:19 **chance** 13:24 14:2 **bulletin** 100:19 134:2 caught 93:17 141:11 clarifying 86:22 135:8 140:6 161:18 **caps** 8:2,3 69:7 72:2 cause 49:16 81:13 41:22 clarity 24:12 116:20 162:4 176:15 94:9 97:16,17 change 16:9 33:2,4 117:7 137:18 172:3 156:22 103:6 110:8,24 **bulletins** 100:9 117:6 caution 177:8 189:18 105:1 147:9 150:12 175:1 111:19.23 112:1.11 **changed** 21:11,13 143:12 149:7 190:21 195:21 class 91:21 93:9,13 159:16,18 160:1,20 113:10 114:23 center 1:11 2:23 6:17 89:13 135:19,20 clean 30:17 133:24 116:23 117:1 139:23 161:14 162:17,21 126:3 135:23 167:7 119:21 163:6 171:15 172:6 137:11 139:14,20 **changes** 8:24 9:20 **cleaner** 195:25 **capture** 49:1 113:7 176:16,20 177:4 141:22 158:25 21:17 180:3 cleansing 11:24 **captured** 74:9 122:11 175:3,9,16,21 changing 8:18 clear 24:9 35:24 bullets 24:7 126:14 127:12 **charged** 167:12 **bumping** 52:24 176:14,17,19 54:17 74:21 76:3 153:21 **bunch** 16:4 161:19 central 95:23 charges 70:23 76:15 90:12 105:7

108:17 163:15	commitment 126:6	73:20 89:4 120:11	22:24	conversation 11:20
170:21 174:4,22	128:4	completions 73:22	consensus 183:4	18:21 50:4 55:18
190:9 192:11	committee 1:4 2:1	complexly 102:16	consider 28:14 36:22	127:18 131:24
clearinghouse 29:14	4:5 9:21 12:4 13:16	complexity 102.10 compliance 23:4	132:12	175:22 189:12
clearly 81:14 86:12	14:7,22,23 15:3,5,9	24:20 28:9,11,13	consideration 138:2	193:8
Clerk 2:13,14			considered 31:5	conversations 135:22
	15:19,19 17:12,13	28:16,20,21 29:2,8	130:3	150:17
clicked 162:9	18:1 20:15 23:13	30:14,23,24 31:10		
cliff 104:23	25:14 26:4,16 29:2	32:9 45:11 152:25	considering 21:8 57:6	copied 195:17 copy 162:3,18 176:5
climb 12:3 close 158:8	39:5,6 48:8,13,16	complicated 174:8	consistent 156:18	191:8 193:5 196:6
closely 64:2,6	48:18 52:2,19,22 53:23 54:2 57:1	complication 191:20 complied 139:16	consistently 76:12	196:20
cluster 28:18,18 37:9	62:4 67:25 68:23	complying 28:23	156:20	cord 94:19
codify 116:19	95:3 98:5,6 116:22	comprehensive 49:5	consolidated 70:7	cords 94:9,25 95:1,5
cohort 149:4	117:10,10 122:13	computers 136:21	90:19 91:2	97:16 103:7
Coker 2:23 6:7,7	124:5 125:23,24	concept 41:18	consulted 144:21	corner 51:18
collaborative 177:6	135:4 147:15 151:6	Conceptually 102:8	145:16	correct 23:18 36:15
colleges 142:3	155:6 171:14 172:6	conceptually 102.8	consulting 116:1	36:16 42:23 60:6
colleges 142:3 colored 102:21	172:7,13,20 173:16	50:20 54:15 81:13	contacting 16:1	62:20 65:14 72:7
colored 102.21 colors 94:25	174:18 175:2	128:13 129:25	content 173:19	74:19 95:11,18,21
come 33:15 46:15	176:13 177:3,24	132:1 135:5	context 81:7,20	96:17 111:15 128:2
51:18 64:24 65:11	182:8,17,23,24	concerned 59:7	179:14	128:17 130:22
66:25 67:8 75:22	183:10,17 184:3,10	74:10 79:25 89:25	continuation 89:18	194:1
82:18 92:8 105:16	184:15 186:25	121:12 130:21	continue 11:19 13:7	correction 144:25
106:12,17 112:24	187:2,6 189:1	132:14 160:1	55:18 71:3 74:15	corrective 148:14
114:3 115:2 116:13	195:6 197:4	concerns 50:7,8 51:5	138:7 146:14 147:3	153:5
122:6 125:9,10,11	committee's 16:20	57:6 67:7 83:17	165:11	correctly 53:10 62:2
166:24 167:11	185:21	115:3 125:10 128:6	continued 70:18,19	correspondence
180:9 181:23	common 131:20	136:13	73:5,11,21 84:23	189:20
185:18 190:15	communicate 33:12	concise 91:2 121:2	continuing 70:16	cost 35:6,6 44:7
comes 14:21 32:13	communication	concluded 197:8	continuously 180:10	52:16 56:2 61:23
94:8 100:5 120:1	142:11 148:20	concur 74:14	contract 76:4,15 81:9	101:18
144:4 148:18 170:1	190:10 192:23	condensed 70:8	97:5 103:19 104:25	costs 71:2
193:4	communications	conditions 28:25	105:21 146:8	counsel 91:14,19
comfortable 182:2	196:20	87:23 134:19	157:19	92:2,11 93:4,7
coming 8:3 50:9	community 11:1,10	conducted 69:22	contracted 141:10	191:16 192:8
56:13 58:18 62:11	companies 85:17	147:7	contracts 74:24	counted 163:12
87:10 120:13 121:5	104:19	conducting 105:15	control 22:6,12,16	counterparts 139:12
147:9 151:5 170:17	company 34:7,12	confess 140:2	23:15 28:2,10,19	counties 188:6,9
comment 10:1 30:10	72:4 87:1 92:14	confidence 180:2	28:21 32:9 90:15	county 1:2 14:25
33:22 78:4 116:13	103:20 104:11	confirm 127:2	98:13 108:18	93:13 138:17,21
118:11 124:18	105:13 114:24	confirmation 15:8	113:12 119:16	198:3,11
129:7 134:24 138:4	131:9	confirmed 127:15	141:4 148:8 150:3	couple 16:3 79:11
150:24 161:21	comparison 71:17	conform 119:23	150:15 151:8	85:5 91:10 103:25
165:16 170:18	complaint 93:10	confuse 47:2	152:22 153:1,8	118:9 124:19 130:8
171:12 174:10	complete 16:25 148:3	confused 23:12 31:23	173:20 175:17	136:18 138:6
189:23	186:24 198:8	53:12 177:24	controls 14:16,17,18	149:16 154:10,10
comments 9:11 10:3	completed 73:16	confusing 83:9	14:19 22:9 24:19	course 45:2 92:12
21:21 30:6 77:14	152:18 168:13,15	192:20	29:8 30:24 31:24	100:6
77:15,15,16 78:12	168:25	confusion 99:10	86:20 88:16 126:8	court 1:22 3:7 111:6
124:19 129:8 154:7	completely 12:12	conjunction 22:13	127:24 143:1	198:4,14
189:6 196:15 commissions 11:12	131:6 completion 72:24	84:11 113:17 connection 21:3	153:21 conundrum 42:18	cover 12:20 33:14 62:12,15 100:19
COMMISSIONS 11:12	Completion 72:24	Connection 21:5	Conunctum 42:18	02.12,13 100:19

appropriate 27:15 17	database 44:16	dealt 189:18	dognito 120:22	dintr. 42.19 21
covered 37:15,17			despite 120:23 detail 131:8	dirty 43:18,21
62:17	date 72:23 73:2 89:4	death 105:25 106:2,3		disagree 33:7 50:5
covering 133:13	97:6 171:2 177:19	Deborah 2:18 6:5	detailed 57:10	93:6 102:1
coverings 131:16	177:19	decades 137:13	126:16	disagreed 75:21
CPAs 3:4,6	Dated 198:10	December 20:7 89:4	details 16:14 77:6	disagreeing 81:16
cracks 141:3	dates 52:2 73:20,23	100:4 103:14	determine 47:6,8	87:3 179:15
crazy 138:3	120:11 177:16,18	120:12 126:1	75:11 83:16 169:22	disappeared 72:3
create 48:17 179:9	178:1,2	decide 16:11 35:4	determined 29:16	disappoints 93:16
CRI 69:7	daughter 11:11	102:12	71:15 90:20	disbursements
criteria 39:1 53:10	95:16	decided 131:13	determines 95:12	141:24 142:7
critical 33:20 62:1	Dave 5:21	deciding 55:3	96:13	143:23
Crystal 133:17,19	DAVID 2:10	decipher 100:16	determining 19:12	discipline 146:9
curious 51:20 72:21	Davis 3:5,5,5,5,6	deciphered 49:24	30:13 55:21	disciplined 147:24
82:4	6:18,19,19,20	decision 14:6 61:24	develop 14:13 51:10	disclosed 137:24
current 104:7,25	19:21,22,22,22	96:11 97:24 187:18	101:12,13 116:2	disconcerting 91:12
146:8	27:8,9,12 30:7,9,16	decisions 48:9 102:14	developing 51:16	discuss 9:10 13:25
currently 39:21	32:4,19 33:22	decrease 56:23	dictate 163:7 166:13	14:2 17:20 106:9
96:19 105:15	35:14 36:16,21	deemed 38:13 75:12	diem 153:2	129:17 194:9
143:23 154:12	37:13,17,22 40:1,9	75:12 91:14	differences 94:16	discussed 64:25
170:10 177:17	40:13 41:6,23	deeper 148:12	different 55:12 61:21	125:3,4,6 128:8
custom 179:9,10	42:24 43:10,12	deeply 77:8	94:19,20,25 95:1	129:1 154:19
customer 78:3	44:4,18 45:2 46:4	Deerfield 129:22	98:20 102:14,21,23	discussing 128:24
cut 97:21	46:21,24,25 47:11	138:10 142:5 146:1	106:4 114:13	154:12 187:14
cutesy-pooh 107:18	47:14,17 56:16,18	146:2 152:7	126:18 148:6	discussion 9:3,17
cutting 194:12	56:19 57:14,25	deficiencies 22:14	161:19	18:8,9,17 20:12
cycle 107:24	58:13,17 60:7	29:11 30:22 35:20	differentiation 60:4	24:25 25:10,25
Cypress 102:19	62:15,22,24 63:3	40:4 58:19	difficult 169:22	26:13 34:15 56:5
114:11	63:14 65:12 69:4	deficiency 63:4	dig 173:2	67:12,22 68:20
Czubkowski 2:18 6:5	Davis's 39:16	define 60:25 182:20	digital 156:10	79:16 115:16,19
6:6	day 11:22 14:1 55:19	definitely 30:4 79:20	digitalized 156:11	120:7 143:9 171:23
	56:5 85:17 106:9	152:5	Dillard 144:22	172:3 178:24 179:3
<u>D</u>	198:10	degree 144:22	145:17,20 146:1	184:25 188:23
D 150:20	daycare 125:2	delayed 25:8	ding 153:6	191:11
Dahl 2:2 4:18,19 11:6	128:10	department 6:2	dinged 151:6	discussions 92:10
20:4 40:24 49:12	days 149:3 180:4	12:22 13:1 138:24	dinging 151:8	103:22
53:2,4 54:4 59:25	de 2:3 4:20,21 22:1,3	185:4	diplomas 103:7	dislodging 79:22
60:9 71:23,24	30:6,7,10 31:19	departments 23:14	direct 140:13 164:9	disposable 102:13
72:16 73:6,13,24	34:16 35:9,11	117:8 161:19	174:2	dissemination 110:3
74:10 75:3 115:14	36:10,11,19 37:11	depending 54:11	directed 46:20	distinguish 104:13
118:6 122:8 124:17	37:15,19 46:10,18	depends 35:23 96:8	directing 119:24	distinguishing 47:3
124:18,22,24	46:20 47:6,12,15	161:17	direction 44:2 83:14	distracted 10:17
125:14,14,17,22	47:18 67:13 84:18	depth 57:10	147:4	district 2:15 5:15
127:22 128:1,6,21	105:9 108:22,24	Deputy 2:17 5:24	directions 106:4	14:17 15:1 22:22
129:12,16 131:5,11	109:3 112:17	142:1	134:6,10 140:13	27:25 29:9 32:8
131:23 132:13,20	114:18,22 122:15	describes 77:8	directly 13:20 86:14	33:2,20 39:14 44:2
132:23 136:22	122:24 127:11,15	description 182:13	101:21 185:17	49:2,6 50:16 52:11
137:5 138:3 145:20	134:22 136:8,11	deserve 53:14	director 2:9,10,10,21	52:14 59:24 72:1
149:12	deadline 89:3	designed 29:22 35:16	2:22,23,23 5:20,22	79:23 86:14 90:6
Dahl's 136:13	deal 8:21 101:10	35:17,17 80:6	6:1,8,10,17 7:3	91:15 92:11,15,19
daily 85:19	116:20 151:25	designee 13:10 182:7	53:22 55:20 59:2	93:21 97:5 100:1
danger 169:7	152:2	182:17	141:22	103:4 104:8 105:15
dash 86:7	dealing 105:17	designees 182:11	directors 142:24	105:18 110:5,16
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

,	I .		I	I
111:7,9,17 112:7	Douglas 102:20	99:24 100:10,15,24	180:21 181:5,12,24	either 10:8 14:11
112:10 113:14	168:8	101:3,6,23,25	182:5,19 183:9,14	57:22 107:13,14
114:5 116:6 124:14	download 177:13	103:3,14,19,21	183:19,24 184:7,14	117:23 124:24
125:24 126:3	Dr 2:4,16,16,17,18	104:9,12,20 105:20	184:20,24 185:13	139:1
127:23 142:12	2:18,20 4:3,10 5:1	106:1,19 107:7,10	186:9,14,20 187:9	electronic 153:15,25
146:13 147:14,22	5:2 6:3,11,14 7:10	107:14 108:8,11,23	187:12,19 188:3,12	electronically 143:19
151:1 157:15 178:2	7:12 8:13 9:1,8,11	109:1,14,18,22	188:18 189:2,4,13	143:21 144:1
185:24	9:19,22,24 10:4,9	110:14,22,24 111:2	189:15,25 190:19	element 151:9
District's 5:14 23:4	10:16,18 11:7,22	111:4,8,11,13,25	191:7,17 192:2,9	elementary 60:18
23:16 44:22 49:15	12:14 13:12 14:15	112:8 113:4,6	192:14 193:11,15	130:8,10,12 131:14
72:9,12 78:16	15:7,13 17:7,12,21	114:15 115:8,11,15	193:18 194:2,11,16	145:10
115:3 173:12,13	17:24 18:5,9,18,23	115:20 116:4,12,15	194:22,25 195:9,11	Elena 2:11 7:4
districts 13:15	18:25 19:3,7 20:5	116:24 117:4,14,17	195:22 196:4,10,13	elevate 117:12
Diversity 17:12	20:10,14,16,18	117:23 118:2,7,23	196:25 197:2,5,7	eliminate 153:18
divide 24:13	21:8,19 22:1 23:10	119:18 120:15,20	draft 20:1	eliminated 154:2
document 55:13	23:19 24:3,9,17,23	121:10,16,22 122:3	drew 178:20	Ely 130:5
116:19 156:10	25:4,7,12,15,17,23	122:9,14,19,22	drive 177:9	email 15:14,15
162:19 164:9	26:2,5,7,11,15,17	123:1,4,7,9,16,24	drop 61:8	191:15
195:13	26:19,23 27:6 30:5	124:2,6,8,21,23	dynamic 135:19	emailed 172:18
documentation 52:14	31:20 32:22 33:8	125:19 126:12,22	dynamics 179:12	emailing 193:12
152:16,24 153:12	33:24 34:3,9,14,21	127:5,10,21 128:19		emails 172:19 173:2
documents 137:24	35:9 36:10 39:3,18	129:9 130:23,25	<u>E</u>	189:12 192:21
152:18 161:6,7	40:6,11,17,25 41:7	132:7,16,21 133:14	ear 181:6	193:8
163:10,25	42:15 43:5 45:17	134:1,9,13,17	earlier 36:2 42:1	emphasis 147:22,25
dogs 42:2	45:25 46:2,10,18	135:1 136:8 137:7	46:21 86:6 100:14	emphasizes 135:24
doing 8:16 11:18	46:24 48:20 49:13	138:5 139:8 141:20	108:1 166:19	employer 146:18
13:7 16:10 18:11	50:19 51:15 52:4	142:18 143:8,16	178:16	employment 146:15
23:24 30:8 44:9	52:20 53:2 54:16	144:8,9,10,12	early 125:25 170:18	empowered 172:22
47:21 50:3,9,24	56:6,18 58:15 59:5	145:13,18,24 146:3	earn 131:3	empowerment
56:12 58:22 62:11	59:11,20,22 60:22	149:9,15,19,21	earnings 131:22	146:20
64:12 76:9 78:22	61:7,10 62:6,9 63:6	150:5 151:10,19	ease 163:2	endeavor 194:2
79:21 96:21 100:5	63:21,23 64:7,10	153:24 154:4,6	easier 12:2 24:1,12	endeavors 196:23
104:1 105:24,25	64:17,25 65:16,24	155:13,17,24 156:3	172:24	ended 20:22 27:11
106:2 125:8 133:5	66:7,12,14,19,24	157:21 158:1,5,20	easily 104:21 168:6	133:16
135:25 137:2	67:6,18,21,24 68:1	158:24 159:12,17	177:19	enforce 119:25
140:17,21 152:3	68:5,7,11,19,22,24	159:22,25 160:5,9	easy 14:5 16:13 17:4	enforced 111:21
168:2 183:4 188:10	69:1,5 71:20 75:8	160:12,18 161:2,5	Eddie 19:19 38:9 EDDY 3:4	112:14
dollar 36:17,24 41:9	76:5 77:3,18,24	161:9,12,20,25	editor-in-chief	enhance 52:11
41:13 45:10 58:2	78:10,18 79:2,13	162:14,23 163:1,13	104:22	141:18
105:7	79:18 80:12 81:5	163:22 164:2,4,6	educate 178:4	enlighten 109:21
dollars 36:7 41:15	81:19,24 82:6,15	164:14 165:15,18	educated 178:4 educated 172:23	ensure 12:9 32:5
47:23 49:18 107:18	82:18,22 83:2,15	166:2,7 167:18	educated 172.23 education 28:18 37:7	44:20 45:5,11
136:19,22 168:16 168:18,21 171:5	83:24 84:19,22 85:4,9,24 86:3,11	169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11	37:9 146:20 177:2	52:11 74:8 111:20 112:13 141:3
domain 37:20	86:18 87:3,18,22	170:16,20 171:8,11	educators 150:1,10	183:22
donations 131:9	87:25 88:7,14 90:9	171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5	effective 114:17	ensuring 153:9
donors 133:22	90:14,17 91:1,5,13	172:14,16 173:1,3	143:10 146:11	entails 49:1
donors 133:22 door 17:4	91:25 92:1,3,5,6,18	173:10,14,23 174:4	efficient 114:16	entans 49:1 entered 167:10
doors 16:16	92:21 93:18 94:2,3	175:14 176:2,7,18	efficiently 55:24	entire 76:9 92:25
dots 21:24 24:15	94:3,4,4 97:8,11,19	175.14 176.2,7,18	efforts 12:8	156:14 182:9
double 11:2 20:6	98:2,4,7,12,15,15	178:11,23 179:1,5	eight 149:18,19	entities 93:12
doubt 170:6	98:18,23 99:14,18	179:13 180:2,7,15	163:12,13 183:12	entity 38:16
170.0	70.10,23 77.14,10	177.13 100.2,7,13	100.12,10 100.12	Simily 50.10

enuring 177:21	executive 2:13,21 3:1	fact 13:17 29:9 33:5	184:12 186:4	finish 166:14 172:9
ERHARD 2:12	6:10 70:17 77:5	37:23 61:18 105:12	188:16,24 190:20	finished 87:6 193:16
Eric 2:24 19:18	91:3	105:18 141:12	192:5 193:22 194:8	fire 104:2 180:6
ERP 154:16	exercise 168:6	factor 35:6,7	194:13,19 196:2,8	firm 39:16 41:24
error 193:10	exhibit 76:20 188:7	fails 146:21	196:11	58:21 59:4 72:12
errors 30:13	190:7	fairly 110:1	field 138:16 139:10	72:14
	exhibits 77:25 132:17	falls 195:5	140:17	first 4:6 10:19 13:13
especially 13:7 48:21 94:4 106:11 144:21	163:17 190:6	familiar 88:19 143:6	fifth 71:12	14:2 22:8 27:20
essentially 52:5 70:24		177:23	figure 11:24 13:6	65:22 74:22 75:14
179:8 182:1	existing 52:24 exists 121:18 179:16		55:25 132:12	75:25 82:10 83:7
establish 43:24	expect 16:20,21	family 95:4 far 33:12 48:9 79:3	164:10,23 165:7	91:10 94:10 96:5
establishing 157:11	49:23 74:16 77:25	89:25 94:6 104:15	figures 57:25	112:17,22 113:11
estimated 72:23	81:11	121:1 139:17 183:2	file 93:9 137:18	
			138:22	129:20,20 136:12
73:19,22 120:11	expecting 19:5 150:10	fast 170:4 fault 90:6	filled 139:10	145:3,14 146:5,19 149:22 185:18
estimates 71:5				
et 97:6,6 evaluate 22:11 38:21	expenditure 47:13 expenditures 27:17	favor 9:19 20:14	final 20:2 21:5,20 finalize 21:15	186:22
evaluate 22:11 38:21 event 103:2		25:13 26:3,15 67:24 68:22 122:10		fiscal 40:2 fits 54:19
	27:21 28:13,22	122:12 124:4	finalized 21:4,14,16	five 28:17 29:15 31:8
eventually 16:9 58:9 58:10	29:4 31:14,17 32:2 32:5,5,7,19,21 37:2	122:12 124:4 172:10,11 188:15	finally 26:8 68:13 finance 5:24 113:18	47:1 88:25 119:14
everybody 7:10 17:1	37:4,12,13 38:4,16	188:25 197:3		141:7 152:8
34:21 49:16 61:12	43:13 44:19,21,22	favorable 75:1 78:9	119:7 150:5,6 financial 2:22 6:1	fix 106:13 179:11,14
118:3,18 119:6	45:5,6 57:23 62:18		22:9,12 23:16	179:16
123:11 157:15	68:16	February 7:17 103:18 169:17	24:20 27:23 28:3	fixed 40:20 128:18
163:8 167:8 181:4		170:17 180:7	45:3	128:20
185:21 192:17	expense 150:23 expenses 153:23	federal 27:17,22	financials 14:19 25:3	FL 1:24
everybody's 178:11	expensive 157:25	28:14,16,21,24,25	25:4	flag 4:7
everyday 115:4	expensive 137.23 experience 76:6	29:4,13,23 30:14	find 11:24 13:5 22:6	Fleming 2:20 6:14,15
exact 77:18 149:6	156:18,21	31:16 32:11,17,20	42:17 46:5 59:7	94:2,4 98:15
exactly 13:12 45:15	explain 48:24 86:6	35:13,14 36:14,23	62:6 71:22 80:2,3	floor 33:25 65:18
58:14 84:6 99:25	100:20 113:5	37:24 38:15 45:13	93:21 100:10 135:2	117:24
113:21 140:1 159:8	131:25	57:16,23 68:16	163:9 173:3 175:7	Floranada 130:10
examination 23:2	explained 60:23	feedback 77:13	177:13	132:4 133:1
69:10,14	129:25	109:24 113:8 114:8	finding 40:7,9 55:23	Florida 1:12 20:22
examinations 69:10	explains 84:4	feel 21:7 43:25 125:8	63:4 64:19 65:9	21:2 22:20,21 23:3
example 22:5 41:14	explanation 84:22	128:21	70:14 71:2,4,6,6,12	23:4 198:2,5,11
61:5 79:10 117:15	132:11 134:3	fees 43:24	88:3 152:15 153:5	flow 99:8
130:4 136:17	190:24	felt 128:9 129:1	findings 22:24 29:12	fluid 15:25
139:21 144:22	extends 28:25	153:4	35:20,24 36:13	fly 81:14
145:9 147:21	external 22:10 67:15	Fertig 2:3 4:22 10:14	39:11,15 40:16	focus 150:21
148:24	extra 53:17	10:15 59:9 61:13	48:1 58:19,23 59:3	focusing 49:14
exceeds 142:4	extremely 27:1	61:14 62:8 63:22	61:15 64:1,4 66:25	folks 144:23 145:1
excellent 184:6	CAUCINCIY 27.1	63:23,24 64:8,13	70:3,4,6,9,11,17,19	147:7 148:16
exception 142:16	F	116:11,14 118:11	72:6 73:4,5,9,11,21	166:22
153:19	faced 146:13	121:21,24 122:4	73:23 79:14,15	follow 19:13 35:21
exceptions 54:23	facilitated 92:25	127:17 134:23	80:4 81:11 82:11	112:25 114:1
145:19 152:9 154:1	facilitating 13:3	135:2 142:21	83:5,18,22 92:9	116:25 117:3
excess 71:9	facilities 2:18 6:6	143:15 165:16,19	169:23 170:1	139:21 140:12
excessive 71:7 137:12	7:16,18,18 15:4	167:4,17 174:7	fine 33:15 83:25	166:10 170:23
executed 114:14	16:9 17:8 150:3	175:10 178:15	110:3 118:19	171:1
121:15	facing 160:25 161:6	181:2,16 182:2,10	119:19 182:18	follow-up 7:23 8:6,10
execution 14:24	161:14 163:3,8	183:6,12 184:2,8	193:20	19:11 45:17 46:4
		105.0,12 104.2,0	175.20	17.11 18.17 10.1

50:8 59:3 64:15	136:2 190:5	gas 139:2,4	83:12,17 84:24	129:2 135:7 136:3
65:13 69:9,9,17,22	four 7:24	gas 139.2,4 gather 102:11	91:11 92:21 93:20	136:16 137:15
70:12 72:13 83:3	fourth 71:5 166:20	gather 102.11 gathering 74:17	95:15 97:4 101:21	138:16,16,19 139:3
89:11 90:7 110:12	franchise 93:12	general 20:21 22:20	107:3 109:9 110:11	139:6 140:21
110:23 111:21	free 21:7 60:1,11	23:3 29:24,25 30:3	111:16 114:1,10	141:16,17 142:25
110.23 111.21	-	32:7 33:11 35:19	117:25 124:23	141.10,17 142.23
145:7,8 148:20	frequency 143:3 frequent 146:10	36:18,19 37:21	128:15 129:10,23	150:19,19 153:5,9
149:10 166:16	frequently 146:12	38:2 40:2,14 41:10	130:20 135:25	163:7 165:12
167:3 193:25 194:5	Friday 21:16	1 ·	136:10 138:22	166:12,16,25
194:8,12,14	friendly 18:6 109:14	41:24 44:11,13,22	130:10 138:22	169:18 170:22
follow-ups 8:14 74:6	109:15	44:23,25 45:23 46:16 56:11,25	145:2 147:20	172:23 173:2 174:6
82:11	front 14:16 17:1	58:8,18,25 62:5	148:11 149:2,13,20	172.23 173.2 174.0
followed 64:1,5	39:22 141:19	64:21 66:23 67:15	149:22 151:5,24	181:3,9,19 182:21
110:21 140:14	full 7:13 39:20	87:16 91:14,18	152:8 153:13	185:7,14 187:22
following 4:1 15:15	fully 179:17	92:2 93:4,6 94:14	161:22 162:11	189:12 190:7,16
17:8 38:7 58:21	function 52:25	94:20 101:18,24	163:5,14 172:19	195:22
59:1	functionality 157:5	124:16 134:3,4	174:9 175:13,23	good 4:3 5:17,19,21
foot 16:6	157:12 158:12	156:15 174:1	176:16 178:21	5:23,25 6:3,5,7,9
footers 178:3	179:9	192:11	186:2,17	6:11,14,16,19,23
footprint 103:4	fund 37:7 194:7	General's 21:2 41:5	goal 169:8	13:6 25:12 30:9
FOPE 146:8	funding 35:16	61:17 64:1 65:10	goals 168:22 169:15	59:14 61:19 67:14
Force 7:17 15:4 17:8	fundraising 131:15	66:18,19	169:18	84:6,13 94:12,12
forecasting 101:14	132:18 133:3	generally 82:7	God 11:11	109:6 111:6 118:23
foregoing 198:7	funds 2:11 8:4 40:21	getting 50:25 51:14	God's 122:17	120:7 123:19
forensic 69:9,10,14	40:22 43:20 49:20	53:18 104:3 108:8	goes 16:23 29:6	131:18 137:15
109:4	49:25 51:3,23 55:8	121:16 128:24	59:18 60:2,3,5,10	154:4,5 163:13
forever 14:20 157:16	55:10,23 60:16,17	130:16 132:8	60:18 77:24 95:25	172:2 186:18
forget 115:24	60:24 124:10,12,21	135:12 153:24	106:12 125:4	196:14,22
forgot 7:21	125:1 126:18	155:3 169:13,16	139:11 141:1	goods 70:23,24 71:13
forgotten 54:19	133:21 136:14	181:6,10	153:14,15,20	80:14,18,24
form 55:4 91:21	137:3,10 139:19	giant 85:15	176:20 178:6	Gorokhovsky 2:22
121:21 139:9,11	140:22,24 142:4	give 17:2 52:6 53:17	going 7:23 8:6 11:4	5:25 6:1 26:25
formalize 122:2	146:24 147:13	89:4 117:15 131:9	11:18,19 12:3,19	Gorokhovsky's
184:1	149:5 155:8,9	134:12 139:6	12:21,22 13:9 16:2	139:24
format 177:18	158:3 159:6,9	145:15 147:13	16:11,25 31:25	gotten 53:14,15,20
former 11:13 79:22	162:2,2 163:16	148:23 165:11	33:18 34:5,18,19	72:25 106:21
formulate 93:9	167:23 168:5,25	166:23 168:21	35:3,5,6 38:22	Gouldbourne 2:11
Fort 1:12,24 198:10	169:2	given 50:2 61:20	39:19,23 41:22	6:21,21 129:15,16
forth 8:5 24:6 48:12	further 9:3,17 31:25	78:11 105:12	42:10,13 44:6,24	152:15 155:15
142:24 143:1	50:4 67:21 68:19	109:25 140:25	47:9,22 48:15	159:11,14,19
189:12	75:8 80:5 110:20	gives 37:8	50:21 55:17,25	governing 29:1
forward 11:20 12:17	172:3 189:11	giving 19:4 53:15	56:2 58:2 59:24	government 20:20
34:6 39:19 42:9	furthermore 15:7	55:11	61:18 62:11 66:7	28:5 33:3 34:7,13
55:22 56:3 106:18	48:2	GL 45:8	68:2 69:6,24 73:16	85:16
109:10 118:21	future 24:1 42:5	Glades 136:18	77:1 79:8,19,21	governmental 20:25
132:9 153:6,9	77:25 162:11	Gleason 191:17	81:25 82:14,20	21:1
164:23,24 165:12	FY22-204 69:24	go 5:15 9:5 13:13	84:7 85:1 87:10	gown 69:11 74:24
167:25 186:7	80:19	16:11,15 19:15	88:25 89:8,17	76:4,15 94:24 95:3
found 22:15 23:6		41:17 42:7 44:2	101:9 105:1 106:3	95:9 102:10,13,13
28:1 30:19 42:6	<u> </u>	46:19 56:1,21,22	106:17,24 108:7,19	102:21 103:1 105:8
54:3 61:16 62:3	gains 55:15	58:2 62:13 69:15	109:1 115:18,20	106:11 107:8,20
64:5,16 70:13	game 90:21	73:7 81:17 82:8,12	116:3 122:5,5,19	gowns 8:2,3 69:7
	I	I	l	I

70.0.04.0.06.7	66 4 70 11 02 12	120 10 140 11 17	1. 11. 14. 04.05	71 0 10 20 27 02 0
72:2 94:9 96:7	66:4 79:11 82:13	139:18 140:11,15	highlights 84:25	51:8,19,20,25 83:8
97:17,17 103:6	84:5 87:7 91:11	143:6,7 147:20	hire 44:4	83:13 92:15,19,23
107:5 110:8,19,24	93:11 94:5 95:23	154:1 155:22	hit 142:7 169:4	103:15 113:1
111:19,23 112:1,12	101:13 107:6 116:2	166:19 169:25	hits 57:24	115:10 142:20
113:11 114:23	130:1 138:7 140:7	happy 23:5 71:18	hitting 169:7	143:13 144:9,12,13
116:23 117:1	140:8,16,16 141:2	106:5 148:11	hold 63:21 81:5	145:17,23 146:2
119:21	154:9,17 178:20	187:24 188:2	98:18 100:10	147:5 148:13 149:8
grade 49:15	GUESTS 3:3	hard 83:19 118:4,7	101:25 105:3 113:4	II 2:12
grades 49:21	guidance 27:10 28:12	151:24 162:3,17	113:4 115:15 132:5	illustration 105:11
graduated 89:22	38:11,19 39:2	169:11 170:3 176:4	143:12 144:23	imagine 34:22
90:5	45:14 56:20 57:14	177:9	146:7,14,21 155:17	157:24
graduating 87:15	57:15,16 58:4	Harpalani 2:10 7:2,2	155:18 161:25	immediately 138:2
graduation 69:18	68:17	hat 61:8	165:15 171:23	impact 36:7 49:22
87:11 89:8,10	guidelines 139:22	head 93:11	175:6 180:5 187:12	implement 153:10
100:5 104:1 110:25	gung-ho 165:9	hear 12:20 19:6	holders 48:2	167:8
111:1,24 112:2,12	guy 119:13	109:16 134:24	holding 130:15 132:4	implementing 180:2
113:24 119:21 120:13	guys 11:2 56:17 67:10 145:10 156:5	165:13 179:5,6 heard 23:21 49:24	Hollywood 114:12 honor 103:6	importance 10:23 12:7 82:21 135:24
graduation's 121:5	157:1 175:17 188:5	53:5 57:6 109:18	hope 61:11 148:10	142:13
graduations 121.3 graduations 100:21	137.1 173.17 100.3	118:4 167:17	186:9	important 13:6 14:10
grand 56:24	H	174:12	hoping 80:1,2 186:7	27:4 42:8 54:13
grant 27:18 32:1,19	half 39:5 49:14	hearing 9:19 20:14	hopper 129:11	79:4 112:18 129:6
32:21 35:16 38:17	118:16 170:9	26:2,15 55:3 82:2	horrible 153:8	147:15 155:7
38:23 45:13 48:2	hand 36:2 39:19,23	119:2	host 88:23	182:16
133:17	143:19	held 130:3 148:16	hot 106:15	importantly 15:24
grantor 52:15	handle 53:15 54:9	Hello 123:10	house 7:13 39:20	improve 114:16
grants 31:25 32:18	handled 139:19	help 12:4 14:12	50:4,10	124:15
39:1 133:21	193:11	33:22 49:18 51:7	HR 12:21,25 185:4	improved 71:16
granularity 84:6	handles 55:8	54:14,14 60:19	huge 103:21 130:9	126:7 127:24
grateful 27:2	handling 185:4	131:3 134:13	huh 151:18 191:4	165:22
great 15:20 17:7 24:3	handout 49:11	139:12 148:9	hundred 136:18	improvement 76:8
27:3 105:10 116:20	167:20,22	154:15 155:6,13	hundreds 107:17	improvements 78:2
117:18 147:11	hands 92:25	helpful 32:15 182:14	136:21	165:23
148:24 150:1,10	hang 65:5 116:12	Hepburn 12:14	hurt 180:18	improving 86:21
154:21 155:8 157:4	happen 81:10 87:4	Herff 93:10 97:22		inaccurate 70:22
158:12 161:23	93:20 121:11 122:5	103:11,12 104:1,7	I	inadvertently 177:12
164:18 165:2	142:14 147:10	104:12,16 105:16	I-314 159:5,9	inappropriately
178:24 179:3,8	165:11 174:17	hey 55:13 95:15	iceberg 105:10	133:23
181:21 182:10	176:3	98:25 99:5 119:13	idea 37:8 47:18,24	include 18:10 34:18
186:20	happened 42:6 53:13	141:6 144:5 151:5	67:14 87:17 89:5	42:13 65:25 67:17
greater 143:2	81:1 84:14,14	153:7	104:24 113:24	93:12 114:7 190:6
greatly 93:22	88:16 99:20 106:7	Hi 19:15 30:7	114:13 151:13	192:17
greedy 187:16	125:3,9 141:10	high 16:6 60:16	182:17 190:16	included 31:13 32:6
green 115:23 116:5	147:25 148:15	64:23 74:1,4,5,7,8	ideally 116:2	32:7 33:5,17,19,21
group 10:23 12:16	159:8 193:8	77:10,11 94:7	ideas 115:5	34:4,11 37:3 38:24
16:22 72:4 93:8	happening 40:12	95:16 108:6 113:23	identical 94:8 96:15	44:22 45:6 66:1,10
149:3 182:9 190:5	81:14 86:12 89:15	114:11,12 118:16	125:20	66:15 74:6 77:20
193:3	128:12 133:6 141:9	124:25 129:23	identified 69:16 71:8	110:5 113:19 126:1
guarantee 46:14	156:4,23 158:7,11	138:10 142:5	72:11	126:2 190:9
guaranteed 59:6	happens 45:23 51:4	145:17 152:7	identify 177:19	includes 110:17
guard 42:2	85:16 88:10 93:24	highest 22:15	identifying 31:15	including 28:17
guess 51:12 56:16	100:20 106:14	highlight 188:6	Ighodaro 2:4 4:24	32:24 103:4 126:3
	1	1	1	1

146:15,22 163:17	instituted 148:8,9	INVITED 3:3	4:15,18,20,22,24	Jones 93:11 97:22
146:13,22 163:17	instructions 134:11	invoices 45:9,10 71:7	5:1,3,5,7,9,11,13	103:11,12 104:1,7
incorporate 186:21	instrument 76:9,17	71:8,10,11 88:4	5:13 6:18 7:11 8:8	103:11,12 104:1,7
186:22	77:20	108:21	10:2,6,13 19:16,24	Joris 2:9 5:13 21:5
incorrect 126:22	instrumentation 76:7	involved 11:9 114:25	21:22 24:8,14 33:9	66:1 89:15
increase 36:6 42:11	insufficient 70:20	115:2 116:3 122:17	33:22 34:8,10,23	JOSIAH 2:17
42:11 43:3,6,15	82:13,24 83:3	122:20 178:17	39:8,12 48:7 51:25	Jostens 76:24 80:2
57:4	120:18	181:20 182:4,24	52:9,21 63:20	Joy 3:6 19:20
increased 37:4	intent 185:10	183:10,17,21,23	64:17,18 66:6 67:1	judgment 137:1
increasing 57:10	intent 103:10	185:3,8,10,22	67:5 68:13 69:8	Judith 2:17 5:23
independent 22:18	interact 13:20	involvement 186:5	78:4,5,11 81:23	jump 88:11 104:23
23:1 24:19 25:20	interaction 182:15	iron 195:21	84:16 101:1,4	178:15 180:19
26:9 68:15	interest 130:16 131:3	issue 21:14 24:10	103:18 104:19	181:9
indicated 8:24 52:19	interested 29:20 82:2	32:24 33:4 37:25	108:4,10 109:16,20	juncture 181:17
indicates 156:19	interesting 42:18	41:20 48:14 83:21	110:9,11 111:5,10	June 20:22 27:11
indicators 51:11 55:7	72:17	83:22 90:7 101:11	111:12,14,17 112:1	40:3 62:16 120:14
individual 29:3 96:8	interlocal 14:25	105:5 116:1 120:21	112:6,9 113:2	168:20 171:5
individually 23:25	15:18 16:8	121:3 124:25	116:10 122:10,21	
86:1	internal 2:11 8:4,7	133:20,20 134:19	122:24 123:5,13,22	K
individuals 8:9,11	14:16,17,18 22:6,9	135:21 138:8,9	124:11 125:12,15	KC 1:11
126:2	22:11,16 23:15	140:14 147:5,8	125:21 126:19,25	keep 9:14 61:12 82:7
influence 12:24 14:8	24:19 28:2,10,19	156:18,19,20 168:3	127:9,14,22 128:3	96:7 107:11 108:12
inform 155:6 177:2	28:20 29:8 30:23	179:11 191:21	129:15 130:24	145:3 181:6 193:3
178:5	31:24 32:9 78:23	issued 22:23 23:9	134:8 145:12,22	keeping 61:11 188:22
information 2:17 6:4	86:20 90:15 98:13	65:9	149:14 152:12	kept 86:24
25:1 33:10 39:13	108:18 113:12	issues 23:14,15 50:18	162:10 163:12	key 51:11 55:6 126:4
39:24 48:17 54:2	119:15 124:10,11	53:9 64:3 69:16	169:9,15,22 170:12	kids 60:25 87:15
78:16 89:11,11	124:12,21 126:18	82:10 90:11,14,15	170:14,18 171:6,10	107:16 130:20
92:3 114:24 132:2	129:5 135:3 137:3	107:25 125:23	171:16,20 173:17	133:13
160:24 163:19	137:10 139:19	126:10 133:25	173:24 174:10	kids' 86:8 131:2
190:4,12 192:15,25	140:22,23 141:4	135:16 144:20	176:4,11,21 178:10	kill 160:16
195:23	142:3 146:24	146:12 152:6 195:2	183:16 184:9,15	Kincaid 3:6 69:15,19
informational 151:3	147:13 149:5 151:7	it'll 125:10 139:13	186:19,24 187:5	69:20,21 72:8 73:3
informed 61:24	153:20 155:8 158:3	item 10:10 18:17	191:9 193:7,13,15	73:7,19 74:3,20
infractions 147:17	159:6,9 161:1	19:2,25 20:19	193:16,20 194:7	75:6,14 77:1,4,22
infrastructure 102:2	162:2,2 163:16	26:20 69:2 74:23	195:17,18 196:6,19	108:5
121:18	165:4 167:23 168:5	76:1,2 77:6 84:11	196:24	kind 42:18 55:5,5,6
infrequent 142:3	168:25 169:2	89:1,1 118:22	Jabouin's 174:12	61:24 73:14 85:4
infrequently 142:14	internally 79:7	124:15 152:11	Jaclyn 5:9	86:3,4 101:16
Ingram 3:6 69:13,21	150:13 152:22	159:21,23 174:9	January 1:14 4:4	108:16 130:14
initial 21:9 51:21,21	interrupt 17:15	178:23 191:12	15:9 89:6,14	132:4 135:10,24
59:3 83:21	interview 178:17	194:5	110:13 111:22	136:1 144:7 178:5
initially 78:8	181:25	items 7:14 8:10 9:13	112:15 139:24	178:6,20 183:21
Innovation 15:16	interviewer's 181:18	10:5 21:24 29:4	187:14 198:10	189:10
input 16:1,23 17:2	interviewing 18:1	30:11 73:9 75:19	Jennifer 2:10,21 6:9	kindly 123:5
48:8,18 113:8	introduction 19:17	89:1 98:20 193:25	7:2	kinds 49:25 84:25 137:21
144:21 153:16	inventory 150:3,14	194:8,14	Jermaine 2:20 6:14	knew 162:8
instance 27:22 36:25	investigated 67:8	Itohan 2:4 4:24	job 27:3 172:24	
58:22	investment 47:24	148:18,19	182:13	know 8:3,12,14,15 11:2 12:7,17 14:9
instances 23:6 28:4	144:7	J	Joe 6:3	16:12 17:5 23:13
45:9 77:8 80:17	investments 23:5	Jabouin 2:9 4:11,12	joining 12:16 17:17	25:2 29:19,21 33:1
88:17 142:2 146:16	invite 55:19	Janumi 4.7 4.11,12	39:14	23.2 27.17,21 33.1
	-	•	•	•

35:5,8 40:11,18,21
50:2,25 51:11,20
53:22 54:5 59:6
61:4,6,9,23 63:9,10
63:15,16,25 64:2
64:11,11,22 65:25
74:13 75:18,24
78:1 79:6 80:6
83:13 84:5,10,13
04.12 12 14 05.5
84:13,13,14 85:5
87:15,16 88:2,8,8
88:10 89:9,12,14
89:15,15 92:5
93:24 95:16 96:25
97:11 98:25 99:2
99:12 100:17,17,21
101:12,14,15,19,19
101:22 104:15,23
105:2,4,24 106:15
107:7,15 112:19
114:10,22 118:7,17
119:9 120:9,13
123.12 127.5 6
120.14.15.120.2.21
123:12 127:5,6 130:14,15 132:3,21
133:11,18 134:18
135:3,18,21 136:24
138:17 139:5 140:1
140:18 144:5,19
150:16,16,17,24,25
151:5,7,12,13,14
151:16,22 152:1,4
152:25 153:7,17,24
156:11,12,14,16,19
156:21 157:1,2,4,6
157:8,10 158:16
159:8 162:5,6,7,10
162:18 163:17,18
165:22,25 166:24
167:19 169:4,15
107.19 109.4,13
171:6,14 176:12
177:3,12,15 179:10
170.12 22 190.4 10
179:13,23 180:4,10
180:23 181:16
182:10,11 185:10
185:12 186:2,7
187:24 188:9
190:11,13 192:16
192:18 193:5
194:13 195:13
196:12
knows 16:10 107:6
114:20 134:18
117.20 137.10

L labeled 24:5 lack 116:7 lady's 129:14 **Lake** 133:17 **Lakes** 133:19 language 21:12 49:7 49:10 127:4,15 184:9 **LaPace** 99:12 **large** 131:18 137:13 137:14 198:5 **largest** 37:6,9 **late** 71:7 101:16 167:21 178:21 179:1 Lauderdale 1:12,24 198:11 Laura 2:13 7:8 **law** 9:6 57:17 88:19 189:19 **lav** 100:11 layer 102:15 139:5 layers 138:15 layman's 44:12 **lead** 38:10 leadership 27:2 105:16 146:22 **leading** 108:17 leaning 57:2 learning 2:19,20,21 55:15 113:16 leave 178:12 182:25 leaving 89:16,16 **led** 149:4 **ledger** 32:8 44:11,13 44:23,23,25 **legal** 91:15,20 92:10 92:12,13 93:4 192:7 **lengthy** 16:14 64:9 **let's** 20:6 24:10 35:18 42:20 43:14 44:20 61:5 63:5 100:10 118:21,21 140:20 154:17,18 164:23 165:7,8,12,24 166:23 179:5,5 187:19 189:6 192:5

letter 22:19,19 25:21

186:11 187:2,7

188:8 **letting** 135:25 **level** 7:16 49:15 108:6 113:14,15 155:24 158:11 levels 22:15 51:7 **lever** 115:1 **liable** 148:16 **Licata** 2:16 5:17,18 10:18,19 11:8 14:4 15:6,12,20 17:10 17:16,18 18:12 19:3,4 185:2 **light** 23:23,24 99:20 **lightly** 11:17 12:8 **liked** 108:12 **Likert** 75:11,23 **limit** 151:15 **limited** 23:16 line 10:14 16:7,22 29:4 74:23 75:15 76:1,2 119:20 127:19,19 lines 51:17 **link** 159:14.17 161:24 162:7,9,12 171:15 172:5,18 173:4.21.21.22.22 173:23,25 174:2,3 174:8 175:3 176:1 176:6,7,8,9,9,15,20 176:24 177:11 link's 159:21,23 list 61:10,11 121:2 168:4 **listed** 162:21 **listen** 123:18 162:14 162:15 listening 63:14 lists 29:15 literally 92:24 107:19 164:17 167:1 **little** 31:23 48:23 57:9 80:16 83:8 109:3 110:19 156:7 167:21 183:22 187:25 188:2 **living** 115:4 **local** 33:3 34:7,12 36:3 41:24 57:5 67:2 131:9

lock 16:15 **logistics** 105:5 170:7 long 52:8 55:19 72:2 135:4,5,18 136:1 144:14 148:15 163:8 176:4 long-range 52:22 long-term 72:9 longer 72:14 118:17 longstanding 79:24 look 11:8 22:22 32:13 35:21 36:25 40:19 43:12 44:19 45:5,9 51:3 56:14 64:19 76:21 78:25 78:25 79:10 85:20 86:18 87:16 99:5 125:17 131:8 136:14 138:9 151:14 159:2 161:15 163:5,25 173:24 174:6,13 187:19 looked 22:20 40:19 41:21 43:7.13 50:18 137:12 187:20 **looking** 10:7 32:1,4 43:16 44:15,25 45:8,24 47:13 62:13,20 75:24 77:3 90:10 116:22 118:25,25 119:1 121:1 138:7 147:16 151:5 152:22 162:4 163:2,4 164:22,23 168:10 169:6,10 175:12,22,23 182:13 185:19 190:4 192:15,24 looks 42:3 120:10,17 168:25 **lose** 149:16,16 181:3 **losing** 59:14 133:7 **lost** 54:7,8 **lot** 23:11 44:7 47:22 54:1 64:15 76:13 86:22 88:1 107:10 131:8 133:3,3 137:21 144:17 146:11 147:16 154:1,11 155:21

156:22 187:24 188:2 195:25 low-risk 29:10 Lowe's 133:17 luck 196:22 **Lugo** 2:12 6:23,23 **lunch** 60:1 **Lvnch** 4:16 **Lynch-Walsh** 2:4 4:3 4:10 5:1,2 7:10,12 8:13 9:1,8,11,19,22 9:24 10:4,9,16 11:7 11:23 13:12 14:15 15:7,13 17:7,12,21 17:24 18:5,9,18,23 18:25 19:7 20:5,10 20:14,16,18 21:8 21:19 22:1 23:10 23:19 24:3,9,17,23 25:4,7,12,15,17,23 26:2,5,7,11,15,17 26:19,23 27:6 30:5 31:20 32:22 33:8 33:24 34:3,9,14,21 35:9 36:10 39:3,18 40:6,11,17,25 41:7 42:15 43:5 45:17 45:25 46:2.10.18 46:24 48:20 49:13 50:19 51:15 52:4 52:20 53:2 54:16 56:6,18 58:15 59:5 59:11,20,22 60:22 61:7,10 62:6,9 63:6 63:21,23 64:7,10 64:17,25 65:16,24 66:7,12,14,19,24 67:6,18,21,24 68:1 68:5,7,11,19,22,24 69:1,5 71:20 75:8 76:5 77:3,18,24 78:10,18 79:2,13 79:18 80:12 81:5 81:19,24 82:6,15 82:18,22 83:2,15 83:24 84:19,22 85:4,9,24 86:3,11 86:18 87:3,18,22 87:25 88:7,14 90:9 90:14,17 91:1,5 92:1,5,18,21 93:18 97:8,11,19 98:2,4,7

locate 48:14

98:12,18,23 99:14
99:18,24 100:10,15
100:24 101:3,6,23
101:25 103:3,14,19
101.25 105.5,14,19
103:21 104:9,12,20
103.21 104.9,12,20
105:20 106:1,19
107:7,10,14 108:8
108:11,23 109:1,14 109:18,22 110:14 110:22,24 111:2,4
108.11,23 109.1,14
109.18 22 110.14
105.10,22 110.11
110:22.24 111:2.4
111 0 11 12 25
111:8,11,13,25
112.9 112.4 6
112:8 113:4,6
114:15 115:8,11,15
115:20 116:4,12,15
116:24 117:4,14,17
117:23 118:2,7,23
119:18 120:15,20
121:10,16,22 122:3
122:9,14,19,22
123:1,4,7,16,24
124:2,6,8,21,23
125:19 126:12,22
127:5,10,21 128:19
129:9 130:23,25
129.9 130.23,23
132:7,16,21 133:14
132.7,10,21 133.11
134:1,9,13,17 135:1 136:8 137:7 138:5 139:8 141:20
125.1 126.0 127.7
133.1 130.6 137.7
138.5 139.8 141.20
130.3 137.0 141.20
142:18 143:8,16
144:9,12 145:13,18
145:24 146:3 149:9
149:15,19,21 150:5
1 17.13,17,21 130.3
151:10,19 154:4,6
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6 175:14 176:7,18,23
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6 175:14 176:7,18,23 177:15,22 178:11
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6 175:14 176:7,18,23
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6 175:14 176:7,18,23 177:15,22 178:11
151:10,19 154:4,6 155:13,17,24 156:3 157:21 158:1,5,20 158:24 159:12,17 159:22,25 160:5,9 160:12,18 161:2,5 161:9,12,20,25 162:14,23 163:1,13 163:22 164:2,4,6 164:14 165:15,18 166:2,7 167:18 169:10,19 170:6,13 170:16,20 171:8,11 171:23 172:1,7,11 172:14,16 173:1,5 173:10,14,23 174:4 174:11,18,21 175:6 175:14 176:7,18,23 177:15,22 178:11

180:7,15,21 181:5
181:12,24 182:5,19
183:9,14,19,24
184:7,14,20,24
185:13 186:9,14,20
187:9,12,19 188:3
188:12,18 189:2,4
189:13,15,25
190:19 191:7,17
192:2,9,14 193:11
193:15,18 194:2,11
194:16,22,25 195:9
195:11,22 196:4,10
196:13,25 197:2,5
197:7

M ma'am 26:23 34:15 73:19 99:24 188:11 mad 86:7 104:2 Madom 17:23 23:23

mad 86:7 104:2 **Madam** 17:23 23:23 26:22 27:12 29:19 32:23 45:16 46:9 50:11 51:9 101:5 102:7 108:22 116:18 119:3 120:8 124:20 131:1 137:6 137:20 141:17,21 161:22 164:13 174:20 187:10 194:20,20 mail 193:14 maintenance 150:15 **major** 17:10 27:18 28:10,14,16,22 29:16,23 30:15,25 31:1,3,10,15 32:10 32:11,17 35:13,14 36:8,14,22 37:23 38:12,12,14,22 39:18 45:6 61:15 62:24 making 13:2 18:12 42:4 63:8 103:17 136:6 145:3 178:16 178:19 181:19 manage 150:14 193:9 management 22:19 25:20 71:15 73:23 78:17 90:22 125:25

127:23 150:4 168:3

management's 28:23

73:20
Manager 2:11,11 3:4
managing 6:20
mandatory 149:2
manual 119:23,25
120:3 179:17
March 126:7 128:5
168:16,18 169:5
170:9 171:4
Marjory 102:19
mark 15:2
marked 73:11
Marlow 164:19
Marquardt 2:13 6:25
6:25

Marte 2:17 5:23,23 26:22,24 102:4,6,7 102:25 109:8,11 112:23 114:25 115:25 116:12,17 116:18 117:2,5 122:17 130:21,24 130:25 131:1,6,12 132:1 134:6,8,10 136:11 137:6,7,8 139:8,9 140:10 141:9,17 161:8,17 Marte's 82:2 118:24 142:1 150:24 Mary 2:3,23 4:22 6:7 61:13 116:13 117:25 118:2,10 134:21 142:19 144:15 174:11 181:14 182:6 188:24 Mary's 129:10 massage 76:18 match 44:10,14 matching 44:25 material 22:6,14 28:1 28:19 30:22 40:4 materiality 30:12

matters 24:21 28:4 102:2 maximize 131:21 **May-June** 87:13,14 **Mayersohn** 2:5 9:4,9 18:8,16,20 20:8,10 21:25 24:18,23 25:19,23 26:8,11 54:20,22,25 55:2 61:19 87:5 91:9,10 93:2,19 94:14,22 95:8,13,19,22 96:10,15,18,24 97:2,9,15,23 98:15 98:19,24 99:16 100:8,23,25 117:25 118:24 123:25 124:3 134:21 136:8 136:9,10 138:4,5,6 140:7,16 141:13 142:17 143:18,25 144:10 149:10,18 149:20,24 150:6 151:11,21 152:12 153:13 154:5 160:19 161:4,11 163:4 171:13,18,24 172:2,5 173:7,12 173:25 174:14,24 175:4,8 176:10 178:13 179:25 180:9,14 182:6 186:10,15 187:22 188:1 189:9,16 190:17,23 191:4,21 192:4 195:10,15,24 Maversohn's 98:8 mean 13:17,18 17:15 22:5 50:24 51:11 56:23 59:20 81:4 81:17 82:1,3,4,20 85:21,25 87:7,15 91:5,18 93:8 94:25 96:2 97:8 100:8 107:6 117:5,9 130:15 132:17 133:12 150:15 151:12,16 156:8 157:14,21 158:13

164:13 173:7,25

181:17 182:2 185:8

174:7 180:17

190:25 192:6 **Meaning** 132:16 means 35:3 91:21 meant 60:20 61:2 **measure** 75:9 76:10 79:6 148:15 measured 76:12,12 102:10 103:1 measurements 102:12 measures 55:9 134:6 measuring 76:11 mechanics 32:1 medallion 94:17 medallions 94:9.16 95:5 119:14 **medium** 106:5 medium-sized 142:5 **Medvin** 2:5 5:3,4 31:21,22 32:18 54:20 56:8,10 57:12,18 58:11 185:1,2 196:18 meet 39:1 125:24 127:23 157:3 169:18 meeting 1:4 4:4,5 7:17 8:20 11:22 12:13 14:1 15:14 20:7 25:5 49:3 55:19 63:15 74:23 75:16,17 76:1,14 169:8 171:3 182:17 183:5 190:3,8,15 191:24 193:19 196:5 197:8 meetings 63:25 103:24 125:23 146:23 169:24 meets 144:3 member 2:16 12:15 123:9 160:19 189:21 members 2:1 9:21 11:12 20:15 25:14 26:4,16 48:21 67:25 68:23 122:13 124:5 147:14 171:14 172:13 189:1 197:4 memo 122:17

mention 8:8 15:18

31:2,7 46:21,23

47:10 151:10

materially 30:19

matrix 185:20 188:6

104:17,18 189:7

materials 94:21

194:4 196:9

matter 47:5 54:12

152:20

,	1	1	1	ı
19:25 63:24 64:18	mere 152:18	missed 53:18 140:9	113:3,7 117:10,10	napkin 43:21
78:5 108:4 177:8	mess 106:15	156:25 157:1	117:24 118:14	narrow 183:21
mentioned 21:6 36:1	met 15:5,9 168:23	missing 84:7	119:18 120:5,25	narrowly 182:20
37:1 44:8 57:3	methodology 76:7	misstated 30:19	122:3,10 123:3,25	Nathalie 2:4 5:1
75:24 103:10	Miami-Dade 13:16	mistake 135:14 140:4	124:8 126:13,14,16	59:10 63:22 116:11
120:22 126:13	120:1,3,5 182:23	144:23 148:17,21	126:17,19,23,23	142:22 165:17
134:20 142:24	185:19,22 187:21	mistaken 40:8	127:3,11,12 166:4	178:15 184:2
143:11 146:23,24	Michele 2:13 6:25	mistakes 129:6	171:19,24 172:9,16	193:22 196:8
154:10,25 157:1	Microphone 160:12	140:15 144:17	174:5 175:25,25	natural 131:20
159:5 185:22	160:12	145:3 148:23,25	178:16,22 179:2	nature 38:5
mentioning 156:25	middle 60:18 93:18	149:1	180:18 181:13,19	nearly 43:17
menu 61:21	93:19 99:2 130:13	mitigate 164:25	181:22 184:3,17	necessarily 41:10
Menzul 2:6 5:5,6	mike 115:14 145:25	mitigation 145:6	186:12,14,15,19,23	137:24 147:10
12:12 17:23,25	mileage 11:6 139:2	mix 93:17	186:25 187:3,6,8	153:3,6 163:7
24:13 25:1,6 51:8,9	139:23 196:15	moment 10:13	188:16,19 189:4	166:9 168:2 179:3
53:3 54:21 55:5	million 31:4,15,16	Monday 177:7	196:17,25	189:20 190:1
56:8 59:8,12,16,21	36:12 37:4,5,8,10	191:13	motions 18:13 21:23	necessary 156:17
59:23 60:20 61:1,9	37:14 41:15,16	money 10:24 40:24	106:20	necessity 88:1
78:4,20,21 79:5,15	42:23,24,25 43:1	40:25 42:20 43:1,7	Motivation 19:1	need 8:19 16:7,23
80:10,13 81:17	43:14,15,15 46:22	43:19 44:7 52:6	move 7:23 8:6,18	17:1 19:12,13 24:4
82:1,13,17,20,24	46:23 47:1,4,12,13	53:7,8,12,14,16,17	11:20 17:25 18:16	35:5 39:21 41:25
83:11,23 84:2,21	47:15 55:12 57:22	53:18,19 54:6,7,8	24:13 32:23 34:1	50:13 54:14 56:9
85:3,7,11,25 86:10	58:3 59:16,17,22	54:13 56:2 62:2	42:9 43:17 55:21	67:16 94:24,24
86:16 87:2,7,14,21	61:3 157:19 168:17	67:10 72:7 104:8	56:2 57:2 68:12	112:20 116:15,25
87:24 88:6,13	168:19,21 170:10	130:6,9,15,17,18	79:16 106:18 108:2	121:25 122:4,17
89:21,24 90:19,23	mind 63:17 111:14	131:2 132:2,4	109:7 111:8 112:8	123:21,22 124:14
91:3 95:23 97:13	mindful 115:3	133:5,7,7,8 136:7	112:9 113:3 115:22	132:2 133:25
101:5,7,24 102:23	mine 95:16 143:13	157:18	116:15 118:3,21	134:15 135:11
106:20,23 113:3	146:25	money's 51:13	123:2 127:17	136:6,23,24 140:21
115:13,18,22 120:8	minimal 152:21	moneys 40:7 45:11	133:25 164:12,23	141:7,24 144:6
120:17 121:6,14	minimum 157:3	monitor 48:3 136:12	177:18 179:7,7	146:19 149:14,15
122:23,24 149:11	165:25	136:13	181:2 184:9 186:7	158:17 159:1
154:6,8,9,17,23	minimus 105:9	monitored 108:1	moved 8:23 9:1 18:7	162:11 164:12
155:12,20,23 156:1	minus 43:9	137:10	19:11 20:10 24:5	167:2 169:24
156:9 157:22 158:9	minute 81:10	month 61:20 148:24	24:23 25:23 26:11	172:24 174:5
158:21 161:22	minutes 7:19 10:17	monthly 137:10,24	67:11 124:2 171:24	175:25 176:8,11
178:19,24 179:4,7	20:4,7 22:4 127:6,7	months 15:22 166:11	183:16 184:7	179:15 188:18
179:22 180:12	127:11 164:14	morning 4:3 5:17,19	188:23,23 196:18	192:7,21 193:5,19
191:2,5,11,19,25	183:13,25 misallocate 49:19	5:21,23,25 6:3,5,7	moving 7:19 12:17	195:1 196:11
192:7,13 194:20,24 Meo 2:3 4:20,21 22:1		6:9,11,14,16,19,23	27:8 39:19 69:5	needed 89:21,21,22
,	misallocated 40:8 misallocations 40:20	11:21 94:13 185:2 mother 104:21	100:2 124:10 132:8 167:25	90:4 128:11 140:18 149:22 168:11
22:3 30:6,7,10 31:19 34:16 35:9	42:7	mother 104:21 motion 9:24 18:4	MSL 3:4 19:14,19	
35:11 36:10,11,19	42:7 misappropriated		20:19 26:21 38:10	needing 81:7 needs 33:19,20 38:11
37:11,15,19 46:10	133:21	19:8,9 20:8,18 21:22,25 23:21	multiple 51:5,5	41:21 50:6,18
46:18,20 47:6,12	misappropriating	24:13,18 25:17,19	135:15,16	89:17 94:8,15
47:15,18 67:13	133:8	26:7,8,19 33:8,25	municipalities 15:1	115:1 126:4,5
84:18 108:22,24	misappropriation	51:17 65:18,19,20	типстраниев 13.1	130:18,20 143:3
109:3 112:17	51:22	65:21,21,22 66:14	N	148:18 155:21
114:18,22 122:15	misleading 73:14	68:3,7 69:1 106:23	Nakia 2:11 6:21	158:16 167:24
122:24 127:11,16	misnomer 73:14	108:24,25 109:7,12	name 115:24 123:13	negative 49:22 75:4,9
134:22 136:8,11	mispronounce 36:1	110:4 111:12 112:6	129:14	75:13 77:14
15 1.22 130.0,11	Description of the second	110111.12 112.0		'0.13 ' / .11 '
	'.	od Poporting	_	

never 15:5 38:1
40:19 41:2 49:24
50:21 51:2 53:5
58:2 60:17 63:7
67:7 74:17 75:10
85:17 121:20
125:10 157:3,7
190:14
new 12:14 20:2 48:21
54:6 64:11 70:19
71:6 87:1 106:9
136:5 147:25
177:18 184:5 186:2
Newton 149:4
nice 91:4
night 21:10
nine 55:2 103:7
nobody's 179:15
non-agenda 10:5
Non-Traditional
2:19 6:12
noncompliance 23:7
28:4
nontraditional 77:11
normal 57:5 102:24
normally 25:7 35:1
North 2:21 6:15
Northeast 114:11
Notary 198:4
note 86:9 137:1,18
147:15 155:8
noted 24:8 28:2,19
73:10 122:21
138:12
notes 29:5 71:22 84:8
90:24 137:3 198:9
notice 84:23
noticing 156:13
November 25:9
72:24 73:15 120:12
number 20:1,19
26:20 27:9 59:25
65:20 69:2,7 72:24
77:7 79:11 82:15
82:16 83:4,17
84:20 85:2 89:1,1,2
89:2,6,7 94:6
124:10 145:15,22
162:16 171:5
191:24
numbers 42:21 43:18
168:10
100.10
Ī

numerous 69:16 74:6
nurseries 125:2
0
objective 79:6
observation 82:9 83:4,6,21
observations 82:8,16
84:20 86:9,13
120:10
observe 123:18
obtained 75:25
obtaining 71:14
80:15,20
obviously 10:9 16:3
17:9 48:7 84:11
95:1 101:12 163:25 194:3
occasions 53:24
occur 25:8 103:13
occurred 39:5 58:23
86:7 147:17 179:14
occurs 35:12 147:6
October 168:4,9,10
off-line 189:7
offer 117:7 137:18 155:6 184:2
offers 116:19
office 2:8 3:1 6:21,23
6:25 7:4,6,8 13:21
21:2 48:12 138:20
138:22 159:24
173:18 176:1,21
181:18
office's 91:14
Officer 2:17,18 6:4,6 15:16 92:4
oh 7:21 11:7 19:14
60:20 68:13 79:13
79:15 81:24 85:7,9
101:4 123:7,24
126:25 157:13
166:7 173:5 184:22
188:18
okay 4:10 7:12,19,22
8:13 9:8,16,24 10:9
10:12 14:15 15:6
15:12 16:15 17:7 18:7,23,25 19:1,11
20:10,18 21:19
22.10.10.20.24.17

23:10,19,20 24:17

25:6,10,12,15,17

```
25:19 26:2,5 30:5
 30:16 32:4 33:24
  34:17 35:4,7 38:19
  38:21 40:17 43:16
 45:16,17,20 46:3
 46:13,17,17 50:11
  53:2 54:20 56:8
 59:5 61:3 63:6
 64:17 65:16,23
  66:14,25 67:5,19
 67:21 68:5,11,22
  69:1,5 72:21 73:24
 74:10 76:5 77:24
 79:18 80:9 81:24
  82:24 84:2.19
 85:12 88:13 92:18
 96:18 98:14 100:13
  101:23 106:5
  108:10 110:4,14,22
  112:3,9,16 115:8
  115:11 116:17
  117:5 118:23
  119:18 121:8
  122:22 123:1,20
  124:8,11,20,23,24
  129:8,9,12,22
  130:21 134:25
  135:2 136:8 141:20
  142:17,18 143:15
  143:25 144:9 146:5
  149:9,21 154:6,8
  154:23 156:17
  158:5 160:9,18
  161:5,9,12,20
  162:14 163:1,14
  164:16 167:18
  169:19 170:13,16
  170:20 171:10,11
  172:11 173:5,24
  176:23 177:22
  178:11 181:9,12
  184:2,7,12,20,23
  184:24 187:9
  188:12 189:4
  190:19 192:5
  194:19 196:10,13
  196:14,25 197:7
old 71:9
Oleg 2:22 5:25 27:1
on-line 160:14
  162:15,22
once 11:25 13:17
```

16:11 33:1 64:15 126:8 153:25 154:2 157:14 166:18 one-size-fits-all 102:22 ones 13:20 61:16 69:6 73:17 108:14 169:17,19 170:17 193:6 **ongoing** 105:15 135:22 **open** 17:4 77:19 **operate** 117:8 155:7 **operates** 147:14 **operating** 110:6,17 operational 50:3 **operations** 2:11,17 2:21 5:24 6:10 136:14 161:1 **opine** 91:20,23 92:9 98:16 opinion 27:24,25 28:15 30:11,16,17 30:18,21 154:2 opinions 29:8 opportunity 27:13 45:4 147:12 165:12 166:23 **oppose** 68:2 opposed 9:22 20:16 25:15 26:5,17 68:1 68:5,24 99:4 122:14,15,23,25 123:3 124:6 153:21 169:1 172:14 189:2 197:5 **options** 61:21 77:12 **order** 4:5,6 13:14 48:19 71:14 80:20 80:22 81:3 82:8,12 82:14,21 96:2 102:18,19,22 110:7 110:8 114:24 116:23 157:7 194:5 ordered 80:19,22,25 86:8 102:17 ordering 94:21 110:18 111:19 112:11 114:23 117:1 orders 70:20,21 80:15 82:25 94:17

94:18,19 120:19 **original** 69:14 84:16 originally 126:20 194:22 originated 64:20 **outdated** 177:14,16 **outlines** 70:17 **outside** 10:8 17:20 18:14 38:24 113:18 142:2 over-billings 72:10 overall 31:7 44:9 57:23 70:9 71:15 115:3 134:24 overcharged 72:7,18 **overkill** 122:16 overlooked 142:8 overreaching 130:2 oversight 14:23,24 15:9.18 overview 42:22 owe 104:8 185:20 **owned** 11:1

P **P-card** 120:3 P-cards 120:1 **p.m** 1:15 197:8 package 20:2 21:16 27:19 30:20 84:9 156:11,14 162:13 191:13 packet 27:15 31:13 162:20 163:20 page 27:20 28:8,9 29:2,5,15 32:12 70:16 72:19 73:8,8 73:25 77:16 80:9 80:12,13 83:17 84:3,18,19,20,23 84:24 90:20,21 91:11 119:7 120:8 129:19,20,21,23 138:10 145:15,23 159:4 162:24 163:21,25 164:5 pages 29:3 70:15 72:21 73:3,13 77:1 77:5,7,18 146:3 **paid** 55:14 71:10 88:4 138:12 139:1

139:2,3 153:17

Palm 185:19
paper 143:24 155:14
155:22 160:17
170:23
parallel 155:11
Pardon 19:1 159:22
parent 95:15
parentheses 163:16
parents 11:10 91:16
91:17 92:13 93:8
93:14,16,22,23
95:9,25 96:10
97:18,19 101:10
105:12 107:3,17
151:25
part 13:19 14:12
16:19 17:3,11
18:10 20:1 29:22
32:2 34:4 42:13,14
56:14,15 64:21,24
65:9,14 66:2,10
88:9 112:17,18,22
113:19 115:6
119:15 121:12,13
146:25 150:22
155:5 157:17
159:10 177:1
194:22
partially 148:13
participation 7:16
particular 13:15 72:4
72:12 120:21 130:9
particularly 152:10
partner 3:5,5,6 6:20
69:14
pass 17:22 175:24
180:18
passed 19:7,9 49:4
126:17,24
passes 26:7,19 68:8
69:1 123:3 172:17
189:4
passing 24:10
Pat 191:17
Pavel 2:6 5:5 183:14
pay 11:3 34:19
108:21 159:1
paying 72:20 107:6
140:4
payment 71:7
payments 141:24
pedantic 80:16
P-unite 50.10

people 7:14,18 11:9 11:13 23:12 39:21 63:2,8 64:11 79:24
104:3 112:19 113:15 114:6 116:4 116:9 135:12 150:3
150:6 156:13,19,20 168:2 174:2 175:19 175:19,20,24 188:21 192:2,4,18
192:19,20 people's 83:16 127:7 190:11
peppered 91:7 perceived 191:23 percent 16:25 31:8 31:18 36:14 37:2
43:4 47:2,11,19,20 49:8,10 57:23 58:3 61:6 74:5,16,18,25 75:1,2,4,5,6 150:9
168:11,19 percentage 43:2 59:23 60:21,24 percentages 43:24
perfect 165:5 171:11 175:5 188:11 performance 51:11
55:7,9 146:25 performed 69:13 period 33:1 36:9 64:8
64:9 71:11 134:16 136:1 147:6 148:7 148:17 149:1 191:10
perpetrated 105:13 person 13:6 115:23 115:24 116:8 153:11 157:12
166:17 personally 89:16 personnel 5:16 121:4
perspective 14:7 16:6 62:22 76:3 80:21 154:20 pertain 142:15
Peter 2:7,16 5:11,17 59:13 102:5 Ph.D 76:6
phases 51:5 Phillips 2:17 6:3,4

91:13,25 92:3,6

144.0 10 152.24
144:8,10 153:24 176:2 180:2
phone 4:22 136:15
Phyllis 2:6 5:7 23:22
24:22 124:1 165:19
166:4,5 167:5
172:1 184:20,22 pick 41:5,10,14 50:22
53:9,11 100:3
114:1 136:15
picked 41:23 46:15
picture 85:22
piece 170:22 179:24
pieces 107:18 139:16 place 12:1 14:20 42:9
86:5 87:19 88:17
88:24 90:16 108:16
110:7,18 111:18
112:11 113:11
114:1 117:20 118:14,18 119:10
128:25 135:11
136:4,5 138:15
139:5,15 143:4
153:25 157:14
164:25 165:8,10,21
166:22 167:16 185:25
places 132:3
placing 157:13
Plains 154:22 155:8
157:4 158:12
161:23 178:25 179:3,8
plan 48:8,17 49:5
51:16 52:22,23
56:1 66:12,13,16
88:18 89:9 90:21
planner 86:16 planning 101:14
189:7 194:10
plans 145:6
platform 179:12
play 15:23 133:12
playgrounds 131:16
please 4:7 19:16 21:23,24 24:14
29:21 105:23
121:23 127:20
181:10,10 192:16
196:6,20
Pledge 4:6,9

plus 43:8 65:12 157:22 **PO** 157:13,14 point 25:1 48:6 55:4 55:21 61:15 64:11 65:16 67:9 75:8 76:9 90:25 92:1 93:25 98:8 105:8 106:16 107:1.23 108:1 109:6 118:25 125:7 129:18 136:22 141:2 144:13 147:18,22 147:25 156:1 164:22 166:19 168:15 175:12 179:4 180:15,16 182:10 185:8,12 186:10,18 189:10 192:1 pointing 93:15 points 12:11 13:3,13 54:5 **police** 170:24 policies 13:22 71:16 96:18,24 101:12 110:21 111:20 112:13 120:10 150:18 164:24 165:10 177:21 182:22 185:19 **policy** 86:20 90:1,2,4 96:20,20 97:4,12 98:9 99:6,7,8,14,15 99:21 100:1,17 110:15,18 111:18 112:10,20,24 113:9 113:13 115:2 116:21,23,24 117:2 117:12,13,22 119:1 119:19,20,24,25 120:3,4,16,22 136:23 138:11,14 139:16 142:15 147:21 159:5 169:5 175:13 182:22 185:5,11,23 187:19 188:5,20 194:18 **Policy's** 116:21 **pool** 129:24 130:7 131:4 132:14 134:11

pooled 131:20 **pop** 81:12 138:7 148:1,6 population 60:12 **portion** 52:9,18 113:2 **POs** 158:13 **position** 14:9 18:2 92:2 93:3,6 185:6 185:15 189:23 190:2 **positive** 74:11,15 75:9,12 77:15 possibility 53:21 95:22,24 **possible** 76:19 79:17 170:5 187:17 possibly 34:24 121:17 **posted** 175:3 **pot** 53:17 54:6 56:15 potential 61:22 148:6 potentially 80:6 PowerPoint 170:24 practical 115:4 **practice** 78:24 100:9 100:18 117:6 131:18.20 134:2 135:8 143:12 149:7 159:16,18 160:1,20 161:14,18 162:4,16 162:21 163:6 171:15 172:6 176:14,16,20 177:4 practices 137:17 150:14 pre-approved 141:25 preauthorized 139:3 **preceded** 177:20 preferably 161:15 prepare 171:7 presence 123:6 **present** 5:6,8 27:13 59:2 presentation 103:17 presentations 105:13 presented 30:20 president 136:17 **press** 144:6 154:3 **pretty** 35:2 112:19 128:17 144:15 176:25

prevent 86:17
prevented 87:10
preventing 87:8
previous 125:22
price 95:14
pricing 76:4,15
101:22
primarily 28:12
primary 63:5
principal 98:21,25
99:2 100:2 113:24
114:2,13 119:3,3
119:10 129:5
130:12,13 136:16
141:25 144:4
150:16,23 151:17
152:7 153:6 159:7
164:18,19
principals 74:4 78:7
78:15 94:5 100:20
109:8 112:18,23
113:22 114:7,9
122:16 126:1,15
127.22 142.23
127:23 142:23
149:25 150:9 151:4
151:24
principals' 115:4
principals' 115:4
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25 173:14 194:25
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25 173:14 194:25 195:5
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25 173:14 194:25 195:5 problem 46:5 85:14
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25 173:14 194:25 195:5 problem 46:5 85:14 86:13 107:22
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25 173:14 194:25 195:5 problem 46:5 85:14 86:13 107:22 119:17 135:13
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25 173:14 194:25 195:5 problem 46:5 85:14 86:13 107:22
principals' 115:4 printed 20:2 printing 162:13 177:9 prior 39:13 40:10 48:22 50:7 69:11 69:16 71:13 80:14 80:19 110:13 141:15 144:16 148:7,8 189:17 Pritykina 2:11 7:4,4 private 60:6 61:4 probability 64:23 probably 9:5 12:20 33:12 35:3 57:7,9 61:7 86:8 88:24 107:6 109:21 123:24 130:6 133:1 135:2 137:25 173:14 194:25 195:5 problem 46:5 85:14 86:13 107:22 119:17 135:13

problems 80:2,3 136:3 165:23 procedure 52:11 86:20 99:6,18,19 99:22 100:18 110:7 110:17 113:13 117:3 126:5 procedures 71:17 86:20 96:19 98:9 98:11 101:14 110:21 111:21 112:14 116:25 120:23 126:9 127:25 128:2,3 164:24 proceedings 4:1 198:7
process 2:24 12:19
process 2:24 12:19 12:22 13:4,14,19 13:19 14:8,12,13 16:13 17:3 45:22 86:5 94:6 100:4 108:6 110:6,16 111:7,18 112:7,10 113:20 114:17 117:20 118:14,18 119:4,16 121:10,14 122:2 135:9 140:6 141:4,14 145:1 152:22 156:24 158:17 177:2,3,6 178:17,18 179:10 179:20 181:15,20 182:12,25 183:11 183:18,19 184:4,11 184:17 187:1 193:13 processes 11:25 13:2
processes 11:25 13:2 116:1 142:25
155:11 156:13
165:10 166:21
179:17
procurement 2:23,24 6:8 80:21 96:25
97:2 98:20 100:6
101:11 108:20,20
110:20 111:20
112:13 113:18
116:2 117:12 119:8
products 76:23 professionalism 27:3
professionansii 27:3

professionals 11:14

proficiency 49:7,10 51:7 **profile** 118:16 program 28:10 31:5 35:13 37:6 38:5,13 40:1 44:3,20 46:22 47:1,7,13 50:13,25 51:6 52:12,17 55:14 56:22 57:8 57:21 58:1,5 62:25 65:15 66:9,10,15 66:22 program-related 66:3 programs 27:18 28:15,16,22 29:16 29:16,23 30:15,25 31:1,3,7,10,16 32:11,17 35:14 36:8,11,14,21,23 36:25 37:24 38:12 38:13,14,22 45:7 47:4 48:3 progress 168:5,8,9 168:13,14,14,15,17 168:24 169:2,20 170:11 progressive 146:9 prohibiting 185:25 promised 12:13 **proper** 153:12 properly 52:13 property 16:17,18 150:2 proposing 179:19 prospective 151:4 **provide** 48:18 52:14 77:13 113:8 146:6 146:18 172:23 provided 76:15,24 77:12 110:1 126:21 132:10 159:15,19 167:20 193:1 **provides** 14:24 134:2 147:12 providing 109:24 193:6 psychometrics 76:18 **PTA** 136:17 **public** 9:6,12 10:1,2 10:4,6,24 11:1,15 12:5,9 15:10 23:12

160:20,24,25 161:5 161:6,7,14 163:3,5 163:8,9 191:1,24 198:5 published 84:17 139:22 **Puleri** 71:25 72:8 86:25 97:22 104:2 104:4,13,14 **Puleri's** 93:11 **pull** 134:12 195:1 purchase 70:20,21 71:13,14 80:15,20 80:22 82:25 92:24 95:9 96:1 120:19 142:4 154:18 157:7 179:12 purchased 70:25 95:4 purchases 97:16 119:21 141:24 151:15 154:11 158:15,16 159:6,9 purchasing 79:9 80:13 85:23 86:1 94:9 95:5,23 97:5 97:12.14 101:3 119:20 120:4 138:11 151:13 159:5 **purpose** 48:1 94:20 132:17,19 140:19 140:20 **purposes** 70:7 132:7 163:18 190:10 194:10 **purview** 18:14 55:6 55:16 166:13 195:5 **push** 147:4 **put** 8:2 11:25 14:20 15:11 17:2 42:9 59:9,9,11 76:22 86:5 90:16 101:11 103:6 110:5,15,16 111:7,17 112:7,10 114:6 117:20 118:14 126:23 127:18,19 131:16 133:11 138:19 140:3 144:2 151:3 152:1 159:7 172:8

59:18 60:3 151:2,3

172:20 173:17,25 174:2 175:25 176:21 181:17 188:7 194:15 **putting** 15:23 114:9 118:17 130:6 150:8 165:9 194:9 **PWS** 19:18 74:24 75:17

Q qualifies 29:10 quality 114:6 quantification 75:23 quarter 43:17 **question** 13:11 15:2 15:21 22:2 31:9 34:17 41:2 44:8 45:20,21 46:6 55:17 64:4 75:17 76:25 77:19 80:10 80:12,13,17,25 83:9 94:2,10,13 117:18 120:6 121:6 121:22,24 122:7,8 133:6,9 136:12 140:8,17 141:23 143:11,12 144:19 145:4,5,15 146:6 147:11 148:11,14 158:14 161:10,13 166:17 182:7 183:20 187:11 189:22

21:21 30:6 35:1 39:25 53:24 55:20 71:19,21 78:24 79:12 88:23 139:13 151:22 154:7,11 queue 59:10 quick 56:10 117:15 143:18 149:10 161:23 180:20 187:10 quickly 42:21 106:25 128:20 135:25 165:17 169:6 176:25

questioned 52:16

questionnaire 78:1 questionnaires 76:21

questions 8:22 21:6

quite 71:25 131:15 reasons 76:13 137:22 regalia 95:7 111:2,25 rental 96:11 102:13 15:17 quorum 149:12,15 **Rebecca** 2:2 3:1 4:18 112:2,12 119:22 107:12 request 15:11 129:17 rentals 96:7 **quote** 103:10 140:25 49:11 123:14 **regarding** 23:5 31:24 132:10 139:11 74:24 115:25 rented 96:3 141:5,16 134:18 136:2 143:21 160:24,24 **quotes** 138:14 139:15 recall 39:13 59:1 189:11 191:11 repeat 34:8 190:11 195:16,18 140:11 141:8,12 **receipt** 150:25 regardless 34:6,12 repeated 144:18 195:23 153:17 142:8 157:2,8 90:1 repeating 168:6 requested 77:23 regards 71:6 94:13 rephrase 161:12 receive 60:16,17 152:16 R replace 185:6 requesting 9:14 133:22 138:13 94:16 **R** 1:23 198:4,14 region 2:20,21 6:15 replacement 12:18 148:25 167:25 186:12 **Radcliff** 2:14 7:6,6 received 15:13 74:4 139:11,12 reply 145:11 **require** 34:19 138:18 raise 51:7 135:21 80:19 81:1 162:20 **Regional** 2:19,20 report 21:14 22:8,9 145:7 170:2 raised 36:2 195:19 **required** 20:19 21:1 registered 154:21 22:17,18,23,23 rapidly 33:2,4 receiving 54:11 regular 52:23 95:6 23:1,2,2,6,15 25:3 22:11,19,21 23:3 rate 60:2 74:5,22 80:14 139:20 26:9 27:15.20.21 26:20 27:10 28:5 75:7 77:9 170:22 recited 4:9 regulations 28:24 28:8,9,12 31:12 28:11 32:10 35:21 Raysa 2:12 6:23 recognize 10:14 reimbursed 138:23 32:12 61:17 66:11 36:3,4 38:25 68:17 re-read 111:5,13 12:11 26:25 138:25 139:18 66:17,18,20 68:15 83:1 119:6 149:2 112:3 127:3 recognized 155:19 153:11 69:15,25 70:1,2,3,4 157:2 169:5 171:4 **reach** 60:10,13 174:20 193:19 reimbursement 70:7,15,16 72:11 171:4 reached 73:1 recommend 189:17 73:4 77:4.17 82:3 requirement 56:13 138:22 150:25 read 72:5 83:2 85:7 recommendation 152:17,19,24 85:13,15,18 108:5 57:13,15 88:15 90:20,23,24 51:21,23 52:5,10 109:4,5,24 110:12 reimbursing 151:2 requirements 167:23 111:14 122:11 138:1 148:18 153:2.3 111:22 112:15 requires 32:14 127:6,8 142:22 recommendations rejected 140:2 134:24 135:17.24 requisite 80:20 150:22 192:22 108:14,15 109:9 relabel 23:25 148:7 150:23 **reread** 82:17 reading 49:15 55:14 110:2 121:2 related 27:17 32:17 resignation 13:25 160:11,14,15 80:11,16 82:3 recommending 122:1 51:13 70:22 71:10 164:12.18 165:13 178:10 180:24 84:11 135:6 145:18 reconfirm 12:9 72:11 73:16 80:18 167:1 168:24 resolution 92:8 169:3 record 12:23 123:2 86:14 180:10 185:15 105:16 ready 104:23 relates 28:6 30:23 137:1 183:7 191:1 198:7 resolutions 135:7 real 117:15 165:16 198:8 158:3 **reported** 24:19 28:5 resolve 189:10,11 180:20 relating 148:7 151:17 recorder 24:2 **resolved** 39:11,15 31:11 reality 58:11 **relation** 70:9 71:4,13 records 9:6.12 15:10 **reporter** 1:22,23 3:7 40:10 64:3 65:2 reallocated 53:13 160:24 167:7 72:13 75:19 77:13 111:6 198:4,14 70:13,13 71:5 really 17:19 38:3 **recoup** 53:19 relationships 79:24 REPORTER'S 198:1 72:23 73:1,2,10,12 41:25 43:23 59:14 **recover** 40:24 remaining 148:5 **reporting** 1:23 2:22 73:17 89:21 60:10 62:3 73:14 168:22 3:7 6:2 21:16 22:10 recovered 42:6 resolving 73:22 74:14 78:23 80:23 recruiting 186:2 **remains** 148:14 22:12 24:20 27:16 resources 48:10 83:9 87:7 88:25 **reduce** 103:3 **remember** 49:8,11 28:3 32:15.15 50:22 52:12.25 101:8,9,10 107:23 reduced 60:1,11 54:17 129:13 186:16 respect 12:11 28:13 112:20 114:20.22 redundancy 70:5 31:2,9 126:8 135:17 163:19 **reports** 14:23 20:1,3 118:2,20 131:24 reemphasize 136:1 175:22 182:21 respectfully 109:23 20:19,25 21:4,5,7 143:1,13 150:21 refer 69:25 77:1 185:15,18 21:15 22:5 23:8 **respond** 59:2 67:19 152:2 156:23 reference 76:2 reminded 148:3 26:21 27:9,19 77:10 101:2 102:4 161:23 179:15 119:25 145:14 reminding 61:12 69:22 70:10 71:18 160:22 191:23,25 195:25 referenced 120:4 192:4,19 195:22 142:12 84:17 143:20 reason 32:25 34:25 145:16 remittance 128:9 148:24 159:20 responded 74:25 38:2 50:17 66:21 **references** 162:20,23 remitted 125:5 169:16 185:17 75:5 126:20 66:22 67:1 79:21 referred 47:8 128:11,16 responding 39:21 representative 92:7 90:1,3 131:7 remitting 125:1 **referring** 116:8,10 repurpose 16:10 191:18 161:13 167:21 126:18 194:4,6 removed 13:24 repurposing 14:21 **response** 4:23,25

5:10 9:18,23 20:13	rid 107:14	196:10 197:3	SAP 121:15 155:4,9	142:6 145:17
20:17 25:11,16	ridiculous 132:23	riled 48:23	157:4,5,20,23,24	150:19,20 152:8,17
26:1,6,14,18 67:23	133:1,5	risk 29:17 35:15	161:24 194:21	152:23 153:1,9
68:6,21,25 74:5,15	Riggs 3:6 69:13,21	36:23 41:8 47:25	sat 63:25	155:24 156:3,4
74:21 75:1,2,7,10	right 8:6,17 9:1,17	193:9	satisfaction 78:3	158:11 159:1,2
75:14 77:9 90:22	10:16 11:18 12:2	River 136:18	satisfied 76:23,24	170:23 185:11,11
124:7 126:21 142:1	14:5,13 16:24 17:6	ROBERT 2:5	Saunders 16:1	185:17 186:12
142:23 152:10	18:18 19:3 21:21	robust 18:21	save 133:10 160:17	187:3,4,5,7
172:15 174:12	23:21 24:4 27:7	role 148:10 184:4,6	saving 137:23 177:9	school-based 113:9
189:3 197:6	31:20 41:17 44:1	184:10,13,16 187:1	saw 191:17	121:4
responses 72:13	46:5 50:23 51:15	roll 4:10	saw 191.17 saying 22:20 42:19	schools 2:16,19 6:13
73:21 74:11 75:10	52:20 53:25 54:25	room 1:11 5:15 11:9	46:7 57:25 63:11	
				14:21 49:18,21
80:7 86:19,21	58:14 59:11 61:8,9	51:18 76:7	87:22 88:7 91:1	50:15 52:6,13 53:7
88:15 90:18 91:7	61:13,14 64:7,10	root 156:22	93:3,5 98:17,19,20	53:10,11,13,17,19
170:2	65:5 66:24 67:6,11	roped 107:16,17	104:16 121:8 132:5	54:7 59:18,19,24
responsibility 10:24	67:18 68:7,19 69:8	rotate 29:22	135:14 136:2 137:9	60:2,3,6,14,16,18
16:20 42:3 113:12	71:20 73:6 77:19	rotating 35:23	141:16 156:16	61:5,6 74:1,5,7,8
146:17 174:16	79:5,5 81:3,18,19	rotation 30:3 38:8	158:6,18 162:15	74:14,25 75:5 77:9
176:12	81:24 82:11 83:6	rotational 35:18	163:1 165:20 170:7	77:10,11,12 85:23
responsible 11:15	83:11,23 84:21	36:12	170:8 174:6,23	85:25 93:13 94:8
12:5 28:23 173:19	85:3,14,18,20	rough 43:18,21	180:17 190:17	94:15 96:1,5 97:8,9
174:1	86:10,16 87:2,11	routinely 137:13	192:12,14,22 193:2	101:19 102:11,12
rest 112:25 139:17	87:18,21,22,24	row 39:22 141:19	195:11,20	102:20,20 103:25
193:2	88:6 89:19 90:17	rubberized 133:15	says 30:18 41:6 57:20	104:8 110:7,18
restate 66:8 110:14	91:3 95:2,8,13	rules 20:21 167:9	58:5 84:23 91:14	111:19 112:11
restore 52:17	96:17 97:11,14	run 115:20 126:10	105:14 117:2 120:6	113:16 114:16
result 142:16	99:16 102:23	149:22 154:13	120:11 138:11,24	117:7 120:22
results 27:14 29:6	105:14,25 106:8,16	running 61:11	141:6 159:25	124:25 130:8,8
78:6,8,13	109:19 111:4	167:21 P 41-2-2-4-16-0-2	161:23	131:3,15 133:2,2,4
retention 9:7	114:15 115:16	Ruth 2:2 4:16 9:2	scale 75:11,20,23	133:24 135:15
retrain 149:5	118:10,23 120:15	45:19 125:19 166:8	scalings 75:22	137:13 153:22
retraining 148:19,19	120:18,20 121:10	Ruth's 107:23	scan 143:22	158:2 160:2,3,5
return 47:23	122:22 123:3,4,8	Ryan 2:23 6:16	schedule 27:21 68:16	162:3 163:17 168:7
returned 64:21	123:16,17,20 124:2	141:21	148:4	169:20 171:5
reverse 13:14	125:21 132:5,16,20	S	scheme 56:24	scope 31:23 32:3,16
review 14:22 15:3,19	132:20 133:16		school 1:2 2:16 14:22	33:13,18 36:5,6
17:13 41:17 70:20	134:1,21 140:1,7	S 3:5,5,6 6:19 19:21 19:22 27:8,9 41:23	22:22 40:22 52:12	38:24 51:16 57:4,4
71:11 82:25 83:3	141:13 143:16	44:4 46:4	54:11,12,13,14	57:11 64:22,24
85:6 117:9 120:19	148:19 153:3,13	SAC 97:25 98:2	55:11 60:13,25	scoreboard 130:6
135:8,9,9,11 137:3	156:2,23,24 157:3		74:4,23 75:15	screen 161:21
138:11 142:25	157:12,16,18,20,23	131:13 SAF 97:25 98:2	76:14,23 94:7,17	scrolled 162:9
143:3,5 146:25	158:10,11,12,13,17	safe 92:21	94:18,18,19 95:5,8	scrounging 172:19
152:14,15 165:20	158:23 160:14		95:16 96:8,13,16	scrutiny 50:6
165:24 166:3 167:5	164:5,12 166:2,23	safeguard 131:21	97:15,24,24 98:22	SE 1:12
167:6,11	170:20 171:11	safeguarded 136:7 safeguards 11:16	99:2,8 100:2,3,3	season 8:3 89:8,10
reviewed 41:21 70:21	172:16 173:1,15	safest 193:13,20	102:17 103:17	seat 17:13
84:9 167:16	174:3 178:8 179:25	sake 69:24 122:18	113:14,23 114:2,12	sec 100:11
reviewing 82:25	180:7,9,15,16,21	139:1	114:12 116:22	second 8:25 18:3,24
156:14 167:13	181:6,12 183:12,14	sale 104:2	123:9 129:4,23	20:9 22:18 24:22
182:22	184:7,24 186:24		130:11,12,13 131:7	25:22 28:8 31:12
revision 177:19	188:22 190:17	sample 50:23,23 74:9	131:19 138:10,13	34:9 37:9 65:21,24
Rhodes 2:10 5:21,21	192:9,10 195:9,15	sampling 51:1	138:14 139:10	67:11 68:14,18
	•	•	•	

,	1	1	1	1
76:2 87:19 113:1	send 48:16,19 52:2	110:23 111:1,3,6	single 27:11,14 29:13	99:13 120:6 125:7
124:1 125:15 141:6	52:19 54:1 77:23	111:16,23 112:4,5	30:1,2 32:20 34:3,5	136:14,25 141:6,14
145:5 166:5 171:22	84:16 119:13	112:16 113:5,21	34:11 35:12 38:21	143:3 151:23
171:25 180:13	143:22 144:6 154:3	117:16,18 120:16	45:4 48:25 50:5,12	154:25 178:16
184:18,19 186:19	157:7 189:20,24	123:21 124:1,1,3	50:12 57:5,11	191:22 193:10
seconded 9:2 18:7	190:24 191:1,7,9	125:13 127:2,16	sir 27:5 101:6 102:8	195:12
20:11 24:24 25:24	191:22 195:16	132:24 133:16	sit 50:22 137:15	somebody's 190:14
26:10,12 34:14	sending 196:9	134:5,14 153:14	site 14:22 15:19	somewhat 82:12
124:3 172:1 188:23	Senior 2:12 3:4	164:10,17 166:9	17:13 174:19,24	son 95:16
188:24,24	sense 102:8 116:20	167:15 171:22	175:15,18 176:22	soon 12:14
seconder 65:23	134:4 183:5	172:9,12,22 173:4	sites 125:2 175:11	sooner 90:7
seconding 115:8	sensitive 7:25 8:4	173:21 174:3,16	sitting 123:7 130:18	SOP 110:6 111:10,18
Secretary 2:13 3:1	sent 15:14,15 74:3	175:5 176:2,8	178:5	112:10 117:20
see 7:15 11:9,10,12	79:7 80:23 142:11	180:5 184:18	situation 86:14,17	119:1
11:13 15:17 33:13	191:12	186:22 188:15,24	89:20 106:11	SOPs 117:6 143:1
39:18 48:1,6,22	separate 21:23 51:12	189:14 197:1	158:22 185:5	sorry 4:15 7:20 31:20
50:5 51:4 52:10	69:22 103:19 104:9	Shaw's 115:9	situations 152:5	34:2 35:25 41:3,6
73:8 78:1 81:12	104:17,18,19 121:3	shed 136:20	six 71:10 115:23	60:12 65:6 69:9
86:23 89:24 90:20	separated 83:19	Sheena 149:4	116:6 136:23 180:5	73:25 81:21 84:2
106:10,12 117:21	separately 24:5	shocked 114:18	six-month 144:25	91:8 92:16 99:23
122:5 123:11	separation 146:15	shoot 188:18	sizing 102:9	105:19 109:13
128:16 130:1	service 1:23 76:25	short 48:19 52:4	skeleton 15:24,25	120:8 129:13,21
144:19 152:5	services 2:24,25 6:8	show 49:20 84:12	skews 102:23	132:25 146:2 151:1
163:23 165:21	12:25 70:25 71:13	132:3 188:8	skills 111:6	160:13 168:14
166:9,16 168:7	80:14,18,24 138:13	showing 55:9,15	skip 67:18	194:11,13 196:2
171:2 172:25	set 53:11 95:14 96:19	146:12	slash 80:14,18,24	sort 83:6 195:1
182:15 185:9 189:6	seven 149:14,15	shown 52:1	111:18 112:10	sorts 23:14
193:7 195:2 196:3	163:11 174:5	shows 10:10	Slow 66:6 110:9	sound 51:16 80:15
seeing 39:22 67:24	183:25 188:20	side 11:18	small 54:12 129:21	132:8
76:20 81:15 85:11	191:14	sigma 115:23 116:6	178:21	sounds 80:1 193:22
85:21 86:24 135:6	severed 103:11,12	136:23	smart 112:19	193:23
135:17 143:2	shades 133:15	sign 83:1 136:20 196:2	smell 195:3	South 1:24 2:20
165:23	share 180:1		smells 105:19	space 48:4 103:5
seen 75:10 97:12	Shaun 3:5 6:18,19	signature 21:13,18	Smith 2:23 6:16,16	173:13
108:13 135:4 145:9 148:1	Shaw 2:6 5:7,8 8:22 8:23 9:2 17:15,17	143:24 155:2,3 signed 10:7 157:20	11:21 137:16 140:11 141:18,20	speak 10:10 92:16 96:23 108:6,19
SEFA 27:21 28:3,7	17:19 18:3,10,24	significant 16:17	140:11 141:18,20	127:19 185:24
29:2,5 30:21,24	23:23 24:22,22,24	22:14 29:11 30:22	145:12,13,14,25	188:7
32:6 33:18 41:17	25:22,22,24 26:10	40:4 54:8 58:19	146:5 147:11	speaking 74:22
45:7,7 68:17	26:10,12 32:22,23	63:4	148:22 154:9,14,20	104:21 115:25
Seifer 2:24 19:17,18	33:10,15 34:1,4,13	significantly 36:5,6	155:5 156:6 158:3	149:7 151:20
19:18	35:10 41:3,4,8 46:7	37:3 56:23 71:16	160:8,21,23 171:17	speaks 29:9
select 38:12	46:9,11,14 50:1,11	185:9	176:24 177:17	Spec 2:13,14
selected 107:4 162:3	61:25 65:19,25	SIM 116:10	179:22	special 28:18 37:9
163:16	66:9,13,17,21 67:4	similar 41:11,12,13	snuck 8:1	Specialist 2:12
selecting 31:1,3	67:11,20 68:4,10	70:6 100:9 133:2	so-and-so 97:4	specific 13:23 38:11
selection 13:18 98:4	68:12,15 81:25	168:1	solution 106:17	38:14 39:12 44:3
98:6 181:15,25	87:5,6,13 88:21,22	simple 75:16 112:21	107:1,2 156:15	45:8 47:1 56:20,21
182:8,25 183:11,18	89:25 90:13,16	118:13 195:15	179:20	57:8 146:9 183:2
183:19 184:4,11,16	91:8 99:23,25	simplicity's 69:24	solved 107:21	185:23 195:21
187:1	104:16 109:12,15	simplistic 78:19	somebody 18:21	specifically 32:16
sell 101:17	110:4,5,10,12,16	simply 131:2 136:24	44:16 81:2 85:18	57:18,20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

specificity 13:23	stated 29:7 81:3	37:20 120:15	5:18,24 6:12,15	survey 74:3 77:20
specified 97:19	179:11	strides 165:2	10:19 11:8 14:4	78:25 79:3,14,17
specifying 186:1	statement 27:23 28:1	stripped 107:21	15:6,12,20 17:10	79:20 80:1 84:3
spell 162:7	45:3 81:8 149:25	strong 153:4	17:16,18 18:12	surveyed 74:1,2
spells 38:19	150:12 189:23	stronger 147:2	19:4 41:25 49:4	surveys 78:3,22
spend 43:19 71:4	statements 23:17	strongly 189:17	142:1 185:16 186:4	79:21 80:5
spending 41:1 42:20	27:24 190:2	structure 22:12	superintendent's	suspect 51:17
62:2 67:10 107:17	stating 73:15	struggling 55:11 83:7	14:22 92:6	system 2:12 147:2
157:18	stature 185:6	175:17	supervisor 146:24	150:8 153:15,25
spent 40:21,23 45:12	status 105:1	student 95:4 102:9	149:5	154:12,14,22 157:4
45:12 50:1 51:3,13	statute 16:7,19,24	102:10,25	supply 101:8	157:20,25
129:12 130:19,19	23:5,7	students 60:1 89:22	support 2:12,23 6:17	systemic 87:1
133:22	statutes 22:21 28:24	90:5 91:16,17	14:6 126:3 135:22	systemically 178:2
spirit 102:1	45:13	92:13 94:7 101:17	137:11 139:14,20	systems 155:10,20
spoken 59:12	statutory 56:13	151:25	141:22 146:18	156:12
spreadsheet 44:15	57:12	students' 90:6	158:25 175:3,8,16	
square 16:6	stay 84:1	stuff 13:3 86:8	175:20,21 176:14	T
stabilization 37:7	stenographic 1:23	112:21 119:8	176:17,19 186:5	table 51:18 57:7 76:6
staff 2:8,15 11:17	198:9	128:10	supporting 52:15	188:13
12:6 139:14 146:7	stenographically	subcommittee 195:3	supposed 12:1 35:17	TAC 195:5
146:14,18,23	198:7	subjectivity 76:17,19	45:12 49:17,18	take 11:17 12:8 18:22
147:19	step 13:10 18:13	submit 110:3 141:5	51:7 52:6,7 73:2	41:22 69:6 73:7
stand 4:7	31:25 73:7 114:4	141:14	92:16 98:23 125:7	85:19,21 86:8
standard 100:8,18	steps 42:9 108:13	submitted 150:25	130:19 140:23	92:13 110:19
110:6,17 124:13	146:9	submitting 170:25	153:2	137:14 138:2
135:8 149:6 159:15	stick 83:20,25	subsequent 39:16	supposedly 16:17	157:11 161:2,4
159:18,25 160:20	stickies 84:25 85:5	substitute 181:25	sure 10:22 12:11,23	184:4,10,13
161:13 162:21	sticking 75:15	successful 146:19	13:5 16:22,23	talk 11:7 16:12 62:8
163:6 171:15 172:5	stickler 139:25,25	succinct 110:1	17:16 19:8 22:8	106:10 127:12,14
176:14,15,20 177:4	stock 76:22	suddenly 39:4 53:16	24:14 31:2 41:25	128:22 152:13
standards 20:20 21:1	stoles 95:6	54:6 72:3	42:3,4,8 47:4 48:20	181:11 182:14
28:6 29:18 100:16	Stoneman 102:19	sufficient 33:7	51:1 53:6 60:7 62:2	193:1 194:14 195:7
standing 91:15 92:12	168:8	suggest 47:21 55:17	64:23 65:7 74:20	talked 128:14
93:5	stop 118:5,7 181:10	78:2 106:5 137:4	76:8,10,22 79:18	talking 42:1 48:14
standpoint 97:1	stopgaps 144:2	160:23 177:23	80:5 89:18 93:23	96:25 98:21 104:6
113:12 152:21	story 23:20 98:21	suggested 95:24	98:7 102:3 105:6	106:3,6 118:13
170:8	straight 82:8	suggesting 61:20	110:21 116:7 125:5	120:9 156:12
stands 119:19	straighten 12:3	suggestion 137:15	127:14 128:17	158:15 166:7
start 11:23 16:16	Strategy 15:16	suggests 182:23	135:12 136:6	181:14 182:12
19:12,17 56:9	Strauss 2:19 5:9	suit 91:21 93:9,14	139:15 141:20	185:7
79:10 113:13,14	81:22 94:3,3,4,12	Suite 1:24	147:8 154:24	Tallahassee 138:19
136:6 170:25	95:2,11,18,21 96:4	summarize 22:4	161:25 165:10	Tanya 3:5 19:22
191:24	96:13,17,23 98:1,3	summarized 70:14	167:8 170:6 174:22	task 7:17 12:17 15:4
started 19:8,9 31:3	98:6,10,24 99:11	77:16	175:7 176:25 177:1	17:8 79:23 114:9
64:12 87:14 171:2	107:9,13 150:15	summary 29:5 70:17	177:12 181:21	Task-Assigned 2:11
starters 172:21	streamline 186:16	77:6 90:10 91:3	186:1 192:16,21	tasked 18:1 113:15
173:15	192:23	sun 133:14	196:20	teachers 152:1
starting 12:10 51:15	streamlining 190:10	Sunshine 9:6,12	surfaces 133:15	Teaching 2:18,19,21 113:16
starts 27:20 28:8	191:7	88:19 189:11,19	surfacing 137:21	
	strengthening 14:17	192:24	surgery 114:23	team 10:7 26:25 27:4
state 16:19 20:21		a		1 70.10 120.25
state 16:19 20:21 155:9 161:1 174:1 198:2,5	86:19 strictly 23:16 27:16	superintendent 2:16 2:17,18,19,20 5:17	surpassed 50:16 surprising 78:8,14	29:10 139:25 140:12 148:1 170:3

,	1		I	I
team's 137:17	144:1	133:10,25 134:13	three-year 32:25	66:15 67:16
technical 142:2	thereof 198:9	135:23 136:22,23	35:23	today 7:20,25 121:20
technically 65:17	they'd 48:20 102:16	136:24 137:17	threshold 30:12 31:4	147:2 148:17 149:7
97:13	102:17	142:6 143:8 145:20	31:6 36:13 46:25	194:9,14,17
technology 6:4 180:3	thing 8:17 22:7 67:6	145:25 146:13	47:2 57:21,24	told 83:11
195:6	72:16 73:24,25	147:11,12 148:5,13	60:11,13 142:4,7	tomorrow 121:11
Ted 2:18 6:11	75:18 79:4 81:8	149:9 151:14	144:3	ton 116:9
teenage 11:11	86:25 91:11 94:1	153:14 154:23	throw-away 96:6,12	tons 90:18 103:22
Telephonic 2:3	99:10,11,12 102:22	155:5,13,16,21	THURSDAY 1:14	tool 146:19
tell 39:8 89:3 134:14	105:9 113:7 128:21	156:2,24 158:1,7	tied 179:4	Toomer 2:18 6:11,11
145:22 168:6	155:15 168:1 178:3	159:19 162:12	ties 97:21 103:11,12	94:3,5 98:15
169:11,12 188:3	180:23 181:8 189:9	163:3 164:4,8	tight 140:5	top 129:3
telling 104:3	189:25 193:21	165:25 166:13	tighten 107:25	topics 17:22
tells 92:21,23 95:8	things 11:18 16:4,4	174:5,11,14 175:11	TIM 3:7	toss 107:11,19
tend 83:17	24:4 32:2 33:2,3	175:15,19,21	time 7:25 8:4 12:6,18	total 31:14,17,18
termination 166:22	49:25 53:5 56:24	178:16 179:14	13:9 19:5 21:9	37:2 38:15 43:6,13
terms 28:24 29:7	62:7 64:5 71:24	181:17 182:14,22	27:16 29:14 39:6	44:21,23 60:12
31:1,3 44:12 50:6	80:23 81:14,15	182:25 184:20	40:3,18 48:17	168:19,25 169:1
78:2 104:25 114:17	84:8,25 86:22,22	185:8,13 187:22	50:21 55:24 59:14	totalled 71:2,12
120:18 167:22	87:25 88:2,16	190:5,21 194:16	63:21 64:9 69:7	totalling 52:16
168:18 171:3	91:10 97:16 101:20	196:14	86:7 88:24 101:15	totally 64:13 131:23
test 37:23 38:1,2,6,6	103:23 106:6,7,21	thinking 118:12,13	108:11 115:21	totals 124:15
38:20 40:13 45:10	107:19 125:3 126:4	118:15 132:24	117:7 125:15	touch 37:19 142:13
47:19 58:6,6 126:9	128:11,22,23	143:2 155:20 180:5	129:12 131:16	touched 17:21 53:6
tested 28:17 29:21	131:17 133:11,18	third 31:9 43:17	135:4,5 136:1	touching 42:19
30:4 32:11 36:9	135:19,23 138:6	62:12 63:12 166:20	137:2,2 146:13	tough 12:2
39:16 40:2,15	140:2 144:14 152:2	Thomson 3:1 123:14	147:6 148:8 157:11	track 169:4 170:8,12
56:25 57:3	152:4 154:10	123:14	165:24 168:15	traditional 77:9
testing 31:15 36:8,22	166:24 167:13	thorough 170:1	173:3 185:18	trained 148:2 152:3
47:7,16,18	179:18 180:10	thought 23:21 60:9	timeline 15:17,24	166:18
tests 29:24 35:19	182:4,13 191:15	69:6 72:17 101:25	times 122:11 147:3	training 86:21
thank 4:12 10:15,19	194:5	105:3 125:6 129:21	147:16 166:18	135:11,13,20
11:10 15:21 17:17	think 8:1 12:20 14:10	162:1 191:17	182:11 191:22	140:12 142:10
17:18 19:3,4,24	14:13 32:14 33:19	193:17	timing 21:14 65:1,3	143:4,10,14 144:24
20:5,24 23:10	39:19 40:23 41:20	thoughts 66:8 181:21	Timothy 1:23 198:4	145:2 146:6,10,10
26:24 27:4,6,7,12	42:8 46:7,11 47:23	thousand 136:19	198:14	146:20 147:7,10
27:13 30:5,9 31:19	49:7 50:1,8,18 51:9	thousands 136:21	tip 105:9	148:9,16 149:2
39:3 45:16,16	51:10 55:5 61:18	threat 48:11	title 29:20,20,24 30:4	153:14 156:16,17
52:25 65:21 69:3,4	62:1 64:22,25 65:2	three 7:24,24 11:12	32:25 34:3,4,10	157:9,10 158:19
69:20 71:20 102:7	65:17 67:13,16	20:25 21:23 22:4	35:19 36:4,11 37:3	165:21 166:3,10,12
105:23,24 111:17	79:8 81:6 83:8	23:8 29:24 30:10	37:5,11,13,17,20	166:12,14,15,16,20
112:6,9 116:14,18	84:10 88:22,23	30:14 33:6 36:20	37:24 38:1,2,7 39:7	166:20 167:6,6,8
122:18 123:23	90:9,10 91:5 102:4	45:25 46:1 56:12	39:9,14 40:1 41:15	172:23 191:3,5
130:21 131:1 137:8	103:10,18 104:4,20	57:1 58:11 62:10	43:1,20 44:6 48:11	trainings 167:15
137:8 138:1 139:9	105:2,4 106:20	63:10,15 89:1	48:24 49:17,17,25	transaction 93:1
141:21 143:15	108:3,19 109:5,5	106:21 114:4	50:6,14,15 52:12	154:21
160:13 172:12	112:18,20 113:21	122:11 124:25	52:17,21 53:17,23	transcript 198:8
176:23 187:5	114:3 117:23	139:15 140:10	54:10,10 55:8,17	transient 190:25
188:11,19 196:9,24	118:12,12,17	141:8 149:1 166:11	55:20 56:15,22	transition 107:15
Thanks 61:14	121:25 122:11	166:18	57:3,18,25 59:2,20	transmit 8:19 21:25
theoretically 87:9,12	128:15,19 129:22	three- 144:25	59:21 60:13,15,17	24:11,18 25:20
theory 50:24 86:4	130:18 132:9,23,25	three-month 134:15	60:25 61:16 66:3	26:9 32:24 68:12
	•	•	•	•

109:2 123:21,22,25 37:25 38:17 41:20 38:10,19 39:2 vendors 80:23 84:15 138:23 140:25 124:4,14 165:8,13 58:6,20,25 62:13 45:14 56:19 57:14 92:8 101:21 141:3 144:13 145:8 167:2,18 171:19,24 57:15,16 58:4 verbal 114:19,19 149:16 151:19 62:21 63:12 65:20 172:17 69:11,22 89:7 68:17 version 177:14 178:1 160:16 161:2,9,20 transmitted 178:8 114:4 118:16 uniformity 95:19 versus 41:15 75:9 166:2,9,10 173:3,6 **unique** 103:2 transmitting 29:13 124:24 136:4 94:17,19 114:11,12 174:21 175:14,18 United 3:7 transparency 17:1 146:23 149:20 view 105:8 176:18 177:1,11 **unmodified** 27:23,25 travel 11:13 138:18 155:10 157:2 192:6 violated 188:20 181:22,24 182:4,20 139:21,22 140:3,5 192:9,10 193:12 28:15 30:11,16,21 192:24 190:2,13 192:12 **unpaid** 163:19 152:17 153:10,16 195:8 **violation** 90:1,2,3 193:24 195:17 travesty 151:23 two-month 149:1 unreasonable 132:9 191:19 wanted 8:8,11 19:24 treasurer 134:7,11 two-year 36:9 133:10 voice 186:8 40:19 44:2 48:5 treasurer's 129:24 type 22:7 30:17 31:5 61:15 64:18 78:5 unresolved 73:4 Volunteer 196:15 130:7 131:4 31:6 47:3,3 57:21 unwieldy 132:22 volunteers 163:19 80:8 81:20 108:4 trees 160:16 57:24 58:1.4.5 **update** 14:3 21:10 vote 48:5 109:17 149:10 153:4 **tried** 8:2 11:2 102:22 105:21 125:12,21 180:16 181:14 115:7 120:7 121:25 **trip** 138:16 139:10 127:25 177:11 122:9 178:14 183:4 189:9 196:19 **types** 61:21 140:18 typically 117:11 **updated** 85:10 90:18 188:16,19 wants 18:21 62:8 true 101:4 198:8 91:6 126:5,6,9,21 voting 178:13 189:22 78:1 102:4 118:3 U trust 12:10 13:8 128:2,4 139:23 voucher 140:3 170:14 174:14 **Uh-huh** 47:14.17 168:24 176:5 177:5 116:8 132:15 195:12 \mathbf{W} 112:5 124:22 try 76:18 82:12 177:10,25 warehouse 95:25 **ultimate** 13:1 187:18 wait 23:22 45:18 106:13,17 164:8 updating 177:3 96:1 66:24 68:2,2 71:22 ultimately 49:2 179:23 warehoused 102:17 **trying** 43:25 49:2 unanimously 9:25 79:13 80:12 89:13 Warehousing 2:23 71:22 75:22 76:10 **upload** 159:20 83:24 86:6 100:11 25:18 26:7,19 68:8 **uploaded** 155:3,25 113:4 141:6 153:1 2:25 6:8 69:2 124:9 172:17 167:2 100:16,19 103:3 156:2,6 warrants 47:24 189:5 waiting 19:25 41:4 104:13 106:8 110:4 washing 92:24 use 24:15 95:17 underneath 70:25 walk 44:11 113:23 130:5 160:16 104:4,24 115:14 wasn't 79:18 90:6 underperforming 169:13 107:20 179:3 162:24 163:24 131:20 140:25 49:19 walking 44:12 164:7,10 193:3,9 155:8,9 163:2 194:22 understand 12:6,23 Walsh 4:13 turn 92:17 129:19 178:4 water 88:8,8,9,11 13:25 41:16.18 **Wanda** 2:14 7:6 **turned** 84:3 usual 86:21 way 14:14 16:23 42:10 61:1,1 64:13 want 10:13,22 11:4 turns 33:10 85:1 usually 42:18 62:6 18:20 24:11 34:18 71:25 93:2 104:10 12:22 13:15 17:4,5 178:7 **Turso** 2:7 5:11,12 43:20 56:3,16 129:18,24 131:5,23 **utilize** 155:10 22:21 31:22 39:4 60:23 66:1 80:6 11:10 20:9,11 148:10 152:20 43:2.2 45:21 47:2 42:15,17,25 43:6 utilizing 55:10 81:4 82:5 89:24 154:15 155:12,21 53:6 55:21,22 57:2 **utmost** 180:2 93:21 101:10 43:11,16,25 44:6 155:23 167:9 63:12 65:7.20 79:2 44:24 45:15 47:8 117:23 118:20 \mathbf{V} 179:17 189:16 83:15,25 85:6 88:4 119:6,9,12,13,19 62:9,10,19,23 63:1 195:24 **valid** 151:12 89:13 90:7 91:20 127:1 150:13 63:8,19 100:13 understandable valuable 78:16 91:23,23 93:15 103:8,9,16,20 158:10 167:7 178:7 81:10 **value** 36:17,24 41:9 94:1 95:2 96:11,11 104:6,10 105:6 183:21 186:15 understanding 72:14 41:13 98:16 105:6 109:12 191:23 195:4,15 183:20 184:6 74:21 76:3 147:13 vehicle 131:3 110:2.19.23 114:3 187:10,16,24 188:2 ways 55:13 155:7 188:11 understands 10:23 **vendor** 71:7 72:9 114:9 115:10,13 **we'll** 5:15 8:21 9:16 11:17 65:8 79:22,25 81:2 117:2,22 118:11 **Turso's** 50:20 64:10 11:7 17:7 46:18 understood 54:16 87:18 92:22 93:10 122:1 123:18 twice 65:8 166:18 50:4 59:13 63:7 61:19 95:14 97:20 101:21 124:18 125:17 twins 125:20 71:18 105:20,20 two 7:24 13:12 17:21 unfavorable 75:2 107:3 138:12 140:9 128:6 129:9,18 115:11 119:14 **unheard** 130:11 130:1 131:14 140:19,21,25 22:15 27:19 29:12 126:7,9 127:12,24 **uniform** 27:10 28:11 141:11 134:23 135:21 138:1 161:12 30:2,11 33:17

171 10 172 4 176 5	20 2 10 20 22 40 5	102.0	120 22 124 22	4 1 21 4 10
171:10 173:4 176:5	28:2,19 30:23 40:5	183:8	130:23 134:23	yesterday 21:4,10
176:6,21,25 182:5	108:18,20	worked 157:3	140:16 145:20	129:12,17 130:4
188:13 196:15	weave 176:19	workflow 179:10	151:1 157:17 158:5	131:24 139:23
we're 7:23 8:6 11:19	website 159:18 163:7	180:17	161:16 164:6	yield 65:19
12:1,3,21 13:7	172:20 173:4,8,10	working 92:7,9 100:6	173:17 180:1	yielding 50:11
15:22 16:2,10,11	175:3 176:14	138:17 153:24	181:16 182:19	
19:25 23:5 24:9	weeds 121:17 180:22	170:3 177:6 179:23	183:6,9 184:14,14	
27:16 32:4,10	181:10	works 63:18 81:4	193:7 194:25	Zeman 2:16 123:9
34:18 35:3,20	week 21:17	96:22 187:12,13	year 20:22 27:1,10	zeros 11:4
38:25 39:19 42:4	weekend 84:9	workshop 187:14	29:25 30:1 33:16	Zoie 16:1
43:16 45:8 48:14	weeks 192:6,10,10	worry 72:20 82:23	33:16 35:12,13,18	0
49:2 51:14 55:16	195:8	122:4	35:22 36:5,15 37:1	—
59:7,14 62:2,11	weighs 13:16	worse 144:16	37:5,14 38:3,6,7,21	1
74:21 82:3,14	welcome 95:17	worst 135:3 165:1	40:2 41:10 42:12	1 27:20 70:15 72:24
88:18 89:7 97:13	123:16	wouldn't 11:3 43:11	42:12 46:8 47:22	82:16,16 83:4,12
100:11,16,19 101:9	went 40:22 72:18	43:22,23 61:7	54:23 57:3 58:16	89:2 142:6
101:20 103:3	75:19 78:5,7,14	83:20 99:25 153:19	58:18,24 61:18	1.3 169:1
105:25 106:1,2,3,3	84:2 103:22 120:24	wow 63:19 157:13	62:12,12,15,17,18	1:00 118:4,6 181:4
106:6,8,17 107:24	127:4,5,6 141:10	wrap 63:6 65:5 145:5	62:19 63:5,13 66:5	1:05 1:15 197:8
109:24 115:16,20	151:6 153:23	wrapping 56:9	66:23 67:14 71:9	10 29:5,15 32:12 49:9
116:3,21 118:12,12	weren't 70:21 80:24	Wright 1:11 2:13 7:8	81:12,12 86:9,12	50:14 55:11 61:5
120:9 122:1,5	west 133:2	7:8	86:15,17 87:8,11	119:11 124:10
128:24 135:5 136:3	wet 88:8,8,9,11	write 113:2 153:5	87:20 88:11 89:14	138:10 159:4
140:3 143:2 147:16	143:24 155:2,2	186:11 187:2,7	96:5,5 97:20 99:21	162:16 164:1,15,15
149:7 150:8,10	whatsoever 13:5	writing 99:9 110:10	100:4 101:16 104:7	178:9
151:1 152:21 153:1	77:15	119:3	105:2 106:7,7,12	10.8 168:17 170:10
154:12 156:12,16	whichever 40:22	written 8:14 81:18	106:14,14,25	10.6 108.17 170.10 100 16:25 36:14
158:15 163:2,6,13	66:4	114:20 126:25	107:15,16 125:1,3	47:19,20 74:16
163:19 164:22	whispers 181:6	wrong 17:5 72:5 81:6	128:7,24 129:1	164:8
165:23 167:14	wide 52:12	81:16 105:3,11	136:3 137:22,22	101 164:1,4,6
169:7,12 173:1,18	wife 136:17	129:4 187:15	143:5 147:18 148:4	101 104.1,4,0 10th 15:9
174:22 175:17	withdraw 18:24	X	171:2	11th 5:14
178:13 180:21	65:19,22,24 115:6	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Z}}$ 98:21	year's 66:4	12 49:9 70:4 146:4
181:3 182:13,21	wonderful 164:19	A1L 98:21	yearbook 103:17	180:4
183:3,22 185:7,7	wondering 8:10	Y	104:22 105:21	12,000 138:12 140:8
185:19 187:22	135:10	vard 133:12	yearbooks 104:14	141:1,5
190:7 192:22	word 110:15 116:21	yards 103:7	years 29:12,15,24	13 43:3 73:9 85:2
193:22,23 194:16	181:25	yeah 7:21 9:4,11	30:2 33:6,17 36:20	150:23 151:7,8
196:14	wording 24:15 34:10 183:18	17:11 19:14 24:14	37:25 38:18 40:10 41:21 45:25 46:1	152:7 153:22,23
we've 17:5 19:7		25:7 48:20 49:12		164:15,20
22:24 41:19 49:24	words 36:13 73:13	51:25 54:16 56:6	49:9 50:14,14 51:2	13.98 152:19
49:24 54:18 86:5	128:14 130:4	61:9 62:6 63:20	54:18 55:2 56:12	14 73:9
96:21 97:12 109:11	143:20 178:4 185:7	64:13 67:13 72:16	57:1 58:6,11,21 59:1 62:3,10,21	15 50:14 73:9
109:25 118:8	work 16:3,5 27:1,4	76:21 77:3 79:2,19	, , ,	15-page 170:24
122:11 126:20	33:3 42:20 43:20	79:19,19 81:5	63:11,12,16 64:2	16 69:25 70:2,6,9,11
142:11 143:8	43:23 60:22,24	82:22 83:24 92:1,5	65:12 71:10 72:10	72:11 73:9
146:16 147:18,19	69:17 82:4 94:6	93:2 100:23 103:9	88:19 96:22 99:1	16-052N 69:23
148:1,2,2,2,3	96:22 110:20	103:15 107:10	106:15 117:19	16052N 69:12
149:18,19 150:17	111:19 112:12	116:12,15 117:4	118:16 119:11	18 15:22 77:7 84:18
weakness 22:14 98:13	141:19 149:25	119:14 122:3,6	133:19 136:4 152:8	19 58:23 70:2
	151:24,24 157:23 171:1 176:6 179:18	123:24 125:12	165:1,3 177:5	19,000 71:12
weaknesses 22:7,16	1/1.1 1/0:0 1/9:18	123.2 123.12	yellow 116:5	. ,