Page 1

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

MICROSOFT TEAMS

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 30, 2023 9:32 A.M. - 12:10 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 500
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2 1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 2 MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH MS. REBECCA DAHL 3 MR. ANTHONY DE MEO MS. MARY FERTIG 4 MS. ITOHAN IGHODARO DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH 5 MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN MR. ANDREW MEDVIN 6 MS. PHYLLIS SHAW MS. JACLYN STRAUSS 7 MR. PETER TURSO OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF: 9 MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor 10 MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Assistant Director IT Audits 11 MS. ELENA PRITYKINA, Task-Assigned Manager, Operations MR. BRYAN ERHARD, System Support Specialist II 12 MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary MS. JENNIFER DAILY, Clerk Spec C 13 MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B 14 DISTRICT STAFF: 15 DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer 16 MR. JAIME ALBERTI, Chief Safety & Security Officer MR. ALAN STRAUSS, Acting Deputy Superintendent, 17 Teaching & Learning DR. TED TOOMER, Associate Superintendent, Teaching & 18 Learning, Non-Traditional Schools MS. ANGELA FULTON, Regional Superintendent, Central 19 Region MS. VEDA HUDGE, Executive Director, Student Services 20 MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment 21 MS. MARISA KINNEY, Director, Student Services MS. ASCELLIA AREANAS, Coordinator, District 22 Attendance, Student Services 23 INVITED GUESTS: 24

MS. LAURA MANLOVE, Director, RSM

25 MS. NATALEE WALLACE, Risk Consulting Director, Process

```
Page 3
 1 Risk and Controls, RSM
   MS. JENNIFER MURTHA, RSM
 2 MS. JAMIE BARDEE, RSM
   MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So do we have all the audit committee members? It looks like we do.

MR. JABOUIN: I do not see Mr. De Meo or Ms. Fertig or Ms. Ighodaro yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, quorum doesn't matter since we're on-line, so I'd say we can give them a minute.

Did any of them advise that they would not be here?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. JABOUIN: No, we did not hear negative from them.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is Phyllis here?

MR. JABOUIN: I don't see Ms. Shaw either, yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I think we're going to flip Items 4 and 5 because we already -- from our last Behavioral Threat Assessment Policy and Procedure Audit we had follow-up, and some of that follow-up might avoid questions that are either answered or not answered in the follow-up. So I think it would be more productive to start with the follow-up from the last time, because

that's what I went back to before I went through this one.

MS. MARQUARDT: Excuse me, we just need to let -- we just need to know when to start the recording and then BECON -- well, we need to let BECON know when to go live.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MARQUARDT: So whenever you're ready, if you could just say the word just so that everybody's on the same page.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I will. I was just killing time waiting for people to show up.

There's Mr. De Meo.

Okay. So, well, it's 9:31. I guess we can start the recording -- let's see if Mr. De Meo's ready to go. And nobody else is --

Hi. Good morning.

MR. DE MEO: How are you doing, Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Good. How are you?

MR. DE MEO: Good. Thanks.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And, full disclosure, I have a terminally ill dog, so I -- Mr. Mayersohn, Robert, at some point I may have to throw the gavel, so to speak, at you if I have to deal with her.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's been several weeks -two days before the last meeting I had to put
down the cat and now the dog is going to be two
days after this one. So it's been a little
crazy. But right now she's snoozing. Hopefully,
she stays that way.

Okay. I don't see anybody else bouncing in, so let's -- have we started? If we haven't started, I'd like to call this Special Meeting of the Audit Committee to order at 9:32 a.m. So we can start recording. BECON can go live. And we'll start with the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Oh, we have a flag on screen. That works.

All right. Next up, we have roll call.

Again, we don't have quorum concerns, but just so
we know who's here.

Mr. Jabouin, who's doing roll call?

MR. JABOUIN: I will go ahead and do roll call, Chair.

Ms. Ruth Carter-Lynch.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Here.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Rebecca Dahl?

Page 7 MS. DAHL: 1 Here. 2 MR. JABOUIN: And you've indicated you need 3 to leave at noon. MS. DAHL: Yes. 4 5 MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Anthony De Meo? 6 MR. DE MEO: Here. 7 MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Mary Fertig, whom I don't 8 see yet. 9 MS. FERTIG: Here. 10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I just let her in. 11 MR. JABOUIN: Good morning, Ms. Fertig. 12 Ms. Itohan Ighodaro? (No response.) 13 14 MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh? 15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here. 16 MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Robert Mayersohn? 17 MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm here. 18 MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Andrew Medvin? 19 MR. MEDVIN: I'm here. 20 MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Phyllis Shaw? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. JABOUIN: And Ms. Jaclyn Strauss? 23 (No response.) 24 MR. JABOUIN: And Mr. Peter Turso. 25 MR. TURSO: Here.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MR. JABOUIN: And, Chair, there are certain individuals from the -- from district staff. I'm Joris Jabouin, the Chief Auditor.

If we can have, also, Ms. Ali Arcese is on, as well as Mr. Bryan Erhard, Ms. Elena Pritykina is on, as well as Ms. Michele Marquardt is on from the Office of the Chief Auditor.

If I could, please, ask the RSM individuals to, please, speak?

Ms. Murtha?

MS. MURTHA: Jennifer Murtha is here, RSM.

MS. MANLOVE: Laura Manlove is here, RSM.

MS. WALLACE: Natalee Wallace is here from RSM.

MS. BARDEE: And Jamie Bardee, also, from RSM.

MR. JABOUIN: And, Chair, just for speed, is it okay for me to just announce the district staff or would you like them to call their own names?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, it's fine if you want to announce them. I think we know who some of them are.

MR. JABOUIN: Sure. Dr. Ted Toomer is here.

I see Mr. Ernie Lozano is here. I see Mr. Alan

Strauss is here, as well. If I didn't -- Mr. Tim Bass, our court reporter, is on as well.

Anybody from the Superintendent's team that I did not call, if you can please step in so we can conclude the roll call?

MS. FULTON: Good morning, Mr. Jabouin, this is Angela Fulton, representing the Central Region.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you very much. I'm sorry that I forgot you. And also Mr. Strauss and Ms. Fulton are the Superintendent's designees.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Do we have anybody else?

MS. HUDGE: Good morning, Mr. Jabouin, this is Veda Hudge from Student Services and I'm here along with staff as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. Welcome. And I see Mr. Lozano.

All right. Do we have anybody we forgot or do we have the full cast in place?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So, like I said, number 4 -- so we have Roll Call, Public Comment; do we have any members of the public waiting to get in, chomping at the bit to provide

public comment or none at this time?

2 (No response.)

MS. MARQUARDT: There's no one in the lobby, so everyone's in that has asked to come in.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So are there any members of the public? I'm trying to see, sometimes it's hard to tell staff from public.

But if there's anyone that wants to make any comments, now would be the time. And if not we'll proceed.

All right. So moving along, we had a number of follow-ups last time to the Behavioral, the last Behavioral Threat Assessment Audit, and we got responses, I think, yesterday. So let me go pop those on. We should all have the ability to share our screens, which is what I'm doing now to go to the responses.

So the first response, and these were updated responses. And then I believe at the last board meeting it's my understanding that our motions now get presented to the board for action. This might have been at the workshop the other day.

Mr. Jabouin, can you speak to that?

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah. So with respect to motions from all advisory committees, there is a

process for timing as far as when district
staff --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, no, I'm sorry, that's not the question. Let me be clear. That's not the question I was asking. We know what the process is, but I believe a comment was made that it is not for staff to give the final say-so on an audit committee motion. It is for the board to provide a response to the motion. Because we are advising the board, not staff. So if you're -- if you don't remember that part I'll go pull it and have that in place for the meeting on the 7th, as far as what's supposed to happen in the last step of the process.

MR. JABOUIN: No, I would -- we would have to go through that exercise if that differs from that and if that was a direction from the board.

So we would have to go through that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So the first one up is, we transmitted Motion Number 1, Audit Committee recommends they hire or appoint a senior management level person. So that person became Mr. Lozano. Then I noticed, this is kind of an unusual response where they mentioned the reappointments done on June 13th which changed

his position. I notice in today's PowerPoint that we'll have kind of an update on that, because there is a specific title that is required, which I think is a coordinator or something like that. It's somewhere in -- District Threat Management Coordinator, and it says that he's been assigned the role. So this motion, I think, has gone the course and will be further addressed in this PowerPoint when we get to that. All right. So that was the first one.

The second one, let's see, passed a motion advising that the entire population of the BTA testing sample include all serious and very serious behavioral threats and a geographically based sample of transient and unfounded threats. So, as responded to the board, they agreed to structure sample to include the serious and very serious threats and a sample of transient and unfounded. The timing of the fieldwork and corresponding reports would be subsequent to the presentation of the more recent report to the board in order to absorb both Audit Committee and School Board comments. Thus, the reports will be as soon as possible but not necessarily quarterly.

All right. And then at our last meeting, and hence the update, the Chief Auditor was committed to producing a Behavior Threat Assessment report that included all aspects requested by the Audit Committee at the February 16th Audit Committee Meeting. RSM was present and also committed to providing a report that includes all aspects requested by the Audit Committee. The RSM engagement proposal [in the amount of \$322,500] was received in March; however, OCA could not fund the engagement as it exceeded the department's overall available budget.

I've never necessarily known that to stop something from happening in this district. You go back for additional spend. Especially, if you've been budgeting for positions that have stayed empty year after year, I would imagine all those vacant positions exceed 322,000. The Chief Auditor then met with the School Board Chair to discuss the proposal received. Based on the discussions with the Board Chair and other Board Members at the May 2nd, 2023, a new approach to BTA testing will occur for 2024.

But I don't remember the first -- our motion being discussed in this context in terms of the

engagement proposal. So what did they discuss on May 2nd, 2023? Was this --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Just a point of order, Ms.

Fertig has her hand raised. I don't know --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, sorry. I can't see it when I'm sharing a screen.

Okay. Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: I'm muted. I --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're good.

MS. FERTIG: Can you hear me?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Now, we can.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah, I was actually talking -- I wanted to make a comment on motions but now that we're on this one I'm going to move onto something. We have two types of motions that we -- well, we have more than two, but oftentimes we'll make a motion just for materials from the Chief Auditor or training or whatever. Those I don't know necessarily need to all have a board response. The ones that I feel like should have a board response are the ones where we specifically say that we're transmitting something with this -- you know, asking the board

this or asking the board that. So I just wanted to clarify that so we don't present at all as every single motion, but the ones that we specifically articulate that we want the board to respond to.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But the process -it would all go to the board, but not all of them
might require a board response if it's something
run of the mill.

MS. FERTIG: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: However, something as simple as materials is not necessarily simple because we could pass a motion requesting materials and not get it. So the board should be aware, if there's going to be a process, it's going to apply to all motions. And then it comes down to whether it requires and it comes down to whether the Chief Auditor is saying, no. Because what the board discussed was that it's not up to the Office of the Chief Auditor to say, no, it's up to the board to respond. So that's where the issue is coming in, is if -- and that's why I'm going through these. Because we passed motions requesting things and the response from the Chief Auditor was essentially meant to be the final

say. The final say comes from the board and even then we could argue our point and they could change their mind.

So the reason I'm going through these is so that we have all of this as we go through the reports so that we don't ask questions we already got an answer to.

MS. FERTIG: And I'm not speaking to these four motions, I was speaking to the previous conversation about what comes from the board. But now that we're on these four, this number 4 response is also included in one of the reports we're going to be presented later, do you want to talk about it now or talk about it during the report?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm going through all these motions right now so that they can inform when we go through the report.

MS. FERTIG: So you would rather hold discussion until the time of the report?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. You're talking about response number 4?

MS. FERTIG: Yes, I am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I haven't got -- I'm on response number 2. So I'm just going

1 through in case anybody has --

MS. FERTIG: Oh, I'm sorry. We have that. Yes, that is referencing.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We just got these up -- we just got these updated.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I know, I read them last night. But I noticed that on a couple of them there's overlap with the information that we're going to be discussing. So my question was, do you want comments now or do you want comments at the time of the report?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: At the time of report.

This is kind of just to get us all on the same page because we only just got updated responses.

So I don't have to necessarily read each non-updated response. So let me see, where was I here? So let me just get -- right, so I'm just blowing through these. And number 4 I don't even have to go through until we do the report because that's the presentation, which was not a motion. I mean, not a motion that they were responding to.

What I was asking Mr. Jabouin is, what was discussed at the May 2nd, 2023 workshop, and did the board corporate decide not to move ahead with

this engagement proposal?

MR. JABOUIN: So what's happened in this particular situation, and let me recognize Ms.

Ighodaro to the meeting as well. So, ultimately, the school board makes the --

MS. STRAUSS: And also -- and also Mrs. Strauss. Mrs. Strauss is here as well.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Ms. Strauss.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Good. Everybody.

MR. JABOUIN: So as it pertains to what gets added to the audit plan and changes, therefore, those are done by the board corporate, not even an individual member of the board.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. No, no, that's not what I asked.

MR. JABOUIN: Sure, Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. Let me just clarify again.

Did the board corporate decide not to fund the engagement proposal?

MR. JABOUIN: So what I discussed with the board is, I discussed the situation with the Chair on how we were to proceed, and at that time we proceeded exactly as I have it in the response. But subsequent to that I had a

discussion with the Chair and what she's mentioned to me is to take these items to the board and have them decided up or down. And that's how we will proceed with these types of situations.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So just to be very clear for the rest of the audit committee, I think what you're saying is that, no, the board corporate never decided not to fund the proposal that would allow 100 percent of the, basically, the population to be -- to be audited.

MR. JABOUIN: I discussed this with the Chair. That was what --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, but she can't make that decision, as we know from policy, because that was the thing that we managed to get into the policy, that no one board member can dictate whether something happens or not. So is your answer, no?

MR. JABOUIN: That's why going forward that's the way that she and I discussed, to go ahead and take it to the board and have them decide these matters up or down.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But that's not, actually, what I'm asking, and I don't want to

keep wasting the audit committee's time. It's a yes or no question. Did the board corporate --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: What I did is I took it to the Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, but that's not what I'm asking. So it's a yes or no question.

MR. JABOUIN: That's your answer.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Jabouin, so the original question --

MR. JABOUIN: That's your answer, Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, no. The answer is, no, board corporate never decided not to fund --

MR. JABOUIN: The answer is in the second paragraph that you see there. That's the answer.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, actually, that raises more questions, because --

MR. JABOUIN: That's the answer you're getting.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But it's not the answer I'm asking.

MR. JABOUIN: You're welcome.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not how that works.

MS. IGHODARO: Is there anything in particular that we're hoping to get from this

particular line of questions?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Ighodaro, it was a yes or no question. I'm going to infer that, no, board corporate did not decide not to fund this.

So then I was looking -- the next thing is, the Chief Auditor then met with the School Board Chair, which just so you know, per policy, board corporate has to make a decision, not one member of the board.

MR. JABOUIN: So the board --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, no, Mr. Jabouin -- Mr. Jabouin.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're not recognized. You're not recognized by the Chair.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're not -- okay. Hold on. I'm going to have to ask that you be muted if you interrupt. I'm trying to explain this to Ms. -- I'm trying to read this for the rest of the audit committee. So, the Chief Auditor then met with the School Board Chair to discuss the proposal received and next steps. Based on the discussions, a new approach to BTA testing will occur for School Year 2024. OCA will increase

our staffing to hire a BTA-specific auditor.

Is that the new approach, the BTA-specific auditor? Is that sentence referencing the sentence before it, the new approach?

MR. JABOUIN: That is. You're reading it correctly, Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That's a yes or no question. I'm trying to keep this very simple.

Okay. This will allow OCA to test the samples based on our preferences and allow for increased and faster real-time reporting. Thus the next report, and so on and so forth.

Okay. So -- and I think this is a repeat right there. So I think we finally made it through response number 2.

Okay. BTA Audit Strategy Motion Number 3, the Audit Committee moved RSM begin to develop a proposal to test the effectiveness of the District's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. Let's see, we had discussions. And I think we went through this one already. That was not an issue.

Motion Number 4, the Audit Committee moved RSM propose attributes that test Policy 4380 Sections 3a, 3f, 5d, 5e.

So we still had, and this is sort of what prompted some of my questions that were submitted, is there were a lot of nos, and then some of these were things that were required by state statute. So to say, no, to them was, essentially, saying you can't audit something that's required by statute.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so when we go through the report, this is on page 10, so we can discuss those more in depth, but the issue was everything that had a Motion Number 5, the Audit Committee moved RSM break down compliance attributes associated with the student intake form. Response, our testing attributes were updated in our quarterly In our report RSM and BCPS Internal Audit audit. team agreed to add attribute 9a: enforcement contacted if an imminent threat has been identified? RSM verified that law enforcement was notified when an imminent --I don't think that was the only thing and it was about the intake form, so we'll look at that again. So this brings us to that one. Mary was talking about response number 4, but this is the presentation that they put together, so this -- this does not impact the results we're

looking at now, this will impact the future, so that would be appropriate to do that after we look at the report.

So, to that end, let's pull up the report.

I'm going to check my notes and then let me -
RSM, do you guys want to share screen and

pull this up? Because I can stop sharing.

MS. MANLOVE: I'm not sure if we're a presenter or not, Mr. Jabouin, if you could advise?

MR. JABOUIN: Sure, we will make sure. Would you like Jamie to be the person?

MS. MANLOVE: Yes, please.

MR. JABOUIN: My team will do that, shortly.

MS. BARDEE: I am sharing now. Give me one moment, please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. BARDEE: You can see the screen, please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yep.

MS. MANLOVE: So I'm going to go ahead and introduce this report based off of our transmittal letter up at the front, specifically talking to our table showing the historical period of where these audits have been the last few repetitions. We are in the School Year 2023

Period 2 column. The scope of this audit was a five-month, approximately five-month scope from October to March. We had a larger number of threats identified because of the expanded scope size and the expanded sample size for this audit, was up to 800 for this particular round. We've also identified the threat levels for you here, as well, so we can compare results across the different various reports that we have issued over the past few years.

From here, I know that you all are a very well-versed committee on these reports and the content within. I am happy to walk through it, maybe starting with our attributes table, or, Madam Chair, if you prefer to address questions we can certainly do that, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I think because this is not the first report that we can start with questions going in order. I know my questions, you know, are going to be on pages 10 through 13.

We addressed the issue with doing the entire population. We know what the obstacle is there. It's, you know, the need for additional funding versus waiting -- well, actually, I have a

question there, because a BTA specific auditor would require them to be familiar with this entire process. So I have some questions there or maybe someone else does.

So I don't see any hands up. Does anyone have any questions?

I see a hand. Okay. Mary, yes.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you all hear me okay?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yep.

MS. FERTIG: I sometimes have problems with my sound on Teams, so just let me know if I do. It looked like, reading through this, that you were going to have a difficult time with some of these -- with some of the things that we had hoped to see done. Did you have any alternative suggestions? It's not possible, for example, on 5C on page 12, it's not possible to audit for the completeness of the population of suspected, immediate mental health abuse, crises and, anyway, more about that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Can we do these in order, though? Because like on page 10 is where that whole list starts.

MS. FERTIG: All right. Well, if you want to just call a page then and then I'll weigh in?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, I couldn't see the page number and then I realized that we were already on page 10.

MS. FERTIG: I did have a page 10. I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So because this is where, this was my --

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie, you go ahead, because maybe you and I have the same ones.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we have the same questions, most likely.

So 3A, because these were the things that we asked for them to come up with strategies to make them auditable, and as we went through just now, it doesn't look like that's changed. So this says -- so the District School Safety Specialist shall ensure compliance with the policy. I imagine this language would change to the District Threat Management Coordinator ensuring compliance with the policy. It says it's not auditable, and not auditable because this section contains goals, statements, and/or objectives that are general in nature and not subject to audit procedures. I would argue that, you know, you can quantify anything and you can audit

1 a

anything. You just have to set it up that way.

U

MS. MANLOVE:

So when we think of, is

For instance, if you find -- we just have to define -- you need an operational definition of compliance. No, can they force people to be in compliance? No. But can -- we need something that's tangible and measurable that shows that they've tried or that they've put perceived controls in place that only people that are blatantly, repeatedly not being in compliance would be doing so.

Because right now we don't know. There's no accountability for that position. Nothing personal with Mr. Lozano, I'm just saying the system, in terms of the control environment, there's nothing in place to measure whether they're attempting compliance with the policy. So that's the first one.

So if we could have sort of an updated response, because, looking at what they said, I think it's the same -- we got the same response as we did before. So since we have RSM here, I mean, does that make sense, that you should be able to come up with a way to measure this particular policy requirement?

something auditable or not auditable, we're thinking about audit evidence and what source documentation is available to help us understand if that particular piece of the policy or attribute is evidenced properly or not.

So with that hat on we answered this question this way, because we couldn't see a -- a clear audit trail for Section 3A that might exist.

If there are thoughts about the description of the role and job description and things of that nature, I think we can explore that. I don't know if that necessarily answers this particular policy description attribute. But just for further kind of clarification there, Ms. Lynch-Walsh, on why we answered it the way we did, we were thinking about source documentation and audit evidence.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And I'm thinking of those two things, too, but first you've got to define it. What's the definition of shall ensure compliance?

Mary, did you have something to add?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I think you and I had -you're right, we were along the same lines.

So I can see -- and I'm going to go down to

Number 3H, which I also had questions on. where I think some of this may come into play is when you see a threat that hasn't -- has not followed all of procedures or in the case of 3H my thought was that a somebody doesn't report a threat, I can see you can't audit what you don't know happened, but when then something does happen and it becomes apparent that it wasn't reported, isn't there some way to keep track of that? And on the one that Nathalie mentioned, 3A, if you find that they have not complied with the policy, doesn't that then just go back to the District Safety -- I mean, it seems to me you would know if they haven't complied with the policy, then the person that was in charge of ensuring compliance is in some way responsible; if that makes sense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So -- and I'll jump to 3F unless someone else has a -- oh, I see a hand with Mr. Mayersohn. So what Mary is saying is, yes, if someone doesn't report it, you don't know that. But if something happens and there's no documentation that it was recorded, the absence of documentation means that this wasn't being followed. So you'd have to then look,

presumably, at incidents, so the SESIR, to see, you know, when things are happening, did anybody report it or did it just kind of happen?

Mr. Mayersohn, and then Mr. Lozano.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So a couple of things and I guess Mr. Lozano or --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It looks like he wants to answer; yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah. Well, he can kind of answer this question as well, is that, there was something that we, and I don't remember exactly what attribute it was, but I know that Mr. Lozano had expressed that there was a, kind of a misunderstanding with the attendance and how it was recorded. So I know that Ms. Kinney is here today and Ms. Hudge, maybe they can answer that, and what the challenges were. But the other thing that I wanted to point out is that this is all going to change, in essence of what you're able to audit and not, because of the new state statute and requirements.

So I would like to see moving forward, obviously, there's a history here, but I think my concern is, when do we start to transition? I know that there is probably training going on,

but when does that transition take place? Is it going to take place in the first quarter of next year? When I say "first quarter", calendar quarter, being January.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I think that's in the PowerPoint presentation. Those questions I think are answered. Mr. Lozano can correct me if I'm wrong.

MR. LOZANO: You're right. I'll go over that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: But just to continue on that, there's also something that I think that the District, and I know there's been discussions with children's services council and other law enforcement agencies and mental health agencies, is to develop an understanding of what I call an integrated database system which shares information. So some of these items would then be able to be auditable and trackable. So, if for example, if it's something, did you contact law enforcement, the response could be, yes, because we've checked the system and law enforcement has been contacted as well as whether it be Henderson or some other, you know, mental health agency.

So that's kind of my next question, and probably a heavy lift, is the District looking at creating a contract or a procurement with agencies to develop this integrated database system?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So that sounds like a next steps question, you know, in terms of moving forward. If Mr. Lozano has a quick answer because we want to get through the report and then have them do the presentation and then all the questions about what happens with the changes in moving forward may form some food for thought for motions to bring to the meeting on the 7th.

But Mr. Lozano?

MR. LOZANO: Yes, just to move forward with 3A, so there are definitely certain things that are in the new state rule. So, again, they took threat management out of school safety and they made their own state rule that only pertains to threat management 6A.10019. So there are certain requirements of the District Threat Management Coordinator that you can audit. So districts, each school has a school-based threat management team. Each school has a chair. The district coordinator has, you know, ensuring everybody

completes the required trainings. So there are certain requirements that I think can be easily audited when you look at the responsibility of the coordinator in that state rule.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Before we move to the next page, 3F was another one that we asked about, and it says, no. So it says EdPlan is designed to document -- I'm checking my notes as I do this. 3F, document -- EdPlan is designed to document a school-based administrator who is assigned to notify the threat's targets parent/guardian for very serious threats, which is tested in attribute 26. The EdPlan system does not document that the notification took place. Thus, as this is not documented under the current process, RSM is unable to verify the notification was performed in accordance with this policy.

Now, 3F, this is from policy, the policy pulling from State Statute 1006.07 which says -- which has to do with parents of public school students have a right to timely notification of threats, unlawful acts, and significant emergencies. That is coming from 4B, provide timely notification to parents of threats

pursuant to policies adopted under subsection 7 and the following unlawful acts or significant emergencies that occur on school grounds, during school transportation, or during school-sponsored activities. So this is required by state statute. What is mentioned as far as EdPlan, which, of course, we'll be transitioning to Focus, not this year, but next -- the year after, it does not encompass the meaning of this policy, this piece of policy nor the state statute.

So, for instance, what you could audit, when there is a code red or whatever at my child's school, did they send out notifications; what type of notifications did they send out; did they reach all the parents? I get an email, I get -- I don't think I get a call because you can set up your preferences. So if that's happening per state statute, all of that is audible.

Now, the separate issue where you have EdPlan documents and it does -- and the EdPlan system does not document that the notification took place goes back to Mr. Mayersohn integrated database, where, if you notify, it should flow through the entire system, which we don't currently have the ability to do. But this

answer does not actually address the operational definition of the intent of state statute, which is provide timely notification, and you have to go back to statute to look for what they mean, specifically. But there are certainly things that you could be auditing. Just because it happens at my school doesn't mean it's happening at all schools and the only way we know that is to audit.

MS. MANLOVE: We agree. The challenge that we ran into is, again, the documentation didn't exist. We were able to view documentation of the assignment of the responsibility to send those notifications, but the actual notification and verification that that went to the appropriate stakeholders is not yet in EdPlan.

And, Mr. Lozano, I know we talked a lot about that. I don't know if you'd like to clarify anything further about that process.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, hold up. Give me one second, Mr. Lozano. Like I just said, EdPlan is not the only place this would take place.

Is there some reason that you thought that you only needed to audit what's happening in EdPlan? Because what I just mentioned, none of

my kids have IEPs, neither of them did. I have nothing to do with the ESE population, so EdPlan is not part of my life.

So when there is something that happens at their school, the school is sending out a notification. And the State statute makes it very clear what you have to notify parents about.

So what I'm saying is there's a laundry list of things that you're supposed to provide timely notification, and I know that this is under behavioral threat assessments, but same issue, weapons possession or use when there's intended harm, murder, homicide, suicide, sex offenses, natural emergencies, exposure as a result of man-made emergency. So there's a laundry list and that seems to be, I know EdPlan is doing behavioral threat assessments, and I guess that's part of the problem, but if it isn't in EdPlan, is it anywhere? I would argue that it is, it just might be in EdPlan.

MR. LOZANO: So you already called it out.

It's in ParentLink, right, because those are housed in that system. And I'm going to defer to Mrs. Bardee for imminent threats that require, did you not ask for that documentation from those

schools? So we were using ParentLink, I believe, to verify that it went out. I'll let Jamie talk to that.

MS. BARDEE: For imminent threats, which not every one -- not every BTA that we tested is classified as an imminent threat, and we used the District's expertise on defining which of these threats were imminent threats, and with those imminent threats we did verify that the special investigative unit of the district was notified. And we looked at what was included in that form, as far as the blank form, and verified that these went out.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So I think we beat page 10 pretty much to death.

Page 11 -- does anyone have any other questions on this page?

Page 11 was all auditable, page 12 has a few nos.

MS. STRAUSS: Nathalie, I'm sorry, I have a question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. STRAUSS: Ernie, are you -- Mr. Lozano, are you on the line?

MR. LOZANO: Yes.

MS. STRAUSS: Hi. How are you? Good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I had asked for this in a previous meeting that you weren't at and then Mr. Jabouin kind of answered me saying that I would be asking you to audit yourself. And I don't necessarily think that's the case, but I want to -- I want you to weigh in here.

So we all know that the new threat management system's going to be rolling out. Are there recommendations that you have based on all the knowledge, because I know you've been through all the trainings and you are one of the best in the state as far as knowledge on this whole thing, are there recommendations you have for the Audit Committee on exactly what you believe, knowing what the state's going to be doing for accountability, what should be in our scope of work from an audit standpoint? Because that came up two meetings ago and with everything that's changing I don't want to do duplicative, I don't want to pay for duplicative work from RSM if there's going to be controls in place already through the new threat management system that's going to be rolling out.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I need you to hold that question until we get to the presentation, because we're on pages 10 through 13 or 10 through 12, and that question would be either they would start to answer it or it would certainly be relevant to the PowerPoint on the impact of House Bill 543, which we haven't gotten to yet.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I'm sorry. I just wanted to put that out there.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yep. So don't -- don't -- yeah, don't forget that question but -- and they can be working on a response because now you've given them a heads-up.

Okay. So page 11 had all yeses. Page 12 was, yes, partial, and, no, no. So it's not possible -- so number 5C, it's not possible to audit for the completeness of the population of suspected, immediate mental health abuse crises as we cannot identify undocumented crises. Okay. Does verify the presence of a mental health professional in the school-based BTA team and their involvement. I don't know if this changes with the new house bill.

MR. LOZANO: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon?

MR. LOZANO: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No? Okay. All right.

So mental health professionals -- and yet we keep having referendum mental health dollars rolling over it seems from year to year. So that might be a related question; do we have enough mental health professionals?

5D was a, no. BTA team shall contact other agencies involved with the student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow-up.

Mr. Mayersohn, I think this gets to the heart of your comment from earlier? And then I see Mary has her hand up.

Mary, did you want to go?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just -- again, on many of these things, and I don't know what the resolution is on this, but, you know, you can't audit the population of suspected immediate mental health because you can't identify undocumented cases. But when you have a threat and there's a case that should have been documented, and that's kind of throughout this whole document, of things that should have been

documented but weren't documented, there needs —
is there a way to capture that? And I'm not
going to mention it on every single one, but, I
mean, on a number of these, you know, you can't
say whether — who's appropriate to contact, but
when you find out that somebody wasn't contacted,
isn't there a way to retroactively go back? I
just saw it on page 10 and I see it again here on
page 12. And this is a case where you may not
find out until too late that you had a mental
health issue that people were aware of but didn't
report. But, I don't know, I just feel like
there has to be a way to capture those incidents;
if we're aware of them.

MR. LOZANO: I think when I explain the new model going forward you'll see how they kind of built in checks and balances for that.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, and, also, it sounds like, because, yes, this is yet another area where you don't know what isn't reported, but once it happens you would then work backwards from that to check whether it was reported and if it wasn't, you know, are there common themes and do you need to add something to policy, put

another procedure in place to ensure that people are reporting things? Because, yeah, once the incident happens, it's a little too late, at least in that instance.

Okay. So 5E, the BTA team shall identify additional members of the school community to whom threatening behavior should be reported and provide guidance.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Dr. Ruth has her hand up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's Dr. Ruth?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah, today. To Bob, I am.

Anyway, my question would be, to Mr. Lozano, my question is, is the problem -- is the problem that we just don't have enough bodies in place to -- to do this at this point in time in the district? Is that the problem? Because it seems to be an issue where the stuff is just not being reported. That's what I'm hearing. That's the tenor throughout this report, these threat assessments that I'm hearing, it's not that you don't want to do it, you just don't have enough bodies to do it. Is that a good assessment or am I just not hearing what I think I'm hearing?

MR. LOZANO: So I don't -- I don't think for,

actually, the BTA and monitoring of schools, you know, noted when a call was made to mental health. Veda Hudge is on and she can talk to the number of mental health staff we have to provide support to students. The question is, I think it's going back to some of the others where how do we -- how do we know if a student needed mental health services and the school didn't provide it? So that's -- that's, I think, the question at the table.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I can kind of -- I can kind of give you some forward. So in the new model the district team -- the district coordinator reviews every single threat assessment that's conducted. The district team is then required to review mandatorily all high-level threats. So in that process on the district team is your district mental health staff. So we'll be able to do a more comprehensive review at the district level of every high-level threat. So I just started that process now so we're ready in January, too. So we already have assembled our district team and we've been meeting now from the beginning of the year reviewing all the highest-level threats to ensure we're putting all the interventions and supports students need in place moving forward.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay. Thank you.

MR. LOZANO: And on a side note, I visited Academic Solutions Academy, great work there, Ms. Lynch. Great work.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Thank you. Thank you. But, yeah, so you know why I'm asking. So thank you so much.

MR. LOZANO: Yes, ma'am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I see Ms. Hudge has raised her hand, but I just want to read this response because as I was sitting here something occurred to me. Auditors will be able to audit that other school community members were contacted but will not be able to determine the appropriateness of who was contacted nor if there were other school community members that should have also been contacted that were not, as this is a process that is based upon the BTA teams' professional judgment and knowledge at the time of the threat.

So this is where the control environment comes in, and, Mr. Lozano, as far as them coming up with -- because this should be standard.

Because then you could audit it if it was

understood who should be contacted. And it could change in certain environments, but you have a checklist of what's appropriate for each school.

So, Ms. Hudge?

MS. HUDGE: Thank you, Dr. Walsh, and good morning everyone. So I just wanted to elaborate on some of the topics today.

As far as mental health personnel, we have 12 different positions that are mental health professionals in our district and those individuals are assigned to schools. So we do have mental health personnel in schools already. Your social workers, we have family counselors in schools, we have recovery people in schools. We just recently hired suicide coordinators that are in schools and already working with schools. We also have also mental health coordinators that are assigned to specific tasks, such as ensuring that we have trained employees on youth mental health first aid. We have another one that is assigned to require instructions around mental health.

So I said all of that to say that we do have mental health personnel already in schools in those various areas. Now, to enhance that, one

of the things that we've been doing is meeting with Mr. Lozano and his team pretty much on a -- almost a weekly basis, to make sure that our suicide prevention individuals and Baker Act individuals are looking at the data, they've pulled the data for the suicide preventions schools that may have high numbers of SRAs. They've already started interfacing with those schools, building a plan for prevention. We've also hired additional individuals that are boots on the ground working with teachers around behaviors in the classrooms and a team that also works with the administrators.

So we have put, you know, a lot of things in place this year trying to be more on the preventative side of mental health versus just on the reactive side.

The other part of that, as Mr. Mayersohn mentioned, the various platforms to be able to track students in whether or not they need mental health care and ensuring that they receive that. In addition to us already coding things in TERMS, and that's the way that we make sure that if a student comes to us with a need or if a student is referred, there's one way that we document

that the social worker or the family therapist needs to be involved with those students and the follow-up. So there's ways in TERMS right now. However, we all know that there is a transition that we are beginning to do over to Focus, and that should help to ensure that.

But I want to go to Bob's piece around the data sharing or the database. We've been meeting with Sue Gallagher over at Children's Services and we've looked at four different platforms. Staff has reviewed those with them. We're following up with them on -- after the review and after researching a little bit more to have further conversations. At this time we have not started yet a conversation about entering into an agreement, but we -- and that is because we are looking at the platforms and having ongoing conversations with her around those pieces, so --

As far as the rollover of money, there is, and we have a workshop coming up on Tuesday, the workshop will be inclusive of talking about that. One of the things you heard Dr. Licata talk about yesterday is that he has talked to me very early on prior to yesterday's sharing of rollover funds, about engaging in hiring some additional

behavior health personnel that we can place in the schools in addition to the individuals that we are already funding in order to bring those positions forward. Currently, right now we're working on the job descriptions for that, but I wanted to engage our board before we move forward with this.

So that's where we are with mental health.

I'm not sure if I answered all of your questions,

but if you have questions or clarifications,

please, feel free to ask.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Hudge?

MS. HUDGE: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So can you follow up, because I know Mr. Lozano last meeting was talking about the tie-in with attendance, can you kind of explain where that is and how that might be transitioning or what pieces are missing?

MS. HUDGE: Yes. Thank you for that. I appreciate that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Bob, wait, is this to do with moving forward? Because I'm trying to wrap up the current report so that they can --

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, this was something that he brought up at the last meeting.

MR. LOZANO: So I think we're looking at, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, attribute 38 --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOZANO: -- and the 43 percent fail rate of attribute 38. And when we looked at that fail rate most of the fail rate came from the daily person possession check logs where a school did not have a signature on a day where the TERMS panel was showing the student was present. So when RSM did their work, the schools identified that the student was absent that day, but then maybe the fourth period teacher never took attendance correctly, so the student showed as present. So when RSM reviewed the TERMS panel, which is our official recordkeeping of attendance, they can't just take somebody's word, the TERMS panel showed the student as present when that student was actually absent, and that's why the log wasn't signed. So Veda and I have done, as we always do with these audits, we have been in conversation. So I'll kind of let her talk about what we put in place this year to kind of remediate that issue to prevent that from happening moving forward.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

Thank you, Mr. Lozano. MS. HUDGE: I'm going to ask Ascellia to come forward. Ascellia is our one and only attendance coordinator. So while people say there's an attendance department there's no attendance department, there's only one coordinator, and I'm going to ask Marisa to come forward to kind of speak to where we are, currently, right now, but also in looking at and going through the process of transitioning to Focus, you're going to hear her speak about some of the things that we're putting in place to have some checks and balances around ensuring that attendance is accurate. And part of that transition to Focus is going to be some reports. We also are working very closely with our regions around this piece and you're going to hear her also speak about the trainings that occur with our teachers, with our administrators, with our IMTs just to make sure that they understand the importance of keeping accurate attendance records.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, Ascellia, if you could come forward?

MS. ARENAS: Hello. Good morning. I'm in the same office with Marisa.

MS. HUDGE: Okay. Not a problem.

So, Ascellia, if you could, if you could just talk about the process and what we're doing to move forward I think was the question as we transition into Focus to some of those points they have raised today around trying to make sure and ensuring that we keep accurate attendance.

So if you could talk a little bit about that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARENAS: Absolutely. Well, as you know, there are training courses made available to all school personnel as it relates to Policy 5.5, and it is explicitly stated in numerous ways that daily audits are the responsibility of each school site. So, currently, with the processes that we're using, attendance is taken every day. However, we don't see the complete view of a daily attendance until about 48 hours later. currently, travels through three different systems. First Pinnacle, where the teacher takes the attendance, then TERMS, and then we get the final average daily attendance. So teachers are required to take attendance every day, every class period as needed, and attendance managers at the school, they can either be an attendance manager or an IMT, and they are required to do a nightly report to see which teachers have or have

not completed attendance for the day, and then there are time variables that are plugged in so that we can recover, update and process excuses that come from parents and are able to mitigate the attendance report. All of this is publicized from July 1st and made available to anyone responsible for taking attendance all throughout the year. They can contact this office if there's ever any questions. And the procedure has been the same for a very long time, for as long as we've been using the Wazzle Gradebook for Pinnacle.

MS. HUDGE: So, Ernie, I saw your camera come on. Before I have her move on to how we're transitioning, which I think this was one of the questions, so if we go into Focus, if you could talk a little bit about what you have been engaging in conversations as we transition and also as we are seeing that there are some gaps, there are things that you all have talked about putting in the process that is going into Focus to kind of mitigate for those things. Can you talk to that a little bit, please?

MS. ARENAS: Absolutely. So we've been using these current systems for well over -- well,

since 2008 when I came into the district I know we've been using these same processes. So with Focus we are going to see a streamlining or a dovetailing, if you will, of these three student information system platforms. The process, as I previously explained, happens first in the classroom by the teacher, it's managed by the school clerk, and then the principal is, of course, responsible at the end of the day for all transactions as it relates to student attendance.

With Focus we are shifting, in terms of our system platforms, we're streamlining, we're making process more verifiable than it currently is, which is one of the gaps that we're experiencing, and, of course, what Mr. Lozano and what Ms. Hudge was speaking of previously.

So with this new system it gives us a greater accountability. It gives parents a clearer guideline as to where and how they can explain the whereabouts of their children in a timeframe that's more manageable. And, of course, there will be supports there for people who are responsible for inputting that data to follow up and be more accountable.

MS. HUDGE: Thank you for that. Ernie, I saw

1 your camera come up.

MR. LOZANO: And so one of the things we added as part of BTA monthly meeting that we're currently getting in front of school administrators now is in the monthly meeting we're going to start requiring schools this year to upload the TERMS panel and the person position log so that we can do a verification of accurate attendance for all those person possession logs.

MS. HUDGE: And I'll just add on to that by saying Ernie and I have also talked about Ascellia being part of the review to make sure that there's a connection between attendance and what he's seeing in those logs that are uploaded. So that is a step that we're adding in, as well, to make sure that those two are connected.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. Let's see. Anyone have any other questions? I think you guys more than answered the attendance piece.

So, let's see, we're on page 12 of the report, the current report.

MS. DAHL: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, I have a question about the attendance. This is Rebecca Dahl.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. DAHL: So, from what I heard, every

teacher is supposed to do attendance every period; is that correct? I'm directing this to Veda or whoever else was the attendance person who was talking.

MS. HUDGE: Ascellia, go ahead.

MS. ARENAS: Yes. Absolutely. Yes, teachers are responsible for recording attendance within the first 10 minutes or the last 10 minutes of every class period. And if they do not do that we do have a way to mitigate that, currently, using Wazzle Pinnacle. The attendance manager can pull a nightly report and see which teachers have or have not completed attendance for the day and they can follow their own protocol to adjust attendance as needed.

Other key people on campus who have the ability to view this information are the assistant principal who's in charge of attendance, and, of course, the principal.

MS. DAHL: Okay. Because I think -- thank you for the answer. Because one of my concerns is the child shows up first period or second period, skips, it's still recording perhaps that the child is there, so, you know, when something happens, you're assuming or thinking that the

child is on campus and they may not be on campus or they may not be in their classroom. So thank you for that answer.

And are you finding that the schools are going back and completing that if there are absences during the day? You know, once you report there, they're there first period, is there a way to really check, you know, that they can audit it, but how many of those schools, especially with some of these schools that have, you know, 3 or 4,000 children, it just seems to me to be an overwhelming thing to do because don't these people have other duties as well?

MS. HUDGE: So I think, Ms. Dahl -- and thank you for that. I think that that kind of coincides exactly what she was sharing that Focus will be able to assist with. Because, right now, to be able to run reports to monitor that is an overwhelming task. You only have one person as a coordinator, but as well as understanding, to your point, that school personnel may have other responsibilities and duties. So this is one of the gaps that we have to mitigate or to try to find a more efficient and effective way to assist and support schools in ensuring that, you know,

attendance is taken accurately. When there are situations where it comes to our attention she is on the phone with those schools and in some cases she will even go out to the school when it comes to her attention. But, right now, just in transparency, that is one of the gaps that we are looking for Focus to help us mitigate so that we can support schools a little bit better in this area.

MS. DAHL: Okay. Thank you for that. And I have with one other question. I'm sorry, Dr. Lynch-Walsh. I want to go back to 5E for a minute.

Veda, you said that you have -- I'm assuming that you said when you have 12 mental health people, that's in your particular department, not necessarily 12 for the whole district?

MS. HUDGE: No, that's 12 --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think she said positions.

MS. HUDGE: Right, positions across the district. So, for instance, in my wheelhouse I would have the social workers; I would have the family counselors; I would have the recovery managers; I would have the suicide prevention, Baker Act individuals; I would have the mental

health coordinators. However, in our exceptional education department we also have psychologists that work in their area that are considered mental health personnel. And they also have counselors, exceptional education counselors, as well. So when I said 12, I'm talking about 12 positions across many different departments or divisions, if you will, that are within the district. Those individuals are itinerate staff who are assigned to schools. So those individuals are in schools. They're not at a district office on a day-to-day. So those were the 12 that I was speaking of.

MS. DAHL: Okay. So let me just state myself a little more clear so I'll understand it a little bit better. Besides those 12, every school has guidance, they have social workers?

MS. HUDGE: Yes.

MS. DAHL: Okay.

MS. HUDGE: Yes.

MS. DAHL: Okay. All right. That helps me. Thank you.

MS. HUDGE: Not aside from them, they are part of the 12. So there are school counselors, there are social workers; they are part of the 12

different positions that encapsulate, if you will, mental health positions.

MR. LOZANO: Veda, what I think she's saying is we have school-based positions that provide support to students, like a guidance counselors.

MS. HUDGE: Oh, of course, yes.

MR. LOZANO: That's what -- that's what she's saying.

MS. DAHL: Okay. I just wanted to make sure because 12 people won't handle -- okay. Thank you so much. I appreciate the answer.

DR. LOZANO: You're welcome.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I think what would be helpful for the next meeting, and I made a note of this, I don't know if we need to pass a motion, which we can't do today, or if we can just sort of come to consensus, that it would be helpful to see the different types of positions, but also to see by school and by position type where everybody is and how they're deployed. So, you know, how many itinerate staff that -- you know, sort of paint the picture for what we're talking about so that it's very clear how everyone's deployed throughout the district.

MS. HUDGE: So, I certainly don't mind

sharing, you know, that information. I will remind everyone also that there is a board workshop coming up on Tuesday where we'll be kind of having this conversation. Obviously, you won't see where everyone's deployed, just because, you know, in the workshop that's a lot of information when we talk about over 8, 900 personnel is what we're talking about that we've got to share with you every --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it's all on how you -- it's all on how you boil it down. There was a presentation done years ago that sort of painted the picture of how many, what type, where they were sort of thing, and that was very helpful, but that was several years ago.

MS. HUDGE: Right. I appreciate that. I will be speaking to that. You will see in the presentation that it will list all of the titles, and, thank you, Alan, for putting that in the chat. It will list all of the titles of those individuals. You know, right now we have everyone, all of the personnel assigned to every school in the district. So I can -- I can definitely work with staff to your -- to your ask.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah, I see that some of what's in the presentation answers some of the questions and would be helpful to be shared with the audit committee.

MS. HUDGE: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's available. It's on the workshop. I just pulled it up. And I think that that would be helpful to have before we finish this conversation on the 7th when we meet for our regular meeting.

So if -- Mr. Jabouin, if your staff can send that to the audit committee because it answers -- you have the different positions and roles, and see if we then have any further -- it does mention -- it does indicate which ones are itinerate on here. I'm seeing that, so --

MS. HUDGE: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so there's a lot in here that would probably, yeah, sort of answer some of the questions that the audit committee members have.

MS. HUDGE: Okay. No problem.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

MS. HUDGE: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

Does anyone have -- we got up to page 13, it's 10:42 and we still have to get through the presentation and then just a brief discussion on what will be on the agenda for the 7th.

So moving through the rest of the report, does anyone else have any questions, comments on the rest of the Behavioral Threat Assessment Audit, itself, before we move into the presentation which would be the next thing, going over the impact of House Bill 543 or are we good?

MS. MANLOVE: The only comment I'll add, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, is that we have not yet begun the next round of testing and I think Mr. Jabouin is still on and, maybe, can speak to the next steps for this quarterly audit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So then wouldn't it be the same -- you mean the next version of this? So this is October 1 through March 12th, 2023; so you mean for the next period?

MS. MANLOVE: Yes, ma'am. I know that part of the committee's feedback to us in prior meetings was an increased sense of frequency for seeing these reports. So I wanted to talk through the next steps and timing so the committee is aware.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so we'll go through this process, we'll take it to the board, we'll get the board comments and then we'll proceed with establishing the scope for the next reporting.

As we talked about earlier today, there is going to need to be some board feedback on some of these decisions.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So RSM, because this is --

MS. STRAUSS: Nathalie.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know. Yes, ma'am. Yes, Ms. Strauss.

MS. STRAUSS: You know, that's what I believe Mr. Jabouin did give us the opportunity a few meetings ago to weigh in on, for like the scope of upcoming audits, he asked for feedback, and that's when I asked for Mr. Lozano to weigh in. So, I know -- because we don't know; right? What do we know?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we should know --

MS. STRAUSS: My concern is that we're being cost conscious here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So they need to go through this because this is them discussing the impact

of the house bill. So we could then, in terms of the timing, but that kicks in -- when does this kick in?

MR. LOZANO: January 1st.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So we still have a period where that doesn't apply yet, the changes don't apply yet.

But also what I'm kind of hearing, and I just want to remind everybody, we can't vote in here, but we can certainly bring motions on the 7th.

So on the 7th we're going to wrap up this conversation. So when RSM asked for the timing, because this was October 1 through March 12th of 2023, and the report date was July 20th, it is now August 30th, so I think it's a fair question without the board, because we are the audit committee, to understand, just as RSM was looking for clarification, what is the next period to be covered and when would that be coming to the audit committee in theory?

Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Sure. Let's go ahead and take the motions that the committee will have and I'll process them and I'll have the board make those decisions on that end.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you don't have any -- you don't have any -- there was no plan for the next period yet?

MR. JABOUIN: So there -- I mean, obviously, we want to finish this report, get all the comments and process them, and then we could -- I want to make sure that the board, themselves, are going the same path as the audit committee on how they all merge on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but the way that that works is the audit committee, which is the committee tasked with advising the board, would weigh in, first, and then use that to inform the board who are not the audit committee and don't spend 24/7 on audit committee matters. That's why you have an audit committee.

MR. JABOUIN: Agreed.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you don't go to the board first and then dictate to the audit committee. The board needs to be informed, which is why they have appointees on here. So, in theory, we're way past March, I would have to imagine that fieldwork is currently being done -- and, Mary, I see your hand. So is fieldwork being done for the next audit and should we just

add three months to July in terms of when we would see the next one and what period that covers? Because, surely, things are already in process for the next one.

Mary?

MR. JABOUIN: No, no, we're going -- we're going to process the comments from the audit committee, first, and then the board, and then we'll engage RSM to do the work.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They're not engaged to do the next period?

MR. JABOUIN: They have not started the work on the next period yet. We're processing these comments.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So that's the clarification. So what you're saying is that, after March 12th nobody's done anything; nobody's looking at it; there's no -- no work that has started past March 12th, 2023?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, this work is concluding. We're going to get all the comments from the audit committee first and then the board and then we will engage RSM on the work in accordance with the requirements of the committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'm going to try

this one more time, and, Mary, I'll go to you next. I'm going to try one more time. Because I like thinks to be crystal clear.

This period -- this report covered October 1st, 2022 through March 12th, 2023. Are you confirming that you are waiting for this report to go through the audit committee and then to the board before them even starting any work past March 12th?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, because we need the quidance from the committee and the board.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That's all I was looking for was a yes or no.

Okay. Mary? Sorry.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Well, that raises more questions. That's not really what I had my hand up for, but it just seems -- okay. So I was looking at the number of months. So this is basically, say, a six-month period, and the next six-month period would end September 12th; am I right in that? And so at that point you would be ready to start reviewing the information from -- in whatever context it passes the board, the information of that six-month period you'd be

ready to hit the ground running on September 12th; do I have -- is that --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're asking RSM? You're asking RSM?

MS. FERTIG: I'm sorry. I'm asking Mr. Jabouin. I apologize.

MR. JABOUIN: No, we -- we would need to get -- we would need to get the engagement letter together with RSM. But one of the things that I wanted to mention to the committee that district staff has mentioned to me is, these discussions are very useful and helpful to them as they proceed with their program. And these comments, like when you apply them to the period after the testing, the success rate may not be as high because of some of the discussions and the decisions and the guidance that they get from these meetings. So we would have to keep that in mind as we set up the -- the testing. Does that make sense?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mary, did you have another question, because I need --

MS. FERTIG: Yes, I know you want to get on to the record, and, actually, some of my questions are going to come there, because I

really want to discuss the philosophy of having an on-staff person doing review as opposed to having an outside auditor do the review and make sure that that conversation is incorporated in whatever moves forward to the board if the rest of the committee shares some of my concerns.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And we could do that formally -- we can discuss today and then make formal motions --

MS. FERTIG: Make formal motions next week.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- on the 7th. So my question is --

MS. FERTIG: Nathalie, I just think it's important, I just want to know the dates. These are the dates that we do a report. We finished a six-month period, we're giving you our comments, which we -- you know, now we're well into the next period, which is going to end soon --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Almost done; right.

MS. FERTIG: -- and make sure that we're ready to start reviewing that quickly so we get the report on that so we're not always six months behind in taking any kind of action.

So I would hope that all of this gets finalized in the next few weeks so that we can go

ahead with that -- with that next review period and have that done. And then it sounds like that will coincide really well with February and what needs to be done there.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah. And sorry to jump in, I can speak with the board members before then.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold that thought, Mr. Jabouin, because Mary's asking for dates. The next date that's also relevant is when does this report go to the board?

MR. JABOUIN: It would have to be at the October meeting because it misses the September. But I understand the key points. So, I mean, as a board report I can speak to them on these issues, so that way I can have the consensus from them.

MS. FERTIG: Great. Because it would be really -- you know, it sounds like these dates are going to match up pretty well if we are ready to start that review in September and then that takes you -- it's almost a six-month period until the new process kicks in, so they can then get that reviewed and we can just kind of move forward seamlessly and make sure --

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, I'll try to set up

meetings with them and I'll get the key points that will help. And I'll have my recommendations to them as well on the key points as far as setting up the next testing.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry. When you say "setting up meetings with them" you mean a workshop?

MR. JABOUIN: No, there's not enough -- I understand that you want to get that --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, no, you can't -- I'm sorry, but per Sunshine Law you can't get a board decision by doing a board crawl. So we're going to have to get creative.

MR. JABOUIN: That's true.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So the next -- so the next regular meeting of the school board, even if you can't do this report or if it's in October, but you can't get a board, a formal board decision from board corporate by polling board members.

That would violate Sunshine Law.

MR. JABOUIN: That's true. So let's go ahead and continue and then I will seek an agenda item in order to get the discussion points on that.

Or we'll have to find a way, because, obviously, we're going to miss the deadlines for the

September 12th school board meeting given the milestones that they have. And so we'll have to come up with a way to get that information to get their consensus.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, they usually have special meetings, so, I mean, given, if they have a workshop, they can always tack on a special meeting.

All right. Mary, are you good or can we have staff pull up the presentation?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I'm going to bring up what I want to bring up when we do that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I think we have you and Jaclyn Strauss will have lots to say on the presentation and next steps. Okay.

Mr. Lozano?

MR. MAYERSOHN: You had Jennifer, I think, that wanted to say something.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Jennifer?

MS. STRAUSS: Nathalie, don't assume I'm going to have a lot to say on the presentation. I think it's going to be helpful for everybody.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, your comments -- right. Not the presentation, per se, but your question from earlier to Mr. Lozano.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Yeah, I just want to just put that on the record. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Did you say Jennifer or Jaclyn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, Jennifer from RSM.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. Jennifer?

MR. MAYERSOHN: She had her hand up, unless she put it down.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Murtha?

MS. MURTHA: Madam Chair, I know it's a good discussion about the timeliness of the audits, and at one point in time we had discussed more of an on-line realtime auditing consistent with the conversations that you've had in the past. So it might be something that the audit committee wants to consider effective 1/1 with the new house rules going into effect and with Mr. Lozano's changes so that it is more on-line realtime and we don't have, you know, six or seven months go by without any activity.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Correct. Okay. And we could discuss more on the 7th, but I'm trying to get through this presentation.

But, yeah, we definitely, the audit committee has asked for more timely audit information, and

I'm not sure how that works, but when Mary has her questions this may all -- we may all meet in the middle.

So let me see who -- nope, just a chat.

Okay. So Mr. Lozano, are you driving the bus, so to speak, on the presentation.

MR. LOZANO: So Joris or somebody can put up that presentation, but I'm going to start with, first of all, I want to thank the Office of Schools and -- Office of Safe Schools, Scott Strauss and Sheriff Gualtieri for all their support in support of Broward County Schools as we transition to this new model on January 1st.

So they just finalized the PowerPoint. It's not out to the public. But I'm excited because I'm going to share a portion of it with you so that you can see the new model and understand it.

So can everyone see the screen?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. Well, we could a second -- I could a second ago.

MR. LOZANO: I think it's loading up.

So, again, the first step with any time a concerning behavior or threat comes in, if it's imminent, schools immediately have to call 911 or activate their mobile panic system. Here in

Broward County Schools we use SaferWatch with Alyssa's Alert, and, you know, all schools are trained in that process when it's an imminent threat.

So the first step in the new model, so what you're going to learn is there's new role responsibilities that have kind of shifted from the principal to the school chair. So the principal at every school in the new model has to identify a chairperson and a vice chairperson who is, basically, the substitute when that chair is absent. So a concern comes in and it's reported to the chair. So, again, all school employees, volunteers, service providers are encouraged to immediately report any threat or concerning behavior that may present a threat to the community, school or themselves.

Here in Broward County we have multiple ways for student, staff and the community to report threats on our district website, through FortifyFL, through SaferWatch, so -- and, again, schools are right now training and going over with students and staff all those protocols for threat reporting.

If it's an imminent threat to life or

physical safety, school personnel must immediately report that matter to law enforcement.

So let's go to step 2. So the chair receives a concerning behavior or a threat report. The chair's responsibility is to determine if it has any factual basis. The chair has to document the same information. So our current intake form is very similar in the new model where they document who reported it, the date and time; things like that. So that — that piece is not changing.

So the chair gets the information, the chair has 24 hours from receiving that information to decide if they need to convene the full team or it was unfounded or low and they can deal with it at the school base level. And I'll talk about that a little bit more as we go through the steps. So the chair has conversations with student, does some informal fact gathering and decides, is there any factual basis to this report? So if there is factual basis, the next step for the chair is to determine what is the type of harm. So if it's self harm and has no risk to others, the chair immediately refers it to the appropriate person on the school campus.

All of our schools have a suicide prevention liaison. So the chair of the threat assessment team has to document on the intake form the date and time and the person they referred it to if it's self harm only, because that's a different process, completing a suicide risk assessment versus completing a behavior threat assessment.

So if it's self harm only, the chair completes the intake form, closes it out and it gets sent to the principal. The school principal has two days from receiving that report to review it for fidelity and sign off on it. Once the principal signs off on it, then the district threat management coordinator, which is me, has to review it and sign off on it, as well. So that's a repeated theme throughout this presentation. You're going to hear where once the school completes it, the principal has two days after to review and finalize and agree or disagree, and then two days after the principal signs off on it the district coordinator has to sign off on the document as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Real quick, Mr. Lozano, if
I can pop in. This is a different presentation?

MR. LOZANO: No, this one is the actual state

presentation that people are getting. I just wanted you to understand the new process before we go into the actual changes so you kind of understand what does the threat assessment process look like moving forward on January 1st.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Got it. Thanks.

MR. LOZANO: So the next step is, if it's a threat to others, then the chair can determine whether it needs to go to the full school based team or not. In our current model every single concerning behavior or reported threat goes to the school based team. In the new model not every report is going to go to the school based threat management team.

So if the chair reviews it and decides it doesn't need to go to the school based team, then they can -- then they can refer it for services. So they can still, okay, maybe it wasn't a threat, but this student needs interventions and support, they can still refer for services. So that was kind of our prior conversation earlier in this meeting. So that will be documented in the new model, what service, you know, they referred this student for.

So then the chair also has to report on the

intake form the documented reason of why it didn't need to go to the school based threat management team.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That decision is then reviewed by the principal within two days. And then after the principal my team within two days.

So the next step is -- so I'm the chair, I reviewed it, its factual basis, I need to meet with the school based threat management team. The chair has to convene the school based threat management team within 24 hours to do -- two things have to come out of that meeting. team has to make a preliminary level of concern, and if the team determines it's medium or high, they have to put an interim student support management plan in place immediately. So that's Because, now, schools would finish the new. threat assessment process and then create a monitoring plan. Now, in the new model, you create a plan and a preliminary -- an interim student support management plan in that first meeting to ensure you're putting safety protocols and interventions in place immediately if the team determines it's a medium or a high level of concern.

So the team meets every -- the next phase is the team meets, they made their preliminary level determination, they put a student support management plan in place, if necessary, and then the team goes out and does their roles.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the law enforcement officer would go out, review criminal history databases, look for any house calls that were made to the house. So the law enforcement would begin their work in gathering information. The mental health person on the team would begin conducting clinical interviews, would be checking on any mental health services that this student has already in place. So the mental health person would begin that process immediately after that preliminary meeting. The expert in curriculum and instruction on the team will begin looking at the academic history, the attendance, the behavior interventions, and then the school administrator will also be looking for any information they need to bring to the table.

So after that initial meeting the school has two days to reconvene and make a final determination. So when the team meets within those two days after the preliminary meeting and

the school decides it's unfounded, so there was no factual basis, it was unable to be followed through on, the threat never occurred, it didn't rise to the level of threat, the chair will close it as unfounded. It still has to be signed off by the principal within two days and then signed off by my team within two days. That is for any levels of concern that are unfounded.

So the next step is, the team determines it's a low level of concern. If the team determines it's a low level of concern after reviewing all the information, they can refer it for services without a student support management plan. So, again, all the interventions and resources the school has in place, they can still implement any -- anything they feel the student needs, even if it's a low level of concern.

The school has the option, also, of implementing a student support management plan. What's new in the new model is there are minimum requirements. Whereas, in the current model schools can put a monitoring plan in place but there's no requirement for how long it must remain in place. In the new model there are minimum requirements. So if the team determines

a low level of concern and puts a student support management plan in place, it has to remain active for a minimum of 90 days. If it's a medium level, it has to be active for 180 days. And if it's a high level, it must be active for one year after the date it was created and finalized. So there's new minimum expectations, which I think is really good, for student support management plans when a team determines one is necessary.

So, again, the principal has to review that student support management plan and then the district threat management coordinator also reviews it, as well.

If the team determines it to be a medium level treat, there has to be -- so for medium levels of threat there has to be a student support management plan. It's mandatory. And the school has the option to refer it to the district threat management team, which I lead. So if the school labels it medium but they say, you know what, maybe this should be high, we want additional support in making a determination, they can reach out to the district threat management team which will join that school based team to support them in making the right

decision.

So, again, for a medium level they create a student support management plan. It has to be in place for 180 days. As the district threat management coordinator, during my review, I may refer it to the district threat management team if I feel the student may need additional supports, academic placement, mental health supports, as well.

So the district threat management coordinator, which is me, has the option, for medium, to convene the district threat management team to support the school based team in the implementation of the threat management process and the student support management plan.

So if it's high, my team does not have a choice. For all threats that the school based team labels high the district threat management team has to convene and review the decision and process by the school to ensure it was done with fidelity and provide that school any additional supports they may need. And, again, student support management plans are required for all high level threats and they have to be in place for one year after the creation of that student

1 support management plan.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So that's basically the ebb and flow, your crash course on the ebb and flow of the new model. So I just wanted to kind of give you that global perspective of what's going to happen at the schools and with the district team as we move into January 1st, 2024.

So does anybody have questions on that process before we actually get into the requirements of House Bill 543?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Audit committee members, any questions, so far?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, let's move into the presentation.

MS. PRITYKINA: Ernie, could you please share the slides with me when you have a chance?

MR. LOZANO: Yes.

MS. PRITYKINA: Thank you.

MR. LOZANO: And there's a lot more. I just picked out that section to go over with this -- this group.

So, Mr. Jabouin, who's sharing the slides.

MS. PRITYKINA: I could share it. Hold on.

25 Let me --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Otherwise, I have to grant access.

MS. PRITYKINA: Can you see it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Now, we can.

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, we are good.

So, again, in the screen --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, hold on. But can we do this -- is it not possible to do the PowerPoint presentation, itself, or -- so it's a little bit bigger and less distracting?

MR. LOZANO: So, while she's getting that up, I'll just start kind of talking through it and introduce it. So, again, last -- in the spring of 2023 the legislature passed House Bill 543 which brought us to today where the State of Florida will create their own threat management model and implement that effective January 1st, 2024. And then in the 24-25 school year they're looking to have one portal across the State of Florida where all schools enter and put their threat management information.

So out of House Bill 543, I talked about it earlier, they created state rule 6A-1.0019, threat management, that solely focuses on threat management in the State of Florida.

have the PowerPoint presentation.

MR. LOZANO: The problem is I'm not on my computer.

PDF version. You have presentation rights if you

So, if you want to go to the next slide?

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Lozano, we only have the

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's fine. Right. It's fine. I forgot that everything gets PDF'd around here.

MR. LOZANO: But, again, the purpose of the rule is to set forth the requirements related to threat management, a process by which school districts, K-12 schools, charter schools, governing boards and charter schools identify, assess, manage and monitor potential and real threats to student safety.

So, again, my department also monitors all the charter schools. So we ensure compliance not only with our traditional center and nontraditional but we also provide oversight and training to all our charter schools, as well.

So we're on --

MS. PRITYKINA: Now, I need to scroll down how to work it. It's been a while.

MR. LOZANO: Just on the right at the bottom

you should be able to go to presentation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PRITYKINA: It's an ebb and flow, though, so -- okay. So is that good?

MR. LOZANO: So one of the things -- actually, on the bottom right, do you know how to click presentation? Right there. Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Start slide show.

MR. LOZANO: There we go.

So one of the things we have to do, the slide we were on, was the policy. So, obviously, we're going to have to update Broward County Public School Policy 4380 to be in alignment with this new rule, you know, effective January 1st. I'm currently editing and revising Policy 4380 and I have a timeline in place to make sure we meet role development and role approval prior to January 1st. I did -- my staff has reached out to get in front of the advisories. So some have already responded. We're on the September agendas. So we're working to get in front of the key advisories early before we get to the workshop and the rule adoption meetings so that we can get feedback as we move forward. are currently working on that process.

Elena, you might just want to go back to the

1 other way.

MS. PRITYKINA: Yeah, I think the PDF may -MR. LOZANO: And when I tried to open my
other one it didn't let me go to presentation for
some reason.

MS. PRITYKINA: Yeah, I'm going back to the PDF. Okay. So this is -- this is where?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah. So, again, we're working to ensure our district policy aligns with this new rule effective January 1st. That should say 2024. So we're doing it prior to January 1st 2024.

So scroll down to the next slide, please.

So, again, this is where you talk about, you know, my roles and responsibilities. So there are specific requirements for each level of concern for parent notification in the new model. So we will be able to audit when parents were notified and, you know, if they were notified based on the requirements in the new state rule.

So we are currently -- go ahead, Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They would -- they would be notified through how?

MR. LOZANO: Through ParentLink. Through

1 ParentLink.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. LOZANO: And, again, that's also -- so notifying the community is one lane, notifying the parent/guardians of the student of concern and the target is also requirements, as well, in the new model going forward.

So, again, we're right now conducting -- and today is our first day, we're running daily trainings with school based team and district staff on the new model. It's a one-day eight-hour training that we're rolling out now. And then our principals, vice chairs, and chairs also have an additional four-hour training that's role-specific to their role on the team that I will be, myself and Kim Punzi, our manager, we will be doing all the role-specific trainings to ensure, you know, that fidelity of presentation.

So, again, new to the rule is every district must have one staff member in the district assigned to the role of district threat management coordinator. So here in Broward County Public Schools, that's me. For the six largest districts in the state they allowed us to have a backup, so Kim Punzi-Elabiary, the manager

at threat assessment and I went up in the summer, we did our two-day district threat management coordinator, so both of us are already trained and ready to assume this role, and we are currently, again, the two members in the district that are assigned to handle the requirements of the district threat management coordinator. And, again, there's a huge responsibility in this role now because this individual is assigned to review every assessment that is conducted in the district, and then for medium and high levels convene that district threat management team when necessary to support the school based threat management team.

So a new requirement in the new model is every district have a district threat management team led by the district threat management coordinator. So Chief Kowalski has already assigned law enforcement officers who are members of our district threat management team. Veda Hudge has already assigned her staff members to be our mental health experts on the district team. My staff who has teacher certification is going to serve as the experts in curriculum and instruction. And then the fourth person you have

to have on the team is a district administrator. So that will be always me or Kim Punzi-Elabiary. So we can always add additional members, but we have a core team. And I didn't want to wait until January. So, like I said, we started this team meeting now to review and begin the process of reviewing all our very serious, substantive threats, so that when we roll into January we already have a clean process established in the district to meet that two-day, you know, requirement and review.

So what's new to the new model, too, is this fifth member of the school based team. So if the four individuals, your core four, do not know the student, personally, in the new model they have to have one staff member, instructional or administration, who knows this student, personally. And that's important because you want to differentiate between concerning behavior and the student's baseline behavior. So the only person that can share consistently a student's baseline behavior is somebody who, obviously, has a personal relationship with that student. So one of the new requirements in the new model is somebody who has a personal relationship with

that student needs to be part of that team. If one of the four members has that relationship, so I'm the elementary school principal and I've known this student since kindergarten and they're now in fifth grade, that person can serve as the individual. But if none of the core four know the student, personally, they have to add a member, who doesn't need to be trained, they're just providing information on that student.

Next slide, please.

So, again, the biggest shift from the current model to the new model is this chair position.

So -- so the chair has -- is basically the gatekeeper of this process. But, again, they don't act in isolation because the principal has two days to sign off on every decision and then me and my team have two days to sign off on every decision.

So all our schools have already identified their chairs and vice chairs and they will -they are currently registering for training to be ready for January 1st. I love this position,
personally, because I'm going to be able to have ongoing meetings with the chairs to review best practices. So, again, that attendance issue we

1 talked about, now that every school has a 2 designated point person who's solely responsible 3 for leading threat management, I'm going to be able to have some regular meetings to calibrate 4 5 every school, go over best practices, provide 6 So this is, to me, one of the great 7 enhancements to the new model, is having that 8 designated person at the school, and, again, 9 ensuring that we have the right chair assigned. 10 So my team is reviewing that. So we are asking 11 at this time for that chairperson to be an 12 assistant principal. As we move forward, you 13 know, as we live it and see, but as of now for 14 Broward County Public Schools our chairperson 15 will be -- you know, at the elementary level they only have one assistant principal, but at our 16 17 secondary sites where they have multiple 18 assistants the principal will choose one of the 19 administrators to be the chair of that team. 20 this includes, again, charter schools have to 21 identify as well, so we've collected this 22 information from them as well. And the chair and 23 the vice chair and the principal have an 24 additional four-hour training specific to 25 their -- their role on the team.

Any questions on the chair, vice chair?

Because I know that's a brand new position in the new model.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't see any hands up.

Any audit committee members, questions?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So far, so good.

MR. LOZANO: So next is the instrument. Mr. Mayersohn?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, Mr. Mayersohn?

Oh, now we have two people. Mayersohn and then Fertig.

Robert?

MR. LOZANO: I think you're muted.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Robert, you're muted.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. I'm unmuted now.

So just a quick question on the chair and the vice chair. And I know sometimes midway through the year you have a school based administrator that changes schools, so let's say the chair is an assistant principal and they are reassigned to another school, the vice chair will then take over temporarily until a new chair can be appointed and trained?

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. I just wanted to make sure that there's still that continuity, that we're not losing something where, again, you know, as an attribute or testing it somebody says, well, our chair left and we don't have anything available.

MR. LOZANO: So you are absolutely correct. And the chair and vice chair come from that core four, so the vice chair and the chair have to be in every meeting the school based team conducts so that that vice chair is also ready to serve if that chair is out and unable to participate on any day. That was a great question.

MS. FERTIG: Do you want me to go ahead, Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. Sorry, I muted myself because I'm typing. Go ahead.

MS. FERTIG: I'm just -- just -- just who is likely to be the chair and vice chair? Who in a school would you look to for those positions?

MR. LOZANO: So for the chair it will be the assistant principal. And then, you know, so the vice chair most likely will be the mental health expert on the team at the elementary level, secondary schools who have multiple assistant

principals may make a second assistant principal the vice chair. And because they, you know, all our assistant principals are prior teachers, they do teacher evaluations, they can serve on the team as the expert in curriculum and instruction. But one person can't do both. So they would have to have a second administrator in every meeting if that's who they choose.

So most likely, to answer your question,
Mary, it's going to be the mental health expert
or, you know, as the vice chair or another
assistant principal. The chair is going to be an
assistant principal as we roll this out with
fidelity. Because what I don't want either is a
guidance counselor is the chair and they can't
provide guidance services because they're
conducting -- you know, they have a purpose at
the school, so we want to make sure they're able
to do their primary role at the school as well.

So, we, as a district, decided we'll start with our assistant principals, and as we live it and get feedback from you, as we move forward, you know, we may make different decisions on who is the best. So that's what we're going to all learn together as we roll this out.

1 MS. FERTIG: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Then Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Thank you. Mr. Lozano, the language in the rule doesn't specifically exclude parents, but I think that's the intent, and -- that's question one.

And then, secondly, the experts, the mental health or law enforcement people on these committees, can they be parents or should they be parents? Do you have any feel for that?

MR. LOZANO: So they should not. So where the parent becomes a part of the process is in the development of the student support management plan. When the school is determining the level of concern, we don't invite parents into those meetings. They're not a required member. Once we determine the level of concern we invite the parent in to be a part of the development of the student support management plan.

MR. DE MEO: So they don't have a role on any of the teams; is that --

MR. LOZANO: No.

MR. DE MEO: And I think that makes sense. I just wanted a clarification. Okay.

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So just hold on. Just to be clear, so I was taking it to mean like you have SAC and SAF, which, of course, have parents. I didn't think you would put the parent of the kid that has the issue on this team.

MR. LOZANO: Correct. We don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But you're saying nobody who's a parent would be on this team regardless of -- okay. Just checking.

So, if you are not the parent of MR. LOZANO: the student of concern, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, but you have some information -- because you can add additional members, they're just not a core mandatory member. So, you know, you'll have your five mandatory members, but there may be four other people that can provide information that is important to that team. Honestly, they wouldn't stay for the whole meeting, but they would be invited in to share. So there could be times someone from the community or a parent would be invited in to share information. Again, they wouldn't participate in the whole meeting. But the parent of the student of concern is not participating in the school based threat management team meeting.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Got it. Thank you.

MR. LOZANO: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, just to -- I guess because it's very broad in the description of somebody who has personal knowledge or relationship with that student, so what is the protocol? Is it first the teacher; is it first the guidance counselor? Is there a process to that or how is that going to work itself out?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Mayersohn, I need to step away for my dog. You're chairing the meeting.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

MR. LOZANO: So, again, it should be the person with the most knowledge of the student that can provide the most relevant information to that team. So there's not like a designated, go to this person first, go to this person second, it's really that chair and school based team identifying an individual, and right now it has to be instructional or administration, that had some personal knowledge of the student.

So even now in the beginning of the year a few schools have called, like, this is a brand new student for us, we don't know, we had someone

from their prior school join that school's meeting so they can share some information on that student. So you're really looking for somebody who has, you know, personal knowledge on this student.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I guess going back to RSM, is that attribute auditable?

MS. MANLOVE: Jamie, I'm going to defer to you.

MS. BARDEE: Can you repeat the question, please?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So is somebody who has personal knowledge of the student or relationship with the student, is that an auditable attribute to test?

MS. BARDEE: So I think the key there is documentation and how will we know that that person on that BTA, the threat assessment, is that person? And so, you know, when we look at other meetings, other teams, we're able to clearly identify those. And so with each threat, because that's going to change, it's going to be the documentation that's key on that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So, I guess, moving forward we'll have to figure out how to document

1 that.

MR. LOZANO: So they'll document it on the intake form, everyone who was present.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. But you won't know -I mean, I guess my question is verifying or
auditing the fact that, yes, you know, Mr. De Meo
knows this student, personally. He'd have to
have some form of documentation to say, yes, I
know this student. I coached him in baseball,
I've done this, he's in my class, and that's how
I know him from a personal perspective.

Not to pick on you, Mr. De Meo.

MR. DE MEO: I think, Mr. Mayersohn, that goes beyond the scope of what the auditors can provide. They can opine on accounting matters and matters that they're expert in, but with regard to whether or not somebody sufficiently knows someone for these purposes is strictly judgmental and I don't think we could task or ask them to -- I just don't think it's fair to ask them to do that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, that's why I said, if it's auditable, it's auditable. If it's not, you know, and there's a way to figure that out, that's fine. If not, then that part we can't

1 audit.

MR. DE MEO: They can audit whether or not somebody was appointed in accordance with the rules, but not whether or not they know the person or know them sufficiently or make a judgment about the process.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MR. LOZANO: Next slide, please? I don't see any hands up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, wait, wait. Back up.

Sorry, I muted myself. Operational -
operational definition, what does personal

knowledge mean? Because regardless of whether

it's a parent or not, what is that going to mean

for this process? They know the name of the

student? They've, you know -- that needs to be

documented, I think.

MR. LOZANO: So it needs to be -- you know, it needs to be somebody to what Mr. Mayersohn said, I coached this kid; I was their sixth and seventh grade history teacher; I had this student in class for two years. Because the purpose of the member on the team is to have more than just I know this student's name, to your point. So it should be somebody who can provide relevant

1 information on a student.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I -- I think that needs to be formalized, because I could see this sort of becoming very subjective. You know, it could be that they have knowledge, but none of it's positive. Or they have knowledge but it's only positive so that you don't -- you know, you only have sort of a one-sided personal knowledge of the person. So I think it's just something to think about.

I don't see any hands up. I know the next slide you guys have made it to was the transition from EdPlan to Focus, I think.

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is Dr. Phillips here to speak to that one?

MR. LOZANO: He is, but I will start, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. LOZANO: So if you want to go down to the slide --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Slide 10?

I know Rebecca said she has to leave at noon, so we have like 22 minutes, not just for this, but for the entire agenda.

So, again, after the tragedy of MR. LOZANO: MSD, the district worked with PCG, which is EdPlan, to develop the electronic platform where we currently house all our threat assessments. We have a contract with PCG currently through the rest of this school year. So for January through June we will be using the same platform we are now for the new model. I have been in meetings with PCG as they are currently building out the specifications so the forms match the new model, so we -- and then the flow process, right, of that school based team finalizes, then it has to be sent to the principal for two days, then it has to be sent to my team. So PCG is building all those processes out now so that we should be ready to roll with the new format to meet the new model specifications January 1st, 2024.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So then we will use the current EdPlan system. Now, Focus is also a platform that currently some districts use to manage their threat assessments. So they are doing the same thing as PCG right now, is building their platform to be ready for January 1st, 2024. My team will have to put trainings together for spring of 2024 so we are ready for that

transition for the next school year when we move into Focus. So for the 24-25 school year we are slated to handle all our threat assessment processes in the Focus database so that it's in the same system as all our other student information so it will be a lot easier to cross-reference data and have everything in the same portal.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Does anyone -- Mary, did you have questions? Jaclyn? If we can stay on that slide a minute, because -- so this -- where it says January 1, that's '24, it says documentation of threat management will transition to Focus for the 24-25 school year, but the contract is through this school year. So is the contract going to be extended or just abruptly end and then we'll be using what? We'll be using Focus for 24-25. But worst case scenario, there's a delay or something happens, we have no -- nothing?

MR. LOZANO: So we're not the only district that might be in that dilemma as all the vendors are, you know, working hard now across the state to be ready for January 1st. So the state is working on, in case certain vendors aren't ready,

they're going to have fillable PDFs for us to use in the meantime until we are up and running.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, what I'm asking is, the PCG contract runs through June '24 and then it says documentation of threat management will transition to Focus for the 24-25 school year.

So what I'm saying is, come June '24, PCG packs up its toys and goes home, in theory, according to this bullet point --

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- and then we hope that Focus is ready to go live for 24-25 or am I misinterpreting?

MR. LOZANO: You are right on point.

DR. PHILLIPS: So you're on point. The district -- the only thing I want to highlight is we'll be running Focus in the background from January through June. So we'll be doing trainings in there, we'll be making sure that it is up and ready. So go live is kind of a misnomer, it's really -- that's when we're transitioning over, but it will be live and functional for several months before the turnover.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I'm going to make

myself a bullet on my note, Focus running January to June in background.

DR. PHILLIPS: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That was my only question on that slide.

Anybody -- I see no hands.

It says, I see in the chat, is moving to
Focus mandatory? Is it tested? Obviously, it's
not mandatory but we bought it, so it's
happening. I don't know if Phyllis is able to
speak? Is it tested? I don't know if that just
answered her question.

Basically, you'll be doing testing?

MS. SHAW: Good morning.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wait, she's here.

MS. SHAW: Yes. Good morning. That's what I wanted to know. And, also, is the testing in the background, are you doing parallel, currently?

Can we get the results on the test?

DR. PHILLIPS: So we'll be -- we can share results of the test. So we'll be doing some parallel at the same time. We don't want to have the whole district work out of two systems at the same time, but part of having it run in the background is making sure that we're getting the

training in and then also doing some parallel type, side-by-side type comparison. And one of the biggest things that we're going to be doing over the six-month period is making sure that the automated triggers and alerts that we're building are working properly before we go live. Mr. Lozano said, when it's all on one system there's a lot more that we can do and make it automated. Such as, if something hasn't been -you know, let's just say a threat assessment's been started but not completed within 24 hours, we can set an automated alert for that to escalate. If it didn't have the right people or something like that we can set up automated triggers that can escalate up through district, through different work flows and that kind of thing. So we want to make sure we have all of that tested before we transition in July.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOZANO: And I think what's nice is all the other districts that will need to use Focus in January, because that's our current system, they're going to be working out the bugs for six months until we roll in July 1. So, you know, we'll be coming in at six months after they implemented their product, so it won't be like

day 1 of them going live with the new model. So that'll be a benefit.

MS. SHAW: That sounds great. Thank you.

So then my other question is, I have so many things to look at as we're doing, Focus, is it audited -- is it auditable? So, in a sense, let's say someone goes in and makes changes, will you be able to track to see who made those changes? And when the auditors come in will it be a system that will be able to be audited?

DR. PHILLIPS: Every single change that's made in Focus is logged and auditable. So -we're also able to break down by permission level according to whether it's job code or what the individual's position is. So we can also make sure those permissions are granular. So Mr.
Lozano can only get in and make certain changes.
So, while we can audit, and that's really good on the back end to see what changes are made and who made them, we can also put in some preventative measures at the front end for who's even allowed to make certain types of changes.

MS. SHAW: Perfect. Thank you very much.

That was it, Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Anyone

else with any questions? Otherwise, I think
we'll move on to just an overview for the agenda.
Oh, there's Mary. Okay. Nope?

MS. FERTIG: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I have a question overall on everything. I just want to make this comment and then perhaps we could have a conversation about it next week. I -- I am concerned about having an audit committee staff member doing the audit of this. I think that there are advantages to having an outside auditor, you know, double-checking the work that we're doing. also have seen -- I feel like RSM has been doing it, they know what they're doing, there's no learning curve. And my third point would be, we've all seen so many vacancies in the audit department that I don't -- I just don't want to see us in a situation where we don't have the staff to do it. We have -- by having an outside person we have somebody else looking at what we're doing, and I -- I would like to discuss this next week. When it does go to the board, I'm going to be asking next week, Nathalie, when you get to the agenda, if we can pass a motion

incorporating what we feel the best review of this from an auditor would be.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Right. So --

MS. FERTIG: And that was my final comment on all of what you've talked about this morning.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Phyllis, were you coming back or this is your hand up from before? You're good?

MS. SHAW: I was just going to make it quick. And I think Mary missed my question when I asked if it was auditable, and that was the idea that it's not something, it should be an outside company that should audit it. I think the audit department has enough with their hands full and everything else that we're going to ask them to do. Plus, I think it's better if a third party is doing the audit anyway. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So, yeah, so my plan is for this to be the first topic on our next week agenda so we can pick up where we left off. So we won't rehash everything we just did today, we'll boil it down. Come with any proposed, written preferably, motion, so we can discuss internal versus external. It would seem -- right, I'm concerned, I share Mary's concerns

about the learning curve. For me, too, it's qualifications. Because this is sort of a new area. So in the short-term -- I mean, maybe long-term, but certainly in the short-term it would seem outside would be the way to stay, especially since we've been fine tuning and then we're about to have a change. So -- but that's more for discussion on the 7th. So the intent is is that we will start, I think I have a draft up here of the agenda which -- so it would be first up -- this is not the version. This is today's. So we would start where we left off today, essentially, and start with the Behavioral Threat Assessment Policy and Procedure Audit. These are not times -- these are the existing timestamps. I've just been working on the items.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So please bring any sort of summarized comments, concerns, questions and proposed motions related to this so that we can hit the ground running at the meeting next week is what I would ask of the members.

Does anyone else have anything else on this topic? I see a person -- Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you, Chair. Yes. Were you discussing the agenda, Chair, for the

September 7th meeting?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I will be next. I just want to make sure --

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- right, that if -- I think RSM is leaving, just so they know we're done with this topic, but they will be up once we get past sort of our regular minutia, that they would be first on the agenda, which I think has already been communicated to them.

So, yeah, so then next, if we're done with that, thank you to staff, RSM, everybody involved there, and we'll just move into some housekeeping and then I think we're good, because this is supposed to be our one topic.

Yes, Mr. De Meo.

MR. DE MEO: Before they leave, I just want to point something out related to what Mary said. You know, in the last report there were 97 serious threats --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: -- and that represented a six-month period. RSM audited 26 of those. So if in a year, let's just extrapolate and say there's 200 serious threats, they are saying that

they want to charge \$322,500 for an additional 81 every six months or 162. At \$200 an hour, that's over a day's work. That just doesn't compute to me. And I don't understand why it's so expensive. Now, maybe I'm not considering all the steps, but the hours just don't work out. So that's one comment.

Another comment is that, under the right supervision and assuming that our auditor in house is trained and properly supervised, I really don't have any issue with performing in collaboration -- in cooperation with RSM, say, as an added staff, for example, having someone from our staff from, from our chief auditor's staff, work together to help with the audit so that we can accomplish what I think we should have, 100 percent audit of the serious threats.

So those are the two things that I think that are pertinent to next -- next month's or next week's --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Week's.

MR. DE MEO: Next week's meeting; yeah. So I'm inclined to follow that path in terms of motions.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I think we need a

more detailed breakdown. I thought that their proposal was to audit everything as opposed to the sample. Because they audited, let's see, I'm looking at page 7, the total population versus the sample. So the total population of very serious was 97, the total sample was 50. Serious substantive was 250. They audited 50. So -- and then -- yeah, those are totals. So it was 347 versus 100. And we had asked for some other details as far as geographic. But the total population certainly of, I believe, serious substantive and very serious.

So I guess if we could see the number crunching behind this because I hadn't crunched the numbers, but if we could see where that's coming from, because then we could make recommendations as far as -- or make sure we're all on the same page as far as what we think would be what this additional amount, where that's coming from.

And we also -- I don't think we know what these audits are costing now.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, right. I'd be shocked if the entire cost is 322,000.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So for next week then, Mr.

Jabouin, we kind of need to see the cost -- you know, the proposal in terms of cost and a breakdown so that we can make an informed recommendation.

And then the -- to your point, Mr. De Meo, yes, training and supervision, but, remember, we have an Office of the Chief Auditor where the two direct reports to the chief auditor are not CPAs. And so that sort of, you know, creates some concern; and not certified internal auditors or fraud examiners or any of those other certifications. So -- but we can discuss more next week because Rebecca says she has to go in six minutes, but, Mary?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, and I -- I had multiple points on this. I think there is an advantage to an arm's length relationship on this. I think this is, you know, our children's safety is probably our number one concern. So whatever we can do to ensure that we are getting just a report that doesn't have any -- you know what I'm saying.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Totally independent.

MS. FERTIG: Thank you. But the other

concern I have, and I've been on this committee a long time, you have to, is how many times we've seen vacancies on the staff and the things that can't get done already. And we're looking at this, one, how much this costs, so I would be interested to know what all the different audits that we've done have cost, so that we can actually make a fair comparison. But I believe that, you know, I think there's an advantage to the experience, but I also think there is advantage to certainty that there will be a person in place and that they're not looking to hire somebody that they haven't been able to hire in years.

So, with that, I'll save everything else for next week. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Mr. Jabouin and then we're going to move on so we can wrap up.

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, yes, thank you, Chair.

So I do -- are you discussing the agenda next for December 7th, Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, I thought you had a response. So we're asking --

MR. JABOUIN: I do have a response to that;

1 yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So -- so the answer is, yes, to both, cost proposal, detailed breakdown so we can make an informed recommendation to the board, and Mary just mentioned all the costs of all the audits, which should be on record and easily pulled together. And if not for next week, certainly, for this one, what have the behavioral threat assessment audits cost, you know, each one, so that we have an understanding of where we are and how that's different from what's being proposed.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so noted, Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Ms. Ighodaro?

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry, Chair, I'm almost done.

So RSM has done a fantastic job for us and I think the vision is not to replace them, I think it's to augment some of the work and probably provide more quicker reports internally. So we do value their -- their work. But, obviously, that will evolve through the recommendations of the committee and discussions with the board. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Ms. Ighodaro, did

you have a question or a comment?

MS. IGHODARO: I do. This is -- since we're talking about the cost of audits, I remember closing out the 22-23 school year there was a motion listed that we were supposed to discuss in the very first meeting of this school year about doing a mass audit of -- I'm looking at my notes, I believe it was for the internal controls.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, yeah, we're going, that's on the agenda, internal controls.

MS. IGHODARO: On the agenda for today?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On the 7th to start, to kind of start that discussion on internal controls. In fact, MSL was on the last agenda and they are being asked to come so they can provide an overview of internal controls. So that's there. We have two -- just to review, so we have the Behavioral Threat Assessment Policy and Procedure Audit. AppliTrack, we didn't pass last time because that was thrown -- we were discussing that in the last two seconds of the meeting. There's the Dave Thomas Internal Funds Audit and then MSL, an internal controls discussion, because we were looking at HR and Procurement, I think we discussed, so -- so,

basically, defining what our expectations are and what the issues are there. One of the things -so MSL has a presentation that they started and I spoke to Eddie Castaneda as far as what our, you know, what the gist of our concerns were from I dug up, and this sort of dovetails into what he'll be presenting, but I thought it made it a -- it boiled it down a little better, and I can send this as a link, I think this is important, the control environment. The control environment sets the tone of an organization, influencing the control consciousness of its people. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control, providing discipline and structure. Control environment factors include the integrity, ethical values and competence of the entity's people; management's philosophy and operating style; the way management assigns authority and responsibility, and organizes and develops its people; and the attention and direction provided by board of directors, in which in this case would be the school board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I -- the way my thought process works is to go from general to specific. So for me to

wrap my brain around what we're doing, I would start with, you know, a general discussion of internal controls, which MSL will start with, and then how it applies to HR and Procurement, because those were the two areas that were now added to the audit plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we also need to bring the audit plan back, and I believe that we asked for the level of risk to see that in the audit plan items. So there's a lot of things where --

So, next week, in addition to things we have to discuss, we want to kind of hit the re-set button and set the tone for the rest of the year. One of the things I asked the chief auditor for that he provided me with was, I wanted sort of a calendar so we could plan. So we'll have this next week. So for September these were the two that were time sensitive. We also have an October meeting. There's nothing time sensitive There's a November meeting, which just there. has the ACFR. January, as you can see, is pretty well packed with things that are required. that's not one we should see any additions to or maybe something small. And then he made a list of projects that are in process, PPO Maintenance

Contract Payment; Payroll Business Support

Center; Payroll Athletics; the vending machine

audit; Procurement & Warehousing Services, B

Stock; Caps and Gowns Follow Up; PCG Follow Up;

SMART Bond, which is quarterly, which we should have that pretty much on a routine schedule at this point.

But as far as next week goes and what we tabled last time, if we're going to discuss the Business Support Center Payroll, then we might as well discuss Internal Funds at the same time.

And if we're going to discuss Athletics & Student Services Internal Funds we may as well discuss, if there's something pending, for their payroll.

And then we had -- the only thing that probably isn't going to take up a lot of time because I believe there were no findings is Property & Inventory for the Office of the Superintendent, Board Office and Building Department. That can probably be on next week's agenda.

These motions we just addressed. I'm not sure if we'll have time for a verbal report. And instead of Bylaws, what we don't have is a policy. And so policy -- you know, bylaws flow

from your policy. So I'm going to be replacing this word with "policy". Because we've never had one. We keep almost getting one, the audit committee, but we've never actually followed through and gotten one.

So that is basically, I think, all we can manage for next week. And this will just be to form a committee and kind of get the framework going, not to go through a policy and try to write one. Because we would need a subcommittee to do that.

So that's what is left on the agenda and we should be able to get through that in our usual timeframe.

Does anyone have any questions or comments on that?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I see Ms. Ighodaro and then you and Ms. Marquardt.

Ms. Ighodaro?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Nope? Maybe her hand was up from before or is this a new --

MS. IGHODARO: Yeah, it was from before. My apologies.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No problem. No problem. Ms. Marquardt?

MS. MARQUARDT: Yeah, thank you. I just wanted to make sure that you'll end up getting with me so we can finalize it. And then if you have any additional attachments or documents, just so that I get them, because we'll be working on getting the package ready this week.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Of course. That's why I pulled this up so you can see it's a real thing. So I'm just going to finalize it.

I will say, as I was scrolling through here, there were a couple of things that I missed last time. Yes, we want a person will speak for a maximum of three minutes. There's no rule that I'm aware of that each speaker -- because if you're allowed to speak to an agenda item, this limits you to two agenda items. So I think the Chair may reduce each individual speaker's time limit or set the maximum time covers everything. We don't need this sentence in here, but I'll strike it when I send it in. And then this, audit committee members and district staff will not engage in dialogue with the speakers, I understand the intent, but nothing drives a human

being crazier that takes the time to come to a meeting than to have everybody look at them like they're stones or bumps on a log and not respond, especially if it's something that they're very concerned about. Again, there's no rule I'm aware of that prohibits us from responding or getting staff to respond, especially if it's time sensitive. And then no action shall be taken on the speaker's topic, that applies to today we couldn't take any action, but I'm not sure what, unless otherwise indicated on the agenda. So I guess I'm going to have to get clarification from the chief auditor, because I'm not sure what that means, actually. So -- and not necessarily now, because it's 12:05.

And, finally, Mr. Jabouin, do you have anything to add?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So I will work with you on the language that you just mentioned because I think the Chair determines most of that. I just wanted to double check, obviously, as Ms.

Marquardt indicated, we have to post the agenda tomorrow, so I can work -- I can talk to you off-line, but it does appear that you've added back the Internal Funds of the Superintendent and

the Board Office and then you'll be putting in the Audit Committee Policy on there.

What I also wanted to ask is, if you could put a place for me to address the different follow-up points from the audit committee? So it could be certain things like the parliamentarian situation that was discussed last time.

Obviously, I'll need to address the different points with respect to the scheduling of the behavioral threat assessment that we discussed today and a few other items as well. So if I can speak to you about putting a pocket for that outside of those agenda items.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I mean, the
Behavioral Threat Assessment Policy and Procedure
Audit I think any timing would be attached to
that.

MR. JABOUIN: I see.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. And then parliamentarian versus training, I think the motion was for either-or. So I can put that in under -- it kind of relates to policy, so I'll add that back, but I don't want to hold everybody up.

And then everything else would roll into

October depending on the timing of their companion audit. And, of course, with the goal of only putting on enough that we can get through during a meeting so that we can sufficiently address all these. Even though we had a special meeting on the Behavioral Threat Assessment Audit, I'm thinking we need, based on knowing us, at least, I would say an hour, I would like to say half an hour, but what do you guys --

Mr. De Meo, any thoughts, Mr. Mayersohn, on length of time?

Phyllis?

MS. SHAW: Yeah, I think it may take a little bit longer because a number of our board members are not on here. So those who are not here will have comments, so it may take a little bit longer than an hour, from my perspective, I believe.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we started out -yeah, we started out with everybody, but, okay.

So I was thinking an hour, but, no, I'm good like
an hour and a half, just so they know kind of
what to expect. But our entire meeting -- and
then everything else is fairly short after. The
Internal Controls, though, could be another
lengthy discussion. So those would be the two

1 major things, would be --

MS. DAHL: So what time -- what time are we meeting on Thursday?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The 7th? What time are we suppose to be meeting?

MR. JABOUIN: It's 9:30, Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 9:30. They're all at 9:30.

MS. DAHL: So from now on we're meeting from 9:30 and end time?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It depends.

MS. DAHL: Well, that's the problem. Because if we're starting earlier --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What time have we been going 'til? I mean, in theory, we should be done by noon. Like today we're just -- just over that. But if we're saying two and a half hours -- Phyllis?

MS. SHAW: I did pass a motion that we need to set an end time. I don't remember what the motion was, because I -- and the motion did pass. So we may want to go hack and look at that motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- okay. So for next week what are we saying; noon; two and a half hours?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I think noon, if we set it as a goal, that's what it is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Everybody good with noon? Mary? And then since we're trying to adhere to our noon --

MS. FERTIG: I was just going to say 12:30, because I think that's realistic. But I would just say let's try noon and if it's not, if it doesn't work then we can change it for the next meeting. But let's not go past that, whatever we're trying to do.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Aim for noon, hard stop 12:30. Okay. Sounds good. Sounds good to me.

All right, everybody. So it's 12:09, unless we have anything else, I'm going to adjourn the meeting of this Special Audit Committee Meeting. So we started at 9:32, end at 12:10. Take care everybody.

(Meeting was concluded at 12:10 p.m.)

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD 3 4 I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary 5 Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did 7 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and 8 that the transcript is a true and complete record of 9 my stenographic notes thereof. 10 Dated this 6th day of September, 2023, Fort 11 Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. 12 13 14 TIMOTHY R. BASS Court Reporter 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

| | 115 10 100 6 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <u>A</u> | 115:13 122:6 126:24 |
| a.m 1:16 6:11 | adding 55:15 |
| ability 10:15 35:25 | addition 47:22 49: |
| 56:17 | 122:11 |
| able 28:23 31:20 | additional 13:15 |
| 32:19 36:12 44:18 | 25:24 43:6 47:10 |
| 45:13,15 47:19 53:4 | |
| 57:17,18 88:1 89:18 | 48:25 83:22 84:7 90:14 92:3 94:24 |
| 93:23 94:4 97:18 | |
| 101:20 108:10 | 99:13 115:1 116: |
| 110:8,10,13 118:13 | 125:6 |
| 124:13 | additions 122:23 |
| abruptly 106:17 | address 25:15 36:1 |
| absence 30:24 | 127:4,8 128:5 |
| absences 57:6 | addressed 12:9 25: |
| absent 50:11,18 | 123:22 |
| 76:12 | adhere 130:5 |
| absolutely 52:8 53:24 | adjourn 130:15 |
| 56:6 96:7 | adjust 56:14 |
| absorb 12:22 | administration 92: |
| abuse 26:19 40:19 | 100:21 |
| academic 45:4 81:18 | administrator 34:1 |
| 84:8 | 81:19 92:1 95:19 |
| Academy 45:4 | 97:7 |
| access 86:2 | administrators 47: |
| accomplish 115:16 | 51:18 55:5 94:19 |
| accountability 28:12 | adopted 35:1 |
| 39:18 54:18 | adoption 88:22 |
| accountable 54:24 | advantage 117:16 |
| accounting 102:15 | 118:9,11 |
| accurate 51:13,20 | advantages 111:11 |
| 52:6 55:8 | advise 4:11 24:10 |
| accurately 58:1 | advising 11:10 12: |
| ACFR 122:21 | 66:12 |
| act 47:4 58:25 93:15 | advisories 88:18,2 |
| Acting 2:16 | advisory 10:25 |
| action 10:21 70:23 | agencies 32:15,15 |
| 126:8,10 | 33:4 41:10 |
| activate 75:25 | agency 32:25 |
| active 83:2,4,5 | agenda 63:4 72:22 |
| activities 35:5 | 104:25 111:2,25 |
| activity 74:20 | 112:20 113:10,25 |
| acts 34:23 35:2 | 114:9 118:21 |
| actual 36:14 78:25 | 120:10,11,14 |
| 79:3 | 123:21 124:12 |
| add 23:16 29:22 | 125:17,18 126:11 |
| 42:25 55:10 63:11 | 126:22 127:13 |
| 67:1 92:3 93:7 | agendas 88:20 |
| 99:12 126:17 | ago 39:20 61:12,15 |
| 127:23 | 64:16 75:20 |
| added 18:11 55:3 | agree 36:10 78:19 |
| | |

| 115:13 122:6 |
|-----------------------------------|
| 126:24 |
| adding 55:15 |
| addition 47:22 49:2 |
| 122:11 |
| additional 13:15 |
| 25:24 43:6 47:10 |
| 48:25 83:22 84:7,21 |
| 90:14 92:3 94:24 |
| 99:13 115:1 116:19 125:6 |
| additions 122:23 |
| address 25:15 36:1 |
| 127:4,8 128:5 |
| addressed 12:9 25:22 |
| 123:22 |
| adhere 130:5 |
| adjourn 130:15 |
| adjust 56:14 |
| administration 92:17 |
| 100:21 |
| administrator 34:10 |
| 81:19 92:1 95:19 |
| 97:7 |
| administrators 47:13 |
| 51:18 55:5 94:19 |
| adopted 35:1 |
| adoption 88:22 |
| advantage 117:16 |
| 118:9,11 |
| advantages 111:11 |
| advise 4:11 24:10 |
| advising 11:10 12:12 66:12 |
| advisories 88:18,21 |
| advisory 10:25 |
| agencies 32:15,15 |
| 33:4 41:10 |
| agency 32:25 |
| agenda 63:4 72:22 |
| 104:25 111:2,25 |
| 112:20 113:10,25 |
| 114:9 118:21 |
| 120:10,11,14 |
| 123:21 124:12 |
| 125:17,18 126:11 |
| 126:22 127:13 |
| agendas 88:20 |
| ago 39:20 61:12,15 |
| < 1 1 < 5 = 30 |

| ognood 10-16 02-16 |
|---|
| agreed 12:16 23:16 66:17 |
| |
| agreement 48:16 ahead 6:21 17:25 |
| 19:21 24:20 27:8 |
| 56:5 65:22 71:1 |
| 72:21 89:21 96:14 |
| 96:17 |
| aid 46:20 |
| Aim 130:12 |
| Alan 2:16 8:25 61:19 |
| ALBERTI 2:16 |
| alert 76:2 109:12 |
| alerts 109:5 |
| Ali 2:10 8:4 |
| alignment 88:12 |
| aligns 89:9 |
| Allegiance 6:13,14 |
| allow 19:10 22:9,10 |
| allowed 90:24 110:21 |
| 125:17 |
| alternative 26:15 |
| Alyssa's 76:2 |
| amount 13:9 116:19 and/or 27:22 |
| Andrew 2:5 7:18 |
| Andrews 1:24 |
| Angela 2:18 9:7 |
| announce 8:18,22 |
| answer 16:7 19:19 |
| 20:7,10,12,14,15,18 |
| 20:21 31:8,10,16 |
| 33:8 36:1 40:5 |
| 56:21 57:3 60:11 |
| 62:19 97:9 119:2 |
| answered 4:23,23 |
| 29:6,15 32:7 39:5 |
| 49:9 55:19 108:12 |
| answers 29:12 62:2 |
| 62:12 |
| Anthony 2:3 7:5 |
| anybody 6:8 9:3,13 |
| 9:19 17:1 31:2 85:8 108:6 |
| anyway 26:20 43:13 |
| 112:17 |
| apologies 124:25 |
| apologize 69:6 |
| apparent 30:8 |
| appear 126:24 |
| applies 122:4 126:9 |
| _ |
| |

| AppliTrack 120:19 |
|---|
| apply 15:16 65:6,7 |
| 69:14 |
| |
| appoint 11:21 |
| appointed 95:24 |
| 103:3 |
| appointees 66:21 |
| appreciate 49:20 |
| 60:11 61:16 |
| approach 13:22 |
| 21:24 22:2,4 |
| appropriate 24:2 |
| 36:15 42:5 46:3 |
| 77:25 |
| appropriateness |
| 45:16 |
| approval 88:16 |
| approximately 25:2 |
| Arcese 2:10 8:4 |
| area 42:20 58:9 59:3 |
| 113:3 |
| |
| AREANAS 2:21 |
| areas 46:25 122:5 |
| ARENAS 51:23 52:8 |
| 53:24 56:6 |
| argue 16:2 27:24 |
| 37:19 |
| arm's 117:17 |
| articulate 15:4 |
| Ascellia 2:21 51:2,2 |
| 51:22 52:1 55:12 |
| 56:5 |
| aside 59:23 |
| asked 10:4 18:15 |
| 27:13 34:7 39:3 |
| 64:17,18 65:12 |
| 74:25 112:10 116:9 |
| 120:15 122:8,14 |
| asking 11:5 14:25 |
| 15:1 17:23 19:25 |
| 20:6,21 39:5 45:7 |
| |
| 69:3,4,5 71:8 94:10 107:3 111:24 |
| |
| 118:24 |
| aspects 13:4,7 |
| assembled 44:22 |
| assess 87:15 |
| assessment 2:20 4:20 |
| 10:13 13:3 22:19 |
| |
| 43:23 44:14 63:7 78:2,6,7 79:4 80:18 |

91:1,10 101:18 106:3 113:14 119:9 120:18 127:10,15 128:6 assessment's 109:10 assessments 37:11,17 43:21 105:4,21 assigned 12:7 34:11 46:11,18,21 59:10 61:22 90:21 91:6,9 91:19,21 94:9 assignment 36:13 **assigns** 121:19 assist 57:17,24 assistant 2:10 56:18 94:12,16 95:21 96:22,25 97:1,3,12 97:13,21 assistants 94:18 Associate 2:17 associated 23:12 assume 73:20 91:4 **assuming** 56:25 58:14 115:9 **Athletics** 123:2,12 attached 127:16 attachments 125:6 attempting 28:16 attendance 2:1,22 31:14 49:16 50:13 50:16 51:3,4,5,13 51:20 52:6,14,16,19 52:20,21,22,23 53:1 53:5,7 54:10 55:9 55:13,19,23 56:1,3 56:7,11,13,15,19 58:1 81:18 93:25 attention 58:2,5 121:21 **attribute** 23:16 29:5 29:13 31:12 34:13 50:2,5 96:4 101:7 101:14 attributes 22:24 23:12,14 25:14 **audible** 35:18 **audit** 1:5 2:10 4:4,21 6:11 10:13 11:8,21 12:22 13:4,5,8 18:11 19:7 20:1 21:21 22:16,17,23

| | | | I | I |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 23:6,11,15,15 25:1 | available 13:12 29:3 | begun 63:12 | 123:19 127:1 | calendar 32:3 122:16 |
| 25:5 26:17 27:24,25 | 52:9 53:6 62:6 96:6 | behavior 13:3 43:7 | 128:14 | calibrate 94:4 |
| 29:2,8,17 30:6 | Avenue 1:24 | 49:1 75:23 76:16 | boards 87:14 | call 6:10,17,20,22 |
| 31:20 33:22 35:11 | average 52:20 | 77:5 78:7 79:11 | Bob 43:11 49:21 | 8:19 9:4,5,23 26:25 |
| 36:9,24 39:6,15,19 | avoid 4:22 | 81:18 92:19,20,22 | Bob's 48:7 | 32:16 35:16 44:2 |
| 40:18 41:20 45:13 | aware 15:15 42:11,14 | behavioral 2:20 4:20 | bodies 43:15,23 | 75:24 |
| 45:25 57:9 62:4,12 | 63:25 125:16 126:6 | 10:12,13 12:14 | boil 61:11 112:22 | called 37:21 100:24 |
| 62:20 63:8,15 65:16 | | 37:11,17 63:7 | boiled 121:8 | calls 81:8 |
| 65:20 66:8,11,14,15 | <u>B</u> | 113:13 119:9 | Bond 123:5 | camera 53:13 55:1 |
| 66:16,19,25 67:7,22 | B 2:13 123:3 | 120:18 127:10,15 | boots 47:10 | campus 56:16 57:1,1 |
| 68:7 74:15,24,25 | back 5:1 13:15 30:12 | 128:6 | bottom 87:25 88:5 | 77:25 |
| 85:11 89:18 95:5 | 35:22 36:4 42:7 | behaviors 47:12 | bought 108:9 | Caps 123:4 |
| 103:1,2 110:18 | 44:6 57:5 58:12 | believe 10:19 11:6 | bouncing 6:8 | capture 42:2,13 |
| 111:10,11,17 | 88:25 89:6 101:6 | 38:1 39:16 64:14 | brain 122:1 | care 47:21 130:17 |
| 112:13,13,17 | 103:10 110:19 | 116:11 118:8 120:8 | brand 95:2 100:24 | Carter-Lynch 2:2 |
| 113:14 115:15,17 | 112:7 122:8 126:25 | 122:8 123:17 | break 23:12 110:13 | 6:23,24 43:11 45:2 |
| 116:2 120:7,19,23 | 127:23 | 128:17 | breakdown 116:1 | 45:6 |
| 122:6,7,9 123:3 | background 107:17 | benefit 110:2 | 117:3 119:4 | case 17:1 30:4 39:7 |
| 124:3 125:23 127:2 | 108:2,18,25 | best 39:13 93:24 94:5 | brief 63:3 | 41:23 42:9 106:18 |
| 127:5,16 128:2,7 | backup 90:25 | 97:24 112:1 | bring 33:13 49:3 | 106:25 121:22 |
| 130:16 | backwards 42:22 | better 58:8 59:16 | 65:10 73:11,12 | cases 41:22 58:3 |
| auditable 27:14,21,21 | Baker 47:4 58:25 | 112:16 121:8 | 81:21 113:17 122:7 | cast 9:20 |
| 29:1,1 32:19 38:18 | balances 42:17 51:12 | beyond 102:14 | brings 23:22 | Castaneda 121:4 |
| 101:7,14 102:23,23 | Bardee 3:2 8:15,15 | bigger 86:10 | broad 100:4 | cat 6:4 |
| 110:6,12 112:11 | 24:15,18 37:24 38:4 | biggest 93:11 109:3 | brought 49:25 86:15 | center 87:19 123:2,10 |
| audited 19:11 34:3 | 101:10,16 | bill 40:7,24 63:10 | Broward 1:3 75:12 | Central 2:18 9:7 |
| 110:6,10 114:23 | base 77:16 baseball 102:9 | 65:1 85:10 86:14,22 | 76:1,18 88:11 90:22 | certain 8:1 33:16,20 |
| 116:3,7 | based 12:15 13:20 | bit 9:25 48:13 52:7 | 94:14 131:3,11 | 34:2 46:2 106:25 |
| auditing 36:6 74:13 | 21:23 22:10 24:21 | 53:17,23 58:8 59:16 | Bryan 2:11 8:5 | 110:17,22 127:6 |
| 102:6 | 39:11 45:19 79:9,12 | 77:17 86:9 128:14 | BTA 12:12 13:23 | certainly 25:16 36:5 |
| auditor 2:8,9 8:3,7 | 79:13,16 80:2,9,10 | 128:16 | 21:24 22:16 26:1 | 40:6 60:25 65:10 |
| 13:2,19 14:20 15:18 | 83:24 84:13,17 | blank 38:12 | 38:5 40:22 41:9 | 113:4 116:11 119:8 |
| 15:20,25 21:6,21 | 89:20 90:10 91:13 | blatantly 28:9 | 43:5 44:1 45:19 55:3 101:18 | certainty 118:11 CERTIFICATE |
| 22:1,3 26:1 70:3 | 92:13 95:19 96:10 | blowing 17:18 board 1:3 10:19,21 | | |
| 111:12 112:2 115:9 117:7,8 122:14 | 99:24 100:19 | 11:8,10,17 12:16,22 | BTA-specific 22:1,2 budget 13:12 | 131:1 certification 91:23 |
| 126:13 | 105:12 128:7 | 12:23 13:19,21,21 | budgeting 13:16 | certifications 117:12 |
| auditor's 115:14 | baseline 92:20,22 | 14:22,23,25 15:1,4 | bugs 109:22 | certified 117:10 |
| auditors 45:13 | basically 19:10 68:20 | 15:7,8,14,19,21 | building 47:9 105:9 | certify 131:6 |
| 102:14 110:9 | 76:11 85:2 93:13 | 16:1,10 17:25 18:5 | 105:14,22 109:5 | chair 6:22 8:1,17 |
| 117:10 | 108:13 121:1 124:6 | 18:12,13,19,22 19:3 | 103.14,22 109.3 | 13:19,21 18:23 19:1 |
| audits 2:10 24:24 | basis 22:20 47:3 77:7 | | built 42:17 | |
| 50:20 52:12 64:17 | 77:20,21 80:8 82:2 | 19:8,17,22 20:2,13 21:4,6,7,9,10,22 | bullet 107:9 108:1 | 19:13 20:4 21:7,15 21:22 25:15 33:24 |
| 74:11 116:22 118:6 | Bass 1:23,24 3:2 9:2 | 49:6 61:2 64:3,4,7 | bumps 126:3 | 74:10 76:8,11,13 |
| 119:6,10 120:3 | 131:4,14 | 65:16,24 66:7,12,14 | bus 75:6 | 74.10 76.8,11,13 |
| augment 119:19 | BCPS 23:15 | 66:19,20 67:8,22 | Business 123:1,10 | 77:24 78:2,8 79:8 |
| August 1:15 65:15 | beat 38:15 | 68:8,11,24 70:5 | button 122:13 | The state of the s |
| authority 121:19 | becoming 104:4 | 71:6,10,14 72:11,12 | bylaws 123:24,25 | 79:15,25 80:7,10 82:4 93:12,13 94:9 |
| authorized 131:6 | BECON 5:5,6 6:12 | 72:16,18,18,19,19 | Uylaws 123.24,23 | 94:19,22,23 95:1,1 |
| automated 109:5,9 | beginning 44:23 48:5 | 73:1 111:23 119:5 | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ | 95:17,18,20,22,23 |
| 109:12,14 | 100:23 | 119:23 121:21,23 | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ 2:12 | 96:5,8,8,9,9,11,12 |
| 107.12,14 | 100.23 | 117.43 141.41,43 | 2.12 | 70.2,0,0,7,7,11,14 |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| Γ | |
|------------------------------|---|
| | ı |
| 96:19,19,21,23 97:2 | |
| 97:11,12,15 100:19 | |
| | |
| 113:24,25 118:20 | |
| 118:22 119:13,15 | |
| 104.17.105.10 | |
| 124:17 125:19 | |
| 126:20 129:6 | |
| | |
| chair's 77:6 | |
| chairing 100:11 | |
| chairperson 76:10,10 | |
| _ | |
| 94:11,14 | |
| chairs 90:13,13 93:20 | |
| - | |
| 93:20,24 | |
| challenge 36:10 | |
| challenges 31:17 | |
| | ١ |
| chance 85:17 | ١ |
| change 16:3 27:18 | ١ |
| 21 10 46 2 101 22 | ١ |
| 31:19 46:2 101:22 | ١ |
| 110:11 113:7 130:9 | ١ |
| | ١ |
| changed 11:25 27:15 | |
| changes 18:11 33:11 | |
| 40:23 65:6 74:18 | ١ |
| | |
| 79:3 95:20 110:7,9 | |
| 110:17,19,22 | |
| | |
| changing 39:21 77:11 | |
| charge 30:15 56:18 | |
| 115:1 | |
| | |
| charter 87:13,14,18 | ١ |
| 87:21 94:20 | ١ |
| | |
| chat 61:20 75:4 108:7 | |
| check 24:5 42:23 50:7 | ١ |
| 57:8 126:21 | |
| | l |
| checked 32:22 | ١ |
| checking 34:8 81:12 | |
| - C | ١ |
| 99:9 | |
| checklist 46:3 | ١ |
| checks 42:17 51:12 | |
| | ١ |
| chief 2:8,9,15,16 8:3 | |
| 8:7 13:2,18 14:20 | ١ |
| | |
| 15:18,20,24 21:6,21 | ١ |
| 91:18 115:14 117:7 | |
| 117:8 122:14 | ١ |
| | |
| 126:13 | ١ |
| child 56:22,24 57:1 | |
| | ١ |
| child's 35:12 | |
| children 54:20 57:11 | |
| | ١ |
| children's 32:14 48:9 | ١ |
| 117:18 | |
| choice 84:17 | ١ |
| | |
| chomping 9:25 | |
| choose 94:18 97:8 | ١ |
| clarification 29:14 | 1 |
| CIATHICAUOH 29:14 | |
| | |
| Ī | • |

| 65:18 67:16 98:24 |
|--|
| 126:12 |
| clarifications 49:10 |
| clarify 15:2 18:18 |
| 36:18 |
| class 52:22 56:9 102:10 103:22 |
| classified 38:6 |
| classified 38:0 classroom 54:7 57:2 |
| classrooms 47:12 |
| clean 92:9 |
| clean 92.9 clear 11:4 19:7 29:7 |
| 37:7 59:15 60:23 |
| 68:3 99:2 |
| clearer 54:18 |
| clearly 101:21 |
| clerk 2:12,13 54:8 |
| click 88:6 |
| clinical 81:11 |
| close 82:4 |
| closely 51:15 |
| closes 78:9 |
| closing 120:4 |
| coached 102:9 103:20 |
| code 35:12 110:14 |
| coding 47:22 |
| coincide 71:3 |
| coincides 57:16 |
| collaboration 115:12 |
| collected 94:21 |
| column 25:1 |
| come 10:4 27:13 |
| 28:23 30:2 51:2,7 |
| 51:22 53:4,13 55:1 |
| 60:17 69:25 73:3 |
| 80:12 96:8 107:7 |
| 110:9 112:22 |
| 120:15 126:1 |
| comes 15:16,17 16:1 |
| 16:10 45:23 47:24 |
| 58:2,4 75:23 76:12 |
| coming 15:22 34:24 |
| 45:23 48:20 61:3 |
| 65:19 109:24 112:7 |
| 116:16,20 |
| comment 9:24 10:1 |
| 11:6 14:15 41:14 |
| 63:11 111:7 112:4 |
| 115:7,8 120:1 |
| comments 10:9 12:23 |
| 17:10,10 63:6 64:4 |

| 66:6 67:7,14,21 69:13 70:16 73:23 113:18 124:15 128:16 committed 13:2,6 committee 1:5 2:1 4:4 6:11 11:8,21 12:22 13:5,5,8 19:7 21:21 22:17,23 23:11 25:12 39:16 62:4,12 62:20 63:25 65:17 65:20,23 66:8,11,12 66:14,15,16,20 67:8 67:22,24 68:7,11 69:10 70:6 74:15,24 85:11 95:5 111:10 118:1 119:23 124:4 124:8 125:23 127:2 127:5 130:16 |
|---|
| 127:5 130:16 committee's 20:1 |
| 63:21 |
| committees 10:25 |
| 98:9 |
| common 42:24 |
| communicated |
| 114:10 |
| •4 40 - |
| community 43:6 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 78:18 completing 57:5 78:6 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 78:18 completing 57:5 78:6 78:7 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 78:18 completing 57:5 78:6 78:7 compliance 23:12 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 78:18 completing 57:5 78:6 78:7 compliance 23:12 27:17,20 28:4,5,9 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 78:18 completing 57:5 78:6 78:7 compliance 23:12 27:17,20 28:4,5,9 28:16 29:21 30:16 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 78:18 completing 57:5 78:6 78:7 compliance 23:12 27:17,20 28:4,5,9 28:16 29:21 30:16 87:18 |
| 45:14,17 76:17,19 90:4 99:20 companion 128:2 company 112:13 compare 25:8 comparison 109:2 118:8 competence 121:17 complete 52:15 131:8 completed 53:1 56:13 109:11 completeness 26:18 40:18 completes 34:1 78:9 78:18 completing 57:5 78:6 78:7 compliance 23:12 27:17,20 28:4,5,9 28:16 29:21 30:16 |

| compute 115:3 |
|----------------------|
| computer 87:6 |
| concern 31:24 64:22 |
| 76:12 80:13,25 82:8 |
| 82:10,11,17 83:1 |
| 89:17 90:5 98:15,17 |
| 99:11,23 117:10,19 |
| 118:1 |
| concerned 111:9 |
| 112:25 126:5 |
| concerning 75:23 |
| 76:15 77:5 79:11 |
| 92:19 |
| concerns 6:18 56:21 |
| 70:6 112:25 113:18 |
| 121:5 |
| conclude 9:5 |
| concluded 130:19 |
| concluding 67:20 |
| conducted 44:14 |
| 91:10 |
| conducting 81:11 |
| 90:8 97:17 |
| conducts 96:10 |
| confirming 68:6 |
| connected 55:16 |
| connection 55:13 |
| conscious 64:23 |
| consciousness 121:12 |
| consensus 60:17 |
| 71:15 73:4 |
| consider 74:16 |
| considered 59:3 |
| considering 115:5 |
| consistent 74:13 |
| consistently 92:21 |
| Consulting 2:25 |
| contact 32:20 41:9 |
| 42:5 53:8 |
| contacted 23:17 |
| 32:23 42:6 45:15,16 |
| 45:18 46:1 |
| contains 27:22 |
| content 25:13 |
| context 13:25 68:24 |
| continue 32:11 72:22 |
| continuity 96:2 |
| contract 33:3 105:5 |
| 106:15,16 107:4 |
| 123:1 |
| control 28:14 45:22 |
| |
| |

| 121:10,10,12,14,15 |
|--|
| controls 3:1 28:8 |
| 39:23 120:8,10,14 |
| 120:16,23 122:3 |
| The state of the s |
| 128:24 |
| convene 77:14 80:10 |
| 84:12,19 91:12 |
| conversation 16:10 |
| |
| 48:15 50:21 61:4 |
| 62:9 65:12 70:4 |
| 79:21 111:8 |
| conversations 48:14 |
| 48:18 53:18 74:14 |
| 77:18 |
| |
| cooperation 115:12 |
| coordinate 41:12 |
| coordinator 2:21 |
| 12:4,6 27:19 33:22 |
| 33:25 34:4 44:13 |
| |
| 51:3,6 57:20 78:14 |
| 78:21 83:12 84:5,11 |
| 90:22 91:3,7,18 |
| coordinators 46:15 |
| 46:17 59:1 |
| |
| core 92:4,14 93:6 |
| 96:8 99:13 |
| corporate 17:25 |
| 18:12,19 19:9 20:2 |
| 20:13 21:4,8 72:19 |
| correct 32:7 56:2 |
| |
| 62:17 74:21 95:25 |
| 96:7 98:25 99:6 |
| 104:14 107:10 |
| 108:3 |
| correctly 22:6 50:13 |
| • |
| corresponding 12:20 |
| cost 64:23 116:24 |
| 117:1,2 118:7 119:3 |
| 119:10 120:3 |
| costing 116:22 |
| costs 118:5 119:6 |
| |
| council 32:14 |
| counselor 97:15 |
| 100:8 |
| counselors 46:13 |
| 58:23 59:5,5,24 |
| 60:5 |
| |
| County 1:3 75:12 |
| 76:1,18 88:11 90:23 |
| 94:14 131:3,11 |
| couple 17:7 31:5 |

| 125:13 | 54:23 106:7 | 58:16 59:2 87:17 | directors 121:22 | 34:14 35:21 41:25 |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| course 12:8 35:7 54:9 | database 32:17 33:4 | 111:18 112:14 | disagree 78:20 | 47:25 77:7,9 78:3 |
| 54:15,21 56:19 60:6 | 35:23 48:8 106:4 | 123:20 | discipline 121:15 | 78:22 101:25 102:2 |
| 85:3 99:3 125:9 | databases 81:7 | department's 13:12 | disclosure 5:21 | documentation 29:3 |
| 128:2 | date 65:14 71:9 77:10 | departments 59:7 | discuss 13:20 14:1 | 29:16 30:23,24 |
| courses 52:9 | 78:3 83:6 | depending 128:1 | 21:22 23:9 70:1,8 | 36:11,12 37:25 |
| court 1:23 3:2 9:2 | Dated 131:10 | depends 129:10 | 74:22 111:22 | 101:17,23 102:8 |
| 131:4,14 | dates 70:14,15 71:8 | deployed 60:20,24 | 112:23 117:12 | 106:13 107:5 |
| covered 65:19 68:4 | 71:18 | 61:5 | 120:5 122:12 123:9 | documented 34:15 |
| covers 67:3 125:20 | Dave 120:22 | depth 23:10 | 123:11,12,13 | 41:24 42:1,1 79:22 |
| CPAs 117:8 | day 10:22 50:8,11 | Deputy 2:16 | discussed 13:25 | 80:1 103:17 |
| crash 85:3 | 52:14,21 53:1 54:9 | description 29:9,10 | 15:19 17:24 18:21 | documents 35:20 |
| crawl 72:12 | 56:13 57:6 90:9 | 29:13 100:4 | 18:22 19:12,21 | 125:6 |
| crazier 126:1 | 96:13 110:1 131:10 | descriptions 49:5 | 74:12 120:25 127:7 | dog 5:22 6:4 100:11 |
| crazy 6:6 | day's 115:3 | designated 94:2,8 | 127:10 | doing 5:18 6:20 10:16 |
| create 80:18,20 84:2 | day-to-day 59:12 | 100:17 | discussing 17:9 64:25 | 25:22 28:10 37:16 |
| 86:16 | days 6:3,5 78:11,19 | designed 34:8,10 | 113:25 118:21 | 39:17 47:1 52:2 |
| created 83:6 86:23 | 78:20 80:5,6 81:23 | designees 9:11 | 120:21 | 70:2 72:12 89:11 |
| creates 117:9 | 81:25 82:6,7 83:3,4 | detailed 116:1 119:3 | discussion 16:20 19:1 | 90:17 105:21 |
| creating 33:3 | 84:4 93:16,17 | details 116:10 | 63:3 72:23 74:11 | 107:18 108:13,18 |
| creation 84:25 | 105:13 | determination 81:3 | 113:8 120:13,24 | 108:21 109:1,3 |
| creative 72:13 | De 2:3 4:6 5:13,15,18 | 81:24 83:22 | 122:2 128:25 | 110:5 111:10,13,14 |
| criminal 81:7 | 5:20 7:5,6 98:2,3,20 | determine 45:15 77:6 | discussions 13:21 | 111:15,22 112:17 |
| crises 26:19 40:19,20 | 98:23 102:6,12,13 | 77:22 79:8 98:17 | 21:24 22:20 32:13 | 120:7 122:1 |
| cross-reference 106:7 | 103:2 114:16,17,22 | determines 80:14,24 | 69:11,16 119:23 | dollars 41:5 |
| crunched 116:14 | 115:22 116:23 | 82:9,10,25 83:9,14 | distracting 86:10 | double 126:21 |
| crunching 116:14 | 117:5 128:10 | 126:20 | district 2:14,21 8:2 | double-checking |
| er amering 110.1 | 117.00 120.10 | | G1501100 111 1,11 011 | double checking |
| crystal 68:3 | deadlines 72:25 | determining 98:14 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 | 111:13 |
| o . | | | · · | - C |
| crystal 68:3 | deadlines 72:25 | determining 98:14 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 | 111:13 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 118:6 119:12 127:4 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 118:6 119:12 127:4 127:8 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 118:6 119:12 127:4 127:8 differentiate 92:19 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 118:6 119:12 127:4 127:8 differentiate 92:19 differs 11:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 118:6 119:12 127:4 127:8 differentiate 92:19 differs 11:16 difficult 26:13 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 118:6 119:12 127:4 127:8 differentiate 92:19 differs 11:16 difficult 26:13 dilemma 106:22 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 57:14 58:10 59:14 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 definitely 33:16 61:24 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 33:4 105:3 development 88:16 98:13,18 develops 121:20 dialogue 125:24 dictate 19:17 66:19 different 25:9 46:9 48:10 52:17 59:7 60:1,18 62:13 78:5 78:24 97:23 109:16 118:6 119:12 127:4 127:8 differentiate 92:19 differs 11:16 difficult 26:13 dilemma 106:22 direct 117:8 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 District's 22:19 38:7 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 36:20 38:14,22 40:1 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 57:14 58:10 59:14 59:19,21 60:9 129:2 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 definitely 33:16 61:24 74:24 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 District's 22:19 38:7 districts 33:22 87:13 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 36:20 38:14,22 40:1 40:11 41:1,3 42:19 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 57:14 58:10 59:14 59:19,21 60:9 129:2 129:8,11 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 definitely 33:16 61:24 74:24 definition 28:3 29:20 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 District's 22:19 38:7 districts 33:22 87:13 90:24 105:20 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 36:20 38:14,22 40:1 40:11 41:1,3 42:19 43:9,10,10 45:10 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 57:14 58:10 59:14 59:19,21 60:9 129:2 129:8,11 daily 2:12 50:6 52:12 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 definitely 33:16 61:24 74:24 definition 28:3 29:20 36:2 103:12 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 District's 22:19 38:7 districts 33:22 87:13 90:24 105:20 109:20 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 36:20 38:14,22 40:1 40:11 41:1,3 42:19 43:9,10,10 45:10 46:5 48:22 49:21 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 57:14 58:10 59:14 59:19,21 60:9 129:2 129:8,11 daily 2:12 50:6 52:12 52:16,20 90:9 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 definitely 33:16 61:24 74:24 definition 28:3 29:20 36:2 103:12 delay 106:19 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 District's 22:19 38:7 districts 33:22 87:13 90:24 105:20 109:20 divisions 59:8 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 36:20 38:14,22 40:1 40:11 41:1,3 42:19 43:9,10,10 45:10 46:5 48:22 49:21 50:1,3,25 55:17,22 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 57:14 58:10 59:14 59:19,21 60:9 129:2 129:8,11 daily 2:12 50:6 52:12 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 definitely 33:16 61:24 74:24 definition 28:3 29:20 36:2 103:12 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 District's 22:19 38:7 districts 33:22 87:13 90:24 105:20 109:20 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 36:20 38:14,22 40:1 40:11 41:1,3 42:19 43:9,10,10 45:10 46:5 48:22 49:21 |
| crystal 68:3 current 34:16 49:23 53:25 55:21 77:8 79:10 82:21 93:11 105:18 109:21 currently 35:25 49:4 51:8 52:13,17 54:13 55:4 56:10 66:23 88:14,24 89:21 91:5 93:21 105:4,5,9,20 108:18 curriculum 81:16 91:24 97:5 curve 111:16 113:1 D Dahl 2:2 6:25 7:1,4 55:22,23,25 56:20 57:14 58:10 59:14 59:19,21 60:9 129:2 129:8,11 daily 2:12 50:6 52:12 52:16,20 90:9 | deadlines 72:25 deal 5:24 77:15 death 38:15 December 118:22 decide 17:25 18:19 19:22 21:4 77:14 decided 19:3,9 20:13 97:20 decides 77:20 79:15 82:1 decision 19:15 21:8 72:12,18 80:4 84:1 84:19 93:16,18 decisions 64:8 65:25 69:17 97:23 defer 37:23 101:8 define 28:3 29:20 defining 38:7 121:1 definitely 33:16 61:24 74:24 definition 28:3 29:20 36:2 103:12 delay 106:19 | determining 98:14 develop 22:17 32:16 | 8:18 11:1 12:6 13:14 27:16,19 30:13 32:13 33:2,21 33:24 38:10 43:17 44:12,13,15,17,17 44:19,22 46:10 54:1 58:17,21 59:9,12 60:24 61:23 69:10 76:20 78:13,21 83:12,19,23 84:4,6 84:10,12,18 85:6 89:9 90:10,19,20,21 91:2,5,7,11,12,16 91:16,17,20,22 92:1 92:10 97:20 105:2 106:21 107:16 108:23 109:15 125:23 District's 22:19 38:7 districts 33:22 87:13 90:24 105:20 109:20 divisions 59:8 | 111:13 dovetailing 54:4 dovetails 121:6 Dr 2:4,15,17 4:3,8,15 4:18 5:7,11,19,21 6:2,15 7:10,14,15 8:21,24 9:12,17,22 10:5 11:3,19 14:3,4 14:7,11,13 15:6,11 16:16,21,24 17:4,12 18:9,14,16,17 19:6 19:14,24 20:5,8,10 20:12,16,20,23 21:2 21:11,13,14,17 22:6 22:7 24:17,19 25:17 26:9,21 27:1,6,10 29:18 30:18 31:7 32:5 33:6 34:5 36:20 38:14,22 40:1 40:11 41:1,3 42:19 43:9,10,10 45:10 46:5 48:22 49:21 50:1,3,25 55:17,22 |

60:12,13 61:10 62:1 62:6,18,23,25 63:11 63:16 64:1.9.12.21 64:24 65:5 66:1,10 66:18 67:10,15,25 68:12 69:3,21 70:7 70:11,19 71:7 72:5 72:10,15 73:5,13,19 73:23 74:3,6,9,21 75:19 78:23 79:6 85:11,14 86:1,4,7 87:7 88:7 89:21,23 90:2 95:4,7,10,15 96:16 98:2 99:1,7 99:11 100:1,10 103:10 104:2,15,15 104:19,22 106:9 107:3,11,15,25 108:3,4,15,20 110:11,24,25 111:5 112:3,6,18 114:2,5 114:21 115:21.25 116:25 117:23 118:17,23 119:2,14 119:25 120:9,12 124:18,22 125:1,9 127:14,19 128:18 129:4,7,10,13,23 130:3,12 draft 113:9 drives 125:25 driving 75:5 dug 121:6 **duplicative** 39:21,22 duties 57:13,22 \mathbf{E}

earlier 41:14 64:6 73:25 79:21 86:23 129:12 early 48:23 88:21 easier 106:6 easily 34:2 119:7 ebb 85:2,3 88:2 Eddie 121:4 editing 88:14 EdPlan 34:8,9,13 35:6,19,20 36:16,21 36:25 37:2,16,18,20

104:13 105:3,18

education 59:2,5

effect 74:17 **effective** 57:24 74:16 86:17 88:13 89:10 effectiveness 22:18 efficient 57:24 eight-hour 90:12 either 4:16,23 40:5 52:23 97:14 either-or 127:21 elaborate 46:6 electronic 105:3 elementary 93:3 94:15 96:24 Elena 2:11 8:5 88:25 **email** 35:15 emergencies 34:24 35:3 37:14 emergency 37:15 employees 46:19 76:13 **empty** 13:17 encapsulate 60:1 encompass 35:9 encouraged 76:14 **enforcement** 23:17,19 32:15,21,23 77:3 81:6,9 91:19 98:8 engage 49:6 67:9,23 125:24 engaged 67:10 engagement 13:9,11 14:1 18:1,20 69:8 **engaging** 48:25 53:18 **enhance** 46:25 enhancements 94:7 **ensure** 27:17 29:20 43:1 44:25 48:6 80:22 84:20 87:18 89:9 90:18 117:20 **ensuring** 27:19 30:16 33:25 46:18 47:21 51:12 52:6 57:25 94:9 enter 86:20 entering 48:15 **entire** 12:12 25:22 26:3 35:24 104:25 116:24 128:22 entity's 121:17

environment 28:14

45:22 121:10,11,15

environments 46:2 Erhard 2:11 8:5 Ernie 2:20 8:25 38:23 53:13 54:25 55:11 85:16 escalate 109:13,15 **ESE** 37:2 especially 13:15 57:10 113:6 126:4,7 essence 31:19 **essentially** 15:25 23:6 113:13 established 92:9 establishing 64:5 **ethical** 121:16 evaluations 97:4 everybody 18:9 33:25 60:20 65:9 73:22 114:12 126:2 127:23 128:19 130:3,14,18 everybody's 5:10 everyone's 10:4 60:24 61:5 **evidence** 29:2,17 evidenced 29:5 evolve 119:22 exactly 18:24 31:11 39:16 57:16 examiners 117:11 **example** 26:16 32:20 115:13 **exceed** 13:18 exceeded 13:11 exceptional 59:1,5 excited 75:15 exclude 98:4 Excuse 5:3 excuses 53:3 **Executive** 2:12,19 exercise 11:16 exist 29:8 36:12 existing 113:15 expanded 25:4,5 **expect** 128:22 expectations 83:7 121:1 expensive 115:5 experience 118:10

97:5,10 102:16 expertise 38:7 experts 91:22,24 98:7 **explain** 21:19 42:15 49:17 54:19 explained 54:6 explicitly 52:11 explore 29:11 exposure 37:14 expressed 31:13 **extended** 106:16 external 112:24 extrapolate 114:24 F fact 77:19 102:6 120:14

factors 121:16 factual 77:7,20,21 80:8 82:2 fail 50:4,5,6 fair 65:15 102:20 118:8 fairly 128:23 familiar 26:2 family 46:13 48:1 58:23 fantastic 119:17 far 11:1,13 35:6 38:12 39:14 45:23 46:8 48:19 72:3 85:12 95:7 116:10 116:17,18 121:4 123:8 **faster** 22:11 **February** 13:5 71:3 feedback 63:21 64:7 64:17 88:23 97:22 feel 14:22 42:12 49:11 82:16 84:7 98:10 111:14 112:1 **Fertig** 2:3 4:7 7:7,9 7:11 14:6,9,10,12 14:14 15:10 16:8,19 16:23 17:2.6 26:8 26:10,24 27:4,8 29:23 41:17 42:18 68:16 69:5,23 70:10 70:13,20 71:17 73:11 95:12 96:14 96:18 98:1 111:4,6

112:4 117:15,25 130:6 **fidelity** 78:12 84:21 90:18 97:14 fieldwork 12:19 66:23,24 fifth 92:13 93:5 **figure** 101:25 102:24 **fillable** 107:1 **final** 11:7 15:25 16:1 52:20 81:23 112:4 **finalize** 78:19 125:5 125:11 **finalized** 70:25 75:14 83:6 finalizes 105:12 **finally** 22:14 126:16 **find** 28:2 30:11 42:6 42:10 57:24 72:24 finding 57:4 **findings** 123:17 fine 8:21 87:7.8 102:25 113:6 **finish** 62:9 66:5 80:17 **finished** 70:15 first 10:18 11:20 12:10 13:24 25:18 28:17 29:19 32:2.3 46:20 52:18 54:6 56:8,22 57:7 66:13 66:19 67:8,22 75:9 75:22 76:5 80:21 90:9 100:7,7,18 112:19 113:10 114:9 120:6 **five** 99:15 five-month 25:2,2 FL 1:25 **flag** 6:15 flip 4:19 Florida 86:16,20,25 131:2,5,11 flow 35:23 85:2,3 88:2 105:11 123:25 flows 109:16 **Focus** 35:8 48:5 51:10,14 52:4 53:16 53:21 54:3,11 57:16 58:7 104:13 105:19 106:2,4,14,18 107:6

107:12,17 108:1,8

experiencing 54:15

expert 81:16 96:24

full 5:21 9:20 77:14 109:20 110:5,12 88:1,8,25 89:4,21 governing 87:14 130:12 Gowns 123:4 **focuses** 86:24 79:9 112:14 94:5 96:14,17 **harm** 37:13 77:23,23 grade 93:5 103:21 **follow** 49:14 54:23 Fulton 2:18 9:6,7,11 100:17,18 104:20 78:5.8 56:14 115:23 123:4 Gradebook 53:11 **HARPALANI** 2:10 functional 107:23 107:12,20 109:6 123:4 **fund** 13:11 18:19 111:23 117:13 **grant** 86:1 hat 29:6 **follow-up** 4:21,22,23 19:9 20:13 21:4 121:25 124:9 granular 110:16 he'll 121:7 4:25 41:12 48:3 **funding** 25:24 49:3 129:21 130:10 great 45:4,5 71:17 **heads-up** 40:14 127:5 funds 48:25 120:22 goal 128:2 130:2 94:6 96:13 110:3 health 26:19 32:15,25 123:11,13 126:25 **follow-ups** 10:12 goals 27:22 greater 54:17 40:19,21 41:4,5,8 **followed** 30:4,25 82:2 ground 47:11 69:1 **further** 12:9 29:14 goes 35:22 79:11 81:5 41:21 42:11 44:3,4 102:14 107:8 110:7 124:4 36:19 48:14 62:14 113:20 44:8.18 46:8.9.12 **following** 4:1 35:2 **future** 24:1 123:8 grounds 35:3 46:17,20,22,24 48:12 **going** 4:19 6:4 14:16 group 85:22 47:16,21 49:1,8 G **food** 33:12 15:15,16,23 16:4,13 Gualtieri 75:11 58:15 59:1,4 60:2 Gallagher 48:9 **force** 28:4 16:16,25 17:9 19:20 **guess** 5:14 31:6 37:17 81:10,13,14 84:8 gaps 53:19 54:14 91:22 96:23 97:10 foregoing 131:7 21:3,18 24:5,20 100:3 101:6,24 57:23 58:6 **forget** 40:12 25:19.20 26:13 102:5 116:13 98:8 gatekeeper 93:14 29:25 31:19,25 32:2 **forgot** 9:10,19 87:8 126:12 **hear** 4:13 14:12 26:8 gathering 77:19 **form** 23:13,21 33:12 37:23 39:10,17,23 **GUESTS** 2:23 51:10,16 78:17 81:10 38:11,12 77:8 78:3 39:25 42:3,16 44:6 **guidance** 43:8 59:17 heard 48:22 55:25 **gavel** 5:24 78:9 80:1 102:3,8 51:1,6,9,10,14,16 60:5 68:11 69:17 hearing 43:19,21,24 general 27:23 121:25 43:24 65:8 85:14 124:8 53:21 54:3 55:6 97:15.16 100:8 122:2 **formal** 70:9,10 72:18 57:5 63:9 64:7 guideline 54:19 heart 41:13 formalized 104:3 geographic 116:10 65:11 66:8 67:6,7 guys 24:6 55:19 heavy 33:2 geographically 12:14 formally 70:8 67:21,25 68:2 69:25 104:12 128:9 Hello 51:23 getting 20:19 55:4 format 105:16 70:18 71:19 72:12 **help** 29:3 48:6 58:7 Η forms 105:10 79:1 86:11 108:25 72:25 73:11.21.22 72:2 115:15 117:20 124:3 125:4 hack 129:21 Fort 1:25 131:10 74:17 75:8.16 76:6 **helpful** 60:14,18 **half** 128:9,21 129:16 125:8 126:7 61:14 62:3,8 69:12 forth 22:12 87:11 76:22 78:17 79:13 **gist** 121:5 129:24 FortifyFL 76:21 85:5 88:11 89:6 73:22 give 4:9 11:7 24:15 **hand** 14:6 26:7 30:20 **forward** 19:20 31:22 90:7 91:24 93:23 helps 59:21 36:20 44:11 64:15 41:15 43:9 45:11 Henderson 32:24 33:8,12,15 42:16 94:3 97:10,12,24 85:4 66:24 68:17 74:7 44:12 45:1 49:4.6 100:9 101:6.8.22.22 Hi 5:17 39:1 given 40:14 73:1,6 112:7 124:22 49:22 50:24 51:2,7 103:14 106:16 **high** 47:7 69:15 80:14 gives 54:17,18 handle 60:10 91:6 51:22 52:3 70:5 107:1,25 109:3,22 80:24 83:5,21 84:16 **giving** 70:16 106:3 71:24 79:5 88:23 110:1 111:24 112:9 84:18,24 91:11 90:7 94:12 97:22 global 85:5 hands 26:5 95:4 112:15 118:18 **high-level** 44:16,20 **go** 5:6,16 6:12,21 103:9 104:11 108:6 101:25 120:9 123:9,12,16 highest-level 44:24 10:14,17 11:11,16 foundation 121:13 124:1.9 125:11 112:14 **highlight** 107:16 11:18 13:15 15:7 happen 11:13 30:8 hire 11:21 22:1 four 16:9,11 48:10 126:12 129:14 16:5,18 17:19 19:21 31:3 85:5 92:14,14 93:2,6 130:6.15 118:13.13 23:8 24:20 27:8 **happened** 18:2 30:7 96:9 99:15 **good** 5:17,19,20 7:11 hired 46:15 47:10 29:25 30:12 32:9 **happening** 13:14 31:2 **four-hour** 90:14 9:6,14 14:11 18:9 **hiring** 48:25 36:4 41:16 42:7 35:17 36:7,24 50:24 historical 24:23 94:24 39:1 43:23 46:5 48:7 53:16 56:5 108:10 fourth 50:12 91:25 51:23 63:10 73:9 history 31:23 81:7,18 58:4,12 64:2,24 happens 19:18 30:22 framework 124:8 74:10 83:8 86:5 103:21 65:22 66:18 68:1,7 33:11 36:7 37:4 fraud 117:11 88:3 95:7 108:14,16 hit 69:1 113:19 70:25 71:10 72:21 42:22 43:3 54:6 free 49:11 110:18 112:8 122:12 74:19 77:4,17 79:3 56:25 106:19 frequency 63:22 114:14 128:20 **hold** 16:19 18:17 79:9,13,16 80:2 happy 25:13 front 24:22 55:4 130:3.13.13 21:17 36:20 40:2 81:6 85:21 87:1 hard 10:7 106:23 88:18,20 110:21 gotten 40:7 124:5 71:7 85:24 86:7

| 99:1 127:23 | 40:19 41:20 | 54.5 56.17 61.1 7 | isolation 93:15 | 122:21 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| home 107:8 | immediately 75:24 | 54:5 56:17 61:1,7 68:23,25 73:3 74:25 | issue 15:22 22:22 | Jennifer 2:10,12 3:1 |
| | • | · · | 23:10 25:22 35:19 | 1 |
| homicide 37:13 Honestly 99:17 | 76:15 77:2,24 80:16 80:23 81:15 | 77:8,12,13 81:10,20 82:12 86:21 93:9 | 37:11 42:11 43:18 | 8:11 73:17,19 74:3 74:5,6 |
| hope 70:24 107:11 | imminent 23:17,19 | 94:22 99:12,16,21 | 50:23 93:25 99:5 | job 29:10 49:5 110:14 |
| hoped 26:15 | 37:24 38:4,6,8,9 | 100:16 101:2 104:1 | 115:11 | 119:17 |
| Hopefully 6:6 | 75:24 76:3,25 | 106:6 | issued 25:9 | join 83:24 101:1 |
| hoping 20:25 | impact 23:25 24:1 | informed 66:20 117:3 | issues 71:15 121:2 | Joris 2:9 8:3 75:7 |
| hour 115:2 128:8,9 | 40:7 63:10 64:25 | 119:4 | item 72:22 125:17 | JOSIAH 2:15 |
| 128:17,20,21 | implement 82:15 | initial 81:22 | items 4:19 19:2 32:18 | judgment 45:20 |
| hours 52:16 77:13 | 86:17 | inputting 54:23 | 113:16 122:9 | 103:6 |
| 80:11 109:11 115:6 | implementation | instance 28:2 35:11 | 125:18 127:11,13 | judgmental 102:19 |
| 129:16,25 | 84:14 | 43:4 58:21 | itinerate 59:9 60:21 | July 53:6 65:14 67:1 |
| house 40:7,24 63:10 | implemented 109:25 | instruction 81:17 | 62:16 | 109:18,23 |
| 65:1 74:16 81:8,8 | implementing 82:19 | 91:25 97:5 | Itohan 2:4 7:12 | jump 30:18 71:5 |
| 85:10 86:14,22 | importance 51:20 | instructional 92:16 | 1tonan 2.4 7.12 | June 11:25 105:7 |
| 105:4 115:10 | important 70:14 | 100:21 | J | 107:4,7,18 108:2 |
| housed 37:23 | 92:18 99:17 121:10 | instructions 46:21 | Jabouin 2:9 4:6,13,16 | |
| housekeeping 114:13 | IMT 52:24 | instrument 95:8 | 6:20,21,25 7:2,5,7 | K |
| HR 120:24 122:4 | IMTs 51:19 | intake 23:13,21 77:8 | 7:11,14,16,18,20,22 | K-12 87:13 |
| Hudge 2:19 9:14,15 | incident 43:3 | 78:3,9 80:1 102:3 | 7:24 8:1,3,17,24 9:6 | keep 20:1 22:8 30:9 |
| 31:16 44:3 45:10 | incidents 31:1 42:13 | integrated 32:17 33:4 | 9:9,14 10:23,24 | 41:5 52:6 69:18 |
| 46:4,5 49:12,13,19 | inclined 115:23 | 35:22 | 11:15 17:23 18:2,8 | 124:3 |
| 51:1,25 53:13 54:16 | include 12:13,17 | integrity 121:16 | 18:10,16,21 19:12 | keeping 51:20 |
| 54:25 55:10 56:5 | 121:16 | intended 37:12 | 19:20 20:3,7,8,10 | key 56:16 71:13 72:1 |
| 57:14 58:18,20 | included 13:4 16:12 | intent 36:2 98:5 | 20:14,18,22 21:10 | 72:3 88:21 101:16 |
| 59:18,20,23 60:6,25 | 38:11 | 113:8 125:25 | 21:11,12,13,16 22:5 | 101:23 |
| 61:16 62:5,17,22,24 | includes 13:7 94:20 | interested 118:6 | 24:9,11,14 39:4 | kick 65:3 |
| 91:21 | inclusive 48:21 | interfacing 47:8 | 62:11 63:13 64:1,2 | kicks 65:2 71:22 |
| huge 91:8 | incorporated 70:4 | interim 80:15,20 | 64:15 65:21,22 66:4 | kid 99:5 103:20 |
| human 125:25 | incorporating 112:1 | internal 23:15 112:24 | 66:17 67:6,12,20 | kids 37:1 |
| | increase 21:25 | 117:10 120:8,10,13 | 68:10,15 69:6,7 | killing 5:12 |
| I | increased 22:11 | 120:16,22,23 | 71:5,8,11,25 72:8 | Kim 90:16,25 92:2 |
| idea 112:11 | 63:22 | 121:14 122:3 | 72:14,21 85:23 87:2 | kind 11:23 12:2 17:13 |
| identified 23:18 25:4 | independent 117:24 | 123:11,13 126:25 | 113:23,24 114:4 | 29:14 31:3,9,13 |
| 25:7 50:10 93:19 | indicate 62:15 | 128:24 | 117:1 118:18,20,25 | 33:1 39:4 41:24 |
| identify 40:20 41:21 | indicated 7:2 126:11 | internally 119:20 | 119:13,15 124:17 | 42:16 44:11,11 |
| 43:5 76:10 87:14 | 126:22 | interrupt 21:19 | 126:16,18 127:18 | 49:16 50:21,22 51:7 |
| 94:21 101:21 | individual 18:13 91:9 | interventions 44:25 | 129:6 | 53:22 57:15 61:3 |
| identifying 100:20 | 93:6 100:20 125:19 | 79:19 80:23 81:19 | Jaclyn 2:6 7:22 73:14 | 65:8 70:23 71:23 |
| IEPs 37:1 | individual's 110:15 | 82:14 | 74:4 106:10 | 76:7 79:3,21 85:4 |
| Ighodaro 2:4 4:7 | individuals 8:2,8 | interviews 81:12 | JAIME 2:16 | 86:12 107:20 |
| 7:12 18:4 20:24 | 46:11 47:4,5,10 | introduce 24:21 | Jamie 3:2 8:15 24:12 | 109:16 117:1 |
| 21:2 119:14,25 | 49:2 58:25 59:9,11 | 86:13 | 38:2 101:8 | 120:13 122:12 |
| 120:2,11 124:18,20 | 61:21 92:14 | Inventory 123:18 | January 32:4 44:21 | 124:8 127:22 |
| 124:24 | infer 21:3 | investigative 38:10 | 65:4 75:13 79:5 | 128:21 |
| II 2:11 | influencing 121:12 | invite 98:15,17 | 85:7 86:17 88:13,17 | kindergarten 93:4 |
| ill 5:22 | inform 16:17 66:13 | invited 2:23 99:19,21 | 89:10,11 92:5,8 | Kinney 2:21 31:15 |
| imagine 13:17 27:18 | informal 77:19 | involved 41:10 48:2 | 93:22 105:6,17,23 | know 5:4,6 6:19 8:22 |
| 66:23 | information 2:15 | 114:12 | 106:12,24 107:18 | 11:5 14:6,21,25 |
| immediate 26:19 | 17:8 32:18 41:11 | involvement 40:23 | 108:1 109:21 | 17:6 19:15 21:7 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 25:11,19,20,23,24 | largest 90:24 | listed 120:5 | 60:3,7,12 64:18 | 100:1,10 103:10 |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| 26:11 27:24 28:11 | late 42:10 43:3 | little 6:5 43:3 48:13 | 65:4 73:16,25 75:5 | 104:2,15,19,22 |
| | | | • | |
| 29:12 30:7,14,22 | Lauderdale 1:25 131:11 | 52:7 53:17,23 58:8 | 75:7,21 78:23,25 79:7 85:18,20 86:5 | 106:9 107:3,11,25 108:4,15 110:24,25 |
| 31:2,12,15,25 32:13 | laundry 37:8,15 | 59:15,16 77:17 86:9 | , | |
| 32:24 33:7,25 36:8 | | 121:8 128:13,16 | 86:11 87:2,5,10,25 | 111:5 112:3,6,18 |
| 36:17,18 37:10,16 | Laura 2:24 8:12 | live 5:6 6:12 94:13 | 88:4,8 89:3,8,25 | 114:2,5,21 115:21 |
| 39:9,12 40:23 41:18 41:19 42:4,12,21,24 | law 23:16,18 32:14 | 97:21 107:12,20,22 | 90:3 95:8,14,25 | 115:25 116:25 |
| 41:19 42:4,12,21,24 44:2,7 45:7 47:14 | 32:21,22 72:11,20 77:2 81:6,9 91:19 | 109:6 110:1 loading 75:21 | 96:7,21 98:3,11,22 98:25 99:6,10 100:2 | 117:23 118:17,23 119:2,14,25 120:9 |
| 48:4 49:15 52:8 | 98:8 | _ | 100:14 102:2 103:8 | |
| | lead 83:19 | lobby 10:3 log 50:19 55:8 126:3 | 100:14 102:2 103:8 | 120:12 124:18,22 125:1,9 127:14,19 |
| 54:1 56:24 57:6,8 | leading 94:3 | logged 110:12 | 103.18 104.14,17 | 128:18 129:4,7,10 |
| 57:11,25 60:15,21 | learn 76:6 97:25 | 00 | | |
| 60:22 61:1,6,21 | | logs 50:7 55:9,14 | 106:21 107:10,14 | 129:13,23 130:3,12 |
| 63:20 64:12,14,19 | learning 2:17,18 111:16 113:1 | long 53:10,11 82:23 118:2 | 109:7,19 110:17 | |
| 64:19,20,21 69:23 | leave 7:3 104:23 | | Lozano's 74:17 | ma'am 38:22 45:9 |
| 70:14,17 71:18 | | long-term 113:4 longer 128:14,16 | Lynch 45:5 | 63:20 64:12 |
| 74:10,19 76:2 79:23 | 114:17 | , | Lynch-Walsh 2:4 4:3 | machine 123:2 |
| 83:21 88:5,13 89:15 89:19 90:18 92:10 | leaving 114:6 led 91:17 | look 23:21 24:3 27:15 30:25 34:3 36:4 | 4:8,15,18 5:7,11,19 5:21 6:2,15 7:10,14 | Madam 25:15 74:10 |
| | left 96:5 112:20 | | | Maintenance 122:25 |
| 92:14 93:6 94:13,15 | 113:12 124:12 | 79:5 81:7 96:20 101:19 110:5 126:2 | 7:15 8:21 9:12,17 9:22 10:5 11:3,19 | major 129:1 |
| 95:2,18 96:4,22 97:2,11,17,23 99:14 | legislature 86:14 | 129:21 | 14:3,4,7,11,13 15:6 | making 54:13 83:22 |
| 100:25 101:4,17,19 | length 117:17 128:11 | looked 26:12 38:11 | 15:11 16:16,21,24 | 83:25 107:19 |
| 100.23 101.4,17,19 | lengthy 128:25 | 48:10 50:5 | 17:4,12 18:9,14,16 | 108:25 109:4 |
| 102.4,6,9,11,24 | let's 5:15 6:9 12:11 | looking 21:5 24:1 | 18:17 19:6,14,24 | man-made 37:15 |
| 103.4,3,13,10,18,24 | 22:20 24:4 55:17,20 | 28:19 33:2 47:5 | 20:5,8,11,12,16,20 | manage 87:15 105:20 |
| 104.4,7,11,23 | 65:22 72:21 77:4 | 48:17 50:1 51:8 | 20:3,8,11,12,10,20 | 124:7 |
| 108:17 109:10,23 | 85:14 95:20 109:10 | 58:7 65:17 67:18 | 21:17 22:6,7 24:17 | manageable 54:21 |
| 111:12,15 114:6,19 | 110:7 114:24 116:3 | 68:13,19 81:17,20 | 24:19 25:17 26:9,21 | managed 19:16 54:7 |
| 116:21 117:2,9,18 | 130:8,10 | 86:19 101:3 111:21 | 27:1,6,10 29:15,18 | management 11:22 |
| 117:21 118:6,9 | letter 24:22 69:8 | 116:4 118:4,12 | 30:18 31:7 32:5 | 12:6 27:19 33:18,20 |
| 119:10 121:5 122:2 | level 11:22 44:19 | 120:7,24 | 33:6 34:5 36:20 | 33:21,23 39:9,24 |
| 123:25 128:21 | 77:16 80:13,24 81:2 | looks 4:4 31:7 | 38:14,22 40:1,11 | 78:14 79:14 80:3,9 |
| knowing 39:16 128:7 | 82:4,10,11,17 83:1 | losing 96:3 | 41:1,3 42:19 43:10 | 80:11,16,21 81:4 |
| knowledge 39:12,14 | 83:4,5,15 84:2,24 | lot 23:3 36:17 47:14 | 45:10 49:21 50:2,3 | 82:13,19 83:2,8,11 |
| 45:20 100:5,15,22 | 89:16 94:15 96:24 | 61:6 62:18 73:21 | 50:25 55:17,22,24 | 83:12,17,19,24 84:3 |
| 101:4,13 103:13 | 98:14,17 110:13 | 85:20 106:6 109:8 | 58:12,19 60:13 | 84:5,6,10,12,14,15 |
| 104:5,6,8 | 122:8 | 122:10 123:16 | 61:10 62:1,6,18,23 | 84:18,23 85:1 86:16 |
| known 13:13 41:10 | levels 25:7 82:8 83:16 | lots 73:14 | 62:25 63:12,16 64:1 | 86:21,24,25 87:12 |
| 93:4 | 91:11 | love 93:22 | 64:9,12,21,24 65:5 | 90:22 91:2,7,12,14 |
| knows 92:17 102:7 | liaison 78:2 | low 77:15 82:10,11 | 66:1,10,18 67:10,15 | 91:16,17,20 94:3 |
| 102:18 | Licata 48:22 | 82:17 83:1 | 67:25 68:12 69:3,21 | 98:13,19 99:25 |
| Kowalski 91:18 | life 37:3 76:25 | Lozano 2:20 8:25 | 70:7,11,19 71:7 | 106:13 107:5 |
| | lift 33:2 | 9:18 11:23 28:13 | 72:5,10,15 73:5,13 | 121:19 |
| L | limit 125:20 | 31:4,6,12 32:7,9 | 73:19,23 74:3,6,9 | management's |
| labels 83:20 84:18 | limits 125:18 | 33:8,14,15 36:17,21 | 74:21 75:19 78:23 | 121:17 |
| lane 90:4 | line 21:1 38:24 | 37:21 38:23,25 | 79:6 85:11,14 86:1 | manager 2:11 52:24 |
| language 27:18 98:4 | lines 29:24 | 40:25 41:2 42:15 | 86:4,7 87:7 88:7 | 56:11 90:16,25 |
| 126:19 | link 121:9 | 43:13,25 45:3,9,23 | 89:22,23 90:2 95:4 | managers 52:22 |
| Large 131:5 | list 26:23 37:8,15 | 47:2 49:15 50:1,4 | 95:7,10,15 96:16 | 58:24 |
| larger 25:3 | 61:18,20 122:24 | 51:1 54:15 55:2 | 98:2 99:1,7,11 | mandatorily 44:16 |
| | , i | | | |
| | | | | |

mandatory 83:17 99:14,15 108:8,9 **Manlove** 2:24 8:12,12 24:8,13,20 28:25 36:10 63:11,20 101:8 March 13:10 25:3 63:18 65:13 66:22 67:17,19 68:5,9 Marisa 2:21 51:6,24 **Marguardt** 2:12 5:3 5:8 8:6 10:3 124:19 125:2,3 126:22 Mary 2:3 7:7 23:23 26:7 29:22 30:20 41:15,16 66:24 67:5 68:1.14 69:21 73:9 75:1 97:10 106:9 111:3,5 112:10 114:18 117:14 119:5 130:4 Mary's 71:8 112:25 mass 120:7 match 71:19 105:10 materials 14:19 15:12,14 matter 4:8 77:2 matters 19:23 66:15 102:15,16 maximum 125:15,20 **Mayersohn** 2:5 5:22 6:1 7:16,17 14:3,5 30:20 31:4.5.9 32:11 35:22 41:13 43:9 47:18 49:12,14 49:24 73:17 74:5,7 95:9,10,11,16 96:1 100:2,3,10,13 101:6 101:12,24 102:4,13 102:22 103:7,19 128:10 130:1 mean 17:21 28:22 30:13 36:4,7 42:4 63:17,19 66:4 71:13 72:6 73:6 99:2 102:5 103:13,14 113:3 127:14 129:14 meaning 35:9 means 30:24 126:14 meant 15:25

measurable 28:6 measure 28:15.23 measures 110:21 medium 80:14,24 83:3,14,15,20 84:2 84:12 91:11 **Medvin** 2:5 7:18,19 meet 62:9 75:2 80:8 88:16 92:10 105:16 meeting 1:5 6:3,10 10:20 11:12 13:1,6 18:4 33:13 39:3 44:23 47:1 48:8 49:15,25 55:3,5 60:14 62:10 71:12 72:16 73:1,8 79:22 80:12,22 81:16,22 81:25 92:6 96:10 97:7 99:18,22,25 100:12 101:2 113:20 114:1 115:22 120:6.22 122:19,20 126:2 128:4,6,22 129:3,5 129:8 130:10,16,16 130:19 meetings 39:20 63:22 64:16 69:18 72:1,6 73:6 88:22 93:24 94:4 98:16 101:20 105:8 meets 81:1,2,24 member 18:13 19:17 21:8 90:20 92:13,16 93:8 98:16 99:14 103:23 111:10 members 2:1 4:4 9:24 10:6 13:22 43:6 45:14,17 62:21 71:6 72:19 85:11 91:5,19 91:21 92:3 93:2 95:5 99:13.15 113:21 125:23 128:14 mental 26:19 32:15 32:24 40:19,21 41:4 41:5,8,21 42:10 44:2,4,8,18 46:8,9 46:12,17,19,21,24

47:16,20 49:8 58:15

58:25 59:4 60:2

81:10,12,14 84:8 91:22 96:23 97:10 98:7 mention 42:3 62:15 69:10 mentioned 11:24 19:2 30:10 35:6 36:25 47:19 69:11 119:6 126:19 **Meo** 2:3 4:6 5:13,18 5:20 7:5,6 98:2,3,20 98:23 102:6,12,13 103:2 114:16,17,22 115:22 116:23 117:5 128:10 Meo's 5:15 merge 66:9 met 13:19 21:6,22 Michele 2:12 8:6 MICROSOFT 1:11 **middle** 75:3 midway 95:18 milestones 73:2 mill 15:9 mind 16:3 60:25 69:19 minimum 82:20,25 83:3.7 minute 4:10 58:13 106:11 **minutes** 56:8,8 104:24 117:14 125:15 **minutia** 114:8 misinterpreting 107:13 **misnomer** 107:21 missed 112:10 125:13 misses 71:12 **missing** 49:18 misunderstanding 31:14 **mitigate** 53:4,22 56:10 57:23 58:7 **mobile** 75:25 model 42:16 44:12 75:13,17 76:5,9 77:9 79:10,12,23 80:19 82:20,21,24 85:4 86:17 89:17 90:7,11 91:15 92:12

94:7 95:3 105:8,10 105:17 110:1 **moment** 24:16 money 48:19 **monitor** 57:18 87:15 monitoring 44:1 80:19 82:22 monitors 87:17 month's 115:19 monthly 55:3,5 months 67:1 68:19 70:22 74:19 107:23 109:23,24 115:2 morning 5:17 7:11 9:6,14 39:2 46:6 51:23 108:14,16 112:5 motion 11:8,9,20 12:8 12:11 13:24 14:19 15:3,13 17:20,21 22:16,23 23:11 60:16 111:25 112:23 120:5 127:21 129:18,20 129:20,22 **motions** 10:20,25 14:15,17 15:16,23 16:9,17 33:13 65:10 65:23 70:9,10 113:19 115:24 123:22 move 14:16 17:25 33:15 34:6 49:6 52:3 53:14 63:8 71:23 85:6,14 88:23 94:12 97:22 106:1 111:2 114:13 118:18 moved 22:17,23 23:11 **moves** 70:5 moving 10:11 31:22 33:7,12 45:1 49:22 50:24 63:5 79:5 101:24 108:7 **MSD** 105:2 **MSL** 120:14,23 121:3 122:3 **multiple** 76:18 94:17 96:25 117:15

92:15,24 93:12,12

murder 37:13 Murtha 3:1 8:10,11 8:11 74:9,10 muted 14:10 21:18 95:14,15 96:16 103:11

N name 103:15,24 names 8:20 **Natalee** 2:25 8:13 **Nathalie** 2:4 5:18 7:14 27:8 30:10 38:20 64:11 70:13 73:20 96:15 111:24 natural 37:14 nature 27:23 29:11 necessarily 12:24 13:13 14:21 15:12 17:15 29:12 39:6 58:17 126:14 necessary 41:12 81:4 83:9 91:13 need 5:3,4,5 7:2 14:21 25:24 28:3,5 40:1 42:25 45:1 47:20,24 60:15 64:7 64:24 68:10 69:7,8 69:22 77:14 79:16 80:2,8 81:21 84:7 84:22 87:23 93:8 100:10 109:20 115:25 117:1 122:7 124:10 125:21 127:8 128:7 129:18 needed 36:24 44:7 52:22 56:15 needs 42:1 48:2 66:20 71:4 79:9,19 82:16 93:1 103:16,18,19 104:3 negative 4:13 neither 37:1 never 13:13 19:9 20:13 50:12 82:3

124:2,4

new 13:22 21:24 22:2

22:4 31:20 33:17

39:9,24 40:24 42:15

74:16 75:13,17 76:5

44:12 54:17 71:22

76:6,9 77:9 79:2,12 79:23 80:17,19 82:20,20,24 83:7 85:3 88:13 89:10,17 89:20 90:7,11,19 91:15,15 92:12,12 92:15,24,24 93:12 94:7 95:2,3,23 100:25 105:8,10,16 105:16 110:1 113:2 124:23 nice 109:19 **night** 17:7 **nightly** 52:25 56:12 **nobody's** 67:17,17 **Non-Traditional** 2:18 non-updated 17:16 nontraditional 87:20 **noon** 7:3 104:23 129:15,24 130:1,4,5 130:8,12 **nope** 75:4 111:3 124:22 nos 23:3 38:19 **Notary** 131:4 **note** 45:3 60:15 108:1 **noted** 44:2 119:13 **notes** 24:5 34:9 120:7 131:9 notice 12:1 **noticed** 11:23 17:7 **notification** 34:14,17 34:22,25 35:21 36:3 36:14 37:6,10 89:17 notifications 35:13,14 36:14 **notified** 23:19 38:10 89:19,19,24 **notify** 34:11 35:23 37:7 notifying 90:4,4 **November** 122:20 number 9:23 10:11 11:20 16:11,22,25 17:18 22:15,16,23 23:11,23 25:3 27:2 30:1 40:17 42:4 44:4 68:19 116:13 117:19 128:14 **numbers** 47:7 116:15 numerous 52:11

0 objectives 27:22 obstacle 25:23 **obviously** 31:23 61:4 66:4 72:24 88:10 92:22 108:8 119:21 126:21 127:8 OCA 13:10 21:25 22:9 occur 13:23 21:25 35:3 51:17 occurred 45:13 82:3 **October** 25:3 63:18 65:13 68:4 71:12 72:17 122:19 128:1 off-line 126:24 offenses 37:13 **office** 2:8 8:7 15:20 51:24 53:8 59:12 75:9.10 117:7 123:18,19 127:1 officer 2:15,16 81:6 officers 91:19 **official** 50:15 oftentimes 14:19 oh 6:15 9:17 11:3 14:7 17:2 30:19 60:6 74:6 86:7 95:10,11 111:3 114:4 118:20,23 120:9 **okay** 4:18 5:7,14 6:1 6:8,15 8:18 9:12,17 10:5 11:19 14:9,14 16:24 18:9 19:6,24 20:20 21:16,17 22:7 22:9,13,16 23:20 24:17 26:7,8,8 27:6 34:5 38:14 40:1,9 40:15,20 41:3 42:18 43:5 45:2,10 50:3 50:25 51:25 55:17 55:24 56:20 58:10 59:14,19,21 60:9,10 60:13 62:1,5,22,23 64:1,9 67:15,25 68:12,14,16,18 69:21 73:13,15,19 74:1,6,21 75:5 79:18 88:3 89:7

90:2 95:16 96:1

98:2,24 99:9 100:13 101:24 104:19 106:9 107:25 108:4 110:25 111:3 112:3 112:6,18 115:25 118:17 119:14,25 127:14 128:19 129:23 130:3,13 **on-line** 4:9 74:13,18 on-staff 70:2 once 42:22 43:2 57:6 78:12,17 98:16 114:7 one-day 90:11 one-sided 104:8 ones 14:22,23 15:3 27:9 62:15 ongoing 48:17 93:24 open 89:3 operating 121:18 **operational** 28:3 36:1 103:11.12 Operations 2:11 opine 102:15 opportunity 64:15 **opposed** 70:2 116:2 **option** 82:18 83:18 84:11 **order** 6:11 12:22 14:5 25:19 26:21 49:3 72:23 organization 121:11 organizes 121:20 original 20:9 outside 70:3 111:12 111:20 112:12 113:5 127:13 overall 13:12 111:6 overlap 17:8 oversight 87:20 overview 111:2 120:16 overwhelming 57:12 57:19

P
p.m 1:16 130:19
package 125:8
packed 122:22
packs 107:8
page 5:10 17:14 23:9

26:17,22,25 27:2,3 27:4 34:6 38:15,16 38:17,18,18 40:15 40:15 42:8,9 55:20 63:1 116:4,18 pages 25:20 40:3 **paint** 60:22 **painted** 61:12 panel 50:9,14,17 55:7 panic 75:25 paragraph 20:15 parallel 108:18,22 109:1 Pardon 41:1 **parent** 89:17 98:12 98:18 99:4,8,10,20 99:23 103:14 parent/guardian 34:12 parent/guardians 90:5 ParentLink 37:22 38:1 89:25 90:1 parents 34:21,25 35:15 37:7 53:4 54:18 89:18 98:5,9 98:10,15 99:3 parliamentarian 127:6,20 part 11:11 37:3,18 47:18 51:13 55:3,12 59:24,25 63:20 93:1 98:12.18 102:25 108:24 **partial** 40:16 participate 96:12 99:22 participating 99:24 **particular** 18:3 20:25 21:1 25:6 28:24 29:4,13 58:16 party 112:16 pass 15:13 60:15 111:25 120:19 129:18.20 passed 12:11 15:23 86:14 **passes** 68:24

path 66:8 115:23

Payment 123:1

pay 39:22

payroll 123:1,2,10,14 **PCG** 105:2,5,9,14,22 107:4,7 123:4 **PDF** 87:3 89:2,7 **PDF'd** 87:8 **PDFs** 107:1 **pending** 123:14 **people** 5:12 28:4,8 42:11 43:1 46:14 51:4 54:22 56:16 57:13 58:16 60:10 79:1 95:11 98:8 99:16 109:13 121:13,17,20 perceived 28:7 **percent** 19:10 50:4 115:17 **Perfect** 110:23 performed 34:17 performing 115:11 **period** 24:24 25:1 50:12 52:22 56:2,9 56:22,23 57:7 63:19 65:6,18 66:3 67:2 67:11,13 68:4,20,21 68:25 69:14 70:16 70:18 71:1.21 109:4 114:23 permission 110:13 permissions 110:16 person 11:22,22 24:12 30:15 50:7 55:7.9 56:3 57:19 70:2 77:25 78:4 81:10,14 91:25 92:21 93:5 94:2,8 97:6 100:15,18,18 101:18,19 103:5 104:9 111:21 113:23 118:12 125:14 personal 28:13 92:23 92:25 100:5,22 101:4,13 102:11 103:12 104:8 **personally** 92:15,18 93:7,23 102:7 personnel 46:8,12,24 49:1 52:10 57:21 59:4 61:8,22 77:1 perspective 85:5

plugged 53:2 102:11 128:17 preferences 22:10 **problem** 37:18 43:14 117:2 119:3 **Plus** 112:16 **pertains** 18:10 33:19 35:17 43:14.17 51:25 **propose** 22:24 62:22 87:5 125:1,1 **proposed** 112:22 pertinent 115:19 **pocket** 127:12 preliminary 80:13,20 **Peter** 2:7 7:24 **point** 5:23 14:5 16:2 129:11 81:2,15,25 113:18 119:12 protocol 56:14 100:7 **phase** 81:1 31:18 43:16 57:21 presence 40:21 problems 26:10 **Phillips** 2:15 104:15 **present** 13:6 15:2 68:22 74:12 94:2 **procedure** 4:21 43:1 protocols 76:23 107:15 108:3,20 53:9 113:14 120:19 103:24 107:9,14,15 50:9,14,17 76:16 80:22 110:11 111:16 114:18 102:3 127:15 provide 9:25 11:9 philosophy 70:1 117:5 123:7 presentation 12:21 procedures 27:24 34:24 36:3 37:9 30:4 121:18 points 52:4 71:13 17:20 23:24 32:6 43:8 44:4,9 60:4 **phone** 58:3 72:1.3.23 117:16 33:10 40:3 61:12.18 **proceed** 10:10 18:23 84:21 87:20 94:5 **Phyllis** 2:6 4:15 7:20 127:5,9 62:2 63:3,9 73:10 19:4 64:4 69:13 97:16 99:16 100:16 108:10 112:6 policies 35:1 73:15,21,24 74:23 proceeded 18:24 102:15 103:25 128:12 129:17 policy 4:20 19:15,17 75:6,8 78:17,24 proceedings 4:1 119:20 120:16 physical 77:1 21:7 22:24 27:17,20 79:1 85:15 86:9 131:7 **provided** 121:21 **process** 2:25 11:1,6 122:15 pick 102:12 112:20 28:16,24 29:4,13 87:3,4 88:1,6 89:4 **picked** 85:21 30:12,15 34:18,19 90:18 121:3 11:14 15:6.15 22:19 providers 41:11 76:14 **picture** 60:22 61:13 34:19 35:9,10 42:25 presented 10:21 26:3 34:16 36:19 **piece** 29:4 35:10 48:7 52:10 88:10,12,14 44:17,21 45:19 51:9 **providing** 13:7 93:9 16:13 89:9 113:14 120:18 presenter 24:9 51:16 55:19 77:11 52:2 53:3,21 54:5 121:14 pieces 48:18 49:18 psychologists 59:2 123:25,25 124:1,2,9 presenting 121:7 54:13 64:3 65:24 **Pinnacle** 52:18 53:12 127:2,15,22 presumably 31:1 66:6 67:4,7 71:22 **public** 9:23,24 10:1,6 56:11 **polling** 72:19 **pretty** 38:15 47:2 76:3 78:6 79:2,5 10:7 34:21 75:15 place 9:20 11:12 28:8 **pop** 10:15 78:24 71:19 122:21 123:6 80:18 81:15 84:14 88:11 90:23 94:14 28:15 32:1,2 34:15 population 12:12 prevent 50:23 84:20 85:9 87:12 131:5 35:22 36:22,22 19:11 25:23 26:18 preventative 47:16 88:24 92:6,9 93:14 publicized 53:5 37:2 40:18 41:20 **pull** 11:12 24:4,7 39:23 43:1,15 45:1 110:20 98:12 100:8 103:6 47:15 49:1 50:22 116:4,5,11 prevention 47:4,9 103:15 105:11 56:12 73:10 58:24 78:1 51:11 80:16,23 81:4 portal 86:19 106:8 121:24 122:25 **pulled** 47:6 62:7 81:14 82:15,22,24 **portion** 75:16 preventions 47:6 **processes** 52:13 54:2 119:7 125:10 83:2 84:4,24 88:15 **position** 12:1 28:12 previous 16:9 39:3 105:15 106:4 **pulling** 34:20 previously 54:6,16 55:7 60:19 93:12,22 **Punzi** 90:16 118:12 127:4 processing 67:13 placement 84:8 95:2 110:15 **primary** 97:19 procurement 33:3 Punzi-Elabiary 90:25 principal 54:8 56:18 **plan** 18:11 47:9 66:2 **positions** 13:16,18 120:25 122:4 123:3 92:2 80:16,19,20,21 81:4 46:9 49:4 58:19,20 56:19 76:8,9 78:10 producing 13:3 **purpose** 87:10 97:17 82:13,19,22 83:2,11 59:7 60:1,2,4,18 78:10,13,18,20 80:5 **product** 109:25 103:22 62:13 96:20 productive 4:24 83:17 84:3,15 85:1 80:6 82:6 83:10 **purposes** 102:18 98:14,19 112:18 **positive** 104:6,7 93:3,15 94:12,16,18 professional 40:22 pursuant 35:1 122:6,7,9,16 **possession** 37:12 50:7 94:23 95:21 96:22 45:20 put 6:3 23:24 28:7 55:9 97:1,12,13 105:13 **plans** 83:9 84:23 professionals 41:4,8 40:10 42:25 47:14 **platform** 105:3,7,19 **possible** 12:24 26:16 **principals** 90:13 97:1 46:10 50:22 74:2,8 75:7 97:3.21 **program** 69:13 80:15 81:3 82:22 105:23 26:17 40:17,17 86:8 platforms 47:19 post 126:22 **prior** 48:24 63:21 prohibits 126:6 86:20 99:4 105:24 48:10,17 54:5,12 79:21 88:16 89:11 projects 122:25 110:20 127:4,21 potential 87:15 PowerPoint 12:1,9 97:3 101:1 prompted 23:2 **puts** 83:1 **play** 30:2 **please** 8:8,9 9:4 24:13 32:6 40:6 75:14 **Pritykina** 2:11 8:5 **properly** 29:5 109:6 putting 44:25 51:11 24:16,18 49:11 86:8 87:4 85:16,19,24 86:3 53:21 61:19 80:22 115:10 **PPO** 122:25 53:23 85:16 89:13 87:23 88:2 89:2,6 **Property** 123:18 127:1,12 128:3 93:10 101:11 103:8 **practices** 93:25 94:5 **probably** 31:25 33:2 **proposal** 13:9,20 0 113:17 **prefer** 25:15 62:19 117:19 14:1 18:1.20 19:9 qualifications 113:2 Pledge 6:13,14 preferably 112:23 119:19 123:16,20 21:23 22:18 116:2

quantify 27:25 quarter 32:2,3,4 quarterly 12:25 22:20 23:14 63:15 123:5 **question** 11:4,5 17:9 20:2,6,9 21:3 22:8 26:1 29:6 31:10 33:1,7 38:21 40:2,4 40:12 41:7 43:13,14 44:5,10 52:3 55:22 58:11 65:15 69:22 70:12 73:25 95:17 96:13 97:9 98:6 101:10 102:5 108:5 108:12 110:4 111:6 112:10 120:1 **questions** 4:22 16:6 20:17 21:1 23:2 25:15,19,20 26:3,6 27:11 30:1 32:6 33:11 38:17 49:9.10 53:9,16 55:18 62:3 62:20 63:6 68:17 69:25 75:2 85:8,12 95:1,5 106:10 111:1 113:18 124:15 quick 33:8 78:23 95:17 112:9 **quicker** 119:20 **quickly** 70:21 quorum 4:8 6:18

R

R 1:23 131:4,14 RADCLIFF 2:13 raised 14:6 45:11 52:5 raises 20:16 68:16 ran 36:11 rate 50:4,6,6 69:15 re-set 122:12 reach 35:15 83:23 reached 88:17 reactive 47:17 **read** 17:6,15 21:20 45:11 reading 22:5 26:12 ready 5:8,16 44:21 68:23 69:1 70:21 71:19 91:4 93:22

realistic 130:7 realized 27:2 really 57:8 68:17 70:1 71:3,18 83:8 100:19 101:3 107:21 110:18 115:11 **realtime** 74:13,18 reappointments 11:25 reason 16:4 36:23 80:1 89:5 reassigned 95:21 **Rebecca** 2:2 6:25 55:23 104:23 117:13 **receive** 47:21 received 13:10,20 21:23 receives 77:4 receiving 77:13 78:11 recited 6:14 recognize 18:3 **recognized** 21:14,15 recommendation 117:4 119:5 recommendations 39:11,15 72:2 116:17 119:22 recommends 11:21 reconvene 81:23 **record** 69:24 74:2 119:7 131:8 **recorded** 30:23 31:15 **recording** 5:5,15 6:12 56:7.23 recordkeeping 50:15 records 51:21 recover 53:3 recovery 46:14 58:23 red 35:12 **reduce** 125:19 refer 79:17,20 82:12 83:18 84:6 referencing 17:3 22:3

96:11 105:16,23,25

106:24.25 107:12

107:20 125:8

real 78:23 87:15

real-time 22:11

125:10

referendum 41:5 **referred** 47:25 78:4 79:24 **refers** 77:24 **regard** 102:17 regardless 99:8 103:13 **Region** 2:19 9:8 Regional 2:18 regions 51:15 registering 93:21 regular 62:10 72:16 94:4 114:8 rehash 112:21 related 41:7 87:11 113:19 114:18 relates 52:10 54:10 127:22 relationship 92:23,25 93:2 100:6 101:13 117:17 **relevant** 40:6 71:9 100:16 103:25 remain 82:24 83:2 remediate 50:23 remember 11:11 13:24 31:11 117:6 120:3 129:19 remind 61:2 65:9 **repeat** 22:13 101:10 repeated 78:16 repeatedly 28:9 repetitions 24:25 **replace** 119:18 replacing 124:1 report 12:21 13:3,7 16:15,18,20 17:11 17:12,19 22:12 23:8 23:15 24:3,4,21 25:18 30:5,21 31:3 33:9 42:12 43:20 49:23 52:25 53:5 55:21,21 56:12 57:7 63:5 65:14 66:5 68:4,6 70:15,22 71:10,14 72:17 76:15,19 77:2,5,21 78:11 79:13,25 114:19 117:21 123:23 131:7 **reported** 30:9 42:21

42:23 43:7,19 76:12 77:10 79:11 **reporter** 1:23,23 3:2 9:2 131:4,14 **REPORTER'S** 131:1 **reporting** 1:24 3:2 22:11 43:2 64:5 76:24 reports 12:20,23 16:6 16:12 25:9,12 51:14 57:18 63:23 117:8 119:20 represented 114:22 representing 9:7 requested 13:4,8 requesting 15:13,24 require 15:8 26:2 37:24 46:21 **required** 12:4 23:4,7 34:1 35:5 44:15 52:21,24 84:23 98:16 122:22 requirement 28:24 82:23 91:15 92:11 requirements 31:21 33:21 34:2 67:24 82:21,25 85:10 87:11 89:16,20 90:6 91:6 92:24 requires 15:17 requiring 55:6 researching 48:13 resolution 41:19 resources 82:14 respect 10:24 127:9 respond 15:5,21 126:3,7 responded 12:16 88:19 responding 17:21 126:6 response 7:13,21,23 9:21 10:2,18 11:9 11:24 14:22,23 15:8 15:24 16:12,22,25 17:16 18:25 22:15 23:13,23 28:19,20 32:21 40:13 45:12 85:13 95:6 118:24 118:25 124:21 responses 10:14,17

10:19 17:14 responsibilities 57:22 76:7 89:15 responsibility 34:3 36:13 52:12 77:6 91:8 121:19 responsible 30:16 53:7 54:9,23 56:7 94:2 rest 19:7 21:20 63:5,7 70:5 105:6 122:13 **result** 37:14 results 23:25 25:8 108:19,21 retroactively 42:7 review 44:15,19 48:12 55:12 70:2,3 71:1,20 78:11,15,19 81:7 83:10 84:5,19 91:9 92:6,11 93:24 112:1 120:17 reviewed 48:11 50:14 71:23 80:4,8 reviewing 44:24 68:23 70:21 82:11 92:7 94:10 reviews 44:13 79:15 83:13 revising 88:14 **right** 4:3 6:6,17 9:12 9:19,22 10:11 11:19 12:10 13:1 15:6,10 16:17,21 17:17 18:14 19:14 22:14 25:17 26:24 27:1 28:11 29:18,24 30:18 32:9 34:5,22 37:22 38:14 41:3 48:3 49:4 51:8 57:17 58:5,20 59:21 61:16,21 62:23 64:19 65:5 68:22 70:7,19 73:9,24 76:22 83:25 87:7,25 88:5,6 90:8 94:9 100:20 102:4 103:7 105:11,22 107:14 109:13 110:25 112:3,25 114:5 115:8 116:23 117:23 118:17

| 119:2 130:14 | SaferWatch 76:1,21 | school-based 33:23 | 86:3 94:13 95:4 | seventh 103:21 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| rights 87:3 | safety 2:16 27:16 | 34:10 40:22 60:4 | 103:8 104:3,11 | sex 37:13 |
| rise 82:4 | 30:13 33:18 77:1 | school-sponsored | 108:6,7 110:8,19 | share 10:16 24:6 |
| risk 2:25 3:1 77:24 | 80:22 87:16 117:18 | 35:4 | 111:19 113:23 | 41:11 61:9 75:16 |
| 78:6 122:9 | sample 12:13,15,17 | schools 2:18 36:8 | 116:3,13,15 117:1 | 85:16,24 92:21 |
| Robert 2:5 5:23 7:16 | 12:18 25:5 116:3,5 | 38:1 44:1 46:11,12 | 122:9,21,23 124:18 | 99:19,21 101:2 |
| 95:13,15 | 116:6 | 46:14,14,16,16,24 | 125:10 127:18 | 108:20 112:25 |
| role 12:7 29:10 76:6 | samples 22:10 | 47:7,9 49:2 50:10 | seeing 53:19 55:14 | shared 62:4 |
| 88:16,16 90:15,21 | save 118:15 | 55:6 57:4,9,10,25 | 62:16 63:23 | shares 32:17 70:6 |
| 91:4,8 94:25 97:19 | saw 42:8 53:13 54:25 | 58:3,8 59:10,11 | seek 72:22 | sharing 14:8 24:7,15 |
| 98:20 | say-so 11:7 | 75:10,10,12,24 76:1 | seen 111:14,17 118:3 | 48:8,24 57:16 61:1 |
| role-specific 90:15,17 | saying 15:18 19:8 | 76:2,22 78:1 80:17 | self 77:23 78:5,8 | 85:23 |
| roles 62:13 81:5 | 23:6 28:13 30:20 | 82:22 85:6 86:20 | send 35:13,14 36:13 | Shaw 2:6 4:16 7:20 |
| 89:15 | 37:8 39:5 55:11 | 87:13,13,14,18,21 | 62:11 121:9 125:22 | 108:14,16 110:3,23 |
| roll 6:17,20,21 9:5,23 | 60:3,8 67:16 99:7 | 90:23 93:19 94:14 | sending 37:5 | 112:9 128:13 |
| 92:8 97:13,25 | 107:7 114:25 | 94:20 95:20 96:25 | senior 11:22 | 129:18 |
| 105:16 109:23 | 117:22 129:16,24 | 100:24 | sense 28:22 30:17 | Sheriff 75:11 |
| 127:25 | says 12:7 27:16,20 | scope 25:1,2,4 39:18 | 63:22 69:20 98:23 | shift 93:11 |
| rolling 39:10,25 41:6 | 34:7,7,20 96:5 | 64:5,16 102:14 | 110:6 | shifted 76:7 |
| 90:12 | 106:12,12 107:5 | Scott 75:10 | sensitive 122:18,19 | shifting 54:11 |
| rollover 48:19,24 | 108:7 117:13 | screen 6:16 14:8 24:6 | 126:8 | shocked 116:23 |
| round 25:6 63:13 | scenario 106:19 | 24:18 75:18 86:6 | sent 78:10 105:13,14 | short 128:23 |
| routine 123:6 | schedule 123:6 | screens 10:16 | sentence 22:3,4 | short-term 113:3,4 |
| RSM 2:24 3:1,1,2 8:8 | scheduling 127:9 | scroll 87:23 89:13 | 125:21 | shortly 24:14 |
| 8:11,12,14,16 13:6 | school 1:3 12:23 | scrolling 125:12 | separate 35:19 | shortly 24.14 show 5:12 88:7 |
| 13:8 22:17,24 23:12 | 13:19 18:5 21:6,22 | se 73:24 | September 68:21 | showed 50:13,17 |
| 23:15,18 24:6 28:21 | 21:25 24:25 27:16 | seamlessly 71:24 | 69:1 71:12,20 73:1 | showing 24:23 50:9 |
| 34:16 39:22 50:10 | 33:18,23,24 34:21 | second 12:11 20:14 | 88:19 114:1 122:17 | shows 28:6 56:22 |
| 50:14 64:9 65:12,17 | 35:3,4,13 36:7 37:5 | 36:21 56:22 75:20 | 131:10 | side 45:3 47:16,17 |
| 67:9,23 69:3,4,9 | 37:5 43:6 44:8 | 75:20 97:1,7 100:18 | serious 12:13,14,17 | side-by-side 109:2 |
| 74:5 101:6 111:14 | 45:14,17 46:3 50:7 | secondary 94:17 | 12:18 34:12 92:7 | sign 78:12,15,22 |
| 114:6,12,23 115:12 | 52:10,13,23 54:8 | 96:25 | 114:20,25 115:17 | 93:16,17 |
| 119:17 | 55:4 57:21 58:4 | secondly 98:7 | 116:6,6,11,12 | signature 50:8 |
| rule 33:17,19 34:4 | 59:17,24 60:19 | seconds 120:21 | serve 91:24 93:5 | signed 50:19 82:5,6 |
| 86:23 87:11 88:13 | 61:23 72:16 73:1 | Secretary 2:12 | 96:11 97:4 | significant 34:23 35:2 |
| 88:22 89:10,20 | 76:8,9,13,17 77:1 | section 27:21 29:8 | service 1:24 41:11 | signs 78:13,21 |
| 90:19 98:4 125:15 | 77:16,25 78:10,18 | 85:21 | 76:14 79:23 | similar 77:9 |
| 126:5 | 79:9,12,13,16 80:2 | Sections 22:25 | services 2:19,21,22 | simple 15:12,12 22:8 |
| rules 74:17 103:4 | 80:9,10 81:19,22 | Security 2:16 | 9:15 32:14 44:8 | single 15:3 42:3 44:14 |
| run 15:9 57:18 | 82:1,15,18 83:18,20 | see 4:6,16 5:15 6:8 | 48:9 79:17,20 81:13 | 79:10 110:11 |
| 108:24 | 83:24 84:13,17,20 | 7:8 8:25,25 9:18 | 82:12 97:16 123:3 | site 52:13 |
| running 69:1 90:9 | 84:21 86:18 87:12 | 10:6 12:11 14:7 | 123:13 | sites 94:17 |
| 107:2,17 108:1 | 88:12 90:10 91:13 | 17:16 20:15 22:20 | SESIR 31:1 | sitting 45:12 |
| 113:20 | 92:13 93:3 94:1,5,8 | 24:18 26:5,7,15 | set 28:1 35:16 69:19 | situation 18:3,22 |
| runs 107:4 | 95:19,22 96:10,20 | 27:1 29:7,25 30:3,6 | 71:25 87:11 109:12 | 111:19 127:7 |
| Ruth 2:2 6:23 43:9,10 | 97:18,19 98:14 | 30:19 31:1,22 41:14 | 109:14 122:13 | situations 19:5 58:2 |
| | 99:24 100:19 101:1 | 42:8,16 45:10 52:15 | 125:20 129:19 | six 70:22 74:19 90:23 |
| S | 105:6,12 106:1,2,14 | 52:25 54:3 55:18,20 | 130:1 | 109:22,24 115:2 |
| SAC 99:3 | 106:15 107:6 120:4 | 56:12 60:18,19 61:5 | sets 121:11 | 117:14 |
| SAF 99:3 | 120:6 121:23 | 61:17 62:1,14 66:24 | setting 72:4,6 | six-month 68:20,21 |
| Safe 75:10 | school's 101:1 | 67:2 75:4,17,18 | seven 74:19 | 68:25 70:16 71:21 |
| | | , , | | |
| | | - | • | - |

109:4 114:23 54:16 59:13 61:17 78:25 86:15,19,23 99:11,23 100:6,15 **suppose** 129:5 sixth 103:20 **Spec** 2:12,13 86:25 89:20 90:24 100:22,25 101:3,5 **supposed** 11:13 37:9 size 25:5,5 **special** 6:10 38:9 73:6 106:23,24 131:2,5 101:13,14 102:7,9 56:1 114:15 120:5 73:7 128:5 130:16 **skips** 56:23 state's 39:17 103:16,21 104:1 sure 8:24 18:16 24:8 **slated** 106:3 **Specialist** 2:11 27:16 **stated** 52:11 106:5 123:12 24:11,11 47:3,23 slide 87:1 88:7,9 **specific** 12:3 26:1 statements 27:22 student's 92:20,21 49:9 51:19 52:5 46:18 89:16 94:24 89:13 93:10 103:8 statute 23:5,7 31:21 103:24 55:12,16 60:9 65:22 104:12,21,22 121:25 34:20 35:6,10,18 students 34:22 44:5 66:7 70:4,20 71:24 106:11 108:5 specifically 14:24 36:2,4 37:6 45:1 47:20 48:2 75:1 88:15 96:2 15:4 24:22 36:5 stay 99:18 106:10 slides 85:17,23 60:5 76:23 97:18 107:19 small 122:24 98:4 113:5 **stuff** 43:18 108:25 109:4.17 **SMART** 123:5 specifications 105:10 **stayed** 13:17 style 121:18 110:16 114:3 105:17 subcommittee 124:10 116:17 123:23 snoozing 6:6 stays 6:7 **speed** 8:17 social 46:13 48:1 stenographic 1:23 **subject** 27:23 125:4 126:10,13 spend 13:15 66:15 subjective 104:4 58:22 59:17,25 131:9 **surely** 67:3 submitted 23:3 **solely** 86:24 94:2 **spoke** 121:4 stenographically suspected 26:18 **Solutions** 45:4 spring 86:13 105:25 131:7 subsection 35:1 40:19 41:20 **SRAs** 47:7 **somebody** 30:5 42:6 **step** 9:4 11:14 55:15 subsequent 12:20 system 2:11 28:14 75:7 92:22,25 96:4 **staff** 2:8,14 8:2,19 75:22 76:5 77:4,22 18:25 32:17,22 33:5 34:13 100:5 101:4.12 9:16 10:7 11:2,7,10 79:7 80:7 82:9 substantive 92:7 35:20,24 37:23 102:17 103:3,19,25 44:4,18 48:11 59:9 100:11 116:7,12 39:24 54:5,12,17 111:21 118:13 60:21 61:24 62:11 steps 21:23 33:7 substitute 76:11 75:25 105:19 106:5 somebody's 50:16 69:11 73:10 76:19 63:14,24 73:15 **success** 69:15 109:7,21 110:10 soon 12:24 70:18 76:23 88:17 90:11 77:18 115:6 **Sue** 48:9 system's 39:10 sorry 9:9 11:3 14:7 90:20 91:21,23 Stock 123:4 sufficiently 102:17 systems 52:18 53:25 103:5 128:4 108:23 17:2 27:5 38:20 92:16 111:10,20 **stones** 126:3 **stop** 13:13 24:7 40:9 58:11 68:14 114:12 115:13,14 suggestions 26:16 T 69:5 71:5 72:5.11 115:14 118:3 130:12 suicide 37:13 46:15 table 24:23 25:14 47:4,6 58:24 78:1,6 96:16 103:11 114:4 125:23 126:7 strategies 27:13 44:10 81:21 119:15 staffing 22:1 Strategy 22:16 **Suite** 1:24 sort 23:1 28:18 60:17 tabled 123:9 stakeholders 36:16 Strauss 2:6,16 7:22 summarized 113:17 tack 73:7 60:22 61:12,14 standard 45:24 9:1,10 18:6,7,7,8 **summer** 91:1 take 19:2,22 32:1,2 62:19 104:4,8 113:2 standpoint 39:19 38:20.23 39:1 40:9 **Sunshine** 72:11.20 36:22 50:16 52:21 113:17 114:8 117:9 start 4:24 5:4,15 6:12 64:11,13,14,22 **Superintendent** 2:16 64:3 65:22 95:22 121:6 122:15 6:13 25:18 31:24 73:14,20 74:1 75:11 2:17,18 123:19 123:16 126:10 sound 26:11 40:5 55:6 68:23 streamlining 54:3,12 126:25 128:13,16 130:17 **strictly** 102:18 **Superintendent's** 9:3 sounds 33:6 42:19 70:21 71:20 75:8 taken 52:14 58:1 strike 125:22 71:2,18 110:3 86:12 88:7 97:20 9:11 130:13.13 104:17 113:9.12.13 structure 12:17 supervised 115:10 126:8 takes 52:18 71:21 supervision 115:9 source 29:2,16 120:12,13 122:2,3 121:15 126:1 **South** 1:24 started 6:9,10 44:20 student 2:19,21,22 117:6 **speak** 5:24 8:9 10:23 47:8 48:15 67:12.19 support 2:11 44:5 talk 16:14,14 38:2 9:15 23:13 41:10 44:3 48:22 50:22 51:7,10,17 63:14 92:5 109:11 121:3 44:7 47:24,24 50:9 57:25 58:8 60:5 52:2,7 53:17,23 71:6,14 75:6 104:16 128:18,19 130:17 50:11,13,17,18 54:4 75:12,12 79:20 61:7 63:23 77:16 108:11 125:14,17 starting 25:14 68:8 54:10 76:19 77:19 80:15,21 81:3 82:13 89:14 126:23 127:12 129:12 79:19,24 80:15,21 82:19 83:1,8,11,17 talked 36:17 48:23 **speaker** 125:16 **starts** 26:23 81:3,13 82:13,16,19 83:22,25 84:3,13,15 53:20 55:11 64:6 **speaker's** 125:19 **state** 23:5 31:20 83:1,8,11,16 84:3,7 84:23 85:1 91:13 86:22 94:1 112:5 126:9 33:17,19 34:4,20 84:15,22,25 87:16 98:13,19 123:1,10 talking 14:15 16:21 speakers 125:24 35:5,10,18 36:2 90:5 92:15.17.23 **supports** 45:1 54:22 23:23 24:23 48:21 **speaking** 16:8,9 37:6 39:14 59:14 93:1,4,7,9 98:13,19 84:8,9,22

| 40.15.56.4.50.6 | 100 0 11 100 10 | 50 1 50 0 50 15 | 120 10 127 10 15 | 107 11 100 15 |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 49:15 56:4 59:6 | 108:8,11 109:18 | 50:1 52:3 53:15 | 120:18 127:10,15 | 127:11 129:15 |
| 60:23 61:8 86:12 | testing 12:13 13:23 | 55:18 56:20 57:14 | 128:6 | today's 12:1 113:11 |
| 120:3 | 21:24 23:14 63:13 | 57:15 58:19 60:3,13 | threat's 34:11 | tomorrow 126:23 |
| tangible 28:6 | 69:15,19 72:4 96:4 | 62:7 63:13 65:15 | threatening 43:7 | tone 121:11 122:13 |
| target 90:6 | 108:13,17 | 70:13 73:13,17,22 | threats 12:14,15,18 | Toomer 2:17 8:24 |
| targets 34:11 | thank 9:9 18:8 21:13 | 75:21 83:7 89:2 | 25:4 34:12,23,25 | topic 112:19 113:23 |
| task 57:19 102:19 | 21:16 42:18 45:2,6 | 95:14 98:5,23 99:4 101:16 102:13,19 | 37:24 38:4,8,8,9 | 114:7,15 126:9 |
| Task-Assigned 2:11 tasked 66:12 | 45:6,7 46:5 49:19 51:1 54:25 55:17 | 101:16 102:13,19 | 44:16,24 76:20 84:17,24 87:16 92:8 | topics 46:7 total 116:4,5,6,10 |
| tasks 46:18 | 56:20 57:2,14 58:10 | 104:2,9,10,13 | 114:20,25 115:17 | Totally 117:23 |
| teacher 50:12 52:18 | 59:22 60:10 61:19 | 104.2,9,10,13 | three 52:17 54:4 67:1 | totals 116:8 |
| 54:7 56:1 91:23 | 62:24,25 74:2 75:9 | 112:10,13,16 113:9 | 125:15 | toys 107:8 |
| 97:4 100:7 103:21 | 85:19 98:1,3 100:1 | 114:6,9,14 115:16 | throw 5:23 | track 30:9 47:20 |
| teachers 47:11 51:18 | 110:3,23 112:17 | 115:18,25 116:18 | thrown 120:20 | 110:8 |
| 52:20,25 56:6,12 | 113:24 114:12 | 116:21 117:16,17 | Thursday 129:3 | trackable 32:19 |
| 97:3 | 117:25 118:16,20 | 118:9,10 119:18,18 | tie-in 49:16 | traditional 87:19 |
| Teaching 2:17,17 | 119:24 125:3 | 120:25 121:9 124:6 | Tim 3:2 9:1 | tragedy 105:1 |
| team 9:3 23:16 24:14 | Thanks 5:20 79:6 | 125:18 126:20 | time 4:25 5:12 10:1,9 | trail 29:8 |
| 33:24 40:22 41:9 | theme 78:16 | 127:16,20 128:13 | 10:12 16:20 17:11 | trained 46:19 76:3 |
| 43:5 44:13,15,17,22 | themes 42:24 | 128:18 130:1,7 | 17:12 18:23 20:1 | 91:3 93:8 95:24 |
| 47:2,12 77:14 78:3 | theory 65:20 66:22 | thinking 29:2,16,18 | 26:13 43:16 45:20 | 115:10 |
| 79:10,12,14,16 80:3 | 107:8 129:14 | 56:25 128:7,20 | 48:14 53:2,10 68:1 | training 14:20 31:25 |
| 80:6,9,11,13,14,24 | therapist 48:1 | thinks 68:3 | 68:2 74:12 75:22 | 52:9 76:22 87:21 |
| 81:1,2,5,11,17,24 | thereof 131:9 | third 111:16 112:16 | 77:10 78:4 94:11 | 90:12,14 93:21 |
| 82:7,9,10,25 83:9 | thing 19:16 21:5 | Thomas 120:22 | 108:22,24 118:2 | 94:24 109:1 117:6 |
| 83:14,19,24,25 84:6 | 23:20 31:18 39:14 | thought 30:5 33:12 | 120:20 122:18,19 | 127:20 |
| 84:13,13,16,18,19 | 57:12 61:14 63:9 | 36:23 71:7 116:1 | 123:9,11,16,23 | trainings 34:1 39:13 |
| 85:6 90:10,15 91:12 | 105:22 107:16 | 118:23 121:7,24 | 125:14,19,20 126:1 | 51:17 90:10,17 |
| 91:14,17,20,23 92:1 | 109:17 123:15 | thoughts 29:9 128:10 | 126:7 127:7 128:11 | 105:24 107:19 |
| 92:4,6,13 93:1,17 | 125:10 | threat 2:20 4:20 | 129:2,2,4,9,13,19 | transactions 54:10 |
| 94:10,19,25 96:10 | things 15:24 23:4 | 10:13 12:6 13:3 | timeframe 54:20 | transcript 131:8 |
| 96:24 97:5 99:5,8 | 26:14 27:12 29:10 | 22:19 23:17 25:7 | 124:14 | transient 12:15,18 |
| 99:17,25 100:17,19 | 29:19 31:2,5 33:16 | 27:19 30:3,6 33:18 | timeline 88:15 | transition 31:24 32:1 |
| 103:23 105:12,14 | 36:5 37:9 41:18,25 | 33:20,21,23 37:11 | timeliness 74:11 | 48:4 51:14 52:4 |
| 105:24 | 43:2 47:1,14,22 | 37:17 38:6 39:9,24 | timely 34:22,25 36:3 | 53:18 75:13 104:12 |
| teams 1:11 26:11 | 48:22 51:11 53:20 | 41:22 43:20 44:14 | 37:9 74:25 | 106:1,14 107:6 |
| 98:21 101:20 | 53:22 55:2 67:3 | 44:20 45:21 63:7 | times 99:19 113:15 | 109:18 |
| teams' 45:19 | 69:9 77:10 80:12 | 75:23 76:4,15,16,24 | 118:2 | transitioning 35:7 |
| Ted 2:17 8:24 | 88:4,9 109:3 110:5 | 76:25 77:5 78:2,7 | timestamps 113:15 | 49:18 51:9 53:15 |
| tell 10:7 | 115:18 118:3 121:2 | 78:14 79:4,8,11,14 | timing 11:1 12:19 | 107:22 |
| temporarily 95:23 | 122:10,11,14,22 | 79:19 80:2,9,10,18 | 63:24 65:2,12 | transmittal 24:22 |
| tenor 43:20 | 125:13 127:6 129:1 | 82:3,4 83:12,16,19 83:23 84:4,6,10,12 | 127:16 128:1 Timothy 1:23 131:4 | transmitted 11:20 |
| terminally 5:22 terms 13:25 28:14 | think 4:18,24 8:22 | | 131:14 | transmitting 14:24 transparency 58:6 |
| | 10:14 12:4,8 19:8 | 84:14,18 86:16,21 | | transparency 38:6 transportation 35:4 |
| 33:7 47:22 48:3 50:8,14,17 52:19 | 22:13,14,21 23:20 25:17 27:10 28:20 | 86:24,24 87:12 90:21 91:1,2,7,12 | title 12:3 titles 61:18,20 | transportation 35:4 travels 52:17 |
| 54:11 55:7 65:1 | 28:25 29:11,23 30:2 | 91:13,16,17,20 94:3 | today 31:16 43:11 | treat 83:15 |
| 67:1 115:23 117:2 | 31:23 32:5,6,12 | 99:24 101:18,21 | 46:7 52:5 60:16 | tried 28:7 89:3 |
| test 22:9,18,24 | 34:2 35:16 38:15 | 105:4,21 106:3,13 | 64:6 70:8 86:15 | triggers 109:5,15 |
| 101:15 108:19,21 | 39:6 41:13 42:15 | 105.4,21 100.5,15 | 90:9 112:21 113:12 | true 72:14,21 131:8 |
| tested 34:13 38:5 | 43:24,25 44:5,9 | 113:13 119:9 | 120:11 126:9 | try 57:23 67:25 68:2 |
| 7.13 JU.J | 13.21,23 77.3,7 | 113.13 117.7 | 120.11 120.7 | 2. 3 3 7 .23 0 0 .23 0 0 .2 |
| | | | l . | ı |

updates 94:6 weigh 26:25 39:8 71:25 124:9 130:8 103:10,10 108:15 73:2 97:20 101:25 **trying** 10:6 21:19,20 upload 55:7 waiting 5:12 9:25 106:17,17 107:17 64:16,18 66:13 22:8 47:15 49:22 uploaded 55:14 25:25 68:6 107:18,19 108:20 welcome 9:17 20:22 52:5 74:22 130:4,11 use 37:12 66:13 76:1 walk 25:13 108:21 109:24 60:12 **Tuesday** 48:20 61:3 105:18,20 107:1 Wallace 2:25 8:13.13 111:2 112:22 well-versed 25:12 **tuning** 113:6 109:20 **Walsh** 46:5 114:13 122:16 went 5:1,1 22:21 **turnover** 107:24 **useful** 69:12 **WANDA** 2:13 123:23 125:7 27:14 36:15 38:2,13 **Turso** 2:7 7:24,25 usual 124:13 want 8:21 15:4 16:13 we're 4:9,18 14:16,24 91:1 **two** 6:3,4 14:17,18 usually 73:5 17:10,10 19:25 24:6 16:11,13 17:8 20:25 weren't 39:4 42:1 29:19 39:20 55:16 26:24 33:9 39:7,7 23:25 24:8 29:1 wheelhouse 58:21 \mathbf{V} 39:21,22 41:16 78:11,18,20 80:5,6 40:3 42:14 44:21,25 whereabouts 54:20 vacancies 111:17 80:11 81:23,25 82:6 43:22 45:11 48:7 48:11 49:4 50:1 word 5:9 50:16 124:2 118:3 82:7 91:5 93:16,17 58:12 65:9 66:5,7 51:11 52:2,14 53:14 work 39:19,22 42:22 vacant 13:18 95:11 103:22 69:23 70:1,14 72:9 54:12,12,14 55:3,6 45:4,5 50:10 59:3 value 119:21 105:13 108:23 73:12 74:1 75:9 55:15,20 60:22 61:8 61:24 67:9,12,18,20 values 121:16 115:18 117:7 83:21 87:1 88:25 64:22 65:11 66:22 67:23 68:8 81:9 variables 53:2 120:17,21 122:5,17 92:4,19 96:14 97:14 67:6,6,13,21 70:16 87:24 100:9 108:23 various 25:9 46:25 125:18 128:25 97:18 104:20 70:17,20,22 72:12 109:16 111:13 47:19 129:16,24 107:16 108:22 72:25 87:22 88:10 115:3,6,15 119:19 Veda 2:19 9:15 44:3 two-day 91:2 92:10 109:17 111:7.18 88:19,20 89:8,11 119:21 126:18,23 50:19 56:3 58:14 **type** 35:14 60:19 114:3,17 115:1 90:8,9,12 96:3 130:9 60:3 91:20 61:13 77:23 109:2,2 122:12 125:14 97:24 101:20 worked 105:2 **vending** 123:2 types 14:17 19:4 127:23 129:21 106:21 107:21 worker 48:1 60:18 110:22 vendors 106:22,25 wanted 14:15 15:1 108:25 109:3,5 workers 46:13 58:22 verbal 123:23 31:18 40:10 46:6 110:5,13 111:13,22 59:17,25 **typing** 96:17 verifiable 54:13 49:6 60:9 63:23 112:15 113:7 114:6 **working** 40:13 46:16 U verification 36:15 47:11 49:5 51:15 69:10 73:18 79:2 114:11,14 116:17 ultimately 18:4 55:8 85:4 96:1 98:24 118:4,18,24 120:2,9 88:20,24 89:8 unable 34:16 82:2 verified 23:18 38:12 108:17 122:15 122:1 123:9,12 106:23,25 109:6,22 96:12 verify 34:16 38:2,9 125:4 126:21 127:3 129:8,12,15,16 113:16 125:7 understand 29:3 40:21 wants 10:8 31:7 130:4.11 works 6:16 20:23 verifying 102:5 51:19 59:15 65:17 74:15 we've 25:6 32:22 47:13 66:11 75:1 71:13 72:9 75:17 version 63:17 87:3 Warehousing 123:3 44:23 47:1,9 48:8 121:24 79:2.4 115:4 125:25 113:11 wasn't 30:8,24 42:6 48:10 53:11,24 54:2 workshop 10:22 understanding 10:20 versus 25:25 47:16 42:24 50:19 79:18 61:8 94:21 111:17 17:24 48:20,21 61:3 32:16 57:20 119:11 78:7 112:24 116:4,9 wasting 20:1 113:6 118:2,7 124:2 61:6 62:7 72:7 73:7 understood 46:1 127:20 way 6:7 19:21 28:1 124:4 88:22 undocumented 40:20 vice 76:10 90:13 28:23 29:7,15 30:9 weapons 37:12 worst 106:18 41:22 93:20 94:23 95:1,18 30:16 36:8 42:2,7 website 76:20 wouldn't 63:16 99:17 **unfounded** 12:15,19 95:22 96:8,9,11,19 99:22 42:13 47:23,25 WEDNESDAY 1:15 77:15 82:1,5,8 96:23 97:2,11 56:10 57:8,24 66:10 week 70:10 111:9,23 wrap 49:22 65:11 unit 38:10 view 36:12 52:15 118:19 122:1 66:22 71:15 72:24 111:24 112:20 United 3:2 56:17 73:3 89:1 102:24 113:20 116:25 write 124:10 unlawful 34:23 35:2 violate 72:20 113:5 121:18,24 117:13 118:16 written 112:23 **unmuted** 95:16 vision 119:18 ways 48:3 52:11 119:8 122:11,17 **wrong** 32:8 unusual 11:24 visited 45:3 76:18 123:8 124:7 125:8 \mathbf{X} upcoming 64:17 volunteers 76:14 **Wazzle** 53:11 56:11 129:24 **update** 12:2 13:2 53:3 vote 65:9 **we'll** 6:13 10:10 12:2 week's 115:20,21,22 Y 88:11 14:19 23:21 35:7 123:20 W veah 5:11 10:24 **updated** 10:18 17:5 44:18 61:3 64:2,3,3 weekly 47:3 wait 49:21 92:4 14:14 17:6 29:23 17:14 23:14 28:18 64:4 67:9 72:24 weeks 6:2 70:25

| 21 0 22 5 40 12 | 10.05.106.15 | 247 116 0 | 000 <1.7 | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 31:9 32:5 40:12 | 12:05 126:15 | 347 116:8 | 900 61:7 | |
| 41:17 43:2,11 45:7 | 12:09 130:14 | 38 50:2,5 | 911 75:24 | |
| 62:1,18,19 64:2 | 12:10 1:16 130:17,19 | 3a 22:25 27:12 29:8 | 97 114:19 116:6 | |
| 66:10 71:5,25 72:10 | 12:30 130:6,13 | 30:11 33:16 | 9a 23:16 | |
| 73:11 74:1,24 86:5 | 12th 63:18 65:13 | 3f 22:25 30:19 34:6,9 | | |
| 88:6 89:2,6,8 96:16 | 67:17,19 68:5,9,21 | 34:19 | | |
| 104:2 111:6 112:18 | 69:2 73:1 | 3H 30:1,4 | | |
| 114:11 115:22 | 13 25:21 40:4 63:1 | 4 | | |
| 116:8,23 117:15 | 13th 11:25 | | | |
| 119:1,13 120:9 | 162 115:2 | 4 4:19 9:23 16:11,22 | | |
| 124:24 125:3 | 16th 13:5 | 17:18 22:23 23:23 | | |
| 128:13,19 | 180 83:4 84:4 | 4,000 57:11 | | |
| year 13:17,17 21:25 | 1st 53:6 65:4 68:5 | 43 50:4 | | |
| 24:25 32:3 35:8,8 | 75:13 79:5 85:7 | 4380 22:24 88:12,14 | | |
| 41:6,6 44:24 47:15 | 86:17 88:13,17 | 48 52:16 | | |
| 50:22 53:8 55:6 | 89:10,11 93:22 | 4B 34:24 | | |
| 83:5 84:25 86:18 | 105:17,23 106:24 | | | |
| 95:19 100:23 105:6 | | 5 | | |
| 106:1,2,14,15 107:6 | 2 | 5 4:19 23:11 | | |
| 114:24 120:4,6 | 2 16:25 22:15 25:1 | 5.5 52:10 | | |
| 122:13 | 77:4 | 50 116:6,7 | | |
| years 25:10 61:12,15 | 200 114:25 115:2 | 500 1:24 | | |
| 103:22 118:14 | 2008 54:1 | 543 40:7 63:10 85:10 | | |
| Yep 24:19 26:9 40:11 | 2022 68:5 | 86:14,22 | | |
| yeses 40:15 | 2023 1:15 13:22 14:2 | 5C 26:17 40:17 | | |
| yesterday 10:14 | 17:24 24:25 63:18 | 5d 22:25 41:9 | | |
| 48:23 | 65:14 67:19 68:5 | 5e 22:25 43:5 58:12 | | |
| yesterday's 48:24 | 86:14 131:10 | | | |
| youth 46:19 | 2024 13:23 21:25 | 6 | | |
| | 85:7 86:18 89:11,12 | 633 1:24 | | |
| Z | 105:17,23,25 | 6A-1.0019 86:23 | | |
| | 20th 65:14 | 6A.10019 33:20 | | |
| 0 | 22 104:24 | 6th 131:10 | | |
| l | 22-23 120:4 | | | |
| 1 | 24 77:13 80:11 | 7 | | |
| 1 11:20 63:18 65:13 | 106:12 107:4,7 | 7 35:1 116:4 | | |
| 106:12 109:23 | 109:11 | 7th 11:13 33:13 62:9 | | |
| 110:1 | 24-25 86:18 106:2,14 | 63:4 65:10,11 70:11 | | |
| 1/1 74:16 | 106:18 107:6,12 | 74:22 113:8 114:1 | | |
| 10 23:9 25:20 26:22 | 24/7 66:15 | 118:22 120:12 | | |
| 27:3,4 38:15 40:3,4 | 250 116:7 | 129:4 | | |
| 42:8 56:8,8 104:22 | 26 34:13 114:23 | | | |
| 10:42 63:2 | 2nd 13:22 14:2 17:24 | 8 | | |
| 100 19:10 115:16 | | 8 61:7 | | |
| 116:9 | 3 | 800 25:6 | | |
| 1006.07 34:20 | 3 22:16 57:11 | 81 115:1 | | |
| 11 38:16,18 40:15 | 30 1:15 | 9 | | |
| 12 26:17 38:18 40:4 | 30th 65:15 | | | |
| 40:15 42:9 46:8 | 322,000 13:18 116:24 | 9:30 129:6,7,7,9 | | |
| 55:20 58:15,17,18 | 322,500 13:9 115:1 | 9:31 5:14 | | |
| 59:6,6,13,16,24,25 | 33301 1:25 | 9:32 1:16 6:11 130:17 | | |
| 60:10 | | 90 83:3 | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 1 | |